

In the Matter of:

**THE APPLICATION OF EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC., d/b/a, EVERGY, etc.**

---

**EO-2022-0061, VOL. I**

*November 23, 2021*

---



[www.tigercr.com](http://www.tigercr.com) 573.999.2662

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Conference

November 23, 2021

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 1

In the Matter of:

The Application of Evergy )  
Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a )  
Evergy Missouri West for )  
Approval of a Wholesale ) File No. EO-2022-0061  
Energy Market Rate for a Data )  
Center Facility in Kansas )  
City, Missouri )

-----

CHARLES HATCHER, Presiding  
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY:  
Shelley L. Mayer, CCR  
TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MS. KAREN BRETZ  
3 MR. DON COSPER  
4 Public Service Commission  
5 200 Madison Street  
6 P.O. Box 360  
7 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360  
8 573.751.4140  
9 For: Staff of the Missouri Public Service  
10 Commission

11 MR. JOHN CLIZER (Via Webex)  
12 Office of the Public Counsel  
13 200 Madison Street  
14 P.O. Box 2230  
15 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102  
16 573.751.5324  
17 For: Office of the Public Counsel

18 MS. STEPHANIE BELL (Via Webex)  
19 Ellinger & Associates  
20 308 East High Street, Suite 300  
21 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
22 573.750.4100  
23 For: Velvet Tech

24 MR. LEWIS MILLS (Via Webex)  
25 Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner  
200 Bolivar Street, Suite 101  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  
573.556.6627  
For: Google, LLC

MR. ROGER STEINER (Via Webex)  
MR. BRAD LUTZ (Via Webex)  
1200 Main Street  
Kansas City, Missouri 64106.  
For: Evergy Missouri West

21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

1  
2 JUDGE HATCHER: Let's go ahead and on go  
3 on the record. Today is November 23rd, 2021. The  
4 Commission has set this time for a prehearing  
5 conference for File No. EO-2022-0061. My name is  
6 Charles Hatcher. I'm the regulatory law judge  
7 assigned to this file.

8 Let's go ahead and have counsel and the  
9 parties make their entry of appearance. Mr. Mills, I  
10 simply happen to have you first on my list if you'd  
11 like to go ahead.

12 MR. MILLS: Thank you, Judge.

13 COURT REPORTER: I can't hear him.

14 JUDGE HATCHER: And I apologize,  
15 Mr. Mills. Would you repeat that for the court  
16 reporter.

17 MR. MILLS: Sure. My name is Lewis  
18 Mills. My business address is 201 Bolivar Street,  
19 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. I'm with the law  
20 firm of Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner, and I'm  
21 appearing on behalf of Google.

22 JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And for  
23 Velvet Technology or Velvet Tech, Ms. Bell.

24 MS. BELL: Yes, your Honor. Stephanie  
25 Bell with the law firm of Ellinger & Associates. My

1 address is 308 East High Street, Suite 300, and  
2 that's Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. And I am  
3 appearing on behalf of Velvet Tech Services, LLC.

4 JUDGE HATCHER: And for Evergy,  
5 Mr. Steiner.

6 MR. STEINER: Good morning. Roger  
7 Steiner, 1200 Main Street, Kansas City,  
8 Missouri 64106. I am appearing for Evergy Missouri  
9 West. Brad Lutz is also in attendance today.

10 JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And  
11 Mr. Clizer for Office of Public Counsel.

12 MR. CLIZER: John Clizer, Missouri Office  
13 of the Public Counsel, Governor Office Building,  
14 Suite 650, 200 Madison Street, P.O. Box 2230,  
15 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

16 JUDGE HATCHER: And Ms. Bretz for staff.

17 MS. BRETZ: Karen Bretz for Staff, 200  
18 Madison Street, Eighth floor, Jefferson City,  
19 Missouri 65101.

20 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. And as I'm sure  
21 everyone is aware, yesterday was the end of filing  
22 for intervenors. We have received no further  
23 intervenors so we need not take up any intervenor  
24 requests here.

25 The purpose of this meeting is to get a

1 procedural schedule or determine our path forward.

2 At this point I hesitate to ask about  
3 settlement, but since that was brought up in the  
4 application, are the parties -- have the parties  
5 already talked? Let me approach it that way.

6 MR. STEINER: Yes, your Honor. We've had  
7 a couple of technical conferences.

8 COURT REPORTER: Who's speaking?

9 JUDGE HATCHER: Mr. Steiner.

10 MR. STEINER: I don't think we're at --  
11 we're not at the settlement stage, but we're  
12 definitely accepting the filing and answering the  
13 parties' questions about it.

14 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.

15 MR. STEINER: We've also had similar  
16 discussions -- we also had discussions on what a  
17 schedule would look like and we were going to  
18 continue those today.

19 JUDGE HATCHER: Excellent. Then I will  
20 get out of your hair.

21 MR. STEINER: Judge, I -- in our  
22 procedural schedule that we proposed in our  
23 application, we had a January 18th hearing. I've  
24 looked on the Commission's calendar; it looks open.  
25 I think I'm looking at the right place, but if you

1 would let me know if that is not open.

2 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. I will email the  
3 parties when I get upstairs in a few minutes. If we  
4 do produce a procedural schedule as part of my  
5 standard ask, is first, that Staff take  
6 responsibility. The Commission does prefer a joint  
7 filing, but understands if that's not possible. We,  
8 the Commission, requests a joint stipulation of  
9 facts. The lists, the hearing lists at least one  
10 week in advance; that's the list of witnesses, the  
11 list of openings, the position statements and order.  
12 If we again go down that path, list of exhibits.

13 We can go ahead and assign those now.  
14 We'll give the Evergy 0 through -- I'm sorry, 1  
15 through 99. We will give Staff the 100s; Office of  
16 the Public Counsel, the 200s; Velvet Tech, 300s; and  
17 Google, 400s. Is there anyone I have not taken care  
18 of, please speak up.

