1	STATE OF MISSOURI			
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3				
4				
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
6	Pre-Hearing Conference			
7	May 2, 2018			
8	Jefferson City, Missouri			
9	Volume 1			
10				
11				
12	Anita Wessling,)			
13	Complainant,)			
14	v.) File No. EC-2018-0089			
15	Union Electric Company) d/b/a Ameren Missouri,)			
16	Respondent.)			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding			
20	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE			
21				
22				
23	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640			
24	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC			
25	Page			
	- wa			

1	APPEARANCES
2	SARAH GIBONEY, Attorney at Law Smith Lewis, LLP
3	111 South 9th Street Columbia, Missouri 65201
4	573.443.3141 FOR: Ameren Missouri
5	MARCELLA FORCK, Associate Staff Counsel
6	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.4140
8	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
9	Pro Se by Phone: Anita Wessling, Complainant
10	Present:
11	Aubrey Krcmar, Regulatory Liaison Noumbi Ghomsi, PSC Staff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page

1	(May 2, 2018)			
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Let's go on the record. The			
3	Commission is calling the action in File No.			
4	EC-2018-0089. This is the case of Anita Wessling vs.			
5	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri.			
6	I'm Daniel Jordan. I'm the Regulatory Law			
7	Judge assigned to this action. We're here for a			
8	Pre-hearing Conference, as it is often called, though we			
9	have set no hearing as of yet.			
10	We'll begin with entries of appearance and			
11	also introductions of any other client representatives.			
12	We'll begin with the complainant, Ms. Wessling? Ms.			
13	Wessling, will you state your name and spell it for the			
14	court reporter, please? Ms. Wessling, are you still			
15	there?			
16	MS. WESSLING: Sorry. I had you on mute.			
17	Anita Wessling, A-n-i-t-a W-e-s-s-l-i-n-g.			
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And for Ameren?			
19	MS. GIBONEY: For Ameren Missouri, I'm Sarah			
20	Giboney, and the court reporter has been provided with			
21	my contact information. With me today is a			
22	representative of the company. It's Aubrey Krchmar.			
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And for Staff?			
24	MS. FORCK: For the Staff of the Missouri			
25	Public Service, my name is Marcie Forck, and my Page			

information has been provided to the court reporter as 1 2 well. Thank you. And the Office of 3 JUDGE JORDAN: 4 the Public Counsel is a party to every action at the 5 Commission, but I see no representative from the Office of the Public Counsel. So it seems they have elected to 6 7 make no appearance in this action, which is their perfect right. 8 9 So I'm going to explain what exactly we're 10 doing today. We're not trying the case today. I may 11 ask what the parties' positions are, but it's not in an 12 evidentiary context. It's just to get the issues on the 13 table. 14 This part of the conference is on the record with a court reporter, and we can discuss procedure, we 15 16 can discuss some substantive matters, we can even 17 discuss the possibilities for settlement. 18 MS. WESSLING: Excuse me, Judge, but I'm 19 having a hard time hearing you. 20 JUDGE JORDAN: Why don't I come down from the bench here and get a little closer to that speaker phone 21 22 All right. Here I come and I am closer to the then. 23 speaker phone. Can you hear me okay now? 24 MS. WESSLING: Much better. Thank you.

Page

All right. Why don't I just

JUDGE JORDAN:

25

stay down here. In fact, I'll take a seat at the

conference table. This is an informal matter anyway.

So did you get what I was saying about what we're doing

on the record, Ms. Wessling?

MS. WESSLING: I did not catch that. If you could repeat it, please.

JUDGE JORDAN: I'm going to go ahead and repeat it all then. This is a conference. We're not taking evidence. We're not going to actually decide anything as far as the Commission making any decision.

The parties can put together anything that they like. We can talk about procedure, we can talk about substantive matters, we can discuss the possibilities for settlement, and all this part is on the record with a court reporter. When that's done, I reserved this room until noon so that the parties can have further discussions outside my presence, they can discuss sensitive matters that they don't necessarily want me to hear.

And I will also remind the parties that if they're not able to work something out that the Commission does offer mediation. All the judges at the Commission are trained in civil mediation, and that helps to settle a lot of cases and get people what they need.

Page

1	The outcome of this, I hope, will be a				
2	proposed procedural schedule which I will ask staff to				
3	coordinate and file with the Commission if the parties				
4	can agree on that. And then when we're all done with				
5	the conference, both the on the record and off record				
6	portions, I will ask staff to hang up the phone, lock				
7	up, turn off the lights, stuff like that.				
8	Now I see we have another representative from				
9	Staff present. Counsel, will you introduce the				
10	newcomer?				
11	MS. FORCK: Yes. This is Noumbi Ghomsi, and				
12	I'll let you spell it for the court reporter.				
13	MR. GHOMSI: N-o-u-m-b-i, and the last name				
14	G-h-o-m-s-i.				
15	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. Okay. Any				
16	questions about what we're going to do and get				
17	accomplished here? Ms. Wessling, any questions about				
18	what we're doing today?				
19	MS. WESSLING: No, sir, I'm clear. Thank you.				
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Very good. Anything from				
21	Ameren?				
22	MS. GIBONEY: No questions, Judge.				
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Staff?				
24	MS. FORCK: No, Your Honor.				
25	JUDGE JORDAN: Good. Well, here's what I'd Page				

