1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	Public Hearing
8	November 29, 2005
9	Raytown, Missouri
10	
11	In the Matter of an Examination) of Class Cost of Service and Rate)
12	Design in the Missouri Jurisdictional) Case No. Electric Service Operations of)EO-2002-384
13	Aquila, Inc., formerly known as) UtiliCorp United, Inc.)
14	In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of)
15	Aquila, Inc., to Implement a General)Case No. Rate Increase for Retail Electric)ER-2005-0436
16	Service Provided to Customers in its) MPS and L&P Missouri Service areas)
17	In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of)
18	Aquila, Inc., to Implement a General)Case No. Rate Increase for Retail Steam Heat)HR-2005-0450
19	Service Provided to Customers in its) L&P Missouri Service Area)
20	
21	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding
22	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		JEFF DAVIS, Chairman
3		CONNIE MURRAY,
4		STEVE GAW,
5		COMMISSIONERS
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	REPORTED BY:	KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CSR No. 354
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
) E		

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For Aquila:	MR. PAUL A. BOUDREAU
4		Brydon, Swearengen & England
5		312 East Capitol Avenue
6		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
7		
8	For AARP:	MR. JOHN B. COFFMAN
9		Attorney at Law
10		1623 University Avenue
11		Columbia, Missouri 65201
12		
13	For the Office	MR. LEWIS R. MILLS, JR.
14	Of Public	Office of the Public Counsel
15	Counsel:	200 Madison Street
16		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
17		
18	For the Staff	MS. LERA SHEMWELL
19	of the PSC:	Missouri Public Service Commission
20		PO Box 360
21		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Proceedings commenced at 6:15 p.m.)
4	
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Good evening,
6	ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kevin Thompson.
7	I'm a regulatory law judge for the Missouri Public
8	Service Commission, and I will preside over
9	tonight's hearing. With me tonight are Chairman
10	Davis of the Commission, Commissioner Murray and
11	Commissioner Gaw.
12	This is an official hearing of the
13	Missouri Public Service Commission. Its purpose
14	is to take the testimony of interested ratepayers
15	with respect to three pending cases. The Missouri
16	Public Service Commission has set this time for a
17	local hearing in case number ER-2005-0436 in which
18	Aquila, Inc., which does business as Aquila
19	Networks-MPS and as Aquila Networks-L&P seeks a
20	general electric service rate increase of 69.2
21	million dollars in gross annual electric revenues
22	excluding taxes in its MPS service area, a 20.3
23	percent increase over existing revenues and 9.4
24	million dollars in additional gross annual
25	electric service revenues in its L&P service area

exclusive of taxes, a 9.6 percent increase.

This local hearing also concerns case

number HR-2005-0450 in which Aquila, Inc., seeks a
general rate increase for steam heat services

provided in its L&P service area of five million

dollars in gross annual steam heat revenues

excluding taxes, a 44.3 increase.

This local hearing also concerns case number EO-2002-384 in which the Commission will consider the proportional responsibility of each of Aquila's customer classes for the costs incurred in providing retail electric service in its MPS and L&P service areas. A change in the proportional responsibility of a customer class for the operational costs of a utility can result in either a rate increase or a rate decrease.

The studies presently before the Commission propose changes for the residential customer class ranging from a decrease of two-tenths of a percent to an increase of 9.27 percent in the MPS service area and ranging from an increase of seven-tenths of a percent to an increase of 13.56 percent in the L&P service area.

The Missouri Public Service Commission regulates the rates charged by investor owned

utility companies in Missouri to ensure that those
rates are just and reasonable. A just and
reasonable rate is one that cover the company's
prudent operating expenses and allows a fair
return on the value of the assets committed to the
public service.

A rate case typically has two parts. In the first part the total amount of annual revenue required to cover the company's prudent operating expenses and to pay a fair return on the value of its assets is determined.

In the second part the Commission will determine how best to collect the required amount of revenue from the company's customers through rates.

In setting rates the Commission is required to consider all relevant factors one of which is the proportional responsibility of each customer class for the company's total operating costs. The Commission also regulates the quality of service and the safety of the operations of investor owned utilities.

The Commission is made up of five commissioners three of whom are here today. The commissioners are appointed by the governor to

1	fived to	rme and	confirmed	htz	+ha	Miccouri	Sanata

The commissioners employ a staff of
engineers, accountants, attorneys, financial
analysts and other specialists in the field of
utility regulation to assist them in their complex
and highly technical duties.

As I stated earlier, this is an official hearing of the Commission. The purpose of the hearing tonight is to hear from you on the subject of the proposed rate increases.

The company will not be presenting witnesses tonight and will not be answering questions so far as I know. Tonight it is your opportunity to testify, and your remarks will be made a part of the official record of this case. All of the commissioners will read your testimony even those who are not present.

The statements and testimony of all witnesses will be recorded by the court reporter and must be given under oath or affirmation.

After giving your sworn statement you may be asked questions by the commissioners, by me or by counsel. Please do not leave the podium until I excuse you.

In addition to this hearing tonight a

1	hearing was previously held in Saint Joseph on
2	November 17th. Additionally a trial type
3	evidentiary hearing will be held in cases
4	ER-2005-0436 and HR-2005-0450 beginning on
5	January 9, 2006, starting at nine o'clock in the
6	morning in the commissioners' offices at the
7	Governor Office Building in Jefferson City,
8	Missouri. That hearing is open to the public.
9	The company will have the burden at that hearing
10	of showing that the proposed rate increase is
11	necessary. The various parties will present their
12	witnesses for and against the increases at that
13	time.

I will call the name of each witness who has signed up to speak. I will call you in the order in which you signed up. When your name is called, please come to the podium. I will place you under oath or affirmation. I will ask some preliminary questions and you can then make your statement.

As I stated before, you may then be questioned by one of the commissioners, myself or by counsel. Please do not leave the podium until you are excused. We will end when everyone who desires to speak has had an opportunity to speak.

1 In order to get as many of you on record as possible, we ask that you be brief. 2 If a 3 previous speaker has already made the points that you wish to make, you may simply state your name 5 and that you agree with that particular previous speaker. There may be someone who wishes to testify but because of religious convictions does 8 9 not wish to take an oath. If so please indicate that when you are asked to be sworn so you may be 10 affirmed instead. 11 12 Does anyone have any questions about the 13 procedure we will follow? Hearing none we will 14 begin the public hearing at this time. Counsel, 15 please make oral statements, oral entries of appearance at this time. I will begin with the 16 17 company. MR. BOUDREAU: Please let the 18 19 record reflect the appearance of Paul A. Boudreau, 20 and also with me is James Swearengen of 312 East

and also with me is James Swearengen of 312 East
Capitol Avenue, Jefferson City, Missouri,
appearing on behalf of Aquila, Inc.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
Staff.