19 MR. STEINER: I'm sorry, did you say  
20 MECG?

21 JUDGE HATCHER: No, I sure didn't.  
22 MECG, 500s. Do we have three intervenors?

23 MS. BRETZ: I think that's right.

24 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. I think that takes  
25 care of everyone. Anyone I have not taken care of,

1 please speak up. And back to our procedural  
2 schedule, please note a ten-business day transcript  
3 turnaround.

4 We have the room reserved all day for the  
5 parties to discuss. For anyone not familiar, this is  
6 generally the end of the procedural conference as far  
7 as the participation of the judge and the court  
8 reporter. Before I leave, I just want to set out a  
9 to-do list of for everyone to understand. Staff is  
10 responsible for a procedural schedule or a status  
11 report -- help me with a date -- December 13th.

12 MS. BRETZ: That seems good if that's a  
13 weekday. Is that a weekday? I think that's a  
14 Monday.

15 JUDGE HATCHER: December 13th. Thank you  
16 from our audience. December 13th for a status report  
17 or a procedural schedule from Staff.

18 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor.

19 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, Mr. Clizer.

20 MR. CLIZER: Yeah. I'd like to jump in  
21 on a couple different points. First of all, the  
22 current -- there is a proposed procedural schedule  
23 that's been laid out by Everygy, and it's a fairly  
24 quick pace. And one of the key elements to achieving  
25 that fairly quick pace would be to have a truncated



1 discovery conference, discovery schedule, which under  
2 the current schedule, the procedural -- the date for  
3 that status report would fall in line just about the  
4 same time I think direct is actually supposed to be  
5 coming due.

6           And so I don't know -- I'm not saying  
7 that we need to get it out a whole lot sooner, but I  
8 feel like if we're going to at all attempt to  
9 achieve the shortened schedule, we might need to be  
10 moving things up. That also covers -- touches on  
11 some of the other questions I would have. I'm more  
12 than willing to take these offline if the judge is  
13 willing to; I don't think necessarily this needs to  
14 be on the record. But yes, I would like to address  
15 some of those concerns really quick.

16           JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Mr. Clizer, I'm  
17 willing to indulge you, but I don't see my  
18 participation in this. I would think that the issues  
19 you're raising on the timeliness would be something  
20 to bring up with the other parties.

21           MR. CLIZER: Well, what I'm asking you  
22 specifically with regard to the issuance of the  
23 procedural schedule is do we need the Commission to  
24 issue an order truncating the discovery rules and can  
25 we get that out before potentially there's a complete

1 discussion or agreement as to procedural schedule.

2 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. I do understand  
3 the question, and my participation is not needed.  
4 That is something to take up in the discussion  
5 that'll follow as soon as I leave the room, with the  
6 other parties. I'm sure all the parties are aware of  
7 the Commission's moving to a hearing when parties  
8 object. I don't know -- I'm staring at page 5 of the  
9 application with -- and that is where Evergy had put  
10 in its proposed schedule. I understand your concern,  
11 Mr. Clizer. I don't see -- I don't see how I can  
12 address it now. I will certainly be aware of it when  
13 Ms. Bretz files the, hopefully, joint proposed  
14 procedural schedule.

15 MR. CLIZER: Can I -- I'm sorry to cut  
16 you off.

17 JUDGE HATCHER: No. No. If you've got a  
18 solution, please go ahead.

19 MR. CLIZER: I believe -- I believe --  
20 well, the OPC proposed shortening the discovery  
21 response time. I believe Evergy has floated the idea  
22 of a five-business day response with a three-business  
23 day to object. I'm not sure anybody necessarily  
24 disagreed with that. If we could get that placed  
25 into effect now, if you could give a verbal order as

1 to that timetable for response and objections of that  
2 request, then that would take my concern off the  
3 table. I don't know if that's something the other  
4 parties would be willing agree to. I don't mean to  
5 spring this on people, but it would be helpful if we  
6 had that rule in place so that when we start issuing  
7 discovery, we can know we can get in time for  
8 whatever period or date we set for direct or rebuttal  
9 testimony.

10 JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you, Mr. Clizer.

11 MR. STEINER: Judge.

12 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.

13 MR. STEINER: This is Roger Steiner. As  
14 we discussed yesterday, we're willing to go with the  
15 five with the three to object, as long as it's in  
16 conjunction with the schedule that you propose.

17 MR. CLIZER: I'm endeavoring to keep the  
18 schedule short.

19 MR. STEINER: Is that what you need? I'm  
20 committing to that, to the five days. Is that what  
21 your -- is that what the concern is?

22 MR. CLIZER: Yeah.

23 MR. STEINER: Yeah. When we get a DR  
24 from you now, we'll answer in five days or object or  
25 we'll ask for more time in three.

1 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Let me take that a  
2 step further because under Rule 2.090, sub 6, I can  
3 take up procedural matters here at the procedural  
4 conference. Velvet, Staff, and Google and MECG, I'll  
5 go in that order. And I think between Mr. Clizer and  
6 myself everyone has had time to talk to whomever they  
7 needed to talk to. So who did I call first, Velvet.  
8 Ms. Bell?

9 MS. BELL: Yes, your Honor. We have no  
10 objection to OPC's request.

11 JUDGE HATCHER: And Mr. Mills?

12 MR. MILLS: Also no objection. Thank  
13 you.

14 JUDGE HATCHER: Mr. Woodsmall? MECG?  
15 Okay. Who is our third intervenor? I thought -- who  
16 has the 500s?

17 MR. STEINER: I don't think he's here  
18 today.

19 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.