1 like to do. I'd like to begin with some questions of my own, and I'm going to ask about the state of the 2 pleadings and we're also going to take up that motion to 3 4 change status that Ms. Wessling filed a few days ago. Now, as to the pleadings, here's a question 5 that's basically for everyone. I think I have to look 6 7 at the pleadings, that is, the documents that set out the claims and defenses, including Ms. Wessling's 8 response to the Staff response and also her notice of 9 10 additional outage. I think that's pretty much on the 11 table. 12 Does anyone have any issues or questions with 13 that as far as what I'm thinking? 14 MS. GIBONEY: No. I would just point out that 15 we did file a response to Ms. Wessling's notice of the 16 March 2 outage. JUDGE JORDAN: Right. I think all those 17 18 documents and the responses to those documents add to 19 the claims and defenses before the Commission, at least 20 that's how I'm seeing it right now. Anything else on that matter? 21 22 MS. FORCK: No. 23 JUDGE JORDAN: Good. Well, that leads me to a 24 question for Ms. Wessling. Since March 2, I believe it 25 was, the most recent outage that you reported to the

Page

```
Commission, have there been any further outages, Ms.
 1
 2
     Wessling?
               MS. WESSLING: No, Judge, there has not.
 3
               JUDGE JORDAN:
                              Okay. Well, that is good news
 4
                 So I think we know what the issues are on
 5
     at your end.
     the table at least for now.
 6
 7
               I had a question for Ameren and for Staff as
     to something that came up in response to Ms. Wessling's
 8
     notice of outage and that is a reference to something
 9
10
     that's described by an acronym IEEE and kind of a
11
     five-minute rule that showed up in Staff's supplemental
12
              I'll begin -- I'll just throw that open to the
     report.
13
     parties. What is IEEE?
14
               MS. GIBONEY:
                             I think Mr. Ghomsi could
     probably speak to that.
15
               MR. GHOMSI: I can speak to it a little bit.
16
17
     I'm an industrial engineer, but IEEE --
18
               THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can he speak
19
     up?
20
               MS. WESSLING:
                              Excuse me. But I cannot hear
21
    his response.
22
               JUDGE JORDAN:
                              I'm going to move the speaker
23
     phone closer to Mr. Ghomsi. He's a soft spoken
24
     gentleman, but I will ask him to speak a little less
25
     softly.
                               Page
```

1 MR. GHOMSI: IEEE, the acronym is Industrial Electrical Code -- I need to relook up the acronym 2 because I don't have my notes in front of me. 3 It deals 4 with electrical safety for the transmission grid IEEE. THE COURT REPORTER: The transmission what? 5 MR. GHOMSI: The transmission grid, 6 7 transmission and distribution and their safety recommendations outlined in their manual, the IEEE 8 manual, and also in a study they did for 2016 that came 9 10 out in 2017 indicates that momentary outages are defined 11 as anything five minutes or less. And therefore that's 12 where I got that information and also in our Code of 13 State Regulations that the utilities use to regulate how 14 they operate, it states that reliability reports are to 15 be submitted and those indices that are in the IEEE 16 manual speak to what are proper and safe for 17 distribution and transmission. 18 JUDGE JORDAN: It sounds like we're talking 19 about an industry standard or practice? 20 MR. GHOMSI: An industry standard. Judge, I believe the IS MS. GIBONEY: 21 22 International. I think it's an international standard. 23 It's basically an international standard that sets 24 standards for transmission related issues world wide. 25 So we'll find that the JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.

Page

Commission's regulations incorporate that standard somewhere.

MR. GHOMSI: In the reliability standard in 4 CSR 240-20.030.

JUDGE JORDAN: Not bad. Not bad. Well, when we brief this case, I'll bet the language of that regulation will come before the Commission and we'll see how that fits in. That answers my question.

Ms. Wessling, did you have any -- I wanted to give you a chance to follow up on that if you have anything to throw in on that standard.

MS. WESSLING: Yes. I'm still having a very difficult time hearing him. Am I to understand that this industry standard has been incorporated into the Commission's regulations?

JUDGE JORDAN: Well, that's Staff's position at least for now. I haven't read the regulation, and I haven't made any decision on it. So that's where we're at right now. It's an argument. The Commission hasn't concluded anything with regard to that, which is why I mentioned that I would be, or whoever decides this case, including the Commission, would be looking at that regulation when Staff cites it to us or Ameren Missouri cites it to us in writing and we'd find out exactly what the regulation, what the language of the regulation is,

what it incorporates and whether it incorporates the standard as just described. So that's the position right now. The Commission has concluded nothing on that issue.

2.5

MS. WESSLING: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE JORDAN: You're quite welcome.

The next matter that I want to take up is the motion to change status that Ms. Wessling has filed.

Ms. Wessling, did you want to add anything to that motion and tell us anything more about why you want what you want?

MS. WESSLING: Well, it has never been made clear to me how the dollar value of a complaint is determined by the Commission. The Commission rules state a value of \$3,000 and that seems to be a threshold as to whether or not it is a small claim or large claim. And it didn't appear to me that the Commission made any stance on this. I'm asking that it be reviewed and I also for my own clarification, what is that dollar value? I don't understand what that's based on.

