

Filed
August 08, 2011
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State

Administrative Rules Division Rulemaking Transmittal Receipt

Rule ID: 13069 Date Printed: 8/8/2011

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-2.125

Rulemaking Type: Final Order Amendment

Date Submitted to Administrative Rules Division: 8/8/2011

Date Submitted to Joint Committee on Administrative Rules: 7/6/2011

Name of Person to Contact with questions concerning this rule:

Content: Morris Woodruff

Phone: 1-2849

Email: morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Fax:

RuleDataEntry:

Phone:

Email:

Fax:

Included with Rulemaking:

Cover Letter

8/08/2011

Print | Close

Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State **Administrative Rules Division**

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

AUG 0 8 2011

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 24	0-2.125		
Use a "SEPARATE" rule	transmittal sheet for E	ACH individual rulemaking	g.
Name of person to call wit Content Nancy Dippell Email address Nancy.dip	Phone 573-	rule: 751-4393 FAX	
Data Entry same Email address	Phone	FAX	
Interagency mailing addre	ss Public Service Co	ommission, 9th Fl, Gov.Ofc	Bldg, JC, MO
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ☐ Emergency rulemaking ☐ Proposed Rulemaking ☐ Withdrawal ☐ Rule ☐ Order of Rulemaking Effective Date for the Ord ☐ Statutory 30 days OR S	, include effective date Action Notice		er Consideration
Does the Order of Rulema		to the rule text? 🔀 NO IGES, including any deleter	d rule text:_
Small Busine	ss Regulatory	JCAR Sta	ımp

Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

JUL 0 6 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



Commissioners

KEVIN GUNN Chairman

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

JEFF DAVIS

TERRY M. JARRETT

ROBERT S. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov WESS A. HENDERSON Executive Director

VACANT Director, Administration and Regulatory Policy

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

NATELLE DIETRICH Director, Utility Operations

STEVEN C. REED Secretary/General Counsel

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

Robin Carnahan Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Dear Secretary Carnahan,

Re: 4 CSR 240-2.125 Procedures for Alternative Dispute Resolution

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the order of rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000

If there are any questions regarding the content of this order of rulemaking, please contact me at the address and number below.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Missouri Public Service Commission

200 Madison Street

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-4393

Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Enclosure

Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240 – Public Service Commission Chapter 2 – Practice and Procedure

AUG 0 8 2011

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Missouri Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2000, the commission amends a rule as follows:

4 CSR 240-2.125 is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendments was published in the *Missouri Register* on April 15, 2011 (36 MoReg 1058). No changes have been made to the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. These proposed amendments become effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: A public hearing on the proposed amendments was held May 19, 2011, and the public comment period ended May 16, 2011. One (1) written comment was received.

COMMENT: Lewis Mills, on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel, commented that the rule should maintain the purpose of the mediation or at least "make it clear that mediation is a non-binding attempt to resolve the case."

RESPONSE: The language stating a specific purpose of the mediation was removed so as not to limit the scope of the mediation. In order to have a successful mediation, the mediator may need to have a broader purpose than that set out in the rule. In addition, the purpose of the mediation may be different than simply resolving the case (for example, agreeing to certain facts to resolve only one issue of a case) and may end up in a binding agreement. Thus, the definition suggested by public counsel is not completely accurate. Further, the mediation process is not necessarily standardized so that it can be defined by rule. The commission attempts to educate the parties to a case about the mediation process before and during that process. The commission determines that it is not necessary to further clarify this rule. No changes were made as a result of this comment.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

JUL 0 6 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE RULĖŠ