

Exhibit Number:

Issue(s) :

Witness:

Sponsoring Party:

Case Numbers:

Depreciation of Plant

Guy Gilbert, P.E.

MoPSC Staff

EO-97-144 & EC-97-362

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION Division

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE

CASE NOS. EO-97-144

AND EC-97-362

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GUY GILBERT, P.E.

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI
MARCH 1997

FILED
MAR 28 1997
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 DIRECT TESTIMONY

2 OF

3 GUY C. GILBERT, P.E.

4 UtiliCorp United

5 d/b/a Missouri Public Service

6 CASE NOS. EO-97-144

7 and EC-97-362

8
9 Q. Please state your name and business address.

10 A. Guy C. Gilbert, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

11 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

12 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) as
13 an engineer in the Depreciation Department.

14 Q. What are your duties as an engineer in the Depreciation Department?

15 A. I have the responsibility of performing studies regarding depreciation and of
16 reviewing plant property records, utility property sales and other similar issues that may come before
17 the Commission.

18 Q. Would you please state briefly your qualifications, educational background and
19 experience.

20 A. I have received degrees in Economics and Engineering from the University of
21 Missouri and have completed 61 credit hours of additional studies. I was a National Science
22 Foundation Research Grant participant (NSF GY 9841) and a student research assistant at Cloud

Direct Testimony of
Guy C. Gilbert

1 Physics Space Sciences Research Center. After graduation, I was employed by General Dynamics'
2 Freeman United Coal Mining Company as Assistant to the Superintendent, at Crown Complex. I
3 have received certifications and qualifications from the United States Department of Labor in Noise
4 Level Testing, Dust Sampling, Dust Sampling Equipment Calibration, Electricity Low/Medium/High
5 Voltage, Dam and Refuse Impoundment Inspector and Dam, and Refuse Impoundment Inspection
6 Instructor. I have received certifications and qualifications from the State of Illinois as Mine
7 Manager, No. 6634; Mine Examiner, No. 10324; Electrical Hoisting Engineer, No. 2427; First Class
8 Miner Certification, No. 17608; Sewage Treatment Plant Operator, Class K; Industrial Wastewater
9 Treatment Works Operator, Class K; State of Illinois Mine Rescue Team, Springfield Station, No.
10 2; and Certified Benchman for Mine Rescue Equipment, Emergency Medical Technician-Ambulance.
11 I am a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Missouri.

12 In 1988, I was employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission. My duties consisted
13 of preparing studies of publicly held utilities operating within the State of Illinois. In this position
14 I have successfully completed training in Management Analyst Training, Auditing in
15 Telecommunications and Introduction to EDP Auditing.

16 In 1991, I accepted a position with the Illinois Department of Energy and Natural
17 Resources, Office of Coal Development and Marketing. While in this position, I worked with
18 regulatory agencies, the United States Department of Energy, and trade personnel, both nationally
19 and internationally. In this position I provided state oversight to the state funded implementation of
20 clean coal technologies.

21 In 1994, I joined the Commission as an Engineer IV - Depreciation. In this position

Direct Testimony of
Guy C. Gilbert

1 I have successfully completed training in Basic Depreciation Concepts, Models Used In Life and
2 Salvage Studies, Forecasting Life and Salvage, and Advanced Topics in Analysis and Forecasting
3 from Depreciation Programs, Incorporated. My duties consist of preparing depreciation studies for
4 regulated electric, gas, water, sewer and telephone utilities.

5 Q. Please state the purpose of your testimony in this case.

6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to make recommendations for Missouri Public
7 Service (MPS or Company) concerning depreciation rates.

8 Q. Has MPS requested or proposed new depreciation rates to apply to the assets
9 of the Company?

10 A. No.

11 Q. When were depreciation rates for MPS last ordered by the Commission?

12 A. Depreciation rates were last revised for MPS by a Stipulation and Agreement
13 signed on March 19, 1993 and approved by the Commission in Case No. ER-93-37.

