```
Page 6
 1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
                    PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
 3
                   TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS
 4
                       Prehearing Conference
 5
                          April 17, 2015
7
                        St. Louis, Missouri
 8
                             Volume 2
 9
10
    ULLESSEYO WHITEHORN,
          Complainant,
11
                             )File No. EC-2015-0035
12 vs.
1.3
    UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY )
    d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI, )
14
           Respondent.
15
16
17
                   KENNARD L. JONES, PRESIDING
18
                      REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19
    REPORTED BY: Valerie A. Lehr, CCR, RPR
20
                   Midwest Litigation Services
                   711 North 11th Street
21
                  St. Louis, Missouri 63101
                   314/644-2191
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 7
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	
	Via Telephone for the Staff of the Missouri Public
4	Service Commission:
5	Mr. Nathan Williams, Esq.
	Public Service Commission
6	PO Box 360
	200 Madison Street
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
	573/ 751-3234
8	
	Via Telephone for Union Electric d/b/a Ameren Missouri:
9	
	Ms. Sarah Giboney, Esq.
10	Smith Lewis, LLP
	111 S. 9th Street
11	Columbia, Missouri 65201
	573/443-3141
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 8
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE JONES: We are on the record with
3	Case Number EC-2015-0035, Ullesseyo Whitehorn, Complaint
4	versus Union Electric Company, doing business as Ameren
5	Missouri.
6	My name is Kennard Jones. I'm the
7	Regulatory Law Judge presiding over this matter.
8	At this time, let's take entries of
9	appearances, beginning with Ameren Missouri.
10	MS. GIBONEY: This IS Sarah Giboney, Smith
11	Lewis, LLP, 111 South 9th Street, Columbia, Missouri
12	65201. With me today is Kathy Hart, Regulatory Liaison
13	for Ameren Missouri.
14	JUDGE JONES: For the Staff of the
15	Commission?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams, Deputy
17	Staff Counsel, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri
18	65102. I have here with me non-attorneys Dave Fred and
19	Justin Edwards.
20	JUDGE JONES: Are there any other
21	attorneys on the line?
22	All right. I'll note for the record that
23	Ms. Whitehorn failed to appear. This is the second
24	prehearing conference that she's failed to appear for,
25	and I will proceed accordingly.

		Page 9		
1		Does anyone have anything they want to		
2	add?			
3		MR. WILLIAMS: Staff does not.		
4		MS. GIBONEY: Ameren does not. Thank you,		
5	Judge.			
6		JUDGE JONES: Thank you all. Have a good		
7	weekend.			
8		MS. GIBONEY: Thank you.		
9		MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.		
10		(Wherein the Pre-Hearing Conference		
11	concluded at 10:18 a.m.)			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

	Page 10
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)
4)ss.
5	COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)
6	
7	I, Valerie Lehr, Certified Court Reporter #949,
8	and Registered Professional Reporter, within and for the
9	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was
10	personally present at the proceedings as set forth in
11	the caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took
12	down in stenotype the proceedings had at said time and
13	was thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and
14	accurately set forth in the preceding pages.
15	
16	
17	Valerie Lehr, CCR #949, RPR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	doing 8:4	10:17	Public 6:1 7:3,5	Ullesseyo 6:10
	d/b/a 6:13 7:8	let's 8:8		8:3
accurately 10:14 add 9:2		Lewis 7:10 8:11	R	Union 6:13 7:8
	\mathbf{E}	Liaison 8:12	R 8:1	8:4
Ameren 6:13 7:8	E 8:1,1	line 8:21	record 8:2,22	0.4
8:4,9,13 9:4	EC-2015-0035	Litigation 6:20	Registered 10:8	V
appear 8:23,24	6:12 8:3	LLP 7:10 8:11	Regulatory 6:18	Valerie 6:19 10:7
appearances 7:1	Edwards 8:19	Louis 6:7,21 10:5	8:7,12	10:17
8:9	Electric 6:13 7:8	Louis 0.7,21 10.3	REPORTED	versus 8:4
April 6:6	8:4	M	6:19	Volume 6:8
attorneys 8:21	entries 8:8	Madison 7:6	Reporter 10:7,8	vs 6:12
a.m 9:11		matter 8:7	REPORTER'S	VS 0.12
	Esq 7:5,9	Midwest 6:20	10:1	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$
B	F			want 9:1
beginning 8:9	failed 8:23,24	Missouri 6:1,7	Respondent 6:14	weekend 9:7
Box 7:6 8:17	File 6:12	6:13,21 7:3,7,8	right 8:22	Whitehorn 6:10
business 8:4	forth 10:10,14	7:11 8:5,9,11	RPR 6:19 10:17	8:3,23
	Fred 8:18	8:13,17 10:3,9	S	Williams 7:5
<u>C</u>	fully 10:13	N	S 7:10 8:1	8:16,16 9:3,9
C 8:1	1011y 10.13	$\frac{1}{N8:1}$	Sarah 7:9 8:10	0.10,10 9.3,9
caption 10:11	G			#
Case 8:3	$\overline{\mathbf{G} 8:1}$	name 8:6	second 8:23	#949 10:7,17
CCR 6:19 10:17	Giboney 7:9 8:10	Nathan 7:5 8:16	Service 6:1 7:4,5	10.7,17
CERTIFICATE	8:10 9:4,8	non-attorneys	Services 6:20	1
10:1	good 9:6	8:18	set 10:10,14	10:18 9:11
Certified 10:7	good 9.0	North 6:20	sheet 10:11	11th 6:20
certify 10:9	H	note 8:22	Smith 7:10 8:10	111 7:10 8:11
City 7:7 8:17	Hart 8:12	Number 8:3	South 8:11	17 6:6
Columbia 7:11	hereof 10:11	0	ss 10:4	17 0.0
8:11	10.11		St 6:7,21 10:5	2
Commission 6:1	J	O 8:1	Staff 7:3 8:14,17	2 6:8
7:4,5 8:15	Jefferson 7:7	P	9:3	200 7:6
Company 6:13	8:17	P 8:1	State 6:1 10:3,9	2015 6:6
8:4	Jones 6:17 8:2,6		stenotype 10:12	
Complainant	8:14,20 9:6	pages 10:14	Street 6:20 7:6	3
6:11	Judge 6:18 8:2,7	personally 10:10	7:10 8:11	314/644-2191
Complaint 8:3	8:14,20 9:5,6	PO 7:6 8:17		6:21
concluded 9:11	Justin 8:19	preceding 10:14	T	360 7:6 8:17
conference 6:4	Jusum 0.19	prehearing 6:4	take 8:8	
8:24 9:10	K	8:24	Telephone 7:3,8	5
Counsel 8:17	Kathy 8:12	present 10:10	Thank 9:4,6,8,9	573 7:7
COUNTY 10:5	Kennard 6:17	presiding 6:17	time 8:8 10:12	573/443-3141
Court 10:7	8:6	8:7	today 8:12	7:11
Court 10.7	0.0	Pre-Hearing	transcribed	
	L	9:10	10:13	6
D 8:1	$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L}6:17}$	proceed 8:25	TRANSCRIPT	63101 6:21
Dave 8:18	Law 6:18 8:7	proceedings 6:3	6:3	65102 7:7 8:18
Deputy 8:16	Lehr 6:19 10:7	10:10,12		65201 7:11 8:12
		Professional 10:8	U	

		1
7 711 6:20 751-3234 7:7 9 9th 7:10 8:11		