

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Schedule

November 19, 2014
Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 3

In the Matter of KCP&L Greater)
Missouri Operations Company's)
Application for Authority to)
Establish a Renewable Energy)
Standard Rate Adjustment Mechanism)

JUDGE MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR
Midwest Litigation Services
3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
Jefferson City, MO 65109
(573) 636-7551

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:

3 Ms. Akayla Jones

and Mr. Robert S. Berlin

4 Office of the Public Service Commission

200 Madison Street

5 P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

6 (573) 526-7779

7

For Office of Public Counsel and the Public via Telephone:

8

Mr. Timothy Opitz

9 Office of Public Counsel

200 Madison Street

10 P.O. Box 2230

Jefferson City, MO 65102

11 (573) 751-5324

12

For KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company:

13

Mr. Robert J. Hack

14 Attorney at Law

1200 Main Street

15 Kansas City, MO 64155

(816) 556-2791

16

17 For Renew Missouri:

18 Mr. Andrew Linhares

Attorney at Law

19 910 E. Broadway, Suite 205

Columbia, MO 65201

20 (314) 471-9973

21

For Ameren Missouri:

22

Mr. James L. Lowery

23 Smith Lewis, LLC

P.O. Box 918

24 111 S. Ninth Street, Suite 200

Columbia, MO 65205

25 (573) 442-3141

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Let's go on the
3 record. Today is November 19th, 2014, at 10:30
4 a.m.

5 The Commission has -- Commission has set
6 this time for a prehearing conference in the case
7 captioned as In the Matter of KCP&L Greater
8 Missouri Operation Company's Application for
9 Authority to Establish a Renewable Energy Standard
10 Rate Adjustment Mechanism, File No. EO-2014-0151.

11 My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the
12 Regulatory Law Judge in this matter. Let's have
13 the attorneys make their entries of appearance.
14 For KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company?

15 MR. HACK: Yes. Appearing on behalf of
16 KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company, Robert
17 Hack, 1200 Main, Kansas City Power and -- sorry --
18 Kansas City, Missouri, 64105.

19 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff?

20 MS. JONES: On behalf of the Staff of the
21 Missouri Public Service Commission, Akayla Jones
22 and Robert Berlin. Information's with the court
23 reporter.

24 MR. OPITZ: Judge, I'm on the telephone.
25 This is Tim Opitz on behalf of the Office of Public

1 Counsel, P.O. Box 2230.

2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. I was just going
3 to come to you next. And Renew Missouri?

4 MR. LINHARES: Yeah. On behalf of Renew
5 Missouri, Andrew Linhares. Address is 910 East
6 Broadway, Suite 205, Columbia, Missouri, 65201.

7 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Is there anyone on the
8 line from Ameren Missouri?

9 MR. LOWERY: Yes, your Honor. Jim Lowery
10 with Smith Lewis, LLP, P.O. Box 918, Columbia,
11 Missouri, 65205, appearing on behalf of Ameren
12 Missouri.

13 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And Ag. Processing? Any
14 appearances? Okay. Well, the partial stipulation
15 and agreement that was agreed to by the parties and
16 approved by the Commission had two issues that
17 remain unresolved, both of which were raised by
18 Renew Missouri.

19 And I believe those issues were whether
20 GMO was required to calculate and report any
21 financial benefits or avoided costs related to
22 renewable energy standard compliance, and, if so,
23 how should they be quantified.

24 So the first thing I wanted to find out
25 from Renew Missouri is exactly what relief is Renew

1 Missouri asking the Commission to grant with regard
2 to those two issues?

3 MR. LINHARES: Yeah. So, Judge, you've
4 summarized those two issues, and that's how they
5 appear in the stipulation.

6 We realize that the stipulation also has
7 an agreement by the parties that the tariff should
8 go ahead and be approved as it -- as it was
9 yesterday.

10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Right.

11 MR. LINHARES: But I would call -- just as
12 a preliminary matter, I'd call the -- your
13 attention to the Commission's Rule 4 CSR
14 240-20.100, Section 6 and subsection 11.

15 It just states here, Commission approval
16 for proposed rate schedules to establish, modify a
17 RESRAM shall in no way be binding upon the
18 Commission determination.

19 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you
20 speak up, please?

21 MR. LINHARES: Sure. I'm sure the -- I'm
22 sure the Court can take notice of that section.
23 But we -- I think it's obvious that, in a later
24 rate proceeding, the Commission could make a change
25 to the total amount recoverable under the RESRAM if

1 it wishes to.