14 Q. Has Staff conducted a depreciation study of the electric utility property of
15 MPS in this case?

16 A. Yes, Staff performed an average life group - whole life depreciation study.

17 Q. What were the results of the depreciation study?

18 A. The attached Schedule 1 delineates depreciation rates which were previously
19 approved by the Commission in the Company's prior rate case, Case No. ER-93-37, and rates which
20 are recommended as a result of Staff's study of the Company's depreciation database for this
21 proceeding.

Direct Testimony of
Guy C. Gilbert

1 Q. Are there any overriding differences in the methods and assumptions used in
2 your depreciation study from those used in previous studies and resultant depreciation rates
3 recommended by the Staff for this Company?

4 A. Yes. The single largest factor that I have recognized is that interim net salvage
5 has a greater cost of removal component than was previously recognized.

6 Q. Explain how you arrived at your net salvage estimates.

7 A. Net salvage used in determining a depreciation rate comprises two components
8 as follows: (1) gross salvage which is received through sale of scrap material, equipment, parts
9 returned to stock, or other sources and (2) cost of removal required to dismantle, remove or prepare
10 equipment or scrap for sale. Net salvage is gross salvage less cost of removal and most generally is
11 negative for most accounts, i.e., cost of removal is greater than gross salvage.

12 I analyzed past retirements and net salvage dollars recorded on the books of the utility and
13 computed the percentage of salvage or cost of removal by finding the ratio of the salvage or cost of
14 removal dollars to dollars retired and used these percentages in the calculation of depreciation rates
15 to be applied to surviving investment contained on the Company's books.

16 For all of the studied plant accounts, I used five-year rolling band averages of actual salvage
17 and cost of removal experience that was booked to the reserve for depreciation. These salvage
18 amounts were furnished by MPS on computer diskettes. Analysis of these results then yielded the
19 most appropriate salvage rate. The attached Schedule 2 is a comparison of the net salvage percent
20 underlying currently approved depreciation rates and the results of my salvage study.

21 Q. How had Staff previously determined the net salvage percent?

Direct Testimony of
Guy C. Gilbert

1 A. Previously, Staff had interpreted the ratio of net salvage dollars to total plant
2 dollars by account to derive a percentage of net salvage for inclusion in a depreciation rate
3 calculation. This did not reflect a realistic percentage of net salvage. As a result, salvage and
4 removal costs were understated by this calculation since these percentages were not applied to the
5 retired plant amounts.

6 Q. Are there any other differences in the methods and assumptions used in your
7 depreciation study from those used previously by Staff for this Company?

8 A. Yes. For accounts 311 to 316 steam production plant I have increased the
9 depreciable lives by ten years for all steam production plant.

10 Q. How did you determine this increase in life span?

11 A. MPS submitted a depreciation study, data base and property unit catalog in
12 compliance with Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-20.030 (6)(B) [per 9/30/97 Rule revision, correct site
13 is now to section (5)(B)] received in its final form on May 16, 1996. This study indicates the
14 estimated retirement dates for all three units at Sibley Station as 2010 and at Jeffrey as 2013, 2015,
15 2018 for Units 1, 2 and 3 respectively. MPS's Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) filed with the
16 Commission on March 9, 1995 indicates "the Sibley Station was upgraded through a life-extension
17 program to provide reliable and efficient operation through at least the year 2010, or beyond." From
18 a consistency standpoint, it is not at all unlikely that Jeffrey Energy Center will also undergo a plant
19 life extension at some future date. Further, it is not apparent from a review of MPS's IRP that
20 additional power needs reflect replacement power associated with short-term retirement of the Sibley
21 Station. Consequently, I added 10 years to each of the Sibley Station and Jeffery Energy Center

Direct Testimony of
Guy C. Gilbert

1 steam production units.

2 Q. Are there any other overriding differences in the methods and assumptions
3 used in your depreciation study from those used in previous studies and resultant depreciation rates
4 adopted by the Commission for this Company?