20 MR. STEINER: But I think you have  
21 admitted -- I think you have admitted MECG in the  
22 case.

23 COURT REPORTER: Who's speaking?

24 JUDGE HATCHER: That's Mr. Steiner.

25 MR. STEINER: That was me, sorry. Roger

1 Steiner.

2 JUDGE HATCHER: And Ms. Bretz?

3 MS. BRETZ: That's fine. And  
4 Mr. Steiner, we submitted some DRs yesterday, so  
5 you'll be able to work those with the five-day  
6 turnaround?

7 MR. STEINER: We are assuming a five-day  
8 turnaround for those as well.

9 MS. BRETZ: Okay. Thank you.

10 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. That --

11 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, this is Clizer  
12 again.

13 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes.

14 MR. STEINER: This is Roger Steiner  
15 again. We're agreeing to the shortened time frame  
16 for OPC's shortened procedural schedule. There are  
17 things we don't like about the procedural schedule,  
18 I'm sure everyone can say that, but we appreciate the  
19 parties working together and we're trying to  
20 accommodate Velvet's need for an early order.

21 JUDGE HATCHER: And, Mr. Clizer, go  
22 ahead.

23 MR. CLIZER: Well, for the record, the  
24 OPC proposed a shortening of procedural -- well, a  
25 modification of the procedural schedule that Everygy

1 put in its initial brief, so I'm not sure that  
2 qualifies as OPC's request. Regardless of that,  
3 there was one other point I wanted to make, get a  
4 clarification on. You said you needed a week between  
5 the filing of position statements and the hearing  
6 date. Is that accurate?

7 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, please.

8 MR. CLIZER: Or did I mishear?

9 JUDGE HATCHER: No, that's accurate. I  
10 need to take your position statements. That goes not  
11 only to the Commission, the commissioners. I then  
12 write a summary of that for the commissioners to help  
13 them prepare for the hearing, so that's why I need a  
14 little bit more lead time. However, I now understand  
15 everyone is working together for the shortened time  
16 schedule. Let me rethink that, and I will ask that  
17 Staff note in their notes three days lead time.

18 MS. BRETZ: Okay.

19 MS. BELL: Your Honor.

20 JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, Ms. Bell.

21 MS. BELL: Given the shortened time  
22 period, I really do think -- the parties have already  
23 been discussing the procedural schedule as you've  
24 heard, and so I would request that Staff produce a  
25 status report or go ahead and file the schedule on

1 the 30th, in seven days, given that, you know, in  
2 the application, the proposed rebuttal date was  
3 December 10th. Just so that all the parties are  
4 clear on what those deadlines will be.

5 JUDGE HATCHER: I am not aware of the  
6 procedural schedule you all have been talking about,  
7 and I don't know that I want to be. But I see your  
8 issue with the rebuttal testimony being due fairly  
9 quickly. Is that the -- is December 10th likely to  
10 remain the date for the rebuttal testimony?

11 MS. BRETZ: I'm not sure, your Honor.  
12 We've had some discussions about a procedural  
13 conference, but that seems -- that seems pretty  
14 optimistic at this point. I think we're -- there's  
15 some distance between us on dates.

16 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Let's get -- let  
17 me think about that for a second.

18 The Commission finds OPC's motion to move  
19 discovery to a five-day time limit with a three-day  
20 limit for objections. I will put that in a written  
21 order when I get back to the office later on today.

22 Also, excuse me, I have taken  
23 responsibility to look at the calendar for the  
24 January 18th, 2022 hearing date. I will just use the  
25 same email I used this morning to email everybody

1 that that is clear or not clear.

2 And third thing I want to take up real  
3 quick, Mr. Clizer, we ran into an issue with  
4 notifications being sent out to OPC service, with the  
5 email service, and I wanted to point out that  
6 individual counsel for the OPC has not been  
7 registering on EFIS, but if they did, then that would  
8 solve that problem and make sure those invitations  
9 and notices go not only to the service address but to  
10 whichever counsel is working that file.

11 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, I appreciate  
12 what you're saying. It wasn't a problem from my end.  
13 I just wanted to explain to you why I was not hitting  
14 the reply button, because I technologically could not  
15 achieve that.

16 JUDGE HATCHER: No, no, no.

17 MR. CLIZER: But I will take that into  
18 consideration.

19 JUDGE HATCHER: This was my perfect  
20 opportunity because I've seen that issue for like six  
21 months or so and this just gave me the perfect segue  
22 to bring it up. So that's taken care of.

23 Let's get back to our schedule and  
24 Ms. Bell's concern about when the proposed joint  
25 schedule come out. Does Staff have a new proposal?



1 MS. BRETZ: We would propose  
2 December 10th which is the Friday before.

3 JUDGE HATCHER: That's the Friday before  
4 what you're thinking the rebuttal testimony would be  
5 due?

6 MS. BRETZ: Well, Friday before the 13th.

7 JUDGE HATCHER: But on the procedural  
8 schedule --

9 MS. BRETZ: We haven't agreed to any  
10 procedural schedule, so that's all an open book.

11 JUDGE HATCHER: So that's -- okay. So  
12 Ms. Bell's concern is shared by other parties that  
13 there is not going to be a sudden procedural schedule  
14 that says rebuttal testimony is due today or tomorrow  
15 or some unreasonably short time period. Correct?

16 MS. BRETZ: Could you repeat that?

17 JUDGE HATCHER: No. Okay. Ms. Bell, I'm  
18 hearing that your issue is the timing of the proposed  
19 procedural schedule coming out and you and other  
20 parties not knowing in advance with enough time when  
21 rebuttal testimony is due. Is that correct?

22 MS. BELL: Not exactly, your Honor. My  
23 concern is that we have an interest in keeping the  
24 proposed report and order date of March 1st. And the  
25 schedule is rather accelerated, although in line with

1 other similar past cases. And in order to keep that  
2 date, we would like to stick close to the proposed  
3 procedural schedule.