JUDGE JORDAN: Well, I think that's a fair question. I will preface my own remarks, before I turn it over to the other parties on this issue, by stating that the regulatory law judges of the Commission did not draft this regulation. When it gets redrafted, it will

read differently. That being said, a threshold of 1 2 \$3,000 is pretty much how I read this, and the language, and I'm looking at the rule right now, is any dispute 3 involving less than \$3,000. Now, that's pretty simple 4 5 when all we have is a billing dispute, but I'm reading your pleadings as looking for some repairs to improve 6 7 the quality of service. Am I correct about that? 8 MS. WESSLING: What I am asking for is that the service be reliable as they are required to do. 9 10 JUDGE JORDAN: That's pretty much what I read 11 into your complaint. I'll hear any response what the 12 positions of the parties are, other parties. 13 start with Ameren. 14 MS. GIBONEY: Judge, we have no position 15 either way on whether or not this should be classified 16 as a small complaint. We do agree that this isn't 17 really a billing dispute. We agree that it's a service 18 issue dispute, but we don't really have a position on whether it should be classified as a small formal. 19 20 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Staff? 21 MS. FORCK: Similarly Staff doesn't have a 22 position either way. If it's more beneficial to the 23 complainant to have it defined as small formal so that hearings are in her area, I don't have any issue with 24

2.5

that.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, Ms. Wessling, the issue that you raised as to the amount is the one that gave me pause as to this since the language is very broad involving less than \$3,000 and I think repairs in an action like this may indeed involve something more than \$3,000. Nonetheless, this regulation is to -- it grants rights to parties that are personal rights and certainly may be waived.

If I may, let me ask you, I don't want to get too deep into litigation strategy or anything, but there are indeed some advantages for the complainant in the small complaint procedure. One of them is an expedited processing and we're way beyond that one.

I don't know anytime that a small complaint has actually met these deadlines. It just never does. It takes longer to work out these things.

Can you tell me what you're interested in as far as what this regulation gives you?

MS. WESSLING: Well, to date this is the second time a hearing has been scheduled for Jefferson City, which obviously is very inconvenient for me and my neighbors. There are 70 some people that have been affected by these outages, and so there are other people besides just me interested in this hearing.

So first and foremost is location. We would

like and it was our impression before even filing the complaint that this would be held in the county in which the problem occurred. We were not under the impression that we were going to have to hire a bus transportation to get to Jefferson City. First and foremost that's what it is.

2.5

Secondly, I'm just wondering what the \$3,000 is. We don't understand the language. It sounds like you don't either, Judge.

that's an issue. Whether the Commission rules to proceed under the small complaint rule or not, we can certainly schedule hearings in a venue that's closer to you. So that can definitely happen. This rule is certainly made for the convenience of the pro se litigant, the consumer, the customer. It has a few other things that -- It has one or two other things, and I'm sure you've seen them, the idea of the proposed order and the comment period and then the thing goes to the Commission. I put out kind of a draft or recommendation and then the Commission votes on it, which is not so convenient and not so quick.

So I'll tell you what. We may leave it there and the parties may want to discuss it. The small complaint rule is supposed to be quicker and easier. In

this case it might protract the proceedings a little 1 2 further. I just wanted to make sure you were aware of 3 that. But in any event, the Commission can certainly 5 schedule something closer to you that is something more 6 convenient for yourself and your neighbors and any 7 witnesses that you may have. That's only sensible. 8 That's not convenient for the judge, but the judge's 9 convenience is definitely not at issue here. Okay? So 10 I'm going to suggest that the parties discuss that when 11 we're off the record and may come to some arrangement as 12 far as a venue for the evidentiary hearing that will be 13 convenient for the parties. 14 MS. GIBONEY: May I ask one question about 15 that? 16 JUDGE JORDAN: Certainly you may. 17 MS. GIBONEY: May I ask Ms. Wessling a

JUDGE JORDAN: Sure.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

question?

MS. GIBONEY: Ms. Wessling, this is Sarah Giboney. I'm thinking about venues closer to your home and I'm thinking about your comment about your 70 neighbors, and the judge may tell me that you don't have to answer this, but are you planning on calling a bunch of witnesses and do we need to find a venue that can

accommodate a crowd? 1 2 MS. WESSLING: I would recommend that, yes. JUDGE JORDAN: 3 Okay. MS. GIBONEY: Okay. I may -- sure. 4 Thank 5 you. 6 JUDGE JORDAN: Does the Staff have anything to 7 add to that discussion? 8 MS. FORCK: No, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Good. Well, that's all the questions that I had. So does anyone have any 10 11 questions for me as far as procedure or anything else 12 that I can help you with today before we go off the record and I leave it to the parties' discussion off the 13 14 record? Ms. Wessling, anything? 15 MS. WESSLING: Nothing. 16 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Anything from Ameren? 17 MS. GIBONEY: Judge, I'm wondering now about 18 maybe building into the procedural schedule that we 19 develop a timeline for parties to identify their 20 witnesses that they expect to call. Would that be 21 reasonable? 22 JUDGE JORDAN: Well, certainly this is a 23 contested case. You do have the right to discovery and 24 that would include the identification of persons having 2.5 knowledge. Once discovery is closed, then and I don't