2 We're not proposing a specific change to
3 the total RESRAM revenue requirement here. But we
4 would be requesting that the Commission order the
5 company to calculate and report to the Commission
6 all existing benefits that are associated with the
7 cost that it's proposing to recover in this case.

8 And we think that's required by the rule
9 and we'll be filing testimony to that effect, I
10 suppose, this Friday, on the 21st.

11 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I wanted to make sure
12 that you weren't wanting to go back and change the
13 tariff that just got approved. But you're actually
14 looking forward in the future as to how that might
15 be handled in a future rate case. Is that correct?

16 MR. LINHARES: Well, the stipulation does
17 -- the stipulation does that. The stipulation
18 provides that in -- in paragraph 4, it grants
19 several variances to the utility acknowledging that
20 the Commission has stated that it won't change the
21 fuel adjustment clause outside of the context of a
22 rate case.

23 So the Commission is -- has approved this
24 stipulation and envisions being able to change the
25 administration of the RESRAM in a later rate

1 proceeding, both on the terms of the costs and the
2 benefits. So --

3 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So we're looking forward
4 and not looking backwards with regard to these two
5 issues that you've raised; is that correct? We're
6 looking at the rate case. We're looking at how
7 that would be affected.

8 MR. LINHARES: That's true for the amount
9 recoverable. But the issue of whether benefits
10 have been calculated to the extent that they're
11 required to under the RESRAM is a live issue in
12 this case that we intend to file testimony on.

13 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. So here's a couple
14 of issues I'd like you to consider. And one is
15 that the Commission cannot issue advisory opinions.

16 MR. LINHARES: Right.

17 JUDGE BUSHMANN: You might look at the
18 Laclede Gas versus Public Service Commission 392
19 Southwest Third 24.

20 The other issue that I'd like to you think
21 about is that the definition of a rule, what a rule
22 is in Section 536.010, subsection 4, says that a
23 rule is a statement of general applicability that
24 implements, interprets or prescribes law or policy.

25 It sounds like, from what you've said,

1 that what you're looking for is an amendment of
2 RESRAM rule.

3 MR. LINHARES: No. That's not what we're
4 looking for. We're looking for enforcement of the
5 existing rule. I'm happy to explain if --

6 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Go ahead. Go
7 ahead.

8 MR. LINHARES: -- if that's not clear.
9 The RESRAM mentions several times -- the RESRAM
10 rule, Section 6, mentions several times that the
11 RESRAM is intended to provide recovery of prudently
12 incurred costs and pass-through of benefits. This
13 is mentioned 13 different times in the -- in the
14 rule.

15 Both of these things are required, prudent
16 recovery of cost and pass-through of benefits. And
17 -- and those are required to be done through the --
18 the mechanism of the RESRAM.

19 Now, the utility has gotten a variance to
20 be able to pass through benefits through other
21 existing mechanisms, through the FAC, for example.
22 And Renew Missouri has no objection to that if
23 that's how they want to do it.

24 However, given that pass-through of
25 benefits is originally required in the rule, that

1 somehow needs to be accounted for and demonstrated.
2 What benefits are being passed on? What quantities
3 of benefits? What type of benefits are we talking
4 about here? And we believe that burden hasn't been
5 met.

6 JUDGE BUSHMANN: But am I correct, then,
7 that what the issue specifically asked for is
8 whether that should be calculated and reported,
9 and, if so, how should it be quantified?

10 And my reading of the rule was that
11 doesn't appear in the rule, those issues about how
12 the -- how it should be calculated, how it should
13 be reported if it should reported and how that
14 calculation should happen.

15 So it sounds like you're wanting the
16 Commission to make a determination about how those
17 things are supposed to occur. The ones that you've
18 just mentioned appear numerous times in the rule.
19 It doesn't specify in the rule how that's supposed
20 to happen.

21 MR. LINHARES: Correct. It doesn't
22 specify how that's supposed to happen.

23 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That -- that sounds like
24 a rule amendment to me.

25 MR. LINHARES: That issue is a reaction to

1 the company raising objections about they're not
2 sure how to calculate these costs and they don't
3 think that they're -- they exist in the first place
4 or they -- they think they're not capable of being
5 quantified.

6 So this -- this issue is included for the
7 benefit of the company to discuss how -- how they
8 are -- they do exist and they can be quantified and
9 how they are quantified throughout the country.
10 So that's -- that's the purpose of that second
11 issue.