25 MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you and

21

22

23

1	good evening, Lera Shemwell representing the staff
2	of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Post
3	Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
5	Public counsel.
6	MR. MILLS: Lewis Mills
7	appearing on behalf of the public counsel and the
8	public. My address is Post Office Box 2230,
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
11	MR. COFFMAN: John B. Coffman,
12	1623 University Avenue, Columbia, Missouri 65201
13	appearing on behalf of the AARP.
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
15	Coffman. Is anyone here from AG Processing, Inc.?
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is anyone here
18	for Calpine Central LP?
19	(No response.)
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is anyone here
21	for the City of Kansas City?
22	(No response.)
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is anyone here
24	for the City of Saint Joseph?

(No response.)

DODEDEC	_	ASSOCIATES	DV	KIMDEDIA	\sim	DODEDEC	CIM
VODEVIO	α	ASSOCIATES	DІ	VINDEVTI	G.	KODEKIS,	CIVI

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is anyone here
2	for Empire District Electric Company?
3	(No response.)
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is there anyone
5	here for the Federal Executive Agencies?
6	(No response.)
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is anyone here
8	for the Missouri Department of Natural Resources?
9	(No response.)
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: And finally is
11	anyone here for the Sedalia Industrial Energy
12	Users Association?
13	(No response.)
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well,
15	hearing none let the record show that various
16	parties are not present and were called.
17	At this time I would call the first
18	witness, Sidney McCarther. I apologize if I'm not
19	reading your name correctly. Step to the podium,
20	sir. Go ahead and state your name.
21	MR. McCARTHER: Sidney
22	McCarther.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could you spell
24	your last name for the court reporter, sir.
25	MR. McCARTHER: M-C capital

1	C-A-R-T-H-E-R.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
3	
4	SIDNEY McCARTHER
5	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
6	by the Judge, testified on his oath as follows:
7	
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Sir, are you
9	presently a customer of Aquila, Inc.?
10	MR. McCARTHER: Yes.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please go ahead
12	and make your statement.
13	MR. McCARTHER: Well, good
14	evening to the Commission, the Public Service
15	Commission. As I said before I'm Sidney McCarther
16	and I'm a ratepayer in the Aquila area, and I'm
17	here to express my concern for the increase in
18	electric rates by approximately 20 percent.
19	As a retiree and the head of my
20	household, the proposed rate increase would amount
21	to about \$11.48 per month on average for a 1,000
22	kilowatt hour bill; however, another \$11.48 or
23	more if I use more electricity which I do is too
24	hard of a hit on Kansas City area households and
25	seniors.

Aquila has a history of making poor
business decisions including investments in Enron
type energy trading businesses. The cost of
unregulated investments gone bad should not be the
responsibility of electricity customers. Aquila
has not justified such a large rate increase.
The company is asking consumers to pay for
expenses that should not be included in rates.

The South Harper plant debacle is another example of Aquila's mismanagement. The plant was built in a residential area without requesting a zoning change. The financing for the power plant was also approved prior to getting PSC approval.

Aquila has sold off most of these bad mistakes; however, the repercussions of these series of mistakes could be felt by consumers if the Public Service Commission is not careful. The challenge is to make sure that consumers pay no more than they would if Aquila had acted as a prudent electric utility, for example, their expenses like the oversized building they now work in and the computer system they use which was built for unregulated businesses. Our electric bills should not include any costs of these expenses.

1	As if all of that is not enough, the
2	proposed rate increase could be higher based on
3	proposals by large industrial customers to shift
4	electricity costs that they pay on to us ordinary
5	customers. Residential customers should not be
6	subsidizing industrial customers.

Consumers are hurting. They're hurting, too. The Public Service Commission must take a careful look at Aquila's request and then look again to make absolutely sure that we consumers are not paying for Aquila's mismanagement and investment mistakes. Consumer should only pay for expenses that are justified and relate to providing us service. The large industrial customers should not be allowed to push more costs over on us. So we highly and my neighbors highly encourage you please don't let this ridiculous rate increase be implemented.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to express my opinion and I'll answer any questions you might have, and I also have copies here for the commissioners.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Is that a copy of your statement?

25 McCarther: Yes.

ROBERTS	ς,	ASSOCIATES	RY	KIMBERLY	G	ROBERTS.	$^{\circ}$ M

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Hand it
2	to the court reporter if you would. We will go
3	ahead and mark that as Exhibit 1 if that's okay.
4	Do I have any objections, Mr. Mills?
5	MR. MILLS: I have no objection.
6	Thank you.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr.
8	Coffman.
9	MR. COFFMAN: No.
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ms. Shemwell.
11	MS. SHEMWELL: No.
12	(Exhibit Number 1 was marked for
13	identification and received into
14	evidence.)
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
16	the bench for Mr. McCarther, Chairman Davis.
17	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. McCarther,
18	it's good to see you again. Thank you for coming.
19	Since Mr. Mills won't ask this question I will go
20	ahead and ask it. Your prepared statement is that
21	your work?
22	MR. McCARTHER: It's my work
23	with some help of my friends.
24	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Could you
25	elaborate for us who your friends are just so we

1	know?
2	MR. McCARTHER: My friends are
3	every member of AARP and the organization of AARP.
4	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Thank
5	you, Mr. McCarther.
6	MR. McCARTHER: Did I answer
7	your question?
8	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, you did
9	and thank you and thank you for your interest and
10	thank you for showing up and testifying and I will
11	read your testimony. Certainly some of your
12	comments did pique my interest. Thank you.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman. Commissioner Murray.
15	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No
16	questions. Thank you.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
18	Gaw.
19	COMMISSIONER GAW: I don't
20	believe so but thank you very much for coming. I
21	appreciate it.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is there
23	questions from counsel for the company?
24	MR. BOUDREAU: No.