think before then, would we do anything like a witness 1 2 list. MS. GIBONEY: But then like a normal rate case 3 proceeding once that's closed we could be expected to 4 5 identify witnesses that will be presenting testimony? A list of witnesses, yes. 6 JUDGE JORDAN: 7 That's one of the procedures from rate cases that carry 8 over and are very convenient and helpful in other cases. 9 You can certainly talk about that. 10 MS. GIBONEY: Thank you. 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Anything from Staff? 12 MS. FORCK: Is there anything specific that you'd like to see in the procedural schedule? You know, 13 14 I guess my thought would probably be a timeline for 15 direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony if it's going 16 to be filed versus if we're going to do live testimony 17 on the stand. 18 And you know, I don't know if having a bunch of witnesses to be called would make it more convenient 19 to prefile versus conduct. I'm not sure. I don't know 20 21 whether you have any thoughts on that matter. 22 Well, a couple of things. JUDGE JORDAN: 23 small complaint regulation allows the Commission to 24 dispense with prefiled testimony.

Ms. Wessling, I'm going to tell you a little

25

bit about prefiled testimony, if you'd like me to.

2.5

MS. WESSLING: Yes, I would. Thank you.

JUDGE JORDAN: Well, this is something that we do in huge rate cases, and all rate cases are huge by comparison to anything else that we do. What you do in a rate case is, basically you do the thing that you're told never to do in law school. That is, you depose your own witness, take a deposition of your own witness and the parties all exchange those things and experts compare their ideas and then they get on the phone and argue with each other and argue with each other in person. And what that does is get testimony nailed down, filed before the Commission. It's very helpful for technical things.

Small complaint, the Commission can dispense with that and say no, we're just going to have an evidentiary hearing just like you have in circuit court when you have a bench trial. That is, people take the stand and they give their testimony then. That's what we're talking about.

The small complaint case we can get rid of that prefiled testimony and just do a normal type of hearing.

MS. GIBONEY: Judge, would you mind explaining to Ms. Wessling the process about the direct and then

rebuttal and surrebuttal and then that might help explain why and when people file.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Staff counsel mentioned direct and rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony. Prefiled testimony goes in rounds. That is, people will file their direct testimony and then they look at that and they -- it's basically a conversation by filed testimony. They will -- Their expert will look at that testimony and then there will be a round of rebuttal testimony where the experts tell each other why they're wrong, and then we have -- Sometimes we'll have surrebuttal testimony where the experts will tell each other why they were right in the first place and the other guy was wrong and that's how that goes. And it gets complicated. That's why we dispense with it in a small complaint.

So that's what we're talking about with prepared testimony. Now, will we have that? I think that depends on how the Commission rules, and I'm keeping in mind that the small complaint provisions are meant to make things easier for the pro se litigant.

So what would I like to see in a procedural schedule? I've got to wonder how much prepared testimony would really help in a case like this. We don't have to have it in any case, including a rate

Case law provides that we don't have to have it. 1 case. 2 So I wasn't considering it. I wasn't thinking about it. MS. FORCK: Would you envision simply a 3 hearing date and perhaps prior to the hearing a list of 4 issues, a list of witnesses, list of undisputed facts, 5 6 filing of those or just the hearing date, you know? I'd 7 just like to get an idea for what we should plan on I've done a few of these small formal 8 building in. 9 complaints, consumer complaints, and they've been 10 slightly different in each instance. 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Here's the thing about issues 12 lists and lists of witnesses. That's another device 13 that developed in the rate case where there are a 14 bazillion line items and you simply cannot have 15 pleadings like you do in a complaint. I think a 16 complaint and the other pleadings that we've discussed 17 are setting out the issues with perfect clarity. 18 personally don't see the need for an issues list. think this stuff is clear enough to the Commission. 19 20 Now, that's, of course, that's just one 21 lawyer's view of this case. How this procedural 22 schedule comes out is up to the parties. 23 MS. GIBONEY: What about the list of witnesses, though, Judge? I do have a concern if Ms. 24

Wessling is planning on bringing a parade of witnesses

25

that the company would like to have some idea of who the 1 2 people are and what type of testimony they might be presenting. Well, I would hope it would be factual, 3 fact witnesses. 4 JUDGE JORDAN: What else would we have? 5 6 MS. GIBONEY: Experts. I don't know. I don't 7 I've never been involved in a complaint where a know. 8 complainant brought additional witnesses. So I'm kind 9 of thinking through what that means in terms of 10 preparing. 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Sure, we have to fit this into 12 the contested case procedure. 13 MS. GIBONEY: Right. JUDGE JORDAN: Let me ask Ms. Wessling 14 15 something. And once again, if this gets too far into 16 your litigation strategy, you can feel free to not answer me. Are you thinking of having 70 witnesses? 17 18 MS. WESSLING: As I stated earlier, there are 19 a lot of people who have been affected by this case, a 20 lot of people who have been put out, a lot of people 21 who've spent a tremendous amount of money on generators, 22 and so forth, because of this lack of reliability. 23 cannot speak for them. All I can say is that they're

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, the hearing will

very interested in this case.