5 A. No, other than to note that there have been some minor adjustments made to
6 the average service lives and Iowa curve types as indicated in the attached Schedule 3. I used full
7 experience bands, that is to say I conducted my study using all of the available data, to arrive at the
8 recommended average service lives supporting the depreciation rates.

9 Q. Please state your recommendation regarding the depreciation rates tabulated
10 on Schedule 1.

11 A. It is my recommendation that the Commission include in its final Report and
12 Order, approval of the revised depreciation rates contained in Schedule 1.

13 Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

14 A. Yes, it does.
15

In the matter of the Earnings Review)
of UtiliCorp, Inc. D/b/a/ Missouri) Case No. EO-97-144
Public Service.)

V.

UtiliCorp United Inc.,
d/b/a Missouri Public Service.

AFFIDAVIT OF GUY GILBERT

[illegible]

Guy Gilbert of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing written testimony in question and answer form; consisting of 6 pages and 3 schedules to be presented in this case; that the answers in the foregoing testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Guy Gilbert
Guy Gilbert, P.E.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26th day of
March, 1997.

e me this 26th day of December
Rosemarie Redd
Notary Public

My commission expires

June 1, 1997

Missouri Public Service Company
DEPRECIATION RATES
CASE NO. EC-97-362

Account Number	Description	Currently Ordered Depreciation Rates %	Commission Staff Recommended Depreciation Rates %
<u>STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT</u>			
311.0	Structures & Improvements	3.26	4.08
312.0	Boiler Plant Equipment	3.85	4.39
314.0	Turbogenerator Units	3.78	3.35
315.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	3.75	4.55
316.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	3.13	3.34
<u>OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT</u>			
341.0	Structures & Improvements	5.61	2.17
342.0	Fuel Holders, Producers & Access.	4.59	5.26
343.0	Prime Movers	4.81	3.98
344.0	Generators	5.00	3.13
345.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	4.76	3.53
346.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	4.76	2.93
<u>TRANSMISSION PLANT</u>			
352.0	Structures & Improvements	1.92	2.22
353.0	Station Equipment	2.04	2.45
354.0	Towers & Fixtures	2.17	2.13
355.0	Poles & Fixtures	2.72	3.00
356.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	2.19	2.72
358.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	2.70	2.70
<u>DISTRIBUTION PLANT</u>			
361.0	Structures & Improvements	2.17	2.24
362.0	Station Equipment	2.15	2.76
364.0	Poles, Towers & Fixtures	3.05	4.69
365.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	2.36	3.08
366.0	Underground Conduit	2.53	3.03
367.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	2.68	3.18
368.0	Line Transformers	3.79	4.78
369.1	Overhead Services	2.91	8.34
369.2	Underground Services	4.04	4.37
370.1	Meters	2.35	2.37
370.5	Load Research Meters	2.35	8.85
371.0	Installations on Customers' Premises	7.12	8.12
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Systems	4.68	4.04
<u>GENERAL PLANT</u>			
390.1	Structures & Improvements	2.47	2.21
391.1	Computer Equipment	8.33	10.00
393.0	Stores Equipment	7.69	3.83
394.0	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	5.61	3.15
395.0	Laboratory Equipment	5.00	2.53
397.0	Communication Equipment	9.90	6.94
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	7.62	4.48

Missouri Public Service Company
DEPRECIATION NET SALVAGE RATES
CASE NO. EC-97-362

