Exhibit No.:

Issue: The Empire District Electric

Company-

Company Business Strategy

Witness:

Myron W. McKinney

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party:

The Empire District Electric

Company

Case No.:

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Direct Testimony

of

Myron W. McKinney

December 1999

Exhibit No. ____ Date 9-11-00 Case No. <u>Em-2000</u>-369 Reporter KF

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MYRON W. MCKINNEY ON BEHALF OF THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO.

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. Myron W. McKinney, 602 Joplin Street, Joplin, Missouri, 64802.
- 3 Q. Who is your employer and what position do you hold?
- 4 A. The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire") is my employer. I am President and
- 5 Chief Executive Officer. I also serve on Empire's Board of Directors.
- 6 Q. Please summarize your educational background.
- 7 A. I was graduated from Southwest Missouri State University in Springfield, Missouri, in
- 8 1967 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration.
- 9 Q. Please describe your professional experience.
- 10 A. I joined Empire as a Customer Service Consultant immediately following graduation
- from Southwest Missouri State in 1967. My employment with Empire has been
- continuous since then. From 1967 until 1982, I held various positions in Empire's
- customer service area. In 1982 I was elected Vice President of Customer Services. In that
- position I was responsible for all customer service activities, industrial and technical
- services, public information and advertising, legislative affairs, and regulatory contacts.
- In 1994 I assumed the position of Executive Vice President. In that position I had
- 17 responsibility for customer services, production, and transmission and distribution. In
- October 1995 I was appointed Executive Vice President Commercial Operations. In that
- position I was responsible for all activities directly affecting customers, including

- customer service, line services, and engineering. On April 1, 1997, I was elected to my current position.
- 3 Q. Have you previously presented testimony before this or any other regulatory body?
- 4 A. Yes, I have presented testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission
 5 ("Commission") on a number of occasions. I have also presented testimony before the
 6 Arkansas Public Service Commission, the Kansas Corporation Commission, the
- 7 Oklahoma Corporation Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- 8 ("FERC").
- 9 Q. Please describe Empire.
- 10 A. Empire is a Kansas corporation with its principal office and place of business at 602

 11 Joplin Street, Joplin, Missouri 64801. Empire is engaged in the business of providing

 12 electrical and water utility services in Missouri to customers in its service areas and has a

 13 certificate of service authority issued by the Commission to provide certain

 14 telecommunications services.
- 15 Q. Will you please describe the area served by empire and give a brief description of the customers served?
- 17 A. Empire provides electric service in an area of approximately 10,000 square miles in the
 18 southwest corner of Missouri and reaches into adjacent corners of the states of Kansas,
 19 Oklahoma, and Arkansas. Empire's operations are regulated by the utility regulatory
 20 commissions of these four states as well as the FERC. The area embraces 120
 21 incorporated communities in 23 counties in the four-state area. In Missouri, Empire's
 22 territory ranges from the Kansas-Missouri state line on the west to a north-south
 23 extension of the east boundary of Greene County on the east, and from the Arkansas-

Missouri state line on the south to a western extension of the north boundary of Hickory 1 2 County on the north. While tourism plays an important role in the economic growth of the region, the area 3 economy is well balanced with small to medium manufacturing operations, medical, 4 agricultural, and retail interests all contributing to the economic expansion of Southwest 5 Missouri. 6 At the end of 1998, Empire served 119,265 residential customers, 21,774 commercial 7 customers, 354 industrial customers, 1,739 public authority customers, and 7 wholesale 8 customers throughout our system. Most of the communities in the service area are small, 9 with only 19 being in excess of 1,500 population. Only 5 communities have a population 10 in excess of 5,000, and the largest city is Joplin, Missouri, with a population of 11 approximately 40,000. 12 In Missouri, at the end of 1998, Empire served 104,431 residential customers, 19,081 13 commercial customers, 278 industrial customers, 1,423 public authority customers, and 3 14 wholesale customers. In addition to electric service, Empire also provides regulated water 15 16 service to approximately 4,500 customers in the Missouri communities of Aurora, Marionville, and Verona. 17 Please describe Empire telecommunication operations. 18 Q. A. Empire began installing fiber optics in 1996 for internal purposes; but, due to the 19 economics, the cables contained excess capacity beyond that which Empire had plans to 20 use. Some of this excess capacity has since been leased to customers such as cellular 21 phone companies, long distance carriers, hospitals, schools, and manufacturing. This 22 23 capacity is either a number of dedicated dark fibers or an amount of bandwidth on the

