BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



In The Matter of the Application of Aquila,) wice Commission
Inc. for Permission and Approval and a) unlic Commission
Certificate of Public Convenience and)
Necessity Authorizing it to Acquire,)
Construct, Install, Own, Operate,) Case No. EA-2006-0309
Maintain, and otherwise Control and)
Manage Electrical Production and)
Related Facilities in Unincorporated)
Areas of Cass County, Missouri Near the)
Town of Peculiar.)
	ROBERT D. STULZ DURT REPORTER
	Exhibit No.
STATE OF MISSOURI)	Case Notes D 2001 Cano
) ss	Date 1 - 26 - 06 Rptr K-f
COUNTY OF JACKSON)	Tipe 1
I Robert D. Stulz being first duly sy	worn do hereby denose and state:

- D. Stuiz, being tirst duly sworn do hereby depose and state:
- My name is Robert D. Stulz. I am a certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in the State of Missouri.
- I was present for the official taking of proceedings before the Cass County Planning Board at public hearing held on Tuesday, July 13, 2004 respecting Special Use Permit Application No. 2589 where I then and there took down in shorthand the proceedings held thereat. Attached to my affidavit is a true and correct transcript of such notes and records made by me at said time and place together with my certificate.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this \(\frac{1}{2} \) day of April, 2006.

Notary Public

My Commission expires: Auq 25,2006

TERRY WATSON

Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Jackson County

My Commission Expires: Aug. 25, 2006

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CASS COUNTY PLANNING BOARD AT PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON TUESDAY, JULY 13, 2004 SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 2589

The above entitled cause came on regularly for hearing on Tuesday, July 13th, 2004 at the Cass County Courthouse, 109 E. Wall, Harrisonville, Missouri before MR. ROBERT HARDIN, Chairman of the Planning Board, commencing at the hour of 7:00 p.m. and the following proceedings were had and entered of record.

APPEARANCES

For the Applicant Aquila: MR. SPENCER THOMSON

Blackwell, Sanders, Peper,

Martin, L.L.P.
Two Pershing Square

Suite 1000

2300 Main Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64108

For Cass County:

Missouri

MS. DEBBIE MOORE &

LYNN STOPPY

Assistant Prosecuting

Attorneys

Harrisonville, Missouri 64701

For CCROPP:

MR. MARK EPSTEIN Roe & Epstein 920 Main Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Planning Board Members:

ROBERT HARDIN, CHAIRMAN

ORRIS HOFF
BILL SHELTON
LYNN STARK
DEBRA BURTON
DAVID CLICKNER

County Commissioners: Cass County Planning:

Director

JIM MEARA DARRELL WILSON

Administrative Assistant: Cass County Zoning Dept.

KAREN FERGUSON

Advisory Planner to the:

SCOTT MICHIE

Planning Commission

MICHELE BURNWORTH

Cass County Codes Dept: Aquila Inc.: Glenn Keefe - Operating Vice

President - Missouri

Electric

Chris Rogers - Sega Inc. Vice President Project

Manager

John Stower - Burns & McDonnell, Project Manager

Scott A. Michie, AICP -Bucher, Willis & Ratliff

INDEX

SPE	AKERS:	PAGE\LINE
MR.	THOMSON	12-6
MR.	KEEFE	22-22
MR.	ROGERS	28-4
MR.	STOWER	36-17
MR.	THOMSON	46-22
MR.	DOERHOFF	52-23
MR.	WOOD	58-19
MR.	DELUCA	60-19
MR.	EPSTEIN	66-3
MR.	STYRON	68-6
MR.	WHITE	69-21
MR.	GABRIEL	70-23
MR.	COLLINS	74-8
MR.	EPSTEIN	82-3
MR.	NIXON	. 98-1
MR.	O'REILLY	. 107-5
MR.	THOMSON	. 110-14
MR.	ANDREW	. 114-22

OI DIMEDIO -	PAGE\LINE
MR. THOMSON	
MR. ROGERS	122-17
MR. THOMSON	127-20
MR. KORTE	129-1
MR. THOMSON	130-9
MR. MICHIE	131-20
MR. THOMSON	135-6
MR. KEEFE	138-22
MS. BURTON	141-9
MR. EPSTEIN	141-18
MR. THOMSON	144-16
MR. EPSTEIN	145-13
MR. HOFF	147-13
MR. THOMSON	148-2
MR. KEEFE	151-12
MR.THOMSON	152-2

1	MR. WILSON: My name is
2	Darrell Wilson, I'm the Zoning
3	Director. We're going to try and
4	get this show on the road here. I
5	think what my Chairman is planning to
6	do is give the applicants an hour to
7	speak, is that correct, Bob?
8	THE CHAIRMAN: That would be
9	correct.
10	MR. WILSON: And opposition
11	will have an hour, and then the
12	applicants will have 15 minutes for
13	rebuttal and the rest of that time
14	is going to be reserved for The
15	Zoning Board and staff.
16	When you come up to speak, I
17	have some forms I need filled out.
18	If you intend to speak I need that
19	form filled out, turn it in to Dick
20	or to Karen so she can get your
21	name correct in the minutes.
22	At the beginning of this
23	session the Court Reporter is going
24	to swear in any witnesses. If you
25	intend to come up and speak, you

1	mond to stand and success in all
1	need to stand up and swear in, okay.
2	When you come up to speak, please
3	address the group, don't be talking
4	back and forth to the audience or to
5	the applicants, address The Board.
6	The Board is a recommending
7	body, they will recommend this matter
8	to The Board of Zoning Adjustment.
9	The Board of Zoning Adjustment, upon
10	receipt of that letter, will set a
11	date, everybody will be re-notified
12	and The Board of Zoning Adjustment
13	will have their hearing on the matter.
14	So without any further ado I
15	will turn it over to well, first I
16	guess we'll do the swearing. Do you
17	want to go ahead and swear them in
18	Mr. Stulz (indicating to The Court
19	Reporter). Anybody who intends to
20	speak for or against needs to stand
21	up and be sworn in.
22	(Whereupon, all witness were
23	duly sworn by The Court Reporter.)
24	MR. WILSON: Without any
25	further ado I'll turn it over to Mr.

1	Hardin.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you,
3	Darrell. The first order of business
4	this evening will be for The Board.
5	We have two sets of minutes that I'd
6	like for you all to review and then
7	I'll accept motions on these minutes.
8	MR. SHELTON: I make a
9	motion we accept the minutes as
10	presented.
11	MR. HUFF: I second it.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Second. All
13	in favor.
14	(All members of The Board
15	responded aye.)
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed.
17	(No response.)
18	THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes
19	pass. Thank you.
20	I appreciate everyone being
21	here this evening. These meetings
22	are very important to the Planning
23	Board and our County's future. We
24	look forward to everyone
25	participating this evening. However,

1	if what you have to say has already
2	been brought forth, your redundancies
3	are not appreciated. If it does
4	appear that we are rehashing and
5	hashing again positions and opinions,
6	I'll ask you to step down and we'll
7	let the next person come forward.
8	We want to run this meeting
9	efficiently. We want all the facts
10	to be brought forth on both sides of
11	the issue, and we would appreciate
12	all devices that we just heard be
13	shut off and turned off and turned
14	down.
15	We've got à significant
16	application this evening and we
17	expect the appropriate presentations
18	from both sides and a very strong
19	sense of propriety this evening.
20	With that we'll go forward to
21	application number 2589 for Aquila,
22	Inc. Darrell, do you have any
23	comments regarding that application
24	at this time?
25	MR. WILSON: Not really.

Aquila has applied for a Special Use 1 Permit to operate a power plant that 2 is within the purview of our zoning 3 4 ordinance. We do it that way with a Special Use Permit on agricultural 5 property. It is up to you to make 6 that decision whether it's proper or 7 8 not. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Again, if you -- when we do get to 10 the section that individuals want to 11 put forth their positions this 12 evening, we do need to know who you 13 are. We have the forms that need to 14 be completed. We would ask that you 15 speak at the microphone and we would 16 ask that any comments, et cetera, 17 only be done at the microphone and 18 at that time you'll be acknowledged. 19 20 We do not want any banter or 21 commentary, et cetera, from the audience this evening. 22 To give you a little bit of a 23 timeline, Darrell addressed it. 24

We'll go for an hour, the pros have

1	an hour, the cons have an hour, the
2	pros have 15 minutes to rebut and
3	then the rest of the time period
4	this evening will be spent by The
5	Board discussing both sides'
6	positions.
7	(Whereupon, an inaudible
8	comment was made by an unidentified
9	individual.)
10	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: That's a
11	con.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: I appreciate
13	that, but we're not in the dark up
14	here.
15	The there will be a break
16	at the end of the cons' position,
17	whether that will be two hours from
18	now or an hour and something after
19	the pros have also had their
20	position. So we will have a
21	ten-minute break in order to do
22	whatever you need to do.
23	So with that I will turn the
24	microphone over to Aquila.
25	MR. WUCHER: Excuse me.

