# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for Approval of June-November 2007 Accumulation Period True-up Amounts of the Commission- Approved Fuel Adjustment Clause of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company.	) ) ) )	<u>Case No. EO-2009-0431</u>
In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for Authority to Implement Rate Adjustments Required by 4 CSR240- 20.090(4) and the Company's Approved Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Mechanism.	) ) ) )	Case No EO-2010-0002

# **MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE**

Comes now the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, by counsel, and for its motion to consolidate, states:

1. At issue in above-captioned Case No. EO-2009-0431 are Staff adjustments to KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's (GMO) fuel adjustment clause (FAC) true-up proposal that it has *under-recovered* during its FAC recovery period of March 2007 through February 2008 by \$1,136,160 from its customers in its MPS area and by \$188,893 from its customers in its L&P area. In Staff's Recommendation filed in Case No. EO-2009-0431 on June 25, 2009, the Staff asserts, instead, GMO has *over-recovered* during that recovery period by \$2,963,976 from its customers in its MPS area and by \$1,015,531 from its customers in its L&P area. The Staff adjustments from GMO's proposal to Staff's recommendation are shown in the table following:

### Accumulation Period: June 1, 2007 through November 30, 2007 Recovery Period: March 1, 2008 through February 28, 2009

	GMO Proposed	Staff Adjustment	Staff Recommendation
MPS True-up Amount	\$1,136,160	(\$4,100,136)	(\$2,963,976)
L&P True-up Amount	\$188,893	(\$1,204,424)	(\$1,015,531)

2. In above-captioned Case No. EO-2010-0002 GMO filed tariff sheets that, as well as being designed to recover other amounts, are designed to implement recovery of the amounts GMO asserts it under-recovered in its FAC true-up proposal made in Case No. EO-2009-0431. *See GMO witness Rush Direct Testimony prefiled in Case No. EO-2010-0002 at page 6, lines 11-16.* 

3. Because of the commonality of the interest and Off-System Sales margin issues in Case No. EO-2010-0002 and EO-2009-0431, the Staff proposes the two cases be consolidated for purposes of those issues.

WHEREFORE, the Staff moves the Commission to issue an order that consolidates Case No. EO-2009-0431 with Case No. EO-2010-0002 for purposes of hearing the issues common between those cases.

Respectfully submitted,

#### <u>/s/ Nathan Williams</u>\_\_\_\_\_

Nathan Williams Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 35512

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8702 (Telephone) (573) 751-9285 (Fax) nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 15<sup>th</sup> day of July 2009.

/s/ Nathan Williams\_

Nathan Williams