12 In terms of the first issue, whether the
13 -- whether the benefits that are being passed
14 through the RESRAM, or in this case, the FAC or
15 whatever it is, whether they need to be quantified.
16 We're simply asking for the Commission to verify
17 that its rule means what it means.

18 JUDGE BUSHMANN: It sure sounds like an
19 advisory opinion for a hypothetical future
20 situation where this dispute may occur that next
21 would be in the rate case, which hasn't been filed
22 yet.

23 It just seems to me that what you're
24 asking for is the Commission to make a rule of
25 general applicability that determines how these

1 things should be calculated and quantified and
2 reported as opposed to present dispute about
3 whether or not they did or didn't do it properly
4 because it's not in there.

5 So I would -- I would just suggest -- I'm
6 not going to -- I'm not insisting. I'm just
7 suggesting you consider maybe instead of filing a
8 Petition for Rule-making and converting these
9 issues into something that could be handled in the
10 rule-making process.

11 And that's in -- the Commission's rules
12 under Chapter 2, Section 180. It has a provision
13 for Petitioning for Rule-making. 536.041 also
14 applies. That would be something that you might
15 want to consider instead of going down the route of
16 an evidentiary hearing just because I'm not
17 entirely sure that this is appropriate for an
18 evidentiary hearing.

19 If you still want to go down the route of
20 an evidentiary hearing, we can do that. This is a
21 non-contested case. There's no requirement for
22 Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law.

23 And if you want to go that route, I'm
24 going to require some briefing before we get there
25 on how -- whether or not this is an appropriate way

1 of reaching these issues through the evidentiary
2 hearing.

3 MR. LINHARES: Understood.

4 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I guess we're -- it
5 sounds like you want to go the evidentiary hearing
6 route at this point; is that correct?

7 MR. LINHARES: Well, Judge, we're planning
8 on filing testimony this Friday. It's -- testimony
9 is prepared and ready to be filed. And I'm
10 assuming that the company would -- is going to want
11 the opportunity to respond. And hopefully some of
12 these issues will be clarified in the -- in the
13 testimony.

14 Just -- just to be clear, I -- I take your
15 point on advisory opinions, and
16 I take your point on considering whether or not
17 this requires a revision to the rule.

18 We -- we certainly consider that neither
19 of those things are the case with -- with the case
20 that we're trying to bring here. We're simply
21 asking for implementation of the existing rule.

22 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.

23 MR. LINHARES: But I'm happy to brief that
24 -- that issue if that's --

25 JUDGE BUSHMANN: I'm not comfortable that

1 -- I would like to get a resolution of whether the
2 Commission has the authority to even grant the
3 relief that you're requesting before we go through
4 the entire hearing process.

5 So I'd like to get that set up for the
6 front end of the process, so I'm going to need
7 Renew Missouri to file briefs for -- or a brief
8 discussing the legal issues I've just raised and
9 any others that may apply. That brief should be
10 filed no later than December 3rd. The other
11 parties have until December 12th to file reply
12 briefs if they wish.

13 Also, the partial stipulation and
14 agreement only suggested dates for the filing of
15 testimony, position statements and the hearing. I
16 don't think that's sufficient. I'd like to include
17 some additional elements to the procedural
18 schedule.

19 So I'd like to see proposed dates for
20 lists of witnesses, the order of witnesses, cross
21 and opening statements, dates for a filing of a
22 Stipulation of Non-Disputed Facts and dates for
23 post-hearing briefs and reply briefs.

24 So I'd like the parties to file a Joint
25 Motion to Amend the Procedural Schedule to include

1 those additional elements no rater than next

2 Tuesday, November 25th.

3 At any time, if Renew Missouri decides
4 that it would prefer going the route the of
5 rule-making, then you can file a Petition for
6 Rule-making. And after that, we could discuss
7 suspending or canceling the procedural schedule.

8 Any other parties wish to raise anything
9 at this point or weigh in on any of these issues
10 that I've been discussing?

11 MR. BERLIN: Judge, who is to file the
12 Joint Motion? You said Joint Motion to Amend the
13 Procedural Schedule. Would that be Renew's --

14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: I assume that -- it
15 doesn't matter to me. Does Staff -- Staff normally
16 does that --

17 MR. BERLIN: It's not our issue.

18 JUDGE BUSHMANN: -- on the procedural
19 schedule.

20 MR. BERLIN: But --

21 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Renew Missouri can file
22 it. As long as there's an agreement on these
23 dates, I don't -- that would be fine for Renew
24 Missouri to file that.