1	Could I ask a question? We all need
2	to know what your name is. None of
3	us I mean, I'm a new resident,
4	but I need to know what your name is.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
6	MR. WUCHER: Please I
7	mean, we didn't hear what you said.
8	What is your name?
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Have a
10	seat and we'll address that for you.
11	You say you did not hear me?
12	MR. WUCHER: No, I didn't.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: You didn't
14	hear anything I said?
15	MR. WUCHER: No, I
16	THE CHAIRMAN: My name?
17	MR. WUCHER: I didn't hear
18	your name
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
20	MR. WUCHER: and what your
21	responsibilities are.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Very good.
23	My name is Bob Hardin Bob Hardin
24	and I am with the Allen Bank and
25	Trust Company, I run the location in

1	Belton, Missouri for Allen Bank and
2	Trust.
3	MR. WUCHER: And what is your
4	position within Cass County and this
5	relationship here?
6	THE CHAIRMAN: I am Chairman
7	of the Planning Board and a citizen
8	of Cass County and own and run a
9	business in Cass County.
10	THE COURT REPORTER: Can I
11	get your name, sir?
12	MR. WUCHER: Yes. My name
13	is Larry Wucher, I'm at 23207 South
14	Shaffer Road in Shaffer Estates in
15	Cass County.
16	THE COURT REPORTER: And
17	the last name is spelled?
18	MR WUCHER: W-U-C-H-E-R.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank
20	you, sir.
21	MR. WUCHER: 64701 is the
22	zip code.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: I appreciate
24	his question. I don't ever get to
25	tell very many people like this who I

1	am. So thank you.
2	From now on we'll do it at
3	the microphone, though.
4	MR. WUCHER: You're going to
5	get a few more like that, too. Okay.
6	MR. THOMSON: Mr. Chairman,
7	members of The Board, members of the
8	public. My name is Spencer Thomson,
9	I'm an attorney with the Blackwell,
10	Sanders, Peper, Martin law firm, the
11	address is 2300 Main Street, Suite
12	1000, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.
13	I'm here tonight
14	representing the applicant Aquila in
15	a Special Use Permit application, as
16	you all know. I Appreciate the
17	opportunity to be here, Mr.
18	Chairman, members of The Board,
19	members of the public.
20	I'm going to act, for lack
21	of a better word, as kind of the MC
22	for our side, and I'm hopeful that
23	we can actually make our presentation
24	in a succinct and straightforward
25	manner so we don't use the full

1 I believe there may be some 2 others from public who intend to speak in support of this project and 3 I want to be sure to leave them as 4 5 much time as possible as well. 6 As you all know, we're here this evening to hear the application 7 for a Special Use Permit filed by 8 the utility, Aquila, and I'd like to 9 introduce for you off the bat here 10 11 the folks who are going to be mainly 12 presenting from our side so the folks can put a face and a name 13 14 together. Immediately here at the 15 16 table is Mr. Glenn Keefe, he is the 17 Operating Vice President of Aquila Missouri Electric. To his immediate 18 19 left is Mr. Chris Rogers, he's a 20 consultant with Sega Engineers, and 21 to his left is John Stower, an engineer with Burns & McDonnell 22 Engineering. These three gentlemen 23 24 will be presenting the main substance of the applicant's presentation this 25

evening. I'm just -- I'm a sideshow. 1 We're here on a Special Use 2 3 Application as opposed to an industrial I-1 rezoning, which is 4 what our application originally began 5 We were faced with a decision 6 to make at the outset of whether we 7 seek an industrial rezone to this 8 site in order to accommodate this 9 facility or if we seek a Special Use 10 Permit leaving the land zoned 11 agricultural. 12 13 We commenced the process with -- actually with the intent to 14 file an Application For Industrial 15 16 Rezoning, but based upon the recommendations of your County Zoning 17 18 Director, Darrell Wilson, and also on the recommendations of Gary Mallory, 19 we concluded, which made a lot of 20 sense to us, that it was probably 21 more appropriate to go the Special 22 23 Use Permit approach. For a number of reasons we felt that made sense, 24 not the least of which is that it is 25

a less permanent change in use. 1 2 A Special Use Permit, for those of you who may not know, is 3 limited in use to the duration of 4 5 that use, and should the utility, the 6 applicant here, cease to use it for 7 that use for a period of 12 months, they lose the ability to use it for 8 9 that use. 10 In addition, they have to submit and can commence construction 11 12 within 12 months or they would lose 13 the right to the Special Use Permit. That differs greatly from an 14 actual rezoning, which would 15 16 permanently rezone the property for 17 industrial use, which would allow 18 future users, future owners that we 19 might sell to should we have a need 20 to, to use the property in an 21 industrial manner. 22 And so for those reasons and 23 the fact that we know that the City of Harrisonville has come out and 24 25 stated their opposition to a rezoning

1 of this site, we decided Special Use 2 Permit was a better approach and 3 that's why we're here this evening 4 under that approach. 5 As this Board knows, The 6 Board's obligations and 7 responsibilities are to make a 8 recommendation to The Board of Zoning 9 Adjustment on this application. 10 recommendation goes to six points set 11 forth in your zoning ordinance, six criteria, if you will, that you have 12 13 to consider. 14 And I know that this is certainly not news to any of you, 15 16 but it may be to those in the 17 audience or some of those in the 18 audience. 19 And you hired a consultant, 20 as would be expected, Bucher, Willis 21 & Ratliff Corporation, to make 22 recommendations -- review the 23 application and make recommendations 24 to this Board and to the County as a 25 whole, and I have a copy of that

1 report with me to refer to as I 2 speak here briefly. The six criteria set forth 3 4 in the zoning ordinance we believe 5 very strongly have been met in this instance. The first of those 6 7 criteria is the location and size of the proposed use in relation to the 8 9 site, to adjacent sites and the use 10 of the property and the nature and intensity of operations on the 11 12 property. We have been very careful to 13 14 place this site in a manner that would allow for the least intrusion 15 upon any high density residential, 16 17 for the least intrusion upon the low 18 density residential that actually 19 surrounds -- or is near the site, I should say, it doesn't surround it. 20 And we've done a very careful 21 design that allows for much buffering, 22 23 setbacks, et cetera, which some of 24 the other speakers who are here 25 today can give you a better

understanding of how it sits on the 1 2 site or will sit and how those issues have been addressed. 3 4 The Bucher, Willis report on 5 this particular finding concluded that we had, in fact, used deep 6 7 setbacks, fencing and landscaping in a manner to lessen those burdens. 8 9 So we feel very strongly we've met 10 item 1. 11 Item 2 is the accessibility 12 to emergency services, fire, police and so forth, and also deals with 13 14 traffic flow. This one was an easy one for us to meet, all of those 15 services are readily available to 16 this site. 17 This site and this use of 18 this site is a very low traffic, low 19 intensity use from the perspective of 20 employees. At peak time two 21 22 employees maximum, and oftentimes this facility won't actually be 23 running, which means there'll be no 24 25 employees on the site and no traffic

1	created by the actual actual use.
2	MR. WUCHER: Excuse me.
3	Could I ask a question?
4	THE CHAIRMAN: No, sir, you
5	may not.
6	MR. WUCHER: May not?
7	THE CHAIRMAN: No, sir, you
8	may not.
9	MR. THOMSON: Item 3 of the
10	ordinance that you all make a
11	recommendation or finding on relates
12	to the availability of utility
13	services, water, gas, electricity.
14	Here again, a very simple one in
15	this instance because this site was
16	chosen, in large part, because of
17	the availability of all the
18	utilities, which will be one of the
19	slides you'll see from our
20	presentation. Each of the necessary
21	utilities is within close proximity,
22	making this an ideal site for this
23	type use.
24	Item 4 is the location,
25	nature of height of building walls.

1	fences. That's very similar, I
2	think, to number 1, and as Bucher,
3	Willis has pointed out in their
4	report, we've made a number of
5	accommodations to deal with those
6	things and they actually give that
7	pretty short short shrift, if you
8	will, they are stating that it is
9	buffered and that the restrictions
10	are essentially met.
11	With the possible exception
12	of a 75 foot lightning or 75 foot
13	lightning towers, I guess we'd call
14	them lightning rods that we need for
15	safety reasons and we're not quite
16	certain we're going to need exactly
17	that, but we think at this stage
18	that there's a good chance we will.
19	We're aware of the fact that
20	a 75 foot lightning rod in this
21	zoning district is is noncompliant
22	and for that reason we have a
23	request here that we'd like to
24	submit to The Board, to the County,
25	specifically requesting a variance

1	from that particular requirement
2	under the agricultural zoning.
3	Is that something I should
4	give to your clerk here or
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Give it to
6	Darrell.
7	MR. THOMSON: I've gone way
8	over my four minutes, haven't I?
9	This is essentially a
10	request for a variance should it
11	become necessary on the lightning
12	tower.
13	Item number 5 is the
14	adequacy of required yard and open
15	space requirements. Again a bit
16	redundant. We feel very strongly
17	that's been met, as does the Bucher,
18	Wills report, because of the deep
19	setbacks.
20	And then item 6 is general
21	compatibility with adjacent
22	properties and other properties in
23	the district, general health, safety
24	and welfare of the community.
25	Again, we feel strongly we've met