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	MS. SHEMWELL: No.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Public Counsel.
3	MR. MILLS: No questions.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel for the
5	AARP.
6	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. You
8	are excused. Thank you very much for your
9	testimony, sir. Alitha McDaniel. Good evening,
10	ma'am.
11	MS. McDANIEL: Good evening.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your name
13	please.
14	MS. McDANIEL: Alitha McDaniel.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you spell
16	your last name for the court reporter, please.
17	MS. McDANIEL: M-C-D-A-N-I-E-L.
18	
19	ALITHA McDANIEL
20	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
21	by the Judge, testified on her oath as follows:
22	
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ma'am, are you
24	presently a customer of Aquila, Inc.?
25	MS. McDANIEL: Yes, I am.

1	JUDGE	THOMPSON:	Go	ahead	and

2 make your statement.

MS. McDANIEL: All right, thank you. I would like to say first of all that pay rates among the general public do not seem to be escalating at the rate of 20 percent per year. I know my husband recently took about a four percent pay raise. He's self-employed and it is the biggest pay raise he's ever given himself, and he still makes only a little more than \$50,000 a year.

Many companies are currently laying off employees in large numbers. Every now and then we hear of another company laying off a large group of people. I do believe that if all costs continue to rise at the rate that gasoline prices are, that Aquila is wanting to raise their rates and the way things seem to be going, I believe we are going to have a depression in our country. I think there is no way that cannot happen because we will simply reach a point where the public cannot keep up anymore.

I happen to be a relative of an Aquila employee. I won't say who that is. I happen to know that that relative is paid quite well and is

just an average employee, and so I don't think any of their employees are hurting.

In fact, I'm certain with what I have been accustomed to living on that if I had been making that kind of money I could save up enough money to take care of myself after retirement, and I'm pretty certain, this is only speculation on my part, but if the CEO is making one million dollars a year, I feel pretty certain that they have a lot of other employees in the top echelon of their company who are also making very, very nice salaries that anyone in this room just about would be happy to have.

I think it would be in the best interests of the public that Aquila not be given any rate increase at this time. Thank you very much.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,

18 ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chairman Davis.

19 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: So, ma'am, you

20 say no increase at this time period?

21 MS. McDANIEL: At this time,

22 yes, I would.

23 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And would you

say -- are you aware did they get an increase last

25 year?

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	MS. McDANIEL: I'm not aware.
2	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Are you aware
3	of when they got their last increase?
4	MS. McDANIEL: No, sir, I'm not.
5	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: How long do you
6	think they should go before they should get an
7	increase?
8	MS. McDANIEL: You know, that
9	should be an answer that I figured out with some
10	calculations which I haven't. At this point if
11	you asked me right off the top of my head I should
12	say two years at this point, but that's only a
13	guess on my part. I know they're making a lot
14	more money than most of us are making.
15	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Thank
16	you, ma'am.
17	MS. McDANIEL: You're welcome.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman. Commissioner Murray.
20	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No
21	questions. Thank you.
22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Gaw.
24	COMMISSIONER GAW: Ms. McDaniel,
25	thank you very much for your time coming down here

1 and talking to us. I appreciate it.

- 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
- 3 counsel for the company?
- 4 MR. BOUDREAU: I have no
- 5 questions for this witness. Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
- 7 MS. SHEMWELL: No questions.
- 8 Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
- MR. MILLS: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
- MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 JUDGE THOMPSON: You are
- 15 excused, ma'am. Thank you for your testimony.
- 16 Larry McDaniel.
- MR. McDANIEL: I think in the
- interest of time my wife probably expressed my
- 19 feelings as well as our relatives and friends who
- 20 live in the area that Aquila provides services
- for, and I don't think that I could say much more
- than what she has already said, and also the first
- gentleman I agree with what he had to say.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, sir.
- Della January. Good evening, ma'am. State your

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1	name, please.
2	MS. JANUARY: Della January.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you spell
4	your last name for the court reporter.
5	MS. JANUARY: J-A-N-U-A-R-Y.
6	
7	DELLA JANUARY
8	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
9	by the Judge, testified on her oath as follows:
10	
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you
12	currently a customer of Aquila, ma'am?
13	MS. JANUARY: No.
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: You are not.
15	What is your interest in this proceeding?
16	MS. JANUARY: I live near the
17	South Harper plant.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Very well. You
19	may testify. Go ahead and give your statement.
20	MR. BOUDREAU: Just as a point
21	of order, Mr. Thompson, I'm not going to lodge an
22	objection to Ms. January's testimony, but I may
23	want to reserve the opportunity to file some
24	rebuttal testimony depending on the nature of the
25	testimony if I could do that just in the matter of

fairness.

2	JUDGE	THOMPSON:	You	are

- 3 absolutely able to file anything you want.
- 4 MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you, sir.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead,
- 6 ma'am.

- 7 MS. JANUARY: Thank you. I'd
- 8 like to mention at this time that we had a public
- 9 hearing as the commissioners, of course, are aware
- in Harrisonville this spring regarding the South
- 11 Harper plant. It was well attended. A lot of
- 12 people spoke. We ran late.
- 13 And, Chairman Davis, your response to our
- 14 testimony in that case was that that wasn't the
- proper venue and that this was the proper venue to
- 16 address it in the rate case. I don't know if you
- 17 recall. I have a copy of your opinion here in
- 18 which you said that the next rate case will be the
- 19 proper venue for parties to raise issues included
- 20 but not limited to whether construction of the
- 21 plant was prudent and whether the company should
- be penalized for poor management.
- So obviously we can't recreate what we
- 24 said in May, but I'd like for the commissioners to
- 25 review that testimony from that evening as a part

1	of this case as well since it was even your
2	opinion that it's really pertinent to this case.
3	Is it possible to have that testimony moved in to
4	this case?
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Does anyone
6	know what the number of that case was?
7	MR. BOUDREAU: It was
8	EA-2005-0248 I believe.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: 0248?
10	MR. BOUDREAU: I believe that's
11	right.
12	MS. JANUARY: And you can have
13	this order if you want. It says it right here on
14	the front. Do you want this?
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Could I see it?
16	MS. JANUARY: Sure. I have
17	underlined the parts that I just read.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: And this is a
19	commission order?

20

21

22

23

24

25

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

it then because we have already got that, but we

will put it in the record of this case. Does

copy of my concurrence, Judge.

anyone have any objections?

CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's actually a

JUDGE THOMPSON: We won't need

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

MR. BOUDREAU: I think I do have

an objection to making the testimony that was
taken down in another case made a part of the
record in this case. I think that's irregular and
I think it's inappropriate and I would object to
that being done.

JUDGE THOMPSON: All right. Mr.