25 MR. LINHARES: Judge, could you reiterate

1 -- reiterate the dates you'd like to see?

2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Renew Missouri briefs on
3 the 3rd. Reply briefs on the 12th. And then
4 Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule with some
5 jointly approved dates for those other elements
6 should be next Tuesday, December -- November 25th.

7 MR. LINHARES: I'm sorry. What were the
8 other elements?

9 JUDGE BUSHMANN: That was lists of
10 witnesses -- yeah. We already know the issues. So
11 just lists of witnesses, order of witnesses, cross
12 and openings for the hearing, a date for filing a
13 stipulation of non-disputed facts.

14 I don't think there are a lot of facts in
15 dispute here, so I'd like to get a lot of that
16 cleared away before the hearing. And then a date
17 for post-hearing briefs and reply briefs.

18 Briefs on the -- are coming up just right
19 away would be the ones on the commission's
20 authority to grant the relief requested.

21 MR. OPITZ: Judge, this is Tim Opitz.

22 JUDGE BUSHMANN COURT: Yes.

23 MR. OPITZ: I'm told that I've got to
24 leave. I am traveling, so may I be excused from
25 this pre-hearing?

1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: You may. We're almost
2 finished anyway.

3 MR. OPITZ: All right. Thank you, Judge.
4 Thank you, everyone.

5 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anybody else have
6 anything they need to raise?

7 MR. HACK: Not GMO, Judge.

8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Then we're
9 off the record. Thank you.

10 (The proceedings were concluded at 10:45 a.m. on
11 November 19, 2014.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF MISSOURI)

) ss.

COUNTY OF OSAGE)

I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered Professional
Reporter, within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby
certify that I was personally present at the proceedings as
set forth in the caption sheet hereof; that I then and there
took down in stenotype the proceedings had at said time and
was thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately
set forth in the preceding pages.

Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0538
Registered Professional Reporter

A	<p>Application 43:23 45:2,3,17 31:11 33:8 applies 41:14 apply 43:9 appropriate 41:17,25 approval 35:15 approved 34:16 35:8 36:13,23 45:5 asked 39:7 asking 35:1 40:16,24 42:21 associated 36:6 assume 44:14 assuming 42:10 attention 35:13 Attorney 32:14 32:18 attorneys 33:13 authority 31:11 33:9 43:2 45:20 avoided 34:21 a.m 33:4 46:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>back 36:12 backwards 37:4 behalf 33:15,20 33:25 34:4,11 believe 34:19 39:4 benefit 40:7 benefits 34:21 36:6 37:2,9 38:12,16,20,25 39:2,3,3 40:13 Berlin 32:3 33:22 44:11,17,20 binding 35:17 Boulevard 31:22 Box 32:5,10,23 34:1,10 brief 42:23 43:7 43:9 briefing 41:24 briefs 43:7,12,23</p>	<p>43:23 45:2,3,17 45:17,18 bring 42:20 Broadway 32:19 34:6 burden 39:4 Bushmann 31:16 33:2,11,19 34:2 34:7,13 35:10 36:11 37:3,13 37:17 38:6 39:6 39:23 40:18 42:4,22,25 44:14,18,21 45:2,9,22 46:1 46:5,8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 32:1 33:1 calculate 34:20 36:5 40:2 calculated 37:10 39:8,12 41:1 calculation 39:14 call 35:11,12 canceling 44:7 capable 40:4 caption 47:10 captioned 33:7 case 33:6 36:7,15 36:22 37:6,12 40:14,21 41:21 42:19,19 CCR 31:21 47:20 certainly 42:18 CERTIFICATE 47:1 Certified 47:6,7 certify 47:9 change 35:24 36:2,12,20,24 Chapter 41:12 City 31:7,22 32:5 32:10,15 33:17 33:18 clarified 42:12 clause 36:21</p>	<p>clear 38:8 42:14 cleared 45:16 Columbia 32:19 32:24 34:6,10 come 34:3 comfortable 42:25 coming 45:18 Commission 31:1 32:2,4 33:5,5,19,21 34:16 35:1,15 35:18,24 36:4,5 36:20,23 37:15 37:18 39:16 40:16,24 43:2 commission's 35:13 41:11 45:19 company 32:12 33:14,16 36:5 40:1,7 42:10 Company's 31:10 33:8 compliance 34:22 concluded 46:10 Conclusions 41:22 conference 33:6 consider 37:14 41:7,15 42:18 considering 42:16 context 36:21 converting 41:8 correct 36:15 37:5 39:6,21 42:6 cost 36:7 38:16 costs 34:21 37:1 38:12 40:2 Counsel 32:7,9 34:1 country 40:9 COUNTY 47:4 couple 37:13</p>	<p>court 33:22 35:19,22 45:22 47:7 cross 43:20 45:11 CSR 31:21 35:13 47:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>D 33:1 date 45:12,16 dates 43:14,19,21 43:22 44:23 45:1,5 December 43:10 43:11 45:6 decides 44:3 definition 37:21 demonstrated 39:1 determination 35:18 39:16 determines 40:25 different 38:13 discuss 40:7 44:6 discussing 43:8 44:10 dispute 40:20 41:2 45:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <p>E 32:1,1,19 33:1 33:1 East 34:5 effect 36:9 elements 43:17 44:1 45:5,8 energy 31:11 33:9 34:22 enforcement 38:4 entire 43:4 entirely 41:17 entries 33:13 envisions 36:24 EO-2014-0151 33:10 establish 31:11</p>
----------	--	---	--	--