1 that. We've done everything we can 2 in this instance to lessen the impact 3 of the facility. 4 You'll see from the 5 presentations of those who follow me 6 that this is not a facility in the 7 true sense of a large coal-fired 8 plant. This is a natural gas powered 9 electric utility plant, it's much 10 smaller than the plants many of you 11 may be accustomed to have seen or 12 have seen in other locations, and 13 you'll see that from the 14 presentations that we give here. 15 So without further ado, I 16 would like to introduce to you Mr. 17 Glenn Keefe, he is Operating Vice 18 President, again, of Aquila Missouri 19 Electric and he will present to you 20 why this particular location and why 21 its needed. 22 MR. KEEFE: Good evening. My name is Glenn Keefe, I am Aquila's 23 24 Operating Vice President of Missouri 25 Electric. My address is 10700 East

350 Highway, Kansas City, Missouri. 1 2 We recognize that there's a lot of people here tonight that do 3 4 not want this power plant here. We 5 also recognize that no matter where we would put a power plant there are 6 7 a lot of people that would not want 8 the power plant in their back yard. 9 We've been having a lot of 10 problems here in the last three years with siting substations, 11 12 transmission lines and it's something We've had a lot 13 that concerns me. of opposition to some substations in 14 the Jackson County area. We've had 15 some opposition to transmission 16 17 It's getting more and more 18 difficult to get right-of-ways and 19 more costly, and it's something that concerns me for the future -- our 20 21 future ability to serve our customers. 22 As Operating Vice President, 23 I am in charge of overseeing the 24 operation of the generation, the 25 transmission and the distribution of

electricity to our customers. 1 2 I'd like to start with just a little discussion on our service 3 territory. This is Aquila Missouri 4 5 Electric's service territory. You 6 can see -- you can see that we 7 divide our service territory into four areas. The north area, which 8 9 is up around like St. Joe Light & 10 Power is right here, St. Joe. The 11 east area, the west area and the 12 south area. 13 Our fastest growing areas 14 are our east area and our west area. 15 The west area, as you can see, goes 16 down to the Cass County area. We 17 are adding about 5,000 customers a 18 year. 19 A year ago, in July of 2003, we actually had an all-time peak. A 20 21 peak is when the air conditioners are running maximum, it's really hot, and 22 our generation is way up. Last year 23 24 in July of 2002 we had a seven and a 25 half percent increase in electrical

1	load. That was 1,862 megawatts. So
2	that that's a lot of power. This
3	particular power plant is going to be
4	315 megawatts.
5	Another concern I have is
6	that on June the 1st, 2005, I will
7	lose a 500 megawatt purchase power
8	contract. We have our own
9	generation, we also go out and buy
10	purchase power contracts So on June
11	1st, 2005 that 500 megawatt purchase
12	power contract goes away. Camp
13	Branch is the most economical
14	alternative we have to partially
15	replace that 500 megawatts.
16	We are also charged by the
17	Missouri Public Service Commission to
18	build plants at the lowest cost. So
19	in our site selection process we
20	look around and see what plants has
21	the infrastructure that we need and
22	that is the site that we pick that is
23	the lowest cost.
24	Getting back to our service
25	territory, this we have a combined

1 cycle -- I mean, we have a peaking 2 plant at Kansas City International, 3 we have a coal-fired plant at Lake 4 Road, we have a large 500 megawatt 5 coal-fired plant at Sibley, Missouri. 6 And we have peakers at Greenwood, 7 Ralph Green and our proposed Camp 8 Branch location. 9 We have about 300,000 10 customers and we're expecting our 11 peak load to be fairly high. This 12 last two days have shown a real --13 real high increase in load. 14 This is an aerial view of 15 the Camp Branch location. You can -- this is the 40 acres that we have 16 outlined for the proposed site. 17 18 site will be set back and the first 19 engine will be somewhere right around 20 here. Shaffer's Estates is right up 21 here. 235th Street is right here. 22 This is an excellent 23 location for our power plant because 24 of these reasons. The blue lines 25 indicate that we have six high

1	volume gas lines, something you don't
2	see very many places. Combined with
3	proper water, combined with this
4	this is a dual 161 kilovolt
5	transmission line owned by Kansas
6	City Power & Light. It goes from
7	it starts down in Montrose and goes
8	up to South City.
9	This red line right here is
10	our 161 kv transmission line, and the
11	line you see over here is our 69,000
12	volt transmission line. We also
13	there are also liquid lines here.
14	The infrastructure is just very good
15	for for a power plant.
16	And like I said before, we're
17	charged by the Public Service
18	Commission to build a plant at the
19	lowest cost possible, and we have to
20	select areas where we have gas, we
21	have transmission and we all of the
22	infrastructure there because it will
23	decrease the cost of electrical power
24	for our customers and the Public
25	Service Commission watches that very

1	close, believe me.
2	And with that I think I'll
3	turn it over to Chris.
4	MR. ROGERS: Good evening.
5	My name is Chris Rogers. I'm with
6	the consulting engineering firm of
7	Sega, Incorporated, 16041 Foster
8	Street, Stillwell, Kansas. I serve
9	as Vice President to the corporation
10	and I'm the project manager
11	supervising the design team for this
12	project and we're doing the detail
13	design on behalf of Aquila.
14	And I've been asked to speak
15	to why in some more particulars
16	why this site, which are the features
17	here. And Glenn did a good job of
18	explaining to you about a number of
19	the things that are already in
20	place, but I want to put it in a
21	more global structure, if you will.
22	When we look for sites for
23	projects like this for a peaking
24	station, primarily for summer
25	operation, an unmanned, we're not

1 looking for a huge tract of land, 2 we're looking for an appropriate size 3 tract of land. It needs to be located within Aquila's certificated 5 service area. 6 It's being built to serve increasing, as Glenn pointed out, 7 8 native loads, and it's best located 9 near the load centers, where those 10 loads take place, not the far away 11 with a lot of transmission losses. 12 We looked for acquirable 13 sites, that is, preferably green 14 field sites that -- that -- and we 15 avoid sites with existing structures 16 that require demolition or might have 17 some contamination on them or are 18 otherwise costly or difficult to 19 rehabilitate, and we specifically 20 avoid high density residential or 21 commercial areas. 22 We look for permitable 23 sites, and by that term I mean we 24 don't look to locate next to a 25 school or a hospital or highly

1	industrialized areas with sensitive
2	emission limits. We look for
3	appropriate land uses such as this,
4	agricultural or even industrial
5	zoning.
6	Now, within that framework,
7	these are the specific things that
8	we looked at and why we picked this
9	site. Already the infrastructure
10	we've talked about, but in specifics,
11	the proximity to these gas lines is
12	essential. Glenn said you don't see
13	it very often, you don't hardly ever
14	see six high pressure, high volume
15	interstate gas lines together owned
16	by two companies that compete. That
17	is Southern Star up here and
18	Panhandle Eastern.
19	Located along this corridor
20	is already an Aquila gas pipeline to
21	another plant and a 161 kv line. So
22	you've got your electrical
23	connections here on their own. In
24	the future or as necessary they
25	could intertwine with KCPL. So

1	you've got the fuel and the
2	electricity together. That's a very
3	unique set of circumstances, doesn't
4	doesn't happen very often.
5	We have water supplies.
6	There's a six inch retail line across
7	here, public water supply District
8	Number 9. There's also a 12 inch
9	along here. Right now we are
10	evaluating what would be the best
11	case, whether to tap into the six
12	inch line or the 12 line down here,
13	but in either case, the water
14	district has assured us that they can
15	provide service. So we have water
16	supply.
17	Waste disposal from the
18	plant is minimal. We have wastewater
19	that is produced with evaporative
20	coolers, like cooling towers, that
21	run only, frankly, on days like
22	today, when you really need to get
23	all you can out of that engine. And
24	so there's there's some wastewater
25	that is spilled over from the

drainage of that. 1 2 We can evaporate that in the stacks. We can store it and haul 3 it, or if -- if there was sanitary 4 sewer service it could be put there. 5 There isn't, so we have the first 6 two options and that's covered. 7 We look for accessibility, 8 and, frankly, that makes this site 9 even more unique because on top of 10 the gas lines and the electric lines 11 we have Highway 7 at 235th Street 12 and then for the really heavy pieces 13 that need to be delivered by rail, 14 they're -- they can be brought in 15 here, there's a siding to the south, 16 17 we could build a temporary siding there, there's a siding on it to the 18 north, and so that combination of 19 features is very unique. 20 And then there's the matter 21 22 of the layout, and that is we needed approximately 40 acres of contiguous 23 land without streams, without heavy 24

rock formations, without any special

1 features that raise the cost of 2 construction and cause problems. We 3 needed a sloping elevation for 4 drainage. 5 And by getting the full 40 6 acres in one patch, that gives us 7 the room to have the setbacks. 8 also gives us the room to do the cut 9 and fill all on that property, keep 10 all the construction parking on that 11 property and keep everything all 12 together and minimize the impact on 13 the surrounding area. 14 Excuse me. 15 For a minute I'd like to 16 speak to what Camp Branch is not, 17 and for a lot of folks that's almost 18 as important as the technical details 19 we've been talking about already this 20 evening. 21 Camp Branch is a simple cycle unit like this, and you see 22 23 there we're talking structures of a 24 height of about 50 feet.

compare that to a coal unit, a coal

unit would have a top of stack as 1 high as 600 feet, top of the boiler 2 steel 300 feet, a turbine building 3 that's about 100. A combined cycle 4 5 unit -- this one only shows one stack but there's generally multiple 6 stacks and you're talking about 150 7 feet and a boiler that would be 100 8 feet. These are very visible large 9 10 units. 11 Camp Branch is comprised of simple cycle modular units that are 12 near the ground, and as we indicated 13 in the application, would be painted 14 a neutral color that would blend 15 with the site. 16 Now, that's one aerial view 17 of the site and it's -- because of 18 the software that's used shows a 19 rather flat unimproved site. This is 20 looking from the northeast in a high 21 aerial view. This is your exhaust 22 stacks, your air intakes, that's a 23 water tank and a substation. 24

a little service building.

