

0001

1

2

3

4

STATE OF MISSOURI

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

5

6

7

8

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNION ELECTRIC
COMPANY, d/b/a AMERENUE, FOR A METERING VARIANCE TO SERVE
CRESTVIEW SENIOR LIVING

9

Case No. EE-2006-0524

10

11

12

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

13

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

14

SEPTEMBER 19, 2006

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0002

1

2

STATE OF MISSOURI

3

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

4

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

5

Prehearing Conference

6

September 19, 2006

7

Jefferson City, Missouri

8

Volume 1

9

10

In the Matter of the Application)

11

of Union Electric Company,) Case No. EE-2006-0524

d/b/a AmerenUE, for a Metering)

12

Variance to Serve Crestview)

Senior Living

13

HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding,

14

REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

15

16

REPORTED BY:

17

Patricia A. Stewart

18

RMR, RPR, CCR

Midwest Litigation Services

19

3432 West Truman Boulevard, Suite 207

Jefferson City, Missouri 65109

20

(573) 636-7551

21

22

23

24

25

0003

1

APPEARANCES:

2

3 FOR UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMERENUE:

4 Wendy Tatro, Attorney

1901 Chouteau Avenue

5 St. Louis, Missouri 63166

(314) 554-3484

6

7 FOR SPECTRUM ACQUISITION PARTNERS, LLC:

8 Larry W. Dority, Attorney at Law

Fischer & Dority, P.C.

9 101 Madison, Suite 400

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

10 (573) 636-6758

11

FOR STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:

12

Nathan Williams, Deputy General Counsel

13 P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

14 (573) 651-8702

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Today is September 19th,
3 2006, and we are here for a prehearing conference in
4 the matter of the application of Union Electric
5 company, doing business as AmerenUE, for a metering
6 variance to serve Crestview Senior Living. It's
7 Case No. EE-2006-0524.

8 My name is Harold Stearley. I'm the
9 presiding officer in this matter. Our court reporter
10 today is Pat Stewart. And we shall take entries of
11 appearance.

12 I guess we'll just start with Wendy Tatro's
13 motion to be admitted pro hoc vice, to be here on Union
14 Electric's behalf.

15 Are there any objections to Ms. Tatro's
16 motion?

17 MR. WILLIAMS: The Staff has no objection.

18 MR. DORITY: No objection, Judge.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: No objections are heard,
20 and I believe the motion is in compliance with Commission
21 regulations and Supreme Court rules.

22 So, Ms. Tatro, you are hereby admitted.

23 MS. TATRO: Thank you.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: I will get out a written
25 order on that following the prehearing today.

0005

1 And we'll go ahead and take entries of
2 appearance, starting with Union Electric.

3 MS. TATRO: Wendy -- and it's actually
4 pronounced Tatro --

5 JUDGE STEARLEY: Tatro. Thank you.

6 MS. TATRO: -- Union Electric, doing
7 business as AmerenUE, 1901 Chouteau, St. Louis, Missouri.

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Spectrum Acquisition
9 Partners, LLC.

10 MR. DORITY: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 Appearing on behalf of Spectrum
12 Acquisition Partners, LLC, Larry W. Dority with Fischer &
13 Dority, P.C. Our address is 101 Madison, Suite 400,
14 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Dority.

16 Staff of the Missouri Public Service
17 Commission.

18 MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams, Deputy
19 General Counsel, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri
20 65102, appearing on behalf of the Staff.

21 MR. STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

22 Office of Public Counsel.

23 No one here from Office of Public Counsel
24 today.

25 Well, you're all quite familiar with what we

1 do at our prehearings. And it appears to me from the
2 filings that the parties really don't have a great deal
3 of dispute. In fact, it appears that Staff is requesting
4 some additional information which the parties may be able
5 to readily provide.

6 So you, of course, have the room for the
7 rest of the day for you to discuss any types of
8 settlement you may reach.

9 I have ordered that a procedural schedule be
10 submitted by September 26th. If the parties believe they
11 can settle with the stipulation agreement, that certainly
12 would be favored by the Commission. If not, we can set
13 the matter for hearing and proceed from there.

14 Are there any other outstanding matters
15 before the Commission right now?

16 MR. DORITY: Your Honor, Larry DORITY. I
17 did receive Your Honor's order issued this morning
18 granting our intervention in the matter --

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes.

20 MR. DORITY: And I would just indicate that
21 we are indeed going to meet for further discussions upon
22 adjournment today.

23 And to the extent that we may be able to
24 reach a resolution of this matter, that may take a little
25 more time than the one week provided for filing a

0007

1 proposed procedural schedule by -- I think it's a week
2 from today, the 26th.

3 So I just wanted to let you know that we may
4 be asking for more time -- or an extension of time to
5 file the proposed procedural schedule, to allow us to try
6 to reach a resolution. But that will be one of the
7 subjects of discussions we'll undertake this afternoon.

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Thank you,
9 Mr. Dority. And certainly if you're working and need
10 some more time on that, I'm sure we can grant that.

11 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, I might suggest --
12 this is Nathan Williams on behalf of the Staff -- perhaps
13 you could modify the order and indicate that we file a
14 status report by that date if we're not filing a proposed
15 procedural schedule.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. That's a good
17 recommendation, and I can certainly do that and get that
18 issued.

19 Any other matters that need to be addressed
20 at this time?

21 MR. WILLIAMS: I don't believe.

22 MR. DORITY: No.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, we'll go off
24 the record and we'll leave the parties to their
25 discussion.

0008

1 Thank you all very much.

2 WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the

3 Prehearing Conference was concluded.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25