8 Mills.

9 MR. MILLS: If I may irregular
10 and inappropriate neither of them are legal
11 standards. It seems to me that the testimony is
12 relevant and that's the standard the Commission
13 ought to be looking at. So I think the Commission
14 should take notice of the testimony given in that
15 case.

MR. BOUDREAU: If I may respond, the question in that case was whether or not the certificate -- whether or not the company was going to be given another certificate to operate the South Harper plant or whether it could have a clarifying order, and I might also point out that the Commission did grant the clarification of the certificates in that prior case. So it's a different case all together, a different legal standard all together and I would argue that it's

extent of making that testimony part of the record

not relevant to this case at least not to the

3 in this case.

2

13

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Does

someone else want to jump in this, Mr. Coffman?

MR. COFFMAN: I would like to

support the request to take administrative notice

or to include the testimony from EA-2005-0248

since this is the case where Aquila is asking that

that power plant be put into the rate base of this

in their rates. I can't imagine why a case

involving solely the South Harper power plant

JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, Mr.

Boudreau, the impression that I have is that that

testimony had to do with prudence and with

allegations of poor management; is that correct?

MR. BOUDREAU: The testimony may

have touched on some of those topics, but the

issue in the case wasn't prudence or bad

would be irrelevant.

21 management.

22 JUDGE THOMPSON: I understand

23 the issue was not that. In fact, that's probably

24 why the chairman pointed out to this witness that

25 it wasn't the right place to give that testimony,

1	but this is a rate case and prudence and
2	management are, in fact, absolutely central issues
3	in this case; isn't that correct?
4	MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, but none of
5	those witnesses who spoke are here to be
6	questioned about the issues that they brought up.
7	I mean, in fact, in that case I might point out I
8	was not given the opportunity to ask any of the
9	witnesses any questions about their testimony. I
10	was specifically precluded from doing so. So as a
11	matter of due process I think it's inappropriate.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Mills.
13	MR. MILLS: Well, it really
14	isn't a due process question. It's a question of
15	Commission process that the Commission under the
16	Administrative Procedure Act can take official
17	notice of its own records. The record in that
18	case is an official record of the Commission, and
19	under Chapter 536 the Commission can take official
20	notice of it, and as the witness has suggested I
21	think it's entirely appropriate.
22	MR. COFFMAN: I would concur in
23	that and point out that Mr. Boudreau I thought
24	Mr. Boudreau had some opportunity to ask questions

and in some cases he was denied. I forget the

1	precise reason, but he has also been I understand
2	given permission by the bench here to respond in
3	some form in a filing and I suppose would have the
4	opportunity to do so.
5	MR. BOUDREAU: I was not given
6	the opportunity to cross-examine or to ask
7	questions. I don't cross-examine public
8	witnesses, but I was specifically precluded from
9	doing so; however, if the Commission wants to take
10	administrative notice of the testimony that was at
11	that public hearing, I think it should take
12	administrative notice of all of the testimony from
13	all of the witnesses in all of those proceedings.
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: How many
15	hearings were there?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, there was the public hearing. There was the evidentiary hearing. There was the prepared testimony that was filed. There was quite a bit in that record.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, I have no problem with taking notice of everything in that record. So we will overrule your objection and we will take administrative notice of the contents of the record in case EA-2005-0248 including the testimony offered at a local public hearing in

1 Harrisonville, Missouri.

MS. JANUARY: Thank you. During
that hearing many of us that live near the plant
had a lot of complaints about the way we have been
treated by Aquila, that they patrolled our
neighborhoods, they harassed us. They were just
ugly to the people that live there.

And since that hearing a lot has changed, and they have started a community outreach project and program, and in doing so they have done all kinds of -- made some improvements at the plant, but what I'd like to focus more on goes to the improvements that they're making in the neighborhood and how much of the cost of that gets put into this rate case or the cost for South Harper.

We all know as was brought up earlier that the decision to build South Harper was questionable. The way that they have gone about the construction and the financing of the plant has led to all kinds of litigation and additional costs.

I will tell you that Aquila paid stop

Aquila's legal fees in that. They're paying the

city's legal fees in that. They're paying their

,

1 own legal fees.

Some of the things they've done is they have paved roads. They've planted trees, 524 trees on eight properties. That doesn't just include trees. That's shrubs, that's gardens with irrigation systems, driveways, paved walkways, and now they're purchasing houses, and they're not purchasing these houses cheap.

These are houses that went for \$300,000 that were brand new before they built the power plant. They were unsalable before Aquila bought them, and we checked at the county to see what they paid for them and it says they paid ten dollars a property. Of course, that's not true. That's what the deeds say. I've got copies of two deeds that have already been recorded and they say ten dollars. Of course, most of these people have to sign confidentiality agreements. I don't know what they paid for the properties.

They're buying properties. Everything that borders the plant they're pretty much approaching people and trying to buy their homes, and a lot of these people, you know, their homes are well above \$300,000, anywhere from three to \$500,000. I don't know what they're going to do

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

with it, but they're buying the neighborhood to buy out the opposition and not only is it the cost of the plant and all the turbines and all of the things that Calpine has brought up, and I'm confused by their testimony how much money they could have saved by using the Aries plant. Also they just continue to add costs and costs and costs on top of this.

I don't know if they intend to continue to pay our legal costs, but they paid \$50,000 of ours and they're continuing to pay the City of Peculiar's legal costs and buying everything that they can get their hands on. So all of this that goes to poor management needs to be -- no part of the South Harper plant should be allowed in this rate case.

I know that what was it, last week the appeals court turned down the case again so that it goes to the Supreme Court now. We expect that they will probably confirm their opinion on the zoning and that will, too, go to the Supreme Court.

All of this is very costly and how can they pass off the cost of that when it's found by courts of law to be bad business decisions to pass

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	that	off	to	the	ratepaye	rs.

I don't pay their rates, thank God, but

I'm still affected still this way and they

shouldn't be allowed to pass all of that off.

The Calpine testimony, I've got it written out, is great where they say for those of you that don't know they didn't need the South Harper plant. There's another plant that was just built in 2001 that Aquila abandoned their interest in and could have purchased the power there for at least six million dollars cheaper, and by some of these graphs that Calpine has put in a lot cheaper than building that plant, and you're paying for all of that.

They've also acknowledged to us recently that they don't run their Greenwood facility that they currently run so that they can run the South Harper plant. Their plant manager told us that at one of their neighborhood meetings. So they have lots of alternatives other than to build this plant and cost all this extra money.