1	This is a rendering looking
2	south from 235th, and this shows,
3	frankly, some upgrades to what was
4	originally presented in the permit in
5	the form of additional trees and
6	additional berming as screening. The
7	idea is to make that as pleasant as
8	possible and to not not cause an
9	eyesore for the neighbors as Aquila
10	very much desires to be a good
11	neighbor.
12	And these renderings take
13	you all the way around the plant,
14	starting with number 1 here, from
15	the east side, 2 to the north that
16	we've already looked at. Here's the
17	view from Shaffer Estates, which is
18	admittedly, taken from the
19	cul-de-sac, we don't have access to
20	anybody's house. So that's what
21	public access can give us at this
22	point. This is 4, from the other
23	side of 7 Highway looking due east,
24	and this is 5, from the south.
25	And in every case you're

1	talking about a plant that's going
2	to low on the horizon, not
3	obtrusive. Here you've got it laid
4	up here, and, frankly, this is an
5	artist's rendition, we're benching
6	that so it would be a little lower
7	and little flatter so it will
8	actually sit into the side of that
9	hill a little bit. It'll drop
10	between 12 to 20 feet, depending on
11	where you are, and slope for proper
12	drainage.
13	John Stower is going to talk
14	to you to talk about comparisons to
15	other similar type units that are
16	here in the Kansas City area.
17	MR. STOWER: Good evening.
18	My name is John Stower, I work for
19	Burns & McDonnell Engineering. I'm
20	the project manager. Burns &
21	McDonnell is at 9400 Ward Parkway,
22	Kansas City, Missouri 64114. Burns &
23	McDonnell's participation in this
24	project, we're providing consulting
25	and permitting, helping Aquila with

1	the site.
2	Let's see if I can turn this
3	the right way here.
4	Okay. The purpose of my
5	presentations on the next slides, the
6	first one, is to demonstrate that the
7	proposed Camp Branch Energy Center is
8	not unique with regards to the
9	following three issues.
10	It is not unique in the fact
11	that it has the necessary
12	infrastructure located around the
13	facility for a small peaking facility
14	of this type. I think that Mr.
15	Chris Rogers has provided an adequate
16	demonstration based on the previous
17	slide as to the infrastructure that's
18	uniquely located around that facility.
19	Number two, that the local
20	land use is not unique to the siting
21	of such a facility.
22	And three, that the
23	proximity of businesses and homes to
24	such a facility is also not unique.
25	What this slide represents,

1	we went and located five other
2	similar facilities that are in the
3	regional area around the proposed
4	facility. All these have to do with
5	peaking facilities.
6	As you can see, we've got
7	there's three that are located up here
8	Independence Center, they're owned by
9	Independence Power & Light.
10	We've got the Greenwood
11	Energy Center which I think a lot of
12	people here are familiar with.
13	Here again is the Camp Branch
14	Energy Center a little bit further
15	south, that's why these are broken
16	up. This is actually located south
17	of this one.
18	And then we have Nevada
19	Energy Center which is located even
20	further south.
21	The Greenwood Energy Center,
22	as you can see from this slide,
23	we've used the same similar color
24	scheme here that we did for the Camp
25	Branch facility aerial. It indicates

1	that there is an existing natural gas
2	pipeline that goes into this. As a
3	matter of fact, that was actually
4	built for the center, but this liquid
5	petroleum pipeline was in existence
6	at the time that the center was
7	sited. There's also an existing
8	electrical infrastructure that was at
9	this site, too.
10	Again, if you look at this
11	facility unfortunately I don't
12	have an earlier before and after
13	slide this facility was built out
14	in kind of a rural area, much
15	similar to the one that Camp Branch
16	is composed of.
17	And the Timberlake Estates,
18	which is right up here, that is
19	for the most part it's
20	post-construction. So this area
21	right here was built up next to this
22	center in full knowledge that this
23	here. So obviously it did not
24	impede the development of the
25	Timberlake Estates.

1	The next site, which is
2	it's down in the southern end of
3	Aquila's service territory, Nevada
4	Energy Center. Unfortunately, this
5	is an older site and we don't have
6	newer aerial photography for this
7	thing. Like it was said, it's '97,
8	but again, this is we've got some
9	housing developments that are
10	surrounding the site and again, it's
11	a rural area.
12	This site in particular does
13	not actually have to use gas, it's a
14	diesel facility, but the location of
15	the site was, again, to support the
16	load in that region.
17	Okay. The next site is an
18	Independence Power & Light energy
19	facility. We tried to indicate some
20	of the infrastructure that's around
21	this. You've got an existing
22	transmission line around this.
23	Unfortunately, we didn't have
24	information on the actual location of
25	the gas pipeline that provides fuel

1	to this facility. It would probably
2	not be unreasonable to assume that
3	the gas pipeline probably follows
4	either Salisbury Road or it comes in
5	next to Highway 291.
6	For your information, this
7	neighborhood over here and this one
8	up here, those weren't in existence
9	at the time that the facility was
10	built. This entire subdivision down
11	here has been built since the time
12	that that facility was constructed.
13	I know there's been some
14	concerns about having different types
15	of infrastructure up close to a
16	facility like this, and as a matter
17	of fact, there's actually a school
18	a daycare that's located that close
19.	to this facility. So again, this is
20	another of those examples that the
21	facility was located where it needed
22	to be. It had the necessary
23	infrastructure, it was located close
24	to the load.
25	This is a case where it's

1 not a coal plant, it's ideal. 2 mean, they operate underneath the 3 same premise, they have to operate 4 underneath the same idea of trying 5 to keep their generation close to 6 the load. 7 Okay. The next facility 8 we've got is -- again, it's another 9 -- it's a Power & Light facility. 10 We weren't picking on Independence 11 Power & Light, it's just that they 12 happened to have three peaking 13 facilities that are in close -- in 14 proximity to the proposed Camp Branch 15 facility and they're -- so it's a 16 similar type of facility. 17 The Independence Power & 18 Light Jay Turbine peaking facility 19 has -- again, we've got the 20 necessary electrical infrastructure 21 that's close to the facility. We've 22 even got -- as we've indicated on 23 the slide, we've got some - you 24 know, there's a civic center that's 25 been built that's close to it, the

new police station, city hall is 1 2 close. This is a 55 unit apartment complex that is located very near to 3 4 the unit. 5 As a matter of fact, the nearest house is only 422 feet away. 6 7 We actually did a house count on this one, and there is greater than 150 8 9 houses within a 2,000 foot radius of this site. The site that we had 10 11 prior to this had a number of greater than 300 houses within 2,000 12 feet of the site. 13 So as you can tell, there's 14 a lot of businesses and homes that 15 end up getting developed very closely 16 17 to these sites. It doesn't impede 18 that from happening, having this type of a facility in the neighborhood. 19 Okay. The next site that 20 I'm going to point out. Once again, 21 it's another Independence Power & 22 Light facility. This one's located 23 down near Lee's Summit Road and 24 25 Keiger Road. Again, we've got

electric transmission going through 1 2 this site. Again, I'm sorry, we don't -- we didn't have identified 3 where the natural gas pipeline is 4 that feeds this, but this is a gas 5 facility. 6 We did want to point out 7 that, obviously, once again, you 8 know, we've got a new housing 9 development that has been built since 10 this site has been built. This is 11 kind of a high end dollar development 12 that's gone in right across from --13 right across Lee's Summit Road. 14 Drum Farm golf course has been -- at 15 the time this picture was taken was 16 under construction and I assume it's 17 been built, it's there. 18 So with regards to this 19 site, the nearest house is only 340 20 away, and within 2,000 feet radius 21 of this site, again, you've got over 22 300 houses. So it's a pretty high 23 24 density of houses. For the last

three facilities looked at they're

25

1 close to this type of an energy 2 center. 3 And I'm going to go back to the slide that Chris was talking 5 about before. I think that this 6 helps kind of put an exclamation 7 point. I mean you see the 8 infrastructure that was at the other 9 sites. 10 And as you can tell, when 11 they said that this was a unique 12 situation where you've got not just 13 one or two, you've got six high 14 pressure, high volume, you know, 15 pipelines located close to the 16 proposed site. You've got several 17 sources of electric transmission that the site can tap into. Adequate 18 19 water supply. 20 It just kind of puts an 21 exclamation point on the fact that, 22 you know, that the site for the fact 23 of infrastructure is adequately 24 located and that, once again, it's 25 -- you know, the local land use

1	would be appropriate for that and
2	its approximately the distance to
3	businesses and homes is such that the
4	nearest house to the proposed Camp
5	Branch center is 780 feet away, and
6	Shaffer Estates is almost 1600 feet
7	away. And then we did a house count
8	of houses within 2,000 feet of this
9	site and it was only 14.
10	So certainly the other sites
11	that we have represented to you in
12	the preceding slides, you know, their
13	potential impact was certainly higher
14	than what we're seeing here at this
15	site, and their infrastructure wasn't
16	even as good as this one.
17	So I think that takes care
18	of what I've got for my
19	presentation. I'm going to let Mr.
20	Thomson, if you can provide your
21	closing remarks. Thank you.
22	MR. THOMSON: Mr. Chairman,
23	members of The Board, members of the
24	public. Again, thank you for the
25	opportunity to come and present this