4 And so the application which on page 5  
5 included the proposed procedural schedule has been on  
6 file for more than I think a month now or -- and so I  
7 don't understand why it would take an additional two  
8 weeks to decide on what dates are appropriate,  
9 especially, you know, getting that hearing date on  
10 the calendar and making sure we know when those  
11 deadlines are is very important to us. And I don't  
12 propose -- as far as getting -- as far as setting a  
13 deadline seven days from now, again, I think your  
14 order was going to be either a status report or a  
15 joint procedural schedule. So if Staff doesn't feel  
16 like they can get a procedural schedule on file next  
17 week, they could always file a status report, but  
18 it's very much a concern of ours to move this case  
19 quickly.

20 JUDGE HATCHER: Noted.

21 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, this is Clizer  
22 with the OPC. I just want to pop in and say that I  
23 think that -- I've had a -- I agree with what  
24 Ms. Bell said as far as there's been discussion of  
25 the procedural schedule already. I know not

1 everybody has had their input to that, but I feel  
2 like we're going to be able to know very quickly  
3 whether or not there will be an agreement reached at  
4 all or we'll need the Commission to intervene. And  
5 I'm not sure that you would need that much time for  
6 negotiating a procedural schedule at this point.

7 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. I also understood  
8 that. Let me bring up a calendar. Today is  
9 November 23rd. We typically give two weeks to  
10 produce a schedule. That would be December 7th. Due  
11 to the truncation needs and desires of several  
12 parties, we will move that date and shorten it, also  
13 taking into account Mr. Clizer's point that an  
14 agreement will relatively be forthcoming shortly or  
15 not; we'll know shortly one way or the other.  
16 December 3rd. Is that acceptable?

17 MS. BRETZ: For either a joint procedural  
18 schedule or a status report? Okay.

19 JUDGE HATCHER: Ms. Bell, any objections  
20 to December 3rd? Oh, I disconnected the thing.

21 MR. CLIZER: I can still hear you, Judge.

22 JUDGE HATCHER: Oh, excellent.

23 MR. STEINER: I can still hear you,  
24 Judge.

25 JUDGE HATCHER: Good. Then I didn't

1 disconnect the thing.

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Stephanie, I think  
3 there's a question out to you.

4 COURT REPORTER: Who's speaking please?

5 JUDGE HATCHER: That was --

6 MS. BELL: Sorry. I think I was on mute.  
7 I -- you know, I would reiterate that I think we  
8 could -- we will know, as Mr. Clizer suggested, by  
9 the 30th. But if your Honor desires December 3rd, we  
10 would not have an objection to that.

11 JUDGE HATCHER: 30th is next Tuesday.

12 MS. BRETZ: That's way too short a time  
13 for -- I think, especially after a long weekend and  
14 we have --

15 JUDGE HATCHER: 2nd.

16 MS. BRETZ: Okay.

17 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Let's move the due  
18 date for a status report or a proposed procedural  
19 schedule, joint if available, due from Staff  
20 December 2nd, on or before, if this is on before, if  
21 maybe a status report is more appropriate.

22 I believe that now takes care of all of  
23 our concerns and I can leave the room and let you all  
24 get on with things. Are there any other concerns  
25 while I'm still here?

1 MR. CLIZER: Yes. I apologize; I keep  
2 bringing stuff up.

3 JUDGE HATCHER: That's okay.

4 MR. CLIZER: You had stated previously  
5 that you were expecting -- we should expect a ten-day  
6 turnaround for transcripts following the hearing. In  
7 the past the Commission has been able to get  
8 transcripts on an expedited basis. Is there any way  
9 that we can shorten that ten-day turnaround.

10 JUDGE HATCHER: I am going to leave that  
11 to the parties to request. I would like that request  
12 in writing. And I would ask that, if appropriate,  
13 Staff could include that in their proposed procedural  
14 schedule representing as many parties agrees to that  
15 as possible. The reason I'm emphasizing my concern  
16 here is I have -- I have been the judge responsible  
17 for ordering expedited transcripts, and I was later  
18 informed that the price of expedited transcripts  
19 doubles and then quadruples effectively when you ask  
20 for a one or two-day turnaround. Now that I'm aware  
21 of that and everyone else is aware of that, I think  
22 the safer route to go is to make sure that the  
23 parties are invested in that, they are requesting  
24 that. That allows me to take that upstairs further  
25 and get that approved. Any other issues? Hearing --

1 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, one last one.  
2 I'm just trying -- I'm working on this so I can try  
3 and get as much of this for the schedule as possible.  
4 Does the Commission anticipate the need for a full 30  
5 days between the final round of briefing and tariff  
6 dates going into effect, or is that possibly to  
7 shorten? Is that time period possible to shorten?

8 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. You said -- you  
9 mixed a couple things there, and I'm going to nitpick  
10 for a second, Mr. Clizer. You said --

11 MR. CLIZER: My apologies.

12 JUDGE HATCHER: -- 30 days between briefs  
13 due and the effective date of the tariff sheets.

14 I have 45 dates on my page 5 proposed  
15 schedule, briefs being due, the last brief being due  
16 February 15th and the effective date of the tariff  
17 sheets being March 31st. I'm understanding Everygy  
18 and Ms. Bell's request for the March 1st report and  
19 order to probably really mean the March 31st  
20 effective date.

21 And then to answer your question, no. I  
22 would be able to give less than 30-days notice of the  
23 report and order coming out. That's the time period  
24 that we're talking about shortening. It would also  
25 give me less writing time, that's true, but yeah, we

1 can shorten that March --- if that's true, and I  
2 don't want a discussion of that, you all can discuss  
3 that when I leave. But if I'm correct that it's  
4 March 31st is the real date to make sure it's  
5 effective, then we can probably push things back  
6 to -- so March 20th and find whatever agenda date is  
7 on or before that. So there you go.