24

2.5

be an open meeting. It's open to the public. Everyone can attend. The only thing that we close it for are certain trade secrets and other confidential information. Information about your account is part of that confidential information, but that's your information and if you want to share it in an open meeting, of course, you may.

2.5

Right now we may have 70 people affected but we have only one complainant. The documents that we discussed, your complaint, the answer, the notice of additional outage, responses to that, that circumscribes what we will talk about at the evidentiary hearing. So unless that expands, that's really what it's going to be about, which is why it's most likely that you will be -- why it happens in these complaints that there's only one witness, which is the complainant, and tells us about their service problems.

As far as expert witnesses go, when the Commission has technical issues that it needs a good explanation on, that's when expert witnesses come in.

An expert witness doesn't testify to their first-hand knowledge of facts like you will be doing. An expert says well, I've looked at these documents and I think here's what's going on, it meets the standard or it does not meet the standard, stuff like that. Does that help

```
1
    you?
 2
               MS. WESSLING: Are you speaking to me?
 3
               JUDGE JORDAN: Yes, Ms. Wessling.
                                                  That was a
 4
    question for you.
 5
               MS. WESSLING: Yeah, I don't think I was the
     one that had the question.
 6
 7
               THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
 8
     that sentence.
 9
               JUDGE JORDAN: Will you repeat that sentence,
10
    please, Ms. Wessling?
11
               MS. WESSLING:
                              Well, I wasn't the one that
12
    asked the question. I only replied that there are many
13
    people interested in this case. I never used the word
14
    witness.
15
               JUDGE JORDAN: Good, very good. Okay.
16
     that clarify things for Ameren?
17
               MS. GIBONEY: Yes, that helps us to know what
18
    discovery to serve on Ms. Wessling and possibly help us
19
     find a location that might accommodate all the
20
     interested parties. Not in the technical sense of
21
     interested party but interested customers, how about we
22
     say that.
23
               JUDGE JORDAN:
                              All right. What else can I do
24
     for the parties while I'm here? Anything, Ms. Wessling?
2.5
               MS. WESSLING: Well, on my end it appears that
```

```
this could go one of two very different directions; and
 1
    until I find out whether it's going to be determined a
 2.
     small or otherwise claim, obviously that's going to
 3
     affect everything. So I don't have any questions at
 4
     this point.
 5
 6
               JUDGE JORDAN: Fair enough. Anything from
 7
     Ameren?
 8
               MS. GIBONEY: No, Judge.
 9
               JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Anything from Staff?
10
               MS. FORCK: No, Your Honor.
11
               JUDGE JORDAN: All right. Well, I'll tell you
12
    what I'm going to do. Since no other party objects, I
     think I'm going to go ahead and rule this a small -- I'm
13
14
     going to apply the small complaint procedures here
15
    because the parties have waived their rights to the
16
     ordinary complaint procedure. So I think I'm going to
17
     go ahead and issue that order since Ms. Wessling has
     asked for it and nobody else cares. Does that help you,
18
19
    Ms. Wessling?
20
               MS. WESSLING: Yes, it does.
                                             Thank you.
               JUDGE JORDAN: All right. Okay. That's all I
21
2.2
    have and it sounds like that's all the parties have.
23