Account Number	Description	Current Net Salvage Rates %	Commission Staff Recommended Net Salvage Rates %
<u>STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT</u>			
311.0	Structures & Improvements	1	-13
312.0	Boiler Plant Equipment	-4	-29
314.0	Turbogenerator Units	-2	-12
315.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	-5	-23
316.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	0	-1
<u>OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT</u>			
341.0	Structures & Improvements	-1	-13
342.0	Fuel Holders, Producers & Access.	-1	-29
343.0	Prime Movers	-1	-12
344.0	Generators	0	-23
345.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	0	-1
346.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	0	3
<u>TRANSMISSION PLANT</u>			
352.0	Structures & Improvements	0	0
353.0	Station Equipment	2	-15
354.0	Towers & Fixtures	0	0
355.0	Poles & Fixtures	-28	-50
356.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	-18	-44
358.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	0	0
<u>DISTRIBUTION PLANT</u>			
361.0	Structures & Improvements	-2	-5
362.0	Station Equipment	-1	-18
364.0	Poles, Towers & Fixtures	-19	-73
365.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	-18	-53
366.0	Underground Conduit	-1	-21
367.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	-2	-25
368.0	Line Transformers	-6	-31
369.1	Overhead Services	-25	-286
369.2	Underground Services	-1	-1
370.1	Meters	-1	-1
370.5	Load Research Meters	0	0
371.0	Installations on Customers' Premises	-21	-55
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Systems	-17	-36
<u>GENERAL PLANT</u>			
390.1	Structures & Improvements	-11	-11
391.1	Computer Equipment	0	0
393.0	Stores Equipment	0	0
394.0	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	-1	-1
395.0	Laboratory Equipment	0	0
397.0	Communication Equipment	-1	-11
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	-1	0

Missouri Public Service Company
AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE & IOWA CURVE
CASE NO. EC-97-362

Account Number	Description	Average Service Life Years Underlying Current Rates	Commission Staff Recommended Average Service Life Years	Iowa Curve Type Underlying Current Rates	Commission Staff Recommended Iowa Curve Type
<u>STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT</u>					
311.0	Structures & Improvements	31.0	31.0	R1	R1
312.0	Boiler Plant Equipment	27.0	37.9	R2	L2.5
314.0	Turbogenerator Units	27.0	37.7	S6	S4
315.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	28.0	28.4	L2	R3
316.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	32.0	32.0	R1.5	R1.5
<u>OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT</u>					
341.0	Structures & Improvements	18.0	46.6	L0	R4
342.0	Fuel Holders, Producers & Access.	22.0	20.9	S3	S5
343.0	Prime Movers	21.0	25.4	SQ	R3
344.0	Generators	20.0	32.0	SQ	R3
345.0	Accessory Electric Equipment	21.0	28.3	S3	R2
346.0	Misc. Power Plant Equipment	21.0	34.9	S3	R5
<u>TRANSMISSION PLANT</u>					
352.0	Structures & Improvements	52.0	45.0	R1	R3
353.0	Station Equipment	48.0	46.9	R2	R1.5
354.0	Towers & Fixtures	46.0	46.0	S6	S6
355.0	Poles & Fixtures	47.0	50.1	L2	L2
356.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	54.0	52.9	R1.5	R3
358.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	37.0	37.0	SQ	SQ
<u>DISTRIBUTION PLANT</u>					
361.0	Structures & Improvements	47.0	47.0	R1	R1
362.0	Station Equipment	47.0	42.8	R0.5	S1
364.0	Poles, Towers & Fixtures	39.0	36.9	L5	L5
365.0	Overhead Conductors & Devices	50.0	49.8	R2	R1.5
366.0	Underground Conduit	40.0	40.0	R4	R4
367.0	Underground Conductors & Devices	38.0	39.3	L1	R2.5
368.0	Line Transformers	28.0	27.4	S1.5	R2.5
369.1	Overhead Services	43.0	46.4	S6	R4
369.2	Underground Services	25.0	23.1	L3	S6
370.1	Meters	43.0	42.5	L4	L3
370.5	Load Research Meters	8.0	11.3	R3	S6
371.0	Installations on Customers' Premises	17.0	19.1	R2.5	R2.5
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Systems	25.0	33.6	R2	R0.5
<u>GENERAL PLANT</u>					
390.1	Structures & Improvements	45.0	50.3	L3	R2.5
391.1	Computer Equipment	12.0	10.0	R2.5	R4
393.0	Stores Equipment	13.0	26.1	L3	L0
394.0	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	18.0	32.1	L0	L0
395.0	Laboratory Equipment	20.0	39.6	L3	L1.5
397.0	Communication Equipment	10.0	16.0	R4	R4
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	13.0	22.3	S3	R5