8 MR. CLIZER: Thank you. That was the  
9 last of my question.

10 JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Excellent. I'll  
11 give one last shout out for anybody to unmute. Any  
12 other questions before the judge and the court  
13 reporter leaves the room? Okay. We are off the  
14 record.

15 (Off the record.)

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Shelley L. Bartels, a Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 679, the officer before whom the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken, do hereby certify that the testimony was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this transcript of proceedings was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.



Shelley L. Bartels, CCR



<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>agreeing</b> 12:15	<b>bring</b> 8:20 15:22 18:8
<b>0</b> 6:14	<b>5</b> 9:8 17:4	<b>agreement</b> 9:1 18:3, 14	<b>brought</b> 5:3
<b>1</b>	<b>500s</b> 6:22 11:16	<b>ahead</b> 3:2,8,11 6:13 9:18 12:22 13:25	<b>Bryan</b> 3:20
<b>1</b> 6:14	<b>6</b>	<b>answering</b> 5:12	<b>Building</b> 4:13
<b>100s</b> 6:15	<b>6</b> 11:2	<b>apologize</b> 3:14	<b>business</b> 3:18
<b>10th</b> 14:3,9 16:2	<b>64106</b> 4:8	<b>appearance</b> 3:9	<b>button</b> 15:14
<b>1200</b> 4:7	<b>650</b> 4:14	<b>appearing</b> 3:21 4:3,8	<b>C</b>
<b>13th</b> 7:11,15,16 16:6	<b>65101</b> 3:19 4:2,19	<b>application</b> 5:4,23 9:9 14:2 17:4	<b>calendar</b> 5:24 14:23 17:10 18:8
<b>18th</b> 5:23 14:24	<b>65102</b> 4:15	<b>approach</b> 5:5	<b>call</b> 11:7
<b>1st</b> 16:24	<b>7</b>	<b>assign</b> 6:13	<b>care</b> 6:17,25 15:22 19:22
<b>2</b>	<b>7th</b> 18:10	<b>assigned</b> 3:7	<b>case</b> 11:22 17:18
<b>2.090</b> 11:2	<b>9</b>	<b>Associates</b> 3:25	<b>cases</b> 17:1
<b>200</b> 4:14,17	<b>99</b> 6:15	<b>assuming</b> 12:7	<b>Cave</b> 3:20
<b>200s</b> 6:16	<b>A</b>	<b>attempt</b> 8:8	<b>Charles</b> 3:6
<b>201</b> 3:18	<b>accelerated</b> 16:25	<b>attendance</b> 4:9	<b>City</b> 3:19 4:2,7,15,18
<b>2021</b> 3:3	<b>acceptable</b> 18:16	<b>audience</b> 7:16	<b>clarification</b> 13:4
<b>2022</b> 14:24	<b>accepting</b> 5:12	<b>aware</b> 4:21 9:6,12 14:5	<b>clear</b> 14:4 15:1
<b>2230</b> 4:14	<b>accommodate</b> 12:20	<b>B</b>	<b>Clizer</b> 4:11,12 7:18, 19,20 8:16,21 9:11,15, 19 10:10,17,22 11:5 12:11,21,23 13:8 15:3, 11,17 17:21 18:21 19:8
<b>23rd</b> 3:3 18:9	<b>account</b> 18:13	<b>back</b> 7:1 14:21 15:23	<b>Clizer's</b> 18:13
<b>2nd</b> 19:15,20	<b>accurate</b> 13:6,9	<b>behalf</b> 3:21 4:3	<b>close</b> 17:2
<b>3</b>	<b>achieve</b> 8:9 15:15	<b>Bell</b> 3:23,24,25 11:8,9 13:19,20,21 16:17,22 17:24 18:19 19:6	<b>Commission</b> 3:4 6:6, 8 8:23 13:11 14:18 18:4
<b>300</b> 4:1	<b>achieving</b> 7:24	<b>Bell's</b> 15:24 16:12	<b>Commission's</b> 5:24 9:7
<b>300s</b> 6:16	<b>additional</b> 17:7	<b>bit</b> 13:14	<b>commissioners</b> 13:11,12
<b>308</b> 4:1	<b>address</b> 3:18 4:1 8:14 9:12 15:9	<b>Bolivar</b> 3:18	<b>committing</b> 10:20
<b>30th</b> 14:1 19:9,11	<b>admitted</b> 11:21	<b>book</b> 16:10	<b>complete</b> 8:25
<b>3rd</b> 18:16,20 19:9	<b>advance</b> 6:10 16:20	<b>Box</b> 4:14	<b>concern</b> 9:10 10:2,21 15:24 16:12,23 17:18
<b>4</b>	<b>agree</b> 10:4 17:23	<b>Brad</b> 4:9	
<b>400s</b> 6:17	<b>agreed</b> 16:9	<b>Bretz</b> 4:16,17 6:23 7:12 9:13 12:2,3,9 13:18 14:11 16:1,6,9, 16 18:17 19:12,16	