- SONET (Synchronous Optical Network) network. In January of 1998 Empire became certified (Case No. TA-98-233) as an intrastate interexchange and local exchange telecommunications company.
- 4 Q. Would you please provide the background for the merger transaction?
- A. In recent years, Empire has carefully followed developments in the electric industry that
 have resulted in increased competition in the markets for electricity. We have continually
 reviewed prospects for effective competition in light of these developments; particularly,
 the pressures on small and medium sized utilities to compete as effectively as larger
 utilities. As a result, Empire began to develop strategic alternatives including possible
 business combinations. As other transactions were announced in the region it became
 apparent that it would be advantageous for Empire to combine with a larger company.
- 12 Q. What are some of the reasons for the merger?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A.

- We believe the merger will provide opportunities for our customers, employees, and shareholders to achieve benefits that would not be available if Empire were to remain an independent company and that the merger will result in a combined company that will be well positioned to succeed in the increasingly competitive energy marketplace. Specifically, the combined enterprise can more effectively participate in the increasingly competitive market for the generation of power. Through the elimination of duplicate activities there will be reductions in operating and maintenance expenses. The inherent increase in scale and market diversification will provide increased financial stability and strength, which could not be achieved without the combination of the companies.
- 22 Q. Please describe the activities which led to the merger.

1	A.	Over the last two years Empire has engaged in general conversations with UtiliCorp
2		regarding activities that might be mutually beneficial. One outgrowth of these
3		conversations was the signing of an agreement in June of 1998 for Empire to market
4		natural gas in its service area for UtiliCorp's subsidiary, Aquila Energy.
5		Subsequent discussions to assess areas of common interest led to a meeting in the fall of
6		1998 where the possibility of a business combination was discussed.
7		Subsequent meetings between the companies, legal advisors, and Empire's financial
8		advisors over the next few months resulted in a meeting in Kansas City, Missouri, where
9		UtiliCorp presented its views on the business rationale for a combination of the two
10		companies and its views on the valuation of Empire, accounting and tax treatments, forms
11		of consideration, social issues, and advantages for both organizations.
12		Further meetings over the next several weeks resulted in the drafting of a proposed
13		merger agreement that was received by Empire around March 15, 1999. Empire's Board
14		of Directors was briefed periodically regarding the progress of the negotiations. The
15		negotiation of the final agreement was completed in early May. On May 7 Empire's
16		Board of Directors met to consider the merger offer.
17		Following a comprehensive discussion, along with presentations by Cahill Gordon
18		(Empire's legal advisors) and Salomon Smith Barney (Empire's financial advisors), the
19		Board agreed to adjourn until Monday, May 10.
20		At the meeting on May 10 the Board received a Fairness Opinion from Salomon Smith
21		Barney and a recommendation from Senior Management to accept the merger agreement.
22		The Board then unanimously voted to accept the merger offer. Following the meeting the
23		agreement was executed and the merger was publicly announced on May 11, 1999