     I correct?
24
               MS. GIBONEY: Yes, Judge.
25
               MS. FORCK: Yes.
```

```
1
               JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Then what I'll do is
     I'll go ahead and I'll leave the room for the parties to
 2
     discuss these issues, work on their proposed procedural
 3
 4
     schedule and anything else they want to talk about.
 5
     With that, I thank all the parties and we will go off
     the record. Thank you, everyone.
 6
 7
               MS. GIBONEY: Thank you, Judge.
               MS. FORCK: Thank you.
 8
 9
               (Off the record.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	E (1 E ~ 16 16 18 TO
14	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, TCRNc. 640
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

Index: \$3,000..coordinate

\$	Ameren 3:5,18,19 6:21 8:7 10:23 12:13	bus 14:4	Commission 3:3 4:5 5:10,22,23 6:3 7:19
A	16:16 23:16	С	8:1 10:7,19,22 11:3, 14,17,24 14:11,20,21
\$3,000 11:15 12:2,4 13:4,6 14:7	amount 13:2 21:21		15:4 17:23 18:13,15
10.1,0 11.7	Anita 3:4,17	call 16:20	19:19 20:19 22:19
2	answers 10:8	called 3:8 17:19	Commission's 10:1,
2 0:4 7:40 04	anytime 13:14	calling 3:3 15:24	15
2 3:1 7:16,24	appearance 3:10 4:7	carry 17:7	company 3:5,22 21:1
2016 9:9	appears 23:25	case 3:4 4:10 10:6,21	compare 18:10
2017 9:10	area 12:24	15:1 16:23 17:3 18:6, 21 19:24,25 20:1,13,	comparison 18:5
2018 3:1	argue 18:11	21 21:12,19,24 23:13	complainant 3:12 12:23 13:11 21:8 22:9,
240-20.030 10:4	argument 10:19	cases 5:24 17:7,8 18:4	16
4	arrangement 15:11	catch 5:5	complaint 11:13
	assigned 3:7	chance 10:10	12:11,16 13:12,14 14:2,12,25 17:23
4 10:3	attend 22:2		18:15,21 19:16,20
7	Aubrey 3:22	change 7:4 11:8	20:15,16 21:7 22:10
	aware 15:2	circum 18:17	complaints 20:9 22:15
70 13:22 15:22 21:17			complicated 19:15
22:8	B	cites 10:23,24	concern 20:24
Α	bad 10:5	City 13:21 14:5	concluded 10:20
	based 11:20		11:3
A-N-I-T-A 3:17	basically 7:6 9:23	claim 11:16	conduct 17:20
accommodate 16:1 23:19	18:6 19:7	claims 7:8,19	conference 3:8 4:14
accomplished 6:17	bazillion 20:14	clarification 11:19	5:2,8 6:5
account 22:4	begin 3:10,12 7:1	clarify 23:16	confidential 22:3,5
	8:12	clarity 20:17	consumer 14:16 20:9
acronym 8:10 9:1,2	bench 4:21 18:18	classified 12:15,19	contact 3:21
action 3:3,7 4:4,7 13:5	beneficial 12:22	clear 6:19 11:13 20:19	contested 16:23 21:12
add 7:18 11:9 16:7	bet 10:6	client 3:11	
additional 7:10 21:8	billing 12:5,17	close 22:2	context 4:12
22:11	bit 8:16 18:1	closed 16:25 17:4	convenience 14:15 15:9
advantages 13:11	bringing 20:25	closer 4:21,22 8:23 14:13 15:5,21	convenient 14:22
affected 13:23 21:19	broad 13:4	Code 9:2,12	15:6,8,13 17:8,19
22:8	brought 21:8	·	conversation 19:7
agree 6:4 12:16,17	building 16:18 20:8	comment 14:19 15:22	coordinate 6:3
ahead 5:7	bunch 15:24 17:18		

Index: correct..home

correct 12:7	direct 17:15 18:25	expect 16:20	
counsel 4:4,6 6:9	19:4,6	expected 17:4	G
19:3	discovery 16:23,25 23:18	expedited 13:12	G-H-O-M-S-I 6:14
county 14:2	discuss 4:15,16,17	expert 19:8 22:18,20,	gave 13:3
couple 17:22	5:13,18 14:24 15:10	21,22	generators 21:21
court 3:14,20 4:1,15 5:15 6:12 8:18 9:5 18:17 23:7	discussed 20:16 22:10	experts 18:9 19:10,12 21:6	gentleman 8:24 Ghomsi 6:11,13 8:14,
crowd 16:1	discussion 16:7,13	explain 4:9 19:2	16,23 9:1,6,20 10:3
CSR 10:4	discussions 5:17	explaining 18:24	Giboney 3:19,20 6:22
customer 14:16	dispense 17:24 18:15 19:15	explanation 22:20	7:14 8:14 9:21 12:14 15:14,17,20,21 16:4,
customers 23:21	dispute 12:3,5,17,18	F	17 17:3,10 18:24 20:23 21:6,13 23:17
D	distribution 9:7,17	fact 5:1 21:4	give 10:10 18:19
	documents 7:7,18	facts 20:5 22:22	good 6:20,25 7:23 8:4
d/b/a 3:5	22:9,23 dollar 11:13,19	factual 21:3	16:9 22:19 23:15
Daniel 3:6	·	fair 11:21	grants 13:7
date 13:19 20:4,6	draft 11:25 14:20	feel 21:16	grid 9:4,6
days 7:4	E	file 3:3 6:3 7:15 19:2,5	guess 17:14
deadlines 13:15		filed 7:4 11:8 17:16	guy 19:14