1	evening.
2	I'd kind of like to
3	summarize here briefly, and it looks
4	like we've come in under our hour,
5	which I'm proud of. I maybe have 15
6	minutes for anybody else who may be
7	in the audience that wants to come
8	forward and speak in favor of the
9	proposal. I recognize that that may
10	be a small number compared to the
11	others, but nonetheless.
12	You know, Aquila is a public
13	utility company, obviously, and
14	they're certificated by the Missouri
15	Public Service Commission to provide
16	a much needed public service.
17	Everybody here uses electricity
18	obviously, everybody needs it, it's
L9	an essential in our lives today and
20	without it we wouldn't be where we
21	are in the development of our
22	communities.
23	There's a need for this
24	service here in Cass County and in
25	this area, and we are obligated to

1	serve our constituents, if you will,
2	our customers, the public, where the
3	need exists.
4	It's not as if we took out
5	a dart and a map and threw a dart at
6	the map and said, here's where we
7	want to put this facility. As you
8	can see from the presentation here
9	today, there's been a lot of thought
10	 and a lot of sophisticated, very
11	detailed, very sensitive thought
12	that's gone into the location of the
13	the proposed location of this
14	facility.
15	It was not done randomly, it
16	was not done because it's our desire
17	to cause any inconvenience for
18	anybody in this audience or in this
19	city the nearby city or in the
20	County or anything like that, it was
21	done because there's a need that
22	exists that we have to serve.
23	You know, we wouldn't be here
24	talking about it if you didn't live
25	in a high growth county where

1	there's a demand for it, and the
2	fact is, that demand's going nowhere
3	but up. The County continues to
4	grow and the demand for electricity
5	will continue to grow.
6	We came here this evening
7	and we submitted ourselves to this
8	process of seeking a Special Use
9	Permit because we thought it was the
10	right thing to do. We feel that we
11	want to be a good citizen and we
12	want to work hand-in-hand with the
13	County and the residents of the
14	County to come up with the best
15	solution.
16	As their attorney, I feel
17	obligated to be the attorney, and
18	occasionally as an attorney you have
19	to say things that other people
20	don't necessarily like, but we
21	submitted ourselves to this zoning
22	process, this Special use Application
23	because we felt it was the right
24	thing to do, not because we had to.
25	It's our strong belief that

1	we have an obligation, frankly, to
2	build the facility to serve the
3	demand. As a certificated utility
4	that obligation exists and, frankly,
5	the law is just pretty clear on the
6	right of a utility such as ours
7	that's so certificated by the Public
8	Service Commission to locate its
9	facilities where it needs to.
10	Having said that, I think
11	we desired to submit to this process
12	because we wanted input from you all,
13	we wanted this to be an open and
14	transparent process. And by doing
15	that we've not waived any of our
16	rights that we feel we have and know
17	we have to otherwise under law to
18	locate this plant and I wanted to
19	make that clear.
20	In conclusion, I would like
21	to refer the members of The Board
22	back to the Bucher, Willis report
23	that was prepared on behalf of the
24	County as your consultant. We
25	concur with that report in virtually

1	every respect. I think there's
2	really only two areas of potential
3	contention or dispute.
4	The first being the one we
5	discussed at the outset, which is the
6	distinction between an industrial
7	rezone versus a Special Use Permit,
8	which we explained, and we explained
9	why we went through that process and
10	went the SUP route.
11	And the other issue is we
12	spoke about for which I have
13	submitted the letter requesting the
14	variance and the potential for the
15	75 foot lightning tower that may be
16	necessary or poles that may be
17	necessary.
18	So having said that, we feel
19	very strongly that we've made a
20	strong case for this. We've met the
21	ordinance, we've done everything we
22	can to lessen the impact and we've
23	done a very good job, in our
24	opinion, of siting this particular
25	utility this utility's facility.

1	So without any further ado
2	I'll turn it over to the next group
3	of speakers, I guess, and of course,
4	we'll all be available to answer any
5	questions that The Board may have at
6	the appropriate time.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr
8	Thomson.
9	MR. THOMSON: Thank you.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is
11	there anyone else from The Board
12	or from the group here this evening
13	that would like to come forward and
14	speak in favor of this application?
15	(No response.)
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll
17	move on to the next phase of this.
18	We'll move on to the next phase.
19	The microphone is open for those that
20	would like to visit with us against
21	this application. Please come
22	forward.
23	MR. DOERHOFF: I'm Dan
24	Doerhoff, Superintendent of the East
25	Lynne School, a school district here

1	in Cass County, a school district
2	just barely off the edge of the
3	picture here.
4	Director Wilson, Chairman
5	Hardin, Board members, Aquila
6	representatives and the public. I'm
7	not really sure if I'm on the
8	opposite side of the fence, but
9	there is a critical issue, an
10	important part of this, that I felt
11	compelled to provide information
12	about coming from the educational
13	standpoint.
14	Schools are funded by tax
15	revenue, largely by property tax
16	revenue, and a large responsibility
17	for that property tax revenue rests
18	on commercial enterprises and
19	utilities. So we rely on that, we
20	don't have any other funding source
21	other than taxes, we have to have it.
22	In fact, Missouri Statute
23	153.030 specifies that utility
24	property will be taxed. Property
25	owned by, controlled by, leased it

1	does go on and says even property
2	controlled by utilities will be
3	taxed. Schools rely on that. We
4	must have that.
5	You hear a lot about Chapter
6	100 financing. Chapter 100 is an
7	economic development tool. If you
8	read Chapter 100 it's 32 some odd
9	33 pages in the statute books,
10	but if you read Chapter 100, Chapter
11	100 very plainly identifies the
12	industries to which it applies. It
13	has a section that identifies the
14	applicable industries.
15	Utilities aren't mentioned.
16	Utilities are mentioned in 153.030
17	and it says they will be taxed. It's
18	important to schools, it's compelling
19	to schools, that this property be
20	taxed. That's why I'm here.
21	If you take the value of the
22	plant as stated by an Aquila
23	representative last week at \$133
24	million, you have to have a value
25	somewhere, and that's an estimate and

1 probably a reliable one, if you use 2 that estimate and follow through the statutes on the taxation process, 3 4 utilities are taxed by the average 5 tax levy of all the schools in the 6 County. Take all their levies and 7 add them up. 8 There are ten schools in Cass 9 County that are Cass County schools. 10 There are four additional schools 11 that are not Cass County schools, 12 but extend into Cass County. Lee's Summit, Kingsville, Long Jack and 13 14 Holden. The others are identified 15 as Cass County schools in the 16 central office in Cass County. 17 So there are 14 schools 18 altogether. Their tax levies are 19 averaged, that average levy applies 20 to utility property. The value of 21 the utility is set by the State, the 22 tax bill is presented, they write a 23 check and pay it to the County and 24 then the money is distributed back to 25 the schools. We rely on that money.

1	It's important. Very important.
2	In fact, Davis Rooney, a
3	representative from Aquila, last week
4	at a meeting mentioned the
5	possibility that Chapter 100 may not
6	apply to utilities.
7	How much money are we talking
8	about? How big a deal is this?
9	We've got some large school districts
10	in the County and we've got some
11	small school districts in the County.
12	It's a big deal to every one of
13	them. To the small school districts
14	a small amount is still a big deal.
15	If you take the calculations
16	and use the \$133 million figure
17	represented by Aquila and follow
18	through the taxation process, you'd
19	find the school districts in
20	alphabetical order would be affected
21	and I'll just run down the list.
22	R-2 School District these
23	are yearly figures in tax revenue.
24	Yearly. Each year. Approximately
25	\$55.000. Belton, 496.000. Drexel.