33:9 35:16 evidentiary 41:16,18,20 42:1,5 exactly 34:25 example 38:21 excused 45:24 exist 40:3,8 existing 36:6 38:5,21 42:21 explain 38:5 extent 37:10	general 37:23 40:25 given 38:24 GMO 34:20 46:7 go 33:2 35:8 36:12 38:6,6 41:19,23 42:5 43:3 going 34:2 41:6 41:15,24 42:10 43:6 44:4 gotten 38:19 grant 35:1 43:2 45:20 grants 36:18 Greater 31:10 32:12 33:7,14 33:16 guess 42:4	33:22 insisting 41:6 intend 37:12 intended 38:11 interprets 37:24 issue 37:9,11,15 37:20 39:7,25 40:6,11,12 42:24 44:17 issues 34:16,19 35:2,4 37:5,14 39:11 41:9 42:1 42:12 43:8 44:9 45:10	L 32:22 Laclede 37:18 law 31:16 32:14 32:18 33:12 37:24 41:22 leave 45:24 legal 43:8 Let's 33:2,12 Lewis 32:23 34:10 line 34:8 Linhares 32:18 34:4,5 35:3,11 35:21 36:16 37:8,16 38:3,8 39:21,25 42:3,7 42:23 44:25 45:7 lists 43:20 45:9 45:11 Litigation 31:21 live 37:11 LLC 32:23 LLP 34:10 long 44:22 look 37:17 looking 36:14 37:3,4,6,6 38:1 38:4,4 lot 45:14,15 Lowery 32:22 34:9,9	38:21 mentioned 38:13 39:18 mentions 38:9,10 met 39:5 Michael 31:16 33:11 Midwest 31:21 Missouri 31:1,7 31:10 32:2,12 32:17,21 33:8 33:14,16,18,21 34:3,5,6,8,11 34:12,18,25 35:1 38:22 43:7 44:3,21,24 45:2 47:3,8 MO 31:22 32:5 32:10,15,19,24 modify 35:16 Monnie 31:21 47:6,20 Motion 43:25 44:12,12 45:4
F	H	J	M	N
FAC 38:21 40:14 Fact 41:22 facts 43:22 45:13 45:14 file 33:10 37:12 43:7,11,24 44:5 44:11,21,24 filed 40:21 42:9 43:10 filing 36:9 41:7 42:8 43:14,21 45:12 financial 34:21 find 34:24 Findings 41:22 fine 44:23 finished 46:2 first 34:24 40:3 40:12 forth 47:10,13 forward 36:14 37:3 Friday 36:10 42:8 front 43:6 fuel 36:21 fully 47:12 future 36:14,15 40:19	Hack 32:13 33:15,17 46:7 handled 36:15 41:9 happen 39:14,20 39:22 happy 38:5 42:23 hearing 41:16,18 41:20 42:2,5 43:4,15 45:12 45:16 hereof 47:10 Honor 34:9 hopefully 42:11 hypothetical 40:19	J 32:13 James 32:22 Jefferson 31:7,22 32:5,10 Jim 34:9 Joint 43:24 44:12 44:12 jointly 45:5 Jones 32:3 33:20 33:21 Judge 31:16,16 33:2,12,19,24 34:2,7,13 35:3 35:10 36:11 37:3,13,17 38:6 39:6,23 40:18 42:4,7,22,25 44:11,14,18,21 44:25 45:2,9,21 45:22 46:1,3,5 46:7,8	Madison 32:4,9 Main 32:14 33:17 matter 31:10 33:7,12 35:12 44:15 Mealy 31:21 47:6 47:20 means 40:17,17 mechanism 31:12 33:10 38:18 mechanisms	name 33:11 need 40:15 43:6 46:6 needs 39:1 neither 42:18 Ninth 32:24 non-contested 41:21 non-disputed 43:22 45:13 normally 44:15 notice 35:22 November 31:7 33:3 44:2 45:6 46:11 numerous 39:18
G	I	K	O	
G 33:1 Gas 37:18	implementation 42:21 implements 37:24 include 43:16,25 included 40:6 incurred 38:12 Information's	Kansas 32:15 33:17,18 KCP&L 31:10 32:12 33:7,14 33:16 know 45:10	O 33:1 objection 38:22	