<p><b>concerns</b> 8:15 19:23, 24</p> <p><b>conference</b> 3:5 7:6 8:1 11:4 14:13</p> <p><b>conferences</b> 5:7</p> <p><b>conjunction</b> 10:16</p> <p><b>consideration</b> 15:18</p> <p><b>continue</b> 5:18</p> <p><b>correct</b> 16:15,21</p> <p><b>counsel</b> 3:8 4:11,13 6:16 15:6,10</p> <p><b>couple</b> 5:7 7:21</p> <p><b>court</b> 3:13,15 5:8 7:7 11:23 19:4</p> <p><b>covers</b> 8:10</p> <p><b>current</b> 7:22 8:2</p> <p><b>cut</b> 9:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>date</b> 7:11 8:2 10:8 13:6 14:2,10,24 16:24 17:2,9 18:12 19:18</p> <p><b>dates</b> 14:15 17:8</p> <p><b>day</b> 7:2,4 9:22,23</p> <p><b>days</b> 10:20,24 13:17 14:1 17:13</p> <p><b>deadline</b> 17:13</p> <p><b>deadlines</b> 14:4 17:11</p> <p><b>December</b> 7:11,15,16 14:3,9 16:2 18:10,16, 20 19:9,20</p> <p><b>decide</b> 17:8</p> <p><b>desires</b> 18:11 19:9</p> <p><b>determine</b> 5:1</p> <p><b>direct</b> 8:4 10:8</p> <p><b>disagreed</b> 9:24</p> <p><b>disconnect</b> 19:1</p>	<p><b>disconnected</b> 18:20</p> <p><b>discovery</b> 8:1,24 9:20 10:7 14:19</p> <p><b>discuss</b> 7:5</p> <p><b>discussed</b> 10:14</p> <p><b>discussing</b> 13:23</p> <p><b>discussion</b> 9:1,4 17:24</p> <p><b>discussions</b> 5:16 14:12</p> <p><b>distance</b> 14:15</p> <p><b>DRS</b> 12:4</p> <p><b>due</b> 8:5 14:8 16:5,14, 21 18:10 19:17,19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>E</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>early</b> 12:20</p> <p><b>East</b> 4:1</p> <p><b>effect</b> 9:25</p> <p><b>EFIS</b> 15:7</p> <p><b>Eighth</b> 4:18</p> <p><b>elements</b> 7:24</p> <p><b>Ellinger</b> 3:25</p> <p><b>email</b> 6:2 14:25 15:5</p> <p><b>end</b> 4:21 7:6 15:12</p> <p><b>endeavoring</b> 10:17</p> <p><b>entry</b> 3:9</p> <p><b>EO-2022-0061</b> 3:5</p> <p><b>Every</b> 4:4,8 6:14 7:23 9:9,21 12:25</p> <p><b>excellent</b> 5:19 18:22</p> <p><b>excuse</b> 14:22</p> <p><b>exhibits</b> 6:12</p> <p><b>explain</b> 15:13</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>F</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>facts</b> 6:9</p> <p><b>fairly</b> 7:23,25 14:8</p> <p><b>fall</b> 8:3</p> <p><b>familiar</b> 7:5</p> <p><b>feel</b> 8:8 17:15 18:1</p> <p><b>file</b> 3:5,7 13:25 15:10 17:6,16,17</p> <p><b>files</b> 9:13</p> <p><b>filing</b> 4:21 5:12 6:7 13:5</p> <p><b>finds</b> 14:18</p> <p><b>fine</b> 12:3</p> <p><b>firm</b> 3:20,25</p> <p><b>five-business</b> 9:22</p> <p><b>five-day</b> 12:5,7 14:19</p> <p><b>floated</b> 9:21</p> <p><b>floor</b> 4:18</p> <p><b>follow</b> 9:5</p> <p><b>forthcoming</b> 18:14</p> <p><b>forward</b> 5:1</p> <p><b>frame</b> 12:15</p> <p><b>Friday</b> 16:2,3,6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>G</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>gave</b> 15:21</p> <p><b>generally</b> 7:6</p> <p><b>give</b> 6:14,15 9:25 18:9</p> <p><b>good</b> 4:6 7:12 18:25</p> <p><b>Google</b> 3:21 6:17 11:4</p> <p><b>Governor</b> 4:13</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>H</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>hair</b> 5:20</p> <p><b>happen</b> 3:10</p> <p><b>Hatcher</b> 3:2,6,14,22 4:4,10,16,20 5:9,14,19 6:2,21,24 7:15,19 8:16 9:2,17 10:10,12 11:1, 11,14,19,24 12:2,10, 13,21 13:7,9,20 14:5, 16 15:16,19 16:3,7,11, 17 17:20 18:7,19,22, 25 19:5,11,15,17</p> <p><b>hear</b> 3:13 18:21,23</p> <p><b>heard</b> 13:24</p> <p><b>hearing</b> 5:23 6:9 9:7 13:5,13 14:24 16:18 17:9</p> <p><b>helpful</b> 10:5</p> <p><b>hesitate</b> 5:2</p> <p><b>High</b> 4:1</p> <p><b>hitting</b> 15:13</p> <p><b>Honor</b> 3:24 5:6 7:18 11:9 12:11 13:19 14:11 15:11 16:22 17:21 19:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>I</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>idea</b> 9:21</p> <p><b>important</b> 17:11</p> <p><b>included</b> 17:5</p> <p><b>individual</b> 15:6</p> <p><b>indulge</b> 8:17</p> <p><b>initial</b> 13:1</p> <p><b>input</b> 18:1</p> <p><b>interest</b> 16:23</p> <p><b>intervene</b> 18:4</p> <p><b>intervenor</b> 4:23 11:15</p>
---	---	---	--