1	42,000. East Lynne, my school
2	district, very small, \$30,000, that's
3	a teacher. Harrisonville, 298,000.
4	Holden, 4,000. Kingsville, 8,000
5	or 800. Lee's Summit, 33,000. Lone
6	Jack, that's a nonresident district
7	that extends into the County, 6,000.
8	Midway, 85,000. Pleasant Hill,
9	192,000. The Ray-Pec District, the
10	largest in Cass County, more than
11	half a million dollars, 522,000
12	525,000 each year. Sherwood, 62,000,
13	and Strasburg 29,000.
14	This is important to the
15	schools. Very important. Without
16	this tax revenue, we still have to
17	run a school. We've got to figure
18	out a way to do it. We've got to
19	raise taxes on somebody else if we
20	don't get it here. It's required to
21	be paid here. That's the point I am
22	here to make.
23	I've worked very closely
24	with the citizens groups on this.
25	Worked very closely with the

1	superintendents on this, been to
2	several meetings on this. This is
3	important. I can't under or I
4	can't overstate the importance of
5	this issue.
6	I look forward and I'm sure
7	most of the people here look forward
8	to working with this body and the
9	other political subdivisions involved
10	for the best interests of everyone
11	affected. I certainly appreciate
12	your time, and I have a copy of my
13	notes. I notice some of you taking
14	notes, I should have mentioned in
15	the beginning, you really didn't have
16	to write it down because I'll give
17	it to you. Thanks for your time.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19	MR. WOOD: Good evening. I
20	am Kevin Wood and I am honored to be
21	here tonight with you. I am at 300
22	East Pearl, Harrisonville, Missouri,
23	and I am proud tonight to speak to
24	you on behalf of The Board of
25	Aldermen of the City of Harrisonville

and I am also honored to serve the 1 2 near 10,000 residents of the City of Harrisonville as their Mayor. 3 4 As you heard earlier, The 5 Board did pass a resolution and I have copies of that for you. If you 6 7 will. We spent a great deal of 8 9 time, as I think you probably are going to do tonight and at other 10 meetings, and actually visited the 11 12 Greenwood facility. We had meetings with Aquila, the citizens, school 13 boards with different schools 14 districts were represented, and after 15 listening to all of this, you have 16 17 tonight before you a resolution that The Board has passed in opposition 18 19 to the Special Use Permit for this location. 20 As you will see -- and I'll 21 22 put this up -- the Harrisonville Planning and Zoning Commission and 23 The Board of Aldermen have approved 24 a Comprehensive Plan, and as you can 25

1	see from the mark on that plan, the
2	proposed site is right within
3	residential proposed growth of our
4	community.
5	And we understand the
6	schools' issues and certainly are
7	sympathetic of them, but we believe
8	that rooftops certainly are a benefit
9	to our school district as well. Not
10	that we're opposing power, we
11	certainly appreciate it and want it,
12	we just wish that they would look at
13	another site that maybe wasn't as
14	detrimental to our current growth.
15	Thank you. And at this time
16	I will have Director Rick Deluca to
17	speak on behalf of some other
18	Comprehensive Plan issues. Thank you.
19	MR. DELUCA: Good evening.
20	I'm Rick Deluca, 300 East Pearl
21	Street, Director of Community
22	Development for the City of
23	Harrisonville.
24	You know, I would tend to
25	agree with the applicant that the

1	need is here for this type of
2	facility, but as we deal with in this
3	County a rapidly growing County,
4	planning is an issue and in planning
5	you talk about location, and the
6	same thing with property values, you
7	talk location, location, location.
r- 8	And that is why this is so important
9	this evening.
10	The County Comprehensive
11	Plan, which I'd like to sort of
12	address, does address location issues
13	and specifically in industrial areas.
14	Policy I1.1 of your own Comprehensive
15	Plan states, "Industrial sites should
16	have access to arterial roadways."
17	235th Street is not designated as an
18	arterial roadway or a collector
19	roadway on the County major
20	thoroughfare map.
21	Policy I1.3, "Industrial
22	development should be located so as to
23	minimize impacts on less intensive
24	uses." Being adjacent to Shaffer
25	Estates and some other homes is not

1	necessarily minimizing them because
2	typically you want to separate your
3	industrial uses from your residential
4	uses.
5	This separation may be in
6	the form of multifamily, commercial,
7	office, something like that, but
8	something to where you can step down
9	for land uses.
10	Your Comprehensive Plan also
11	states in policy I1.4, "New
12	industrial users should be separated
13	or buffered from nonindustrial uses."
14	Again, there's no separation or
15	buffer. The screening that they are
16	proposing also is inadequate.
17	The screening that they are
18	proposing along 235th Street
19	encompasses basically oak trees and
20	redbuds. Generally when you see a
21	screen, it's evergreen trees, white
22	pines, Norway, spruce, blue spruce,
23	cedar trees, that type of thing. It
24	is not going to provide much of a
25	screen, especially, especially during

1	the winter months.
2	Policy I1.8, "Industrial uses
3	should be encouraged to locate within
4	existing cities." This is not within
5	an existing city. It is on the
6	fringe. It is on an area in which
7	the City of Harrisonville is having a
8	lot of new development and new
9	development applications being
10	submitted.
11	We have the Ranch
12	development that is actually going
13	before the Planning and Zoning
14	Commission this next month. We also
15	have the Katy Trails development,
16	which they are currently building
17	homes in that area right now.
18 .	Policy A1.1 encourages
19	separation of urban and rural land
20	uses. The closest and you may
21	have a better idea than I do, but
22	the closest industrial land use that
23	I could think of in this area was
24	approximately two and a half, three
25	miles away.

1	Now, placing this right in
2	the middle of an area that doesn't
3	have an industrial land use around
4	doesn't really fit into the character
5	of it, and whether it's a Special
6	Use Permit or an Industrial Zoning,
7	I would contend that that would be a
8	spot zoning type of action, and
9	Special Use Permits are zoning
10	actions.
11	Policy G1.1, "New urban
12	development should be should not
13	be developed in a leapfrog type of
14	pattern." The area in which
15	development is occurring in this part
16	of the County is down around the
17	Jones Ranch and Katy Trails in the
18	northeast part of Harrisonville. By
19	jumping ahead nearly a mile, that is
20	a leapfrog type of action.
21	Furthermore, I would
22	encourage you to review Article 8.C
23	of the standards. That the County
24	is obligated to review each SUP
25	application by and it addresses

1	issues such as the nature and the
2	height of the structures and whether
3	that's important or appropriate for
4	the location, the adequate screening
5	and buffering that would be
6	established and also the proposed
7	industrial use is compatible or
8	whether it's compatible with Shaffer
9	Estates and the surrounding
10	residential areas.
11	And Section 8B(5) states,
12	"In no case shall a Special Use
13	Permit be granted if the proposed
14	use will constitute a nuisance to
15	public health, safety or welfare."
16	In summary, this application
17	does not conform with the County's
18	Comprehensive Plan, it does not
19	conform with the City's Comprehensive
20	Plan and I would contest that it
21	does not conform to your own zoning
22	ordinance also, the criteria that is
23	outlined in it in the section with
24	the Special Use Permits.
25	Are there any questions?

1	Thank you.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks, Rick
3	MR. EPSTEIN: Good evening,
4	members of The Board. My name is
5	Mark Epstein, I'm an attorney with
6	the Law Firm of Roe & Epstein. My
7	address is 920 Main Street, Suite
8	270, Kansas City, Missouri 64105.
9	Our law firm represents the Cass
10	County residents opposed to the
11	placement of the power plant.
12	That organization consists
13	of some 280 signators who have
14	voiced their opposition, and I'm sure
15	that you can judge by the failure of
16	anybody to stand in support, that
17	the remainder of this crowd is here
18	in opposition. These are the types
19	of people that we represent.
20	Our presentation will be
21	limited to a number of people who
22	have chosen to speak in opposition
23	for a number of matters. I have
24	brought with me this evening Mr.
25	Stan Styron, who's the chairman of

the CCROPP who will be voicing 1 2 opinions of those 280 people who he has worked with closely to gather 3 signatures and try and come up with 4 some key issues for you to take into 5 consideration this evening. 6 7 Also with me this evening is Jim Gabriel who is probably one of 8 9 the larger immediately adjacent property owners to this facility and 10 he'd like to discuss with you some 11 12 very personal impact, negative impact, that this proposed use will 13 have on his property. 14 Also with us this evening is 15 16 Judge Bill Collins who has some issues that he would like to address, 17 18 and at the conclusion of our group's 19 small presentation I will come back 20 up here and take up some issues that I believe are relevant with respect 21 22 to Article 8 of the Special Use Permit of the zoning ordinance, and 23 how the application applies. 24

I'd also like to take that

25

1	opportunity to rebut some points that
2	you have heard during Aquila's
3	presentation.
4	At this point I'll turn this
5	over to Mr. Sam Styron.
6	MR. STYRON: Thank you.
7	I'm Sam Styron, I live at 24008 East
8	232nd Street, which is in Shaffer
9	Estates. I am the Chairman of this
10	group we call CCROPP, Cass County
11	Residents Opposing the Power Plant.
12	Now, as everyone has stated,
13	we we're not opposed to power.
14	We like power. We enjoy it today
15	especially, but we are opposed to the
16	placement inappropriate placement
17	of a power plant. We're in a 300
18	acre Shaffer Estates is a 300
19	acre residential development, and our
20	proposed neighbors are wanting to
21	move in next to us with industrial
22	development and that's our point.
23	We think that's inappropriate
24	placement of a power plant.
25	And the next thing, the

1	other point and purpose of our group
2	is to insure that they are going to
3	pay taxes appropriately. So I just
4	wanted to let you again know, as Mr.
5	Epstein said, we have at least 280
6	signators on petitions opposing the
7	placement of this power plant.
8	And as again, this crowd
9	here is people from our group who
10	are paying members, that's how we do
11	business. And so anyway, we
12	appreciate the opportunity to let you
13	know that we're opposed and would
14	appreciate you listening to the rest
15	of our presentation.
16	I would like for Todd White,
17	who was not mentioned while ago, were
18	you going to speak, Todd? If you'd
19	give him a moment here and then Mr.
20	Epstein will be back again.
21	MR. WHITE: Thank you, Sam.
22	I'm Todd White, I'm the
23	Superintendent of Schools in
24	Harrisonville, Missouri, 503 South
25	Lexington.