- 1 Q. Please provide an overview of the fairness opinion which was obtained from
- 2 Salomon Smith Barney.
- 3 A. The fairness opinion simply states that Salomon Smith Barney, as Empire's financial
- advisor, has examined the merger agreement along with other available information
- 5 provided by the companies, both in publicly available reports and in face-to-face
- 6 meetings with Senior Managers from both firms, and that from a financial point of view
- 7 the transaction is fair to the Empire common stockholders.
- 8 Salomon Smith Barney subsequently confirmed its May 10 opinion in writing for
- 9 preparation of the proxy Statement that was furnished to Empire shareholders.
- 10 Q. Please characterize UtiliCorp as a merger partner.
- 11 A. UtiliCorp is the right merger partner.
- 12 Q. Why?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. It has been Empire's opinion that for a utility merger to be truly beneficial certain consistent core values must exist in the merger partner. Certainly, financial parameters must be achieved in the merger for the company giving up control, but if the resulting entity is not committed to the core values of providing outstanding customer service, supporting the communities it serves by participating in economic development and other community betterment programs, and providing enhanced opportunities to employees, the long-term effects of the merger will not be maximized. We believe that UtiliCorp has exhibited these core values and that with the addition of St. Joseph Light & Power Company, a unique opportunity has presented itself to form an outstanding utility from three Missouri companies who share a common vision of how utility customers would like to be served. These factors coupled with UtiliCorp experience in overseas markets

where unbundling and deregulation have already commenced and their obvious expertise
in the unregulated energy market leads us to the conclusion that UtiliCorp is the right
merger partner for all concerned.

4 Q. Please describe the shareholder vote.

Α.

- A. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote that may be voted for or against the merger agreement as presented. Since Empire is registered as a Kansas Corporation a simple majority of the outstanding shares of common stock must vote in favor of the merger for it to be approved. Each stockholder was mailed a proxy containing detailed information about the merger agreement on August 2. The proxy announced a Meeting of the Shareholders on September 3 for holders as of July 30, 1999. At that meeting 76.3% of the shares of Empire's common stock voted in favor of the merger agreement, of the shares voting 93.5% were in favor. This vote indicates that the shareholders were satisfied that the merger agreement is fair from their point of view and that the resulting combination of the companies will continue as a quality utility company.
- 15 Q. What will the Empire shareholder receive for his/her stock?
 - In exchange for each share of Empire common stock, Empire shareholders will have the option to receive either \$29.50 in cash or shares of UtiliCorp common stock with an average trading price of \$29.50. The amount of cash or value of stock received by Empire stockholders will increase or decrease if the average trading price of UtiliCorp common stock is above \$26.00 or below \$22.00 prior to the effective time of the merger. Additionally, no more than 50% of the shares of Empire common stock can be converted into cash and the total number of shares of UtiliCorp common stock issued to Empire stockholders is limited to 19.9% of the outstanding shares of UtiliCorp common stock.

Therefore, if too many Empire stockholders elect to receive cash or if too many Empire stockholders are to receive stock and the limitations are exceeded the amount of cash or the number of shares of stock actually received by each Empire stockholder may differ from the consideration elected.

- 5 Q. Please discuss the impact on employees.
- A. Empire has a dedicated and skilled workforce for which it has provided enhanced training
 over the last few years to assist each employee in maximizing their skills. While the
 transition teams have not concluded their activities which will lead to the merger of the
 workforces. Needless to say, certain duplicative activities will be combined which will
 lead to the reduction of positions. However, the other business units of UtiliCorp will
 provide expanded opportunities for employees who are able and willing to take advantage
 of them.
- 13 Q. Please discuss the impact on customers.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

As Empire management has attempted to plan for the future the welfare of its customers has been a prime concern. Empire customers have enjoyed rates that are among the lowest in the state and fully 30% below the national average and Empire prides itself on its ability to provide first rate service. However, as energy markets become more volatile and the industry becomes increasingly competitive, it will be difficult for companies of Empire's size to continue to provide service and rates at these existing levels. We believe the customers will be better served by the merging of the three companies due to the economics of scale which can only be achieved by larger organizations, by the ability to spread risk over a larger organization, and through the elimination of duplicative functions and facilities. As I have stated previously, this is a unique opportunity to

Direct Testimony: Myron W. McKinney

combine three Missouri companies into one utility that will definitely serve the best interests of all constituencies, including the customer. The interests of all concerned will be best served by the Commission assisting in the culmination of this merger agreement. If this agreement fails to be completed the eventual result will likely be the sale of the smaller utilities to out of state or even foreign interests, and a genuine opportunity to create a strong, vibrant utility will be lost to the State of Missouri and its utility customers.

- 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 9 A. Yes it does.