<p>objections 40:1 obvious 35:23 occur 39:17 40:20 Office 32:4,7,9 33:25 Okay 33:2 34:2 34:14 37:13 38:6 42:22 ones 39:17 45:19 opening 43:21 openings 45:12 Operation 33:8 Operations 31:10 32:12 33:14,16 opinion 40:19 opinions 37:15 42:15 Opitz 32:8 33:24 33:25 45:21,21 45:23 46:3 opportunity 42:11 opposed 41:2 order 36:4 43:20 45:11 originally 38:25 OSAGE 47:4 outside 36:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 32:1,1 33:1 pages 47:13 paragraph 36:18 partial 34:14 43:13 parties 34:15 35:7 43:11,24 44:8 pass 38:20 passed 39:2 40:13 pass-through 38:12,16,24 personally 47:9 Petition 41:8</p>	<p> 44:5 Petitioning 41:13 place 40:3 planning 42:7 please 35:20 point 42:6,15,16 44:9 policy 37:24 position 43:15 post-hearing 43:23 45:17 Power 33:17 preceding 47:13 prefer 44:4 prehearing 33:6 preliminary 35:12 prepared 42:9 prescribes 37:24 present 41:2 47:9 Presiding 31:16 pre-hearing 45:25 procedural 31:5 43:17,25 44:7 44:13,18 45:4 proceeding 35:24 37:1 proceedings 31:3 46:10 47:9,11 process 41:10 43:4,6 Processing 34:13 Professional 47:7 47:21 properly 41:3 proposed 35:16 43:19 proposing 36:2,7 provide 38:11 provides 36:18 provision 41:12 prudent 38:15 prudently 38:11 Public 31:1 32:2 32:4,7,7,9 33:21,25 37:18</p>	<p>purpose 40:10 P.O 32:5,10,23 34:1,10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>quantified 34:23 39:9 40:5,8,9 40:15 41:1 quantities 39:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>R 32:1 33:1 raise 44:8 46:6 raised 34:17 37:5 43:8 raising 40:1 rate 31:12 33:10 35:16,24 36:15 36:22,25 37:6 40:21 rater 44:1 reaching 42:1 reaction 39:25 reading 39:10 ready 42:9 realize 35:6 record 33:3 46:9 recover 36:7 recoverable 35:25 37:9 recovery 38:11 38:16 regard 35:1 37:4 Registered 47:7 47:21 Regulatory 31:16 33:12 reiterate 44:25 45:1 related 34:21 relief 34:25 43:3 45:20 remain 34:17 Renew 32:17 34:3,4,18,25,25 38:22 43:7 44:3 44:21,23 45:2</p>	<p>renewable 31:11 33:9 34:22 Renew's 44:13 reply 43:11,23 45:3,17 report 34:20 36:5 reported 31:21 39:8,13,13 41:2 reporter 33:23 35:19 47:6,7,8 47:21 REPORTER'S 47:1 requested 45:20 requesting 36:4 43:3 require 41:24 required 34:20 36:8 37:11 38:15,17,25 requirement 36:3 41:21 requires 42:17 resolution 43:1 respond 42:11 RESRAM 35:17 35:25 36:3,25 37:11 38:2,9,9 38:11,18 40:14 revenue 36:3 revision 42:17 right 35:10 37:16 45:18 46:3,8 Robert 32:3,13 33:16,22 route 41:15,19 41:23 42:6 44:4 RPR 31:21 rule 35:13 36:8 37:21,21,23 38:2,5,10,14,25 39:10,11,18,19 39:24 40:17,24 42:17,21 rules 41:11 rule-making 41:8,10,13 44:5</p>	<p> 44:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>S 31:21 32:1,3,24 33:1 47:6,20 says 37:22 schedule 31:5 43:18,25 44:7 44:13,19 45:4 schedules 35:16 second 40:10 section 35:14,22 37:22 38:10 41:12 see 43:19 45:1 SENIOR 31:16 Service 31:1 32:2 32:4 33:21 37:18 Services 31:21 set 33:5 43:5 47:10,13 sheet 47:10 Shorthand 47:6 simply 40:16 42:20 situation 40:20 Smith 32:23 34:10 sorry 33:17 35:19 45:7 sounds 37:25 39:15,23 