1	Our school district is
2	opposed to this power plant's
3	location because of much of what
4	you've heard from Rick Deluca and
5	Mayor Wood with regards to the
6	residential growth that can occur in
7	this area. Rooftops are good for a
8	lot of reasons, but certainly for
9	school districts, they bring
10	students. Also brings property taxes
11	as well as assessment valuation
12	increases from 12 percent of
13	agriculture to 19 percent for
14	residential.
15	We like power certainly and a
16	growing county needs power. This
17	particular location, we believe, has
18	many detrimental affects on the
19	growth of Harrisonville, its school
20	district and the County in this
21	particular area of Harrisonville.
22	Thanks.
23	MR. GABRIEL: My name is Jim
24	Gabriel. I live at 24201 East 235th
25	Street, Harrisonville, Missouri. My

1	property is directly adjacent to the
2	proposed power plant.
3	Five years ago, before I
4	started to the procedure for me
5	to have the zoning changed from
6	agriculture to residential I went
7	downstairs to the Zoning and Codes
8	Office and talked to the people down
9	there. I'm a contractor so I know a
10	little bit about due diligence and
11	what you need to do. I asked
12	pertinent questions about set asides,
13	flood plains, any future commercial
14	or industrial developments were set
15	aside for this particular area. I
16	was told, no, this was all for future
17	residential growth.
18	I then put in my
19	application, I stood before the group
20	and I presented my application and I
21	was granted a zoning change from
22	agricultural to residential.
23	I'm now next week will be
24	three years that I've lived in my
25	house. I put every dime that I have

1	in that home, taken the property
2	that was basically a field and
3	created something that the County
4	manages to tax me quite well on.
5	I have spent numerous days
6	improving the property, building
7	ponds, planting trees, things that
8	are not identifiable as a value to
9	the it's just a structure. I
10	feel that with Aquila's proposed
11	location of this power plant, it
12	basically condemns my property. My
13	dad's property is directly adjacent
14	to this property. Bill and Sharon
15	Martin's property is directly
16	adjacent to this property.
17	I moved and built this house
18	on this property because it was a
19	great location. It offered peace
20	and quiet. It offered a chance to
21	have a buffer away from other
22	neighbors. I moved from Lee's
23	Summit to here to get away from the
24	noise, to get away from the traffic
25	and to enjoy a place where my wife

and I can retire and have a nice 1 2 place to live without an intrusion of an industrial area right adjacent 3 to my property. 4 I would suggest that I drove 5 within about a mile and a half area 6 of this proposed power plant, there 7 were 102 homes in that area. 8 9 of them are -- are being new, being just built, but this is going to 10 impact an area that has -- was by 11 the County and the City's own 12 admission, designated to be zoned for 13 residential. 14 If I would have known that 15 there was going to be a commercial 16 or an industrial development sitting 17 in this area, I certainly would not 18 19 have decided to build a home and try and spend the next 25 or 30 years 20 next to an industrial power plant. 21 It's not conducive to the area and I 22 would hope that you would take into 23 24 consideration all the things that are

brought forth today on the opposition

1	of this.
2	I thank you for your time.
3	MR. COLLINS: Will you swear
4	me in? I want to be sworn in front
5	of everybody.
6	(Mr. William Collins was
7	duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
8	MR. COLLINS: William
9	Collins. My address is 24100 East
10	Shaffer Estates in Harrisonville,
11	Missouri. I've been a resident of
12	Shaffer Estates well, in fact,
13	I've been I was the second home
14	built in Shaffer Estates.
15	I'm here representing about
16	a bunch of people. I'm an
17	attorney. So this is kind of
18	abnormal since I'm a judge also and
19	I get to argue a case. I haven't
20	done that in a long time.
21	I've got a lot of neighbors
22	a lot of them are construction
23	workers, educators, there's a dental
24	hygienist, there's a couple of
25	business owners. They all have moved

1	out there some 14 years ago, and
2	when we moved out there we thought
3	we were moving out to a rural
4	estates and that's what we did.
5	And then one morning I got a
6	note. I got a note that said
7	there's a power plant going in on
8	235th Street. I'll be honest with
9	you, I said, you're all crazy, that
10	can't be happening because we're
11	right in a rural district and I know
12	that we have a Comprehensive Plan.
13	So I kind of laughed to
14	myself, and I'll be very honest with
15	you, I said, aw, can't be. I told
16	my wife that, and then the truth
17	came out. We had an informational
18	meeting. I met all these people
19	from Aquila. They met me. I asked
20	some questions and that's what I do.
21	You might ask them about the
22	June 1st, 2005 contract. Well,
23	there's a little problem that they've
24	had. The Aires plant been sold and
25	they had to get some more power.

1 They sold it for a loss of 46 and a 2 half million dollars. They sold it 3 because they made a mistake, and now they've decided they're going to 5 rectify the mistake and they're going 6 to build themselves another power 7 plant. 8 They forget to tell you 9 about their Pleasant Hill peaking 10 plant that is downtown Pleasant Hill 11 that's currently zoned industrial. 12 That's where a peaking plant is, and 13 they've got room for another engine, 14 jet engine, that -- which is what 15 they want to put on this property by Shaffer Estates. 16 17 Then they also have a 18 peaking plant in Greenwood, and they 19 will tell you how wonderful it is to 20 live next door to that peaking 21 plant. The problem they don't tell 22 you is it's 160 acres. They don't 23 tell you that it was built in the 24 early seventies before there was

development there.

1	When I moved in 14 years ago
2	and built my house, and I live in
3	that house today, I knew there were
4	power lines, I knew there was gas
5	lines. I knew all that, and I
6	accepted those things, but I didn't
7	accept a power plant, an industrial
8	power plant, being placed in an area
9	where it's rural and where it's
10	residential.
L1	So I asked another question
12	of mine, you know, I ask questions,
13	and that's what I'm I've got
14	notes here, I just wrote them down.
15	They talked about the fact
16	that it'd be easy for Aquila to put
17	in a power plant. I said, well,
18	let's make it easy for ourselves.
19	Well, sure, they want to make it
20	easy for themselves because they're
21	the they're an investor owned
22	company. They don't want to put out
23	any other cost because they're
24	looking to prop up their shares of
25	stock.

1 That's the problem. haven't thought about the neighbors. 2 They tell you that they're going to 3 buffer it, but you can't tell me 4 5 that an oak tree grows very big 6 cause I've got one sitting right beside my driveway and I'm telling 7 you right now, after 14 years it's 8 not very big. 9 They've taken an idea that 10 they think that they can just drop 11 this plant in here after they had 12 their problems up in Pleasant Hill 13 and sold their Aires plant and bring 14 it down to Harrisonville. That's the 15 16 problem I've got. They have a peaking area in Pleasant Hill, they 17 18 won't tell you about that. They have a place in Greenwood where they 19 could add on, they've got 160 acres 20 21 for that peaking plant. And they want to take you up 22 there and show you that plant. 23 fact, I encourage you to go up. 24

you go up, you look and there's 30

1	years of growth around that plant.
2	That's the problem. That's the
3	problem. They want to compare
4	apples and oranges, ladies and
5	gentlemen.
6	They want to show you a
7	plant where people knew there was a
8	peaking plant there when they moved
9	in. You have you know when you
10	move into a peaking plant. You know
11	if you move in next to a pig farm,
12	you know. If it's there, you accept
13	it and that's what you buy.
14	That's one of the problems
15	that I see here. Jim Gabriel talked
16	about due diligence. He's one of
17	the most diligent persons that I
18	know. He looks at everything, and
19	that's the problem, they didn't let
20	us know. If they want to let us
21	know that they're going to build a
22	peaking plant, they should have told
23	us a long, long time ago before
24	everybody built their homes there.
25	I kind of laughed about the

fact that they said that they don't 1 2 think they'll account for the fact 3 that there's an increase of cost to their plant because they have to 5 build an extra gas line or they have 6 to make some adjustments. Public Service Commission isn't even 7 8 going to review this. They're not even going to review this within 9 their certificate area. 10 So them telling you that 11 somehow the Public Service Commission 12 13 is going to somehow make a decision on this, it's not true. They don't 14 15 have to request anything from the PSC because it's within their service 16 area. There's not going to be any 17 hearing for the Public Service 18 Commission to build this plant. 19 20 simply isn't going to happen. Additionally, they know for 21 a fact that they do take into 22 23 account when they have to make adjustments to cost, if they're 24

reasonable costs the rates will be

1 adjusted. They know that, they do 2 it every day, every year. They've got a couple pending rate increases 3 4 right now. They know that's part of 5 the deal when you're a public utility. That's why they're 6 7 regulated -- they're doing a 8 regulated plant. 9 That's the problem that I'm 10 seeing here is they've got to tell 11 you everything. They don't want to 12 tell you that stuff, but they need 13 to tell you that, about their other 14 locations that they've already got 15 peaking plants in that would be able 16 to service this area. So we don't 17 have to ruin the possibility for 18 Jones Ranch and Katy Trails, which 19 are already developments that are on 20 The Board for the City of 21 Harrisonville. 22 And it's within the 23 Comprehensive Plan that the City 24 developed. It's within the