deals 9:3	earlier 21:18	18:13 19:7	Н
decide 5:9	easier 14:25 19:21	filing 14:1 20:6	
decides 10:21	EC-2018-0089 3:4	find 9:25 10:24 15:25 23:19	hang 6:6
decision 5:10 10:18	elected 4:6	first-hand 22:21	happen 14:14
deep 13:10	Electric 3:5	fit 21:11	hard 4:19
defenses 7:8,19	electrical 9:2,4	fits 10:8	hear 4:23 5:19 8:20 12:11 23:7
defined 9:10 12:23	end 8:5 23:25	five-minute 8:11	hearing 3:9 4:19
depends 19:19	engineer 8:17	follow 10:10	10:13 13:20,24 15:12
depose 18:7	entries 3:10	Forck 3:24,25 6:11,24	18:17,23 20:4,6 21:25 22:12
deposition 18:8	envision 20:3	7:22 12:21 16:8 17:12	
determined 11:14	event 15:4	20:3	hearings 12:24 14:13
develop 16:19	evidence 5:9	foremost 13:25 14:5	held 14:2
developed 20:13	evidentiary 4:12 15:12 18:17 22:12	formal 12:19,23 20:8	helpful 17:8 18:13
device 20:12	exchange 18:9	free 21:16	helps 5:24 23:17
differently 12:1	Excuse 4:18 8:20	front 9:3	hire 14:4
difficult 10:13			home 15:21
	expands 22:13		
I	1	I	I

Index: Honor..Office

Honor 6:24 16:8	issue 11:4,23 12:18,	large 11:16	meeting 22:1,7
hope 6:1 21:3	24 13:2 14:11 15:9	law 3:6 11:24 18:7	meets 22:24
huge 18:4	issues 4:12 7:12 8:5 9:24 20:5,11,17,18	20:1	mentioned 10:21
	22:19	lawyer's 20:21	19:3
<u> </u>	items 20:14	leads 7:23	met 13:15
idea 14:18 20:7 21:1		leave 14:23 16:13	mind 18:24 19:20
	J	lights 6:7	minutes 9:11
ideas 18:10 identification 16:24	Jefferson 13:20 14:5	list 17:2,6 20:4,5,18,	Missouri 3:5,19,24
	Jordan 3:2,6,18,23	23	10:23
identify 16:19 17:5	4:3,20,25 5:7 6:15,20,	lists 20:12	momentary 9:10
IEEE 8:10,13,17 9:1,4, 8,15	23,25 7:17,23 8:4,22	litigant 14:16 19:21	money 21:21
impression 14:1,3	9:18,25 10:5,16 11:6, 21 12:10,20 13:1	litigation 13:10 21:16	motion 7:3 11:8,10
improve 12:6	14:10 15:16,19 16:3,6,	live 17:16	move 8:22
include 16:24	9,16,22 17:6,11,22 18:3 19:3 20:11 21:5,	location 13:25 14:10 23:19	mute 3:16
including 7:8 10:22	11,14,25 23:3,9,15,23	lock 6:6	N
19:25	judge 3:2,7,18,23 4:3,		
inconvenient 13:21	18,20,25 5:7 6:15,20, 22,23,25 7:17,23 8:3,	longer 13:16	N-O-U-M-B-I 6:13
incorporate 10:1	4,22 9:18,21,25 10:5,	looked 22:23	nailed 18:12
incorporated 10:14	16 11:6,21 12:10,14, 20 13:1 14:9,10 15:8,	lot 5:24 21:19,20	necessarily 5:18
incorporates 11:1	16,19,23 16:3,6,9,16,	M	neighbors 13:22
indices 9:15	17,22 17:6,11,22 18:3, 24 19:3 20:11,24 21:5,		15:6,23
industrial 8:17 9:1	11,14,25 23:3,9,15,23	made 10:18 11:12,17	newcomer 6:10
industry 9:19,20	judge's 15:8	14:15	news 8:4
10:14	judges 5:22 11:24	make 4:7 15:2 17:19 19:21	Nonetheless 13:6
informal 5:2		making 5:10	noon 5:16
information 3:21 4:1	K	manual 9:8,9,16	normal 17:3 18:22
9:12 22:4,5,6	keeping 19:20	March 7:16,24	notes 9:3
instance 20:10	kind 8:10 14:20 21:8	Marcie 3:25	notice 7:9,15 8:9
interested 13:17,24 21:24 23:13,20,21	knowledge 16:25	matter 5:2 7:21 11:7	22:10 Noumbi 6:11
international 9:22,23	22:22	17:21	Noumbi 6:11
introduce 6:9	Krchmar 3:22	matters 4:16 5:13,18	0
introductions 3:11		means 21:9	
involve 13:5		meant 19:21	occurred 14:3
involved 21:7	lack 21:22	mediation 5:22,23	offer 5:22
involving 12:4 13:4	language 10:6,25	meet 22:25	Office 4:3,5
-	12:2 13:3 14:8		

open 8:12 22:1,6 portions 6:6 **provided** 3:20 4:1 23.25 11:25 13:6.18 17:23 operate 9:14 **position** 10:16 11:2 provisions 19:20 12:14,18,22 regulations 9:13 order 14:19 **public** 3:25 4:4,6 22:1 10:1,15 positions 4:11 12:12 outage 7:10,16,25 8:9 **put** 5:11 14:20 21:20 **regulatory** 3:6 11:24 possibilities 4:17 22:11 5:14 related 9:24 Q outages 8:1 9:10 possibly 23:18 reliability 9:14 10:3 13:23 21:22 quality 12:7 outcome 6:1 practice 9:19 reliable 12:9 question 7:5,24 8:7 outlined 9:8 Pre-hearing 3:8 10:8 11:22 15:14,18 relook 9:2 preface 11:22 23:4,6,12 Ρ remarks 11:22 prefile 17:20 questions 6:16,17,22 remind 5:20 7:1,12 16:10,11 prefiled 17:24 18:1, parade 20:25 22 19:4 repairs 12:6 13:4 quick 14:22 part 4:14 5:14 22:4 **prepared** 19:18,23 repeat 5:6,8 23:9 quicker 