With all the legislation going on how can you approve to pay for this or anything that's going on when you've got two verdicts from the appellate courts striking down the construction

ROBERTO & ROCCETTIES DI RIEDEREI G. ROBERTO, GI

1	and	the	financing	of	the	plant.

And then lastly before you guys came in
we had the opportunity to ask Mr. Green some
questions and they included his salary, and he
told us that he only makes a million dollars a
year and he's held himself to that salary for the
past few years without an increase.

He was also asked if they've paid a dividend over the past few years which they have not, and I think I'm correct that during those years where you didn't pay a dividend prior to 2005 in the three years you lost 2.6 billion dollars and your stock price dropped from about \$35 a share to \$3.50, and CEO's typically that aren't protected by regulation don't maintain million-dollar salaries, not just cap them and not get increases, they don't maintain their jobs and they certainly don't maintain their salaries when they're losing that kind of money. So if you were to give them any kind of a rate case at all --

Oh, he also brought up the cost of employee health care and retirement, and, of course, there's the issue that you haven't funded your employee retirement plan.

25 There's so many things wrong with this

1	company in just what I have seen in the past year
2	that really as far as the issue of whether or not
3	they were or were not forthcoming and whether or
4	not they executed the bonds, whether or not they
5	paid their retirement fund all of those issues all
6	of the testimony comes from them why they need it.
7	I really would encourage you if you are
8	going to give them anything at all to not include
9	South Harper and to really go through everything
10	that they're saying and providing to you with a
11	fine-tooth comb because obviously all of these
12	cases are confusing, and it's even hard for me

sometimes to listen to you and not send off an

E-mail or something like that saying wait, this is

something totally different than what they said

16 this date.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would encourage you to really review it carefully and to not give it at all, but if you do give it there's no way South Harper should be included. That's all I have to say.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chairman Davis.

CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. January,
thank you for coming tonight. It's a pleasure
to -- I think you were there at the first public

from you, but it's nice to be able to put a face

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

hearing and I've received several communications

3 with a name, and I do appreciate your E-mails that

4 you send to me because I get the cases from you

5 more promptly than I do from some of my own

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 attorneys who work for me. So I do appreciate

your communications keeping me informed because I

8 don't know how long I'd have to wait otherwise.

When you asked Mr. Green about his salary, did you ask him what his total compensation was?

MS. JANUARY: Actually that wasn't my question but I was glad someone else asked, and, yeah, they did and he indicated that it was a million dollars salary and that doesn't include his recent bonus. That was \$225,000; is that correct?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BOUDREAU: Just as a matter or order I don't think that she ought to be asking questions.

MS. JANUARY: Well, I'm sorry.

I think it's \$225,000 but I could be mistaken and that's the bonus that he just got, and he acknowledged that it was a million-dollar salary not inclusive of bonuses which he hasn't gotten

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	any until just recently when he got bonuses from
2	the sale of assets.
3	Ordinarily companies don't do that, of
4	course, you know that, pay their CEO's bonuses
5	from selling assets to get out of hot water,
6	sorry.
7	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Would you say
8	that ordinarily companies pay their employees
9	bonuses for good performance?
10	MS. JANUARY: I work for a
11	company, you know, that has a billion dollars a
12	year in revenue, and if we had a leader who lost
13	that much money that quick he wouldn't have a job,
14	and their performance over the course what was
15	it, \$175,000,000 they lost in the third quarter
16	and that's a good strong performance that merits
17	an incentive, I can't imagine anybody that
18	wouldn't want to sign up for that program.
19	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: So in essence
20	what you are saying is bad management ought to be
21	punished?
22	MS. JANUARY: And their
23	shareholders. It's the risk you take when you
24	invest in a company. Bad management should be
25	that's the obligation of their shareholders. It's

1	not the obligation of the ratepayers to subsidiz	:e
2	poor management.	

- In a competitive company, in a commercial

 company they wouldn't have jobs. They wouldn't

 exist. Another competitor would have come in and

 taken over their business a long time ago, and

 maybe that's not such a bad thing because this is

 a pattern of mismanagement. It's not just with

 the South Harper plant. This is an ongoing

 pattern over the years.
- 11 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Ms.
- January, thank you for coming up all the way from
- 13 Peculiar. I have no further questions, Judge.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 15 Commissioner Murray.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I have no
- 17 questions but thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,
- 19 Commissioner. Commissioner Gaw.
- 20 COMMISSIONER GAW: I could ask
- lots of questions of Ms. January, but I believe
- she probably covered the gamut of things she
- 23 wanted to talk about so I will say no questions
- but thank you for coming.
- MS. JANUARY: Thank you,

1	Commissioner.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
3	counsel for the company?
4	MR. BOUDREAU: No questions for
5	this witness. Thank you.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
7	MS. SHEMWELL: None, thank you.
8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
9	MR. MILLS: I have no questions.
10	Thank you.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
12	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
13	Thank you.
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms.
15	January, for your testimony. You are excused.
16	Scott Crawford. Good evening, Mr. Crawford. Go
17	ahead and state your name.
18	MR. CRAWFORD: My name is Scott
19	Crawford, C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
21	
22	SCOTT CRAWFORD
23	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
24	by the Judge, testified on his oath as follows:
25	

1	JUDGE	THOMPSON:	Mr.	Crawford,
±	00000	1110111 0011.		oranrora,

- 2 are you currently a customer of Aquila, Inc.?
- 3 MR. CRAWFORD: I am.
- 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead and
- 5 give your testimony.

9

a limited income as probably several in the room
and in the area do have. I have no foreseeable

increase in my income. In fact, January 1st my

MR. CRAWFORD: Right now I have

- 10 hours are going to be cut back so my income is
- 11 actually going to decrease. At this time my
- 12 expenses are more than my income and I'm barely
- 13 keeping my head above water. I'd like to have a
- snorkel so I could at least breathe occasionally.
- There is nowhere else in my expenses that
- I can cut. I mean I have cut back on food. I'm
- buying cheaper food at other places. I only eat
- two meals a day and, you know, if I get this 20
- 19 percent increase in my electric bill, I'm going to
- 20 have to cut I guess trash because there is no
- 21 place else for me to cut so that I can pay a 20
- 22 percent increase to Aquila, and I know that the
- 23 natural gas bills are going to be a lot higher
- this year, and I'm going to have a lot of trouble
- 25 paying that and, of course, gasoline has been very

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	high,	and	it's	cut	back	а	little	bit	but	not	like