40:18 42:5 Southwest 37:19 speak 35:20 specific 36:2 specifically 39:7 specify 39:19,22 ss 47:3 Staff 32:2 33:19 33:20 44:15,15 standard 31:12 33:9 34:22 State 31:1 47:3,8 stated 36:20 statement 37:23</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<p>statements 43:15 43:21 states 35:15 stereotype 47:11 stipulation 34:14 35:5,6 36:16,17 36:17,24 43:13 43:22 45:13 Street 32:4,9,14 32:24 subsection 35:14 37:22 sufficient 43:16 suggest 41:5 suggested 43:14 suggesting 41:7 Suite 31:22 32:19 32:24 34:6 summarized 35:4 suppose 36:10 supposed 39:17 39:19,22 sure 35:21,21,22 36:11 40:2,18 41:17 suspending 44:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <p>take 35:22 42:14 42:16 talking 39:3 tariff 35:7 36:13 telephone 32:7 33:24 terms 37:1 40:12 testimony 36:9 37:12 42:8,8,13 43:15 Thank 46:3,4,9 thing 34:24 things 38:15 39:17 41:1 42:19 think 35:23 36:8 37:20 40:3,4 43:16 45:14 Third 37:19</p>	<p>Tim 33:25 45:21 time 33:6 44:3 47:11 times 38:9,10,13 39:18 Timothy 32:8 Today 33:3 told 45:23 total 35:25 36:3 transcribed 47:12 TRANSCRIPT 31:3 traveling 45:24 true 37:8 Truman 31:22 trying 42:20 Tuesday 44:2 45:6 two 34:16 35:2,4 37:4 type 39:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>Understood 42:3 unresolved 34:17 utility 36:19 38:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>variance 38:19 variances 36:19 verify 40:16 versus 37:18 Volume 31:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>W 31:22 want 38:23 41:15 41:19,23 42:5 42:10 wanted 34:24 36:11 wanting 36:12 39:15 way 35:17 41:25 weigh 44:9</p>	<p>weren't 36:12 we'll 36:9 we're 36:2 37:3,5 37:6 38:3,4 40:16 42:4,7,20 42:20 46:1,8 wish 43:12 44:8 wishes 36:1 witnesses 43:20 43:20 45:10,11 45:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>yeah 34:4 35:3 45:10 yesterday 35:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">#</p> <p>#0538 47:7,20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>10:30 33:3 10:45 46:10 11 35:14 111 32:24 12th 43:11 45:3 1200 32:14 33:17 13 38:13 180 41:12 19 31:7 46:11 19th 33:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>2 41:12 200 32:4,9,24 2014 31:7 33:3 46:11 205 32:19 34:6 207 31:22 21st 36:10 2230 32:10 34:1 24 37:19 240-20.100 35:14 25th 44:2 45:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 31:8 3rd 43:10 45:3</p>	<p>314 32:20 3432 31:22 360 32:5 392 37:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>4 35:13 36:18 37:22 442-3141 32:25 471-9973 32:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>526-7779 32:6 536.010 37:22 536.041 41:13 556-2791 32:15 573 31:23 32:6 32:11,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>6 35:14 38:10 636-7551 31:23 64105 33:18 64155 32:15 65102 32:5,10 65109 31:22 65201 32:19 34:6 65205 32:24 34:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>751-5324 32:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>816 32:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>910 32:19 34:5 918 32:23 34:10</p>
--	--	---	---