<b>intervenors</b> 4:22,23 6:22	<b>lead</b> 13:14,17	<b>move</b> 14:18 17:18 18:12 19:17	<hr/> <b>P</b> <hr/>
<b>invitations</b> 15:8	<b>leave</b> 7:8 9:5 19:23	<b>moving</b> 8:10 9:7	<b>P.O.</b> 4:14
<b>issuance</b> 8:22	<b>Leighton</b> 3:20	<b>mute</b> 19:6	<b>pace</b> 7:24,25
<b>issue</b> 8:24 14:8 15:3, 20 16:18	<b>Lewis</b> 3:17	<hr/> <b>N</b> <hr/>	<b>Paisner</b> 3:20
<b>issues</b> 8:18	<b>limit</b> 14:19,20	<b>necessarily</b> 8:13 9:23	<b>part</b> 6:4
<b>issuing</b> 10:6	<b>list</b> 3:10 6:10,11,12 7:9	<b>needed</b> 9:3 11:7 13:4	<b>participation</b> 7:7 8:18 9:3
<hr/> <b>J</b> <hr/>	<b>lists</b> 6:9	<b>negotiating</b> 18:6	<b>parties</b> 3:9 5:4 6:3 7:5 8:20 9:6,7 10:4 12:19 13:22 14:3 16:12,20 18:12
<b>January</b> 5:23 14:24	<b>LLC</b> 4:3	<b>note</b> 7:2 13:17	<b>parties'</b> 5:13
<b>Jefferson</b> 3:19 4:2, 15,18	<b>long</b> 10:15 19:13	<b>Noted</b> 17:20	<b>past</b> 17:1
<b>John</b> 4:12	<b>looked</b> 5:24	<b>notes</b> 13:17	<b>path</b> 5:1 6:12
<b>joint</b> 6:6,8 9:13 15:24 17:15 18:17 19:19	<b>lot</b> 8:7	<b>notices</b> 15:9	<b>people</b> 10:5
<b>judge</b> 3:2,6,12,14,22 4:4,10,16,20 5:9,14, 19,21 6:2,21,24 7:7, 15,19 8:12,16 9:2,17 10:10,11,12 11:1,11, 14,19,24 12:2,10,13, 21 13:7,9,20 14:5,16 15:16,19 16:3,7,11,17 17:20 18:7,19,21,22, 24,25 19:5,11,15,17	<b>Lutz</b> 4:9	<b>notifications</b> 15:4	<b>perfect</b> 15:19,21
<b>jump</b> 7:20	<hr/> <b>M</b> <hr/>	<b>November</b> 3:3 18:9	<b>period</b> 10:8 13:22 16:15
<hr/> <b>K</b> <hr/>	<b>Madison</b> 4:14,18	<hr/> <b>O</b> <hr/>	<b>place</b> 5:25 10:6
<b>Kansas</b> 4:7	<b>Main</b> 4:7	<b>object</b> 9:8,23 10:15, 24	<b>point</b> 5:2 13:3 14:14 15:5 18:6,13
<b>Karen</b> 4:17	<b>make</b> 3:9 13:3 15:8	<b>objection</b> 11:10,12 19:10	<b>points</b> 7:21
<b>keeping</b> 16:23	<b>making</b> 17:10	<b>objections</b> 10:1 14:20 18:19	<b>pop</b> 17:22
<b>key</b> 7:24	<b>March</b> 16:24	<b>office</b> 4:11,12,13 6:15 14:21	<b>position</b> 6:11 13:5,10
<b>knowing</b> 16:20	<b>matters</b> 11:3	<b>offline</b> 8:12	<b>potentially</b> 8:25
<hr/> <b>L</b> <hr/>	<b>MECG</b> 6:20,22 11:4, 14,21	<b>OPC</b> 9:20 12:24 15:4, 6 17:22	<b>prefer</b> 6:6
<b>laid</b> 7:23	<b>meeting</b> 4:25	<b>OPC's</b> 11:10 12:16 13:2 14:18	<b>prehearing</b> 3:4
<b>law</b> 3:6,19,25	<b>Mills</b> 3:9,12,15,17,18 11:11,12	<b>open</b> 5:24 6:1 16:10	<b>prepare</b> 13:13
	<b>minutes</b> 6:3	<b>openings</b> 6:11	<b>pretty</b> 14:13
	<b>mishear</b> 13:8	<b>opportunity</b> 15:20	<b>problem</b> 15:8,12
	<b>Missouri</b> 3:19 4:2,8, 12,15,19	<b>optimistic</b> 14:14	<b>procedural</b> 5:1,22 6:4 7:1,6,10,17,22 8:2, 23 9:1,14 11:3 12:16, 17,24,25 13:23 14:6, 12 16:7,10,13,19 17:3, 5,15,16,25 18:6,17 19:18
	<b>modification</b> 12:25	<b>order</b> 6:11 8:24 9:25 11:5 12:20 14:21 16:24 17:1,14	
	<b>Monday</b> 7:14		
	<b>month</b> 17:6		
	<b>months</b> 15:21		
	<b>morning</b> 4:6 14:25		
	<b>motion</b> 14:18		