- 2 it was two years ago.
- 3 So I just oppose this increase especially
- 4 this large of an increase. You know, I'm not
- 5 going to get any increase in pay and, you know, I
- 6 don't have any way to pay them the increase that
- 7 they're asking for. That is my testimony.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
- 9 Crawford. Questions from the bench, Chairman
- 10 Davis.
- 11 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No questions.
- 12 Thank you for coming, Mr. Crawford.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
- 14 Murray.
- 15 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No
- 16 questions. Thank you.
- 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
- 18 Gaw.
- 19 COMMISSIONER GAW: Mr. Crawford,
- 20 tell me again where you live at just generally.
- 21 MR. CRAWFORD: I live in the
- 22 Laurel Heights neighborhood.
- 23 COMMISSIONER GAW: And who is
- 24 your gas supplier?
- MR. CRAWFORD: Missouri Gas

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1	Energy.
2	COMMISSIONER GAW: All right.
3	MR. CRAWFORD: I have heard on
4	the news that increase is going to be about 50
5	percent higher this year over the last year.
6	COMMISSIONER GAW: It's starting
7	to get cold, isn't it. Mr. Crawford, you're
8	dealing with an income you say that is fixed or
9	stationary?
10	MR. CRAWFORD: Yeah, I only work
11	part time.
12	COMMISSIONER GAW: In regards to
13	that income level is that something that you say
14	has not moved up in an upward direction in any
15	significant amount lately?
16	MR. CRAWFORD: No, it's not
17	raised at all in the last two years.
18	COMMISSIONER GAW: Have you read
19	any information or anything in regard to the
20	reason for this request for an increase?
21	MR. CRAWFORD: No, I have not.
22	COMMISSIONER GAW: Okay. But
23	you're here just basically to tell us that it's
24	very difficult for you out there under the current
25	payment that you're making for your electric

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1 service and any increase is going to make it

- 2 extremely difficult especially if it's 20 percent;
- 3 would that be fair?
- 4 MR. CRAWFORD: Yes, that would
- 5 be very fair.
- 6 COMMISSIONER GAW: Do you have
- 7 other neighbors or friends that are in similar
- 8 situations to you?
- 9 MR. CRAWFORD: I honestly don't
- 10 know. I'm going to school full time as well as
- 11 working part time. So I don't have a lot of time
- 12 to socialize with my neighbors.
- 13 COMMISSIONER GAW: All right.
- 14 That's all. Thank you, Mr. Crawford.
- MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,
- 17 Commissioner Gaw. Questions from counsel.
- MR. BOUDREAU: No questions for
- 19 this witness. Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
- MS. SHEMWELL: No questions.
- 22 Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
- MR. MILLS: No questions.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
2	Thank you.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
4	Crawford, you're excused. You may step down.
5	Natalie Stephenson. Good evening, ma'am. State
6	your name, please.
7	MS. STEPHENSON: Natalie
8	Stephenson.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you spell
10	your last name for the reporter.
11	MS. STEPHENSON:
12	S-T-E-P-H-E-N-S-O-N.
13	
14	NATALIE STEPHENSON
15	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
16	by the Judge, testified on her oath as follows:
17	
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ma'am, are you
19	presently a customer of Aquila?
20	MS. STEPHENSON: No.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: What is your
22	interest in this matter?
23	MS. STEPHENSON: I, too, live by
24	the South Harper plant.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Do you

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1 have something to say in addition to what's been

- 2 said by Ms. January?
- 3 MS. STEPHENSON: A little bit of
- 4 it is redundant I'll admit that.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, could you
- 6 keep your statement brief?
- 7 MS. STEPHENSON: I'll certainly
- 8 try.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead.
- 10 MS. STEPHENSON: I wanted to
- point out that of the 53 million dollars that
- 12 Aquila is requesting in the MPS service area that
- 13 only 11.7 million of that is claimed to be for the
- increase in gas and fuel, and I thought I heard
- something differently previously but I could be
- 16 mistaken, and it looks like that the vast majority
- 17 of what remains is directly related to the South
- 18 Harper plant, and I'm not good at public speaking
- 19 so I have very specifically written down what I
- 20 was going say, and I'd just like to run through it
- 21 real quick.
- 22 Because at least half of Aquila's
- 23 proposed 69 million-dollar rate increase is being
- 24 requested so that the ratepayers might absorb the
- 25 cost directly and indirectly linked to the

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

construction and financing of the Peculiar South
Harper peaking plant I would like to focus on the
rationale surrounding that particular endeavor.

First Aquila's behavior might best be characterized as that of a selfish child feeling and perhaps rightly so that it should receive permission to do what it wants when it wants.

Aquila leaps first and only asks permission after the fact almost as an afterthought.

As you should well know, Aquila built this plant without the proper zoning which it still doesn't have. Aquila accepted Chapter 100 bond financing from the City of Peculiar who had no authority to issue those bonds without the vote of the people of Peculiar, and Aquila and Peculiar sealed their deal without approval from the PSC.

Likewise, Aquila began operating the facility thus polluting the water prior to asking permission from the Department of Natural resources and so on and so on, and just like a greedy little child the time comes when that child's hand simply must be slapped.

So as a result Aquila may have to tear down the South Harper plant. Should the ratepayers already struggling with runaway gas and

energy costs be burdened further with the enormous 1 2 price to pay as a consequence of Aquila's unscrupulous and irresponsible actions at South 3 Harper, I should certainly hope not.

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Furthermore, upon close examination of the facts I think you will find that the South Harper plant has not served the public good in any way whatsoever. The plant has generated nothing more other than a lot of complaints and aggravation in the neighborhood that could have been more effectively achieved at a much lower cost by utilizing Aquila's prior connections with the existing Aries facility; however, I have to defer to Calpine's testimony in this case for greater elaboration of those facts.

And I'm glad to see that you will let the testimony in from the March 15th hearing because I was also going to request that, and I also wanted to enter in an article that I got off the Internet for reference and say that I would like to assume it's from a senator from Lincoln, Nebraska whose name I won't attempt to pronounce, and this is from the Lincoln Journal Star last month, and as he considered the 3.3 million in bonuses Aquila opted to grant nine executives recently in

1	conjunction with the four percent increase Aquila
2	is also requesting in Nebraska to cover rising
3	costs the senator was paraphrased as saying while
4	consumers may see a 70 percent increase in the
5	price of natural gas these executives will not be
6	put out in the cold this winter, and the bonuses
7	suggested the very real need for the Public
8	Service Commission to make an informed, accurate
9	and comprehensive determination of whether utility
10	costs warrant a rate change, and I, too, ask that
11	you do just that. Thank you.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,
13	ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chairman Davis.
14	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No questions.
15	Thank you for coming all the way up from Peculiar,
16	Ms. Stephenson. We appreciate that and can you
17	give a copy of that news story to the court
18	reporter so we have it.
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: We will mark
20	that as Exhibit 2.
21	(Exhibit Number 2 was marked for
22	identification.)
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Any objections?

