FILED March 4, 2011 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission



Robin Carnahan Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division Rulemaking Transmittal Receipt

Rule ID: 12610 Date Printed: 3/2/2011 Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.060 Rulemaking Type: Proposed Amendment Date Submitted to Administrative Rules Division: 3/2/2011 Date Submitted to Joint Committee on Administrative Rules: 3/2/2011

Content: Nancy Dippell	Phone: 1-4393	Email: nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov	Fax:
RuleDataEntry:	Phone:	Email:	Fax:
cluded with Rulemaking	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
cluded with Rulemaking: Cover Letter		3/02/2011	

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL	Administrative Rules Stamp RECEIVED MAR 0 2 2011 SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES			
Rule Number 4 CSR 240-2.060	COPY			
Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.				
Name of person to call with questions about this rule:ContentNancy DippellPhone573-751-4393Email addressNancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov				
Data Entry same Phone Email address	FAX			
Interagency mailing address Public Service Commission, 9 th Fl, Gov.Ofc Bldg, JC, MO				
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN Emergency rulemaking, include effective date Proposed Rulemaking Withdrawal Rule Action Notice In Addition Rule Under Consideration Order of Rulemaking Effective Date for the Order				
Statutory 30 days OR Specific date Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text?				
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text: Amending Sections (1), (3), and (5).				
Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp	JCAR Stamp			
SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOAR	D JOINT COMMUTTEE ON			
MAR 0 2 2011	MAR 0 2. 2011			
RECEIVED	ADMINISTRATIVE FILLES			



Commissioners KEVIN GUNN Chairman ROBERT M. CLAYTON III JEFF DAVIS TERRY M. JARRETT ROBERT S. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov WESS A. HENDERSON Executive Director

VACANT Director, Administration and Regulatory Policy

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

NATELLE DIETRICH Director, Utility Operations

ł

i

STEVEN C. REED Secretary/General Counsel

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

March 2, 2011

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-2.060 Applications

Dear Secretary Carnahan,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than twenty-five full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Statutory Authority: sections 386.250 and 386.410, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact me at the address and number below.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell, Debuty Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4393 Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Enclosure

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)

I, David Kerr, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed amendment, 4 CSR 240-2.060, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

ł

David Kerr Director Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of , 2011, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of _, State of ale_ Missouri, and my commission expires on 17 July 2011.

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER Notary Public - Notary Seat State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 17, 2011 Commission Number: 07492656

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240--Public Service Commission Chapter 2--Practice and Procedure

RECEIVED

MAR 0 2 2011

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

4 CSR 240-2.060 Applications The commission is amending sections (1), (3), and (5).

PURPOSE: Subsection (1)(J) is being amended to clarify that incorporated associations or entities created by statute do not have to file a list of members. Section (3) is being amended to change the term "purchaser" to "a necessary party to a transaction" because the entities governed by the rule are not always involved in a sale or purchase. Section (5) is being amended to exempt telecommunications companies and IVoIP companies from having to seek Commission approval for name changes under this rule.

(1) All applications shall comply with the requirements of these rules and shall include the following information:

(J) If any applicant is an association, other than an incorporated association or other entity created by statute, a list of all of its members;

(3) If [the purchaser] a necessary party to a transaction for which approval is sought under the provisions of 4 CSR 240-3.110, 4 CSR 240-3.115, 4 CSR 240-3.210, 4 CSR 240-3.215, 4 CSR 240-3.310, 4 CSR 240-3.315, 4 CSR 240-3.405, 4 CSR 240-3.410, 4 CSR 240-3.520, 4 CSR 240-3.525, 4 CSR 240-3.605 or 4 CSR 240-3.610 is not subject to the jurisdiction of the commission, but will be subject to the commission's jurisdiction after the [sale, the purchaser] transaction, the necessary party must comply with these rules.

(5) [A] Except for telecommunications companies and providers of video services or interconnected voice over Internet protocol (IVoIP) services, a name change may be accomplished by filing the items below with a cover letter requesting a change of name. Notwithstanding any other provision of these rules, the items required herein may be filed by a nonattorney. Applications for approval of a change of name shall include:

AUTHORITY: sections 386.250 and 386.410, RSMo 2000.* Original rule filed Dec. 19, 1975, effective Dec. 29, 1975. Amended: Filed Nov. 7, 1984, effective June 15, 1985. Amended: Filed Sept. 6, 1985, effective Dec. 15, 1985. Amended: Filed Feb. 3, 1987, effective May 1, 1987. Amended: Filed May 11, 1988, effective Aug. 11, 1988. Amended: Filed Feb. 5, 1993, effective Oct. 10, 1993. Rescinded and readopted: Filed March 10, 1995, effective Nov. 30, 1995. Rescinded and readopted: Filed Aug. 24, 1999, effective April 30, 2000. Amended: Filed Aug. 16, 2002, effective April 30, 2003. Amended: Filed _____.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON MAR 0 2 2011 ADMINISTRATIVE FULLES *Original authority: 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996 and 386.410, RSMo 1939, amended 1947, 1977, 1996.

State ex rel. Kansas City Transit, Inc. v. Public Service Commission, 406 SW2d 5 (Mo banc 1966). Commission is an administrative body of powers limited to those expressly granted by statute or necessary or proper to effectuate statutory purpose. Commission's authority to regulate does not include right to dictate manner in which company conducts its business.

PUBLIC ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE ENTITY COST: This proposed amendment will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

A DOLLAR AND A DOLLARS AND A DOL

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Steven C. Reed, Secretary of the Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices no later than May 16, 2011, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. AX-2011-0094. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/case-filing- information. A public hearing regarding this proposed amendment is scheduled for May 19, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 310 of the commission's offices in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed amendment, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711.

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 1-27-11

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.060 Applications

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Nancy Dippell

Phone Number: 573-751-4393 Email: nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Morris Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge, Public Service Commission

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses: These amendments should reduce the impact of this rule on all types of businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

These rule amendments were developed through comments and experience of a previous rulemaking and general experience of procedural practice at the Commission. These amendments should reduce the impact of this rule on all types of businesses. The Commission held a roundtable discussion on November 30, 2010, and invited the public to comment informally on the draft of the proposed rules. The Commission subsequently incorporated some changes from that roundtable into the rules for clarity and ease of use.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None. These amendments will have no monetary cost to any agency and do not involve any fees.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected. No new requirements are made by these amendments.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None. These amendments either lesson the requirements on all businesses or clarify the current requirements.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Large and small utilities and any other applicant to the Commission will benefit by having the rules clarified. Companies or statutorily created entities which use the word "association" in their name will benefit from the rule being clarified to specifically exempt them listing each of their members. Telecommunications companies and interconnected voice of Internet protocol (IVoIP) services providers will be exempt from this portion of the Commission's name change rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes____ No_X__

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.