<b>PROCEEDINGS</b> 3:1	<b>repeat</b> 3:15 16:16	<b>short</b> 10:18 16:15 19:12	<b>stipulation</b> 6:8
<b>produce</b> 6:4 13:24 18:10	<b>reply</b> 15:14	<b>shorten</b> 18:12	<b>Street</b> 3:18 4:1,7,14, 18
<b>proposal</b> 15:25	<b>report</b> 7:11,16 8:3 13:25 16:24 17:14,17 18:18 19:18,21	<b>shortened</b> 8:9 12:15, 16 13:15,21	<b>submitted</b> 12:4
<b>propose</b> 10:16 16:1 17:12	<b>reporter</b> 3:13,16 5:8 7:8 11:23 19:4	<b>shortening</b> 9:20 12:24	<b>sudden</b> 16:13
<b>proposed</b> 5:22 7:22 9:10,13,20 12:24 14:2 15:24 16:18,24 17:2,5 19:18	<b>request</b> 10:2 11:10 13:2,24	<b>shortly</b> 18:14,15	<b>suggested</b> 19:8
<b>Public</b> 4:11,13 6:16	<b>requests</b> 4:24 6:8	<b>similar</b> 5:15 17:1	<b>Suite</b> 4:1,14
<b>purpose</b> 4:25	<b>reserved</b> 7:4	<b>simply</b> 3:10	<b>summary</b> 13:12
<b>put</b> 9:9 13:1 14:20	<b>response</b> 9:21,22 10:1	<b>solution</b> 9:18	<b>supposed</b> 8:4
<hr/>	<b>responsibility</b> 6:6 14:23	<b>solve</b> 15:8	<hr/>
<b>Q</b>	<b>responsible</b> 7:10	<b>sooner</b> 8:7	<b>T</b>
<hr/>	<b>rethink</b> 13:16	<b>speak</b> 6:18 7:1	<hr/>
<b>qualifies</b> 13:2	<b>Roger</b> 4:6 10:13 11:25 12:14	<b>SPEAKER</b> 19:2	<b>table</b> 10:3
<b>question</b> 9:3 19:3	<b>room</b> 7:4 9:5 19:23	<b>speaking</b> 5:8 11:23 19:4	<b>takes</b> 6:24 19:22
<b>questions</b> 5:13 8:11	<b>rule</b> 10:6 11:2	<b>specifically</b> 8:22	<b>taking</b> 18:13
<b>quick</b> 7:24,25 8:15 15:3	<b>rules</b> 8:24	<b>spring</b> 10:5	<b>talk</b> 11:6,7
<b>quickly</b> 14:9 17:19 18:2	<hr/>	<b>staff</b> 4:16,17 6:5,15 7:9,17 11:4 13:17,24 15:25 17:15 19:19	<b>talked</b> 5:5
<hr/>	<b>S</b>	<b>stage</b> 5:11	<b>talking</b> 14:6
<b>R</b>	<b>schedule</b> 5:1,17,22 6:4 7:2,10,17,22 8:1,2, 9,23 9:1,10,14 10:16, 18 12:16,17,25 13:16, 23,25 14:6 15:23,25 16:8,10,13,19,25 17:3, 5,15,16,25 18:6,10,18 19:19	<b>standard</b> 6:5	<b>Tech</b> 3:23 4:3 6:16
<hr/>	<b>segue</b> 15:21	<b>staring</b> 9:8	<b>technical</b> 5:7
<b>raising</b> 8:19	<b>service</b> 15:4,5,9	<b>start</b> 10:6	<b>technologically</b> 15:14
<b>ran</b> 15:3	<b>Services</b> 4:3	<b>statements</b> 6:11 13:5,10	<b>Technology</b> 3:23
<b>reached</b> 18:3	<b>set</b> 3:4 7:8 10:8	<b>status</b> 7:10,16 8:3 13:25 17:14,17 18:18 19:18,21	<b>ten-business</b> 7:2
<b>real</b> 15:2	<b>setting</b> 17:12	<b>Steiner</b> 4:5,6,7 5:6,9, 10,15,21 6:19 10:11, 13,19,23 11:17,20,24, 25 12:1,4,7,14 18:23	<b>testimony</b> 10:9 14:8, 10 16:4,14,21
<b>rebuttal</b> 10:8 14:2,8, 10 16:4,14,21	<b>settlement</b> 5:3,11	<b>step</b> 11:2	<b>that'll</b> 9:5
<b>received</b> 4:22	<b>shared</b> 16:12	<b>Stephanie</b> 3:24 19:2	<b>thing</b> 15:2 18:20 19:1
<b>record</b> 3:3 8:14 12:23		<b>stick</b> 17:2	<b>things</b> 8:10 12:17 19:24
<b>regard</b> 8:22			<b>thinking</b> 16:4
<b>registering</b> 15:7			<b>thought</b> 11:15
<b>regulatory</b> 3:6			<b>three-business</b> 9:22
<b>reiterate</b> 19:7			<b>three-day</b> 14:19
<b>remain</b> 14:10			<b>time</b> 3:4 8:4 9:21 10:7, 25 11:6 12:15 13:14, 15,17,21 14:19 16:15, 20 18:5 19:12

<b>timeliness</b> 8:19	<b>weekday</b> 7:13	
<b>timetable</b> 10:1	<b>weekend</b> 19:13	
<b>timing</b> 16:18	<b>weeks</b> 17:8 18:9	
<b>to-do</b> 7:9	<b>West</b> 4:9	
<b>today</b> 3:3 4:9 5:18 11:18 14:21 16:14 18:8	<b>whichever</b> 15:10	
<b>tomorrow</b> 16:14	<b>whomever</b> 11:6	
<b>touches</b> 8:10	<b>witnesses</b> 6:10	
<b>transcript</b> 7:2	<b>Woodsmall</b> 11:14	
<b>truncated</b> 7:25	<b>work</b> 12:5	
<b>truncating</b> 8:24	<b>working</b> 12:19 13:15 15:10	
<b>truncation</b> 18:11	<b>write</b> 13:12	
<b>Tuesday</b> 19:11	<b>written</b> 14:20	
<b>turnaround</b> 7:3 12:6, 8	<hr/> <b>Y</b> <hr/>	
<b>typically</b> 18:9	<b>yesterday</b> 4:21 10:14 12:4	
<hr/> <b>U</b> <hr/>		
<b>understand</b> 7:9 9:2, 10 13:14 17:7		
<b>understands</b> 6:7		
<b>understood</b> 18:7		
<b>UNIDENTIFIED</b> 19:2		
<b>unreasonably</b> 16:15		
<b>upstairs</b> 6:3		
<hr/> <b>V</b> <hr/>		
<b>Velvet</b> 3:23 4:3 6:16 11:4,7		
<b>Velvet's</b> 12:20		
<b>verbal</b> 9:25		
<hr/> <b>W</b> <hr/>		
<b>wanted</b> 13:3 15:5,13		
<b>week</b> 6:10 13:4 17:17		