MR. BOUDREAU: No.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Hearing none

24

25

ROBERTS	&	ASSOCIATES	BY	KIMBERLY	G.	ROBERTS,	CM

1	the same will be received and made a part of the
2	record of this proceeding.
3	(Exhibit Number 2 was received
4	into evidence.)
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
6	Murray.
7	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Ms.
8	Stephenson, you are not a customer of Aquila; is
9	that right?
10	MS. STEPHENSON: That's correct,
11	currently I am not. I was once briefly.
12	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And how
13	would you be affected by this rate case?
14	MS. STEPHENSON: I would
15	probably personally not be affected. I think what
16	they're basing the increase in their rates on is
17	very problematic and misguided.
18	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: But in
19	terms of the effect on you personally is there any
20	effect on you?
21	MS. STEPHENSON: Well, obviously
22	not being a customer I'm not going to have to pay
23	more for my utilities so in that aspect, no.
24	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Thank you
25	very much.

1	JUDGE	THOMPSON:	Thank	you,

- 2 Commissioner. Commissioner Gaw.
- 3 COMMISSIONER GAW: Ms.
- 4 Stephenson, thanks for coming. Are you saying
- 5 something to the effect of what is that old adage
- 6 about why ask for permission when you can receive
- 7 forgiveness?
- MS. STEPHENSON: Yes, largely
- 9 so, yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GAW: That's what I
- 11 thought you meant. Thank you.
- 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
- 13 counsel for Aquila.
- MR. BOUDREAU: No questions for
- 15 this witness. Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
- MS. SHEMWELL: I have just one.
- 18 Ms. Stephenson, who is your electric provider?
- MS. STEPHENSON: Osage Valley
- 20 Electric Co-op.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Are you happy
- 22 with them?
- MS. STEPHENSON: I am.
- MS. SHEMWELL: What about gas,
- do you have natural gas service?

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1	MS. STEPHENSON: Propane.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: That's all.
3	Thank you for being here tonight.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
5	MR. MILLS: Just a couple. Ms.
6	Stephenson, you mentioned a figure for an increase
7	in fuel of about 11.7 million. Where did you get
8	that figure from?
9	MS. STEPHENSON: That's from the
10	news release that was included in Aquila's filing
11	on this case.
12	MR. MILLS: Was that also part
13	of the chart that Aquila showed before the local
14	public hearing began?
15	MS. STEPHENSON: I did not get a
16	good look at that chart so I could not tell you.
17	JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
18	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
19	Thank you.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr.
21	Coffman. Thank you very much for your testimony,
22	ma'am. You are excused. Tonya Holmes. Good
23	evening, ma'am.
24	MS. HOLMES: Good evening.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: State your

1	name, please.
2	MS. HOLMES: Tonya D. Holmes.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: How do you
4	spell your last name for the reporter?
5	MS. HOLMES: H-O-L-M-E-S.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
7	
8	TONYA HOLMES
9	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
10	by the Judge, testified on her oath as follows:
11	
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ma'am, are you
13	presently a customer of Aquila, Inc.?
14	MS. HOLMES: Yes.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead and
16	give your testimony.
17	MS. HOLMES: Okay. First of all
18	protocol has been set. Good evening everyone. I
19	agree with the statements that were made by the
20	first speaker. I believe that was Mr. McCarther,
21	and in addition to those statements I have an
22	addendum of my own. I'm the head of my household
23	and I'm a parent, and as it's been stated by
24	another witness I'm struggling to make ends meet.
25	And I have read that the Missouri Public

1	Service Commission says here ensures that Missouri
2	citizens will receive safe, reliable and
3	reasonably priced utility services, and I'm saying
4	it's time for a change. It's time for the
5	Missouri Public Service Commission to do that for
6	me as a citizen give me reasonably priced
7	services, and I'm against a rate increase at this
8	time. I cannot afford it and I'm just asking
9	Aquila to bear with us and give us a break for one
10	more year, at least two, and that's my statement.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,
12	ma'am. Questions from the bench, Chairman Davis.
13	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No questions.
14	Thank you for coming, Ms. Holmes. We appreciate
15	you coming.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
17	Murray.
18	COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No
19	questions. Thank you.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
21	Gaw.
22	COMMISSIONER GAW: Ms. Holmes,
23	are you having difficulty paying your bill
24	currently as the rates are today?

MS. HOLMES: I'm on the level

25

plan so because of the level plan I'm not having
trouble paying my bill, but when the bill goes up
so does the level payment and it's taking me all
year to pay. So I'm saying, you know, let's be
real. I don't know about you all but as a citizen
to take all year to pay an electric bill.

7 COMMISSIONER GAW: Are you
8 friends with other individuals who are in similar
9 situations?

MS. HOLMES: Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 COMMISSIONER GAW: Tell me just
12 generally what it's like out there right now in
13 regard to expenses that you're paying.

MS. HOLMES: That I'm paying?

COMMISSIONER GAW: And that your

friends may be experiencing.

MS. HOLMES: Okay. First of all I have friends who are lawyers who are just, you know, I would say state workers and they're single head of household as I am and they're trying to make it. Everything is going up. I heard before this meeting started that coal has gone up, the cost of coal and gas. Well, it's time for you all to start saying, you know, look, we have customers that we are concerned about and hold those costs,

1	do what you can to hold those costs and I don't
2	see that happening. It's all about greed and
3	profit, and for me it's about trying to make it
4	and, yes, I have other people who are struggling.
5	They're on the level payment plan. They're trying
6	to take care of their kids like me and feed our
7	children and pay higher bills, and this is a
8	nightmare.
9	COMMISSIONER GAW: Ms. Holmes,
10	thank you very much for coming and talking to us.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
12	counsel for Aquila.
13	MR. BOUDREAU: I have no
14	questions for this witness. Thank you.
15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
16	MS. SHEMWELL: No questions.
17	Thank you.
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
19	MR. MILLS: No questions.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
21	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
22	Thank you.
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you,
24	ma'am.
25	MS. HOLMES: You're welcome.

ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM

1	Thank you for this opportunity.
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: You may step
3	down. At this time is there anyone else who
4	desires an opportunity to testify but hasn't had a
5	chance to sign up? Step forward, ma'am. State
6	your name, ma'am.
7	MS. MAYER: Cindy, Mayer,
8	M-A-Y-E-R.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: And what town
10	do you live in?
11	MS. MAYER: Peculiar, Missouri.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.
13	
14	CINDY MAYER
15	called as a witness herein, being first duly sworn
16	by the Judge, testified on her oath as follows:
17	
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Ma'am, are you
19	presently a customer of Aquila?
20	MS. MAYER: No.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: And what is
22	your interest in this matter?
23	MS. MAYER: I live close to the
24	South Harper plant.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Do you

COVERING MISSOURI - 1-800-633-8289

1	have something to say that's different from what
2	we have already heard?
3	MS. MAYER: Well, actually I
4	don't or I guess I do. It's not a statement
5	but I really wanted to make a comment on the
6	commissioner asking Natalie if this rate case
7	affects us, and directly it doesn't look like it
8	will because we are not customers, but we are
9	customers of Osage valley, and one of the
10	vice-presidents of Aquila commented that Osage
11	Valley had bought electricity from Aquila. So if
12	Aquila's prices go up and Osage valley has to buy
13	electricity from them then it's going to be passed
14	on to us and, yes, these rates will affect us
15	eventually. So I just wanted to make that
16	comment. It's something that struck me that all
17	of this affects everybody in general. It's like
18	the pebble in the pond, it gets there, and that's
19	all I have to say.
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.
21	Questions from the bench, Chairman Davis.
22	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No questions
23	but, ma'am, thank you for coming. Thank you for
24	your testimony.
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner

1 Mu	rray.
------	-------

- 2 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No, but
- 3 thank you.
- 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Commissioner
- 5 Gaw.
- 6 COMMISSIONER GAW: I think you
- 7 may have said it but just for purposes of
- 8 clarification do you agree with the testimony
- 9 that's been given by Della January?
- MS. MAYER: Oh, yes.
- 11 COMMISSIONER GAW: And Natalie
- 12 Stephenson?
- MS. MAYER: Yes.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GAW: Was there
- 15 anyone else?
- MS. MAYER: Actually I pretty
- much agree with everybody who has spoken here.
- 18 COMMISSIONER GAW: All right.
- 19 Thank you, ma'am, for coming.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Questions from
- 21 counsel for Aquila.
- MR. BOUDREAU: None, thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff.
- MS. SHEMWELL: No, thank you,
- Judge.

1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Public counsel.
2	MR. MILLS: No questions.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: AARP.
4	MR. COFFMAN: No questions.
5	Thank you.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you for
7	your testimony, ma'am. You are excused. Is there
8	anyone else who would like to speak and has not
9	had an opportunity to sign up? Very well. There
10	being no further witnesses Mr. Miller, you
11	would like to speak?
12	MR. MILLS: Just as a final
13	matter, before the on the record portion began we
14	had a brief presentation from Aquila and at least
15	one of the witnesses referred to it. I wonder if
16	we could have Aquila make a copy of the chart that
17	they have showed to the public and referred to
18	that sort of summarizes from their viewpoint what
19	their rate case looks like.
20	It's been setting here on the easel
21	throughout the entire proceedings, and I think
22	just as a matter of keeping the record clear it
23	would be helpful to have that made an exhibit to

this transcript, just the one that shows the rate

case overview that everyone has been looking at

24

25

1 from the audience all n	night.
---------------------------	--------

- 2 MR. BOUDREAU: That would be no
- 3 problem if you would like to reserve an exhibit
- 4 for that purpose. Obviously what we have is
- 5 oversized and I don't think we have anything that
- 6 we can hand to the reporter at this point.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: I understand.
- 8 Why don't I give you some homework. Do you think
- 9 you could bring a smaller reproduction when we
- 10 start the evidentiary hearing January 9th?
- 11 MR. BOUDREAU: I certainly think
- 12 we could.
- 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: We will just go
- ahead and receive it at that time --
- MR. BOUDREAU: Sure.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: -- along with
- 17 the other exhibits and I think in fairness if you
- want to provide with that some sort of statement
- 19 summarizing whatever it is that Aquila said about
- that chart you can do that as well.
- 21 MR. BOUDREAU: That can be done
- 22 as well. Thank you.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: That's if you
- 24 want to. You don't have to. Very well. Anything
- else, Mr. Mills?

60 ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY KIMBERLY G. ROBERTS, CM 1 MR. MILLS: No, that was it. 2 Thank you. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Anyone else? 4 Chairman Davis. 5 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Judge, I do 6 think we ought to at least make a note that for those people that are interested we I believe have 8 a hearing set is it Monday morning at 9:00 or 10:00 a.m.?

10 JUDGE THOMPSON: It's Monday

11 morning.

CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's Monday 12

13 morning. I'm not sure what time it is. It's on

14 the financing for the South Harper peaking

facility. One of you lawyers help me out here. 15

MR. MILLS: EO-2005-0156. 16

CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right, and it 17

18 will be broadcast through our website over the

Internet if anyone is interested in watching that 19

just for anyone's information if they are 20

21 interested.

22 JUDGE THOMPSON: Or come on down

23 and attend if you want.

24 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Absolutely.

25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Anything else?

1	Yes, Ms. Shemwell.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge.
3	We have written comment forms if anyone would like
4	to use them. I have been handed one.
5	JUDGE THOMPSON: You have been
6	handed a written comment?
7	MS. SHEMWELL: I have been
8	handed a written comment from Tonya Holmes, but if
9	other people would wish to there are written
10	comment sheets over on the table.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
12	Anything further from anyone? Very well. Hearing
13	nothing and seeing no further witnesses this local
14	public hearing is now adjourned. Thank you all
15	very, very much for attending.
16	(Proceedings concluded at 7:15 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	SIDNEY McCARTHER	12
4	ALITHA McDANIEL	17
5	DELLA JANUARY	22
6	SCOTT CRAWFORD	38
7	NATALIE STEPHENSON	43
8	TONYA HOLMES	51
9	CINDY MAYER	55
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	EXHIBITS			
2				
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	RECEIVED
4	1	Testimony of		
5		Sidney McCarther	15	15
6	2	Two-page document	47	48
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				