14:25 parties 5:11,16,20 6:3 preparing 21:10 replied 23:12 8:13 11:23 12:12 13:7 14:24 15:10,13 16:19 R presence 5:17 report 8:12 18:9 20:22 23:20,24 present 6:9 reported 7:25 raised 13:2 parties' 4:11 16:13 presenting 17:5 21:3 reporter 3:14,20 4:1, rate 17:3,7 18:4,6 party 4:4 23:21 15 5:15 6:12 8:18 9:5 19:25 20:13 pretty 7:10 12:2,4,10 23:7 **pause** 13:3 **read** 10:17 12:1,2,10 prior 20:4 reports 9:14 **people** 5:24 13:22,23 reading 12:5 **pro** 14:15 19:21 18:18 19:2,5 21:2,19, representative 3:22 20 22:8 23:13 reasonable 16:21 problem 14:3 4:5 6:8 perfect 4:8 20:17 rebuttal 17:15 19:1,4, representatives problems 22:17 9 3:11 **period** 14:19 procedural 6:2 16:18 recent 7:25 required 12:9 17:13 19:22 20:21 **person** 18:12 recommend 16:2 procedure 4:15 5:12 reserved 5:16 personal 13:7 13:12 16:11 21:12 recommendation response 7:9,15 8:8, personally 20:18 14:21 21 12:11 procedures 17:7 persons 16:24 recommendations proceed 14:12 responses 7:18 **phone** 4:21,23 6:6 9:8 22:11 proceeding 17:4 8:23 18:10 record 3:2 4:14 5:4, reviewed 11:18 proceedings 15:1 **place** 19:13 15 6:5 15:11 16:13,14 rid 18:21 plan 20:7 **process** 18:25 redrafted 11:25 **rights** 13:7 processing 13:13 planning 15:24 20:25 reference 8:9 **room** 5:16 pleadings 7:3,5,7 proper 9:16 regard 10:20 round 19:9 12:6 20:15,16 proposed 6:2 14:18 regulate 9:13 **rounds** 19:5 **point** 7:14 protract 15:1 regulation 10:7,17,

rule 8:11 12:3 14:12. 20 20:8 suggest 15:10 **trade** 22:3 14.25 **soft** 8:23 trained 5:23 supplemental 8:11 rules 11:14 14:11 softly 8:25 supposed 14:25 transmission 9:4,5, 19:19 6,7,17,24 **sounds** 9:18 14:8 surrebuttal 17:15 transportation 14:4 19:1,4,12 S **speak** 8:15,16,18,24 9:16 21:23 tremendous 21:21 Т **safe** 9:16 speaker 4:21,23 8:22 trial 18:18 **safety** 9:4,7 speaking 23:2 turn 6:7 11:22 table 4:13 5:2 7:11 8:6 **Sarah** 3:19 15:20 specific 17:12 **type** 18:22 21:2 takes 13:16 schedule 6:2 14:13 **spell** 3:13 6:12 taking 5:9 15:5 16:18 17:13 U **spent** 21:21 19:23 20:22 **talk** 5:12 17:9 22:12 spoken 8:23 scheduled 13:20 talking 9:18 18:20 understand 10:13 19:17 11:20 14:8 **staff** 3:23.24 6:2.6.9. school 18:7 23 7:9 8:7 10:23 technical 18:14 22:19 undisputed 20:5 **seat** 5:1 12:20,21 16:6 17:11 23:20 Union 3:5 19:3 secrets 22:3 tells 22:16 utilities 9:13 **Staff's** 8:11 10:16 sense 23:20 terms 21:9 **stance** 11:18 sensitive 5:18 ٧ **testify** 22:21 **stand** 17:17 18:19 sentence 23:8,9 **testimony** 17:5,15, standard 9:19,20,22, **venue** 14:10,13 **serve** 23:18 16,24 18:1,12,19,22 15:12,25 23 10:1,3,11,14 11:2 19:4,5,6,8,9,10,12,18, **service** 3:25 12:7,9, 22:24.25 24 21:2 venues 15:21 17 22:17 standards 9:24 thing 14:19 18:6 versus 17:16,20 set 3:9 7:7 20:11 22:2 **start** 12:13 view 20:21 **sets** 9:23 **things** 13:16 14:17 **state** 3:13 7:2 9:13 votes 14:21 setting 20:17 17:22 18:9,14 19:21 11:15 23:16 settle 5:24 **stated** 21:18 W thinking 7:13 15:21, **settlement** 4:17 5:14 **states** 9:14 22 20:2 21:9,17 W-E-S-S-L-I-N-G **share** 22:6 stating 11:23 thought 17:14 3:17 showed 8:11 **status** 7:4 11:8 thoughts 17:21 waived 13:8 Similarly 12:21 **stay** 5:1 threshold 11:15 12:1 wanted 10:9 15:2 simple 12:4 strategy 13:10 21:16 throw 8:12 10:11 Wessling 3:4,12,13, **simply** 20:3,14 **study** 9:9 time 4:19 10:13 13:20 14,16,17 4:18,24 5:4,5 sir 6:19 6:17,19 7:4,24 8:2,3, stuff 6:7 20:19 22:25 timeline 16:19 17:14 20 10:9,12 11:5,8,9,12 slightly 20:10 submitted 9:15 today 3:21 4:10 6:18 12:8 13:1,19 15:17,20 16:2,14,15 17:25 18:2, 16:12 **small** 11:16 12:16,19, substantive 4:16 25 20:25 21:14,18 23 13:12,14 14:12,24 5:13 told 18:7 23:2,3,5,10,11,18,24, 17:23 18:15,21 19:16,

Index: Wessling's..wrong

25		
Wessling's 7:8,15 8:8		
who've 21:21		
wide 9:24		
witnesses 15:7,25 16:20 17:5,6,19 20:5, 12,24,25 21:4,8,17 22:18,20		
wondering 14:7 16:17		
word 23:13		
work 5:21 13:16		
world 9:24		
writing 10:24		
wrong 19:11,14		