Comprehensive Plan that the County

1	developed. We need to stay within
2	the Comprehensive Plan. Thank you.
3	MR. EPSTEIN: Thank you, Mr.
4	Collins, Mr. Gabriel and Mr. Styron.
5	Ladies and gentlemen of The
6	Board, let's talk about what this is
7	not. What's before you today is not
8	about supplying electricity, and what
9	you heard the bulk of the
10	presentation from the applicant is
11	their need, their desire, their
12	ability, their cost.
13	What you didn't hear them
14	talk that much about is Article VIII.
15	This is not your responsibility to
16	find Aquila the most logical
17	cost-effective place to put their
18	peaking plant. Your job is not to
19	find them a suitable facility, your
20	job is to interpret the Special Use
21	Permit provisions and determine
22	whether or not the placement of that
23	facility on this piece of ground
24	promotes the health, safety and
25	welfare of this community. That's

1	your job.
2	Their job is to try and find
3	a suitable facility. When you take
4	a look at Article VIII, Section C,
5	that sets forth basically your
6	criteria for evaluating your this
7	application. Section C is the
8	standards for issuance of Special Use
9	Permits.
10	And the number one standard
11	is the location and size of the
12	proposed use in relation to the site
13	and to adjacent sites and uses of
14	property. And it goes on to talk
15	about the nature and intensity of
16	operations proposed thereon.
17	The next standard under 6 is
18	the general compatibility with
19	adjacent properties, other properties
20	in the district and the general
21	safety, health, comfort and general
22	welfare of the community.
23	Well, let's take a look and
24	see what exactly is proposed and how
25	exactly that is in relation to the

site and the adjacent sites and uses 1 of properties. 2 Adjacent to this property 3 you heard Mr. Gabriel say he owns 4 one house, 20 acres. His father owns 5 one house, 19 acres. Those are the 6 7 types of sites that are adjacent. Mr. Gabriel doesn't own a 315 8 megawatt peaking plant with three 9 turbine engines and barbwire fencing 10 with No Trespassing signs posted 11 every 50 feet. 12 Now, if you can tell me that 13 a site of this size, which is going 14 to contain not just a peaking plant 15 -- cause it's important if you were 16 17 to read that binder of information page-by-page, you'll understand that 18 this isn't just a peaking plant with 19 three turbine engines. 20 It's a substation, it's a 21 fuel gas conditioning equipment 22 storage place, it has road access, 23 it has a control and communication 24 25 facility, it has construction

1 equipment storage and erection, there 2 is contractor parking, there's a 3 field office facility, there's a 50 4 foot exhaust stack. 5 Now, if you've driven 235th Street, you're not going to find 6 7 another 50 exhaust stack, and I assure you you're not going to find 8 another 75 foot lightning tower. 9 how then does this applicant come 10 11 before you and tell you with a straight face that it has met the 12 criteria in Article VIII that somehow 13 14 the location and size of the proposed use is in relation to 15 adjacent uses on adjacent sized 16 properties? 17 18 Because if you don't see other compounds surrounded by 19 barbwire with 50 foot spaced No 20 Trespassing signs, then I would 21 submit to you it's not adjacent --22 adjacency compatibility. - 23 If you don't see other 50 foot towers and 24 25 75 foot lightning towers, that's not

1 compatible with surrounding uses. 2 Let's take a look at what 3 this facility is going to generate as 4 opposed to what, say Mr. Gabriel's 5 facility would generate. Mr. Gabriel 6 has a house. It generates no noise, 7 no dust, no electricity, expends no 8 diesel fuel. 9 But if you were to take the 10 time to look at the MDNR report and 11 see what exactly this facility does, in the review summary on page 9 12 13 you'll see that the MDNR in its review application for authority to 14 15 construct and operate under Section 16 VIII review, it says, "Hazardous air 17 pollutant, HAP, emissions are 18 expected from the proposed equipment 19 due to the combustion of natural gas and diesel fuel. The primary HAPs 20 21 of concern from the proposed 22 equipment are acrolein, formaldehyde, 23 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and 24 the potential emissions of 25 formaldehyde are above its respective

1 threshold level, but less than major 2 source levels." 3 If you go on to read you'll see the second bullet point on page 4 10, it says, "Potential emission of 5 nitrous oxide, carbon monoxide and 6 7 PM10 are above significant levels." This is the type of facility that you 8 want to bring to your community? Is 9 this the type of facility that is 10 somehow compatible with the adjoining 11 12 uses? If you were to ask Mr. 13 Gabriel or his father how many tons 14 of carbon monoxide he produces in a 15 year, I guarantee you the answer is 16 17 none. If you were to ask him how many tons of nitrous oxide or 18 formaldehyde that his adjacent use 19 produces in a year, I can assure you 20 the answer would be none. 21 22 But if you were to continue your review of the MDNR report and 23 24 go to page 12, all of these criteria pollutants will be emitted from the 25

1	operation of these units with PM10,
2	nitrous oxide and carbon monoxide
3	being emitted in amounts greater than
4	significant levels, i.e., greater
5	than de minimis levels.
6	HAP emissions are also
7	expected due to the operation of the
8	turbines with the main HAP of
9	concern being formaldehyde, and if
10	you took the time to study the chart
11	that's provided, buried in the back
12	of their application, you would see
13	that the MDNR has published what they
14	call their emission summary that sets
15	forth for you what is the permitted
16	amount of tonnage of each of these
17	toxic chemicals that it's okay to
18	spit out into the air.
19	For example, nitrous oxide.
20	The maximum regulatory de minimis
21	level in tons per year is 40. It's
22	the most you should do according to
23	the MDNR. Keep in mind, that's still
24	40 tons a year.
25	What is Aquila bringing to
	·

1	the County? Well, if you try and
2	play with the numbers and you just
3	look at the per hour rate, you're,
4	fortunately only down to 309 tons a
5	year. 309 tons a year when the
6	maximum is supposed to be 40. Now,
7	if you go to their potential
8	emissions, that would give you 1,075
9	tons when the limit's 40.
10	Protecting the health, safety and
11	welfare of the community?
12	Let's look at formaldehyde.
13	Regulatory de minimis levels, two
14	tons per year. Best case scenario,
15	condition potential based on our
16	limitations? Double that, almost
17	four tons. The potential, 13.58
18	tons. Nearly seven times greater
19	than the actual level that's supposed
20	to be permitted by the MDNR.
21	So ask yourself this, how
22	exactly does this type of peaking
23	plant satisfy the criteria in your
24	Zoning Ordinance under Article VIII
25	that the nature and intensity of the

1	operations proposed thereon are
2	somehow compatible with these folks'
3	houses?
4	These are single-family
5	homeowners and you have to determine
6	whether or not that site and you
7	heard the mayor of the City of
8	Harrisonville tell you, their Comp
9	plan says this is supposed to be
10	residential. You heard Rick Deluca,
11	the staff planner, tell you that your
12	Comprehensive Plan says this is
13	supposed to be residential.
14	If you were to listen to the
15	other 280 people who had signed
16	those petitions, they expected it to
17	be residential. I submit to you
18	that this is not about making sure
19	that electricity is provided. This
20	is about good land use planning, and
21	this proposed location with this type
22	of use is not good land use planning.
23	Well, let's actually go
24	through and take a look at the rest
25	of these things. The sound levels.

1 Noise, dust, sound. Those are the 2 big three that people are opposed to. The sound levels were calculated -- and if you -- and 5 again, this is all buried in this 6 application and if you read it 7 line-by-line and page-by-page you'd 8 see that their sound levels were 9 calculated using manufacturer's data 10 at 59 dba, which does not exceed 11 your 60 dba daytime limit. 12 Let's break that down. 13 determined the sound levels based on manufacturer's data. What do you 14 15 think that means? A brochure? Did 16 they -- did they go to another 17 peaking plant -- and they obviously 18 have several available because 19 they've shown you how beautiful they are and how everybody wants to build 20 21 next to them. 22 Why didn't they bring to you 23 a decibel reading from another 24 peaking plant to determine whether or

not the actual dba exceeds 60? What

1 they provided is a manufacturer's 2 data. Now, we all know that a manufacturer would never puff their 3 product because everybody sells a 4 5 bigger, faster, cheaper, more 6 efficient whatever it is. 7 So the best case scenario 8 you've got is the literature by the manufacturer who wants you to buy 9 their product, who comes in at 59 10 decibels which is just under your 11 daytime limit of 60 decibels, and 12 that's going to be running 4,000 13 hours a year. Well, that's a limit, 14 truely that's not much, but do the 15 16 math. 4,000 hours a year is 11 hours a day every day. 17 Are any of those hours going 18 to be at night? Because if they 19 are, they're probably going to --20 21 they're probably going to exceed a nuisance level. If you have a 22 separate nighttime level versus a 23 daytime level. I certainly wouldn't 24

want to be immediately adjacent to

1	something that is this much under the
2	threshold if you use the sales
3	brochure only during the day and
4	that's 11 hours a day.
5	Still emits hazardous air
6	pollutants. You've got the perimeter
7	fence with No Trespassing every 50
8	feet and there still hasn't been a
9	final determination of what they're
10	going to do with their sanitary
11	facilities. So you don't know what
12	the sanitary waste is going to do.
13	But let's look at what they
14	told you and really take a look and
15	see if what they've given you is an
16	accurate depiction. While I
17	appreciate their attorney's inference
18	that somehow that SUP is a more
19	limited time than a rezoning. Well,
20	that's true of the underlying fee,
21	if this is an SUP for an unlimited
22	time, then I would submit to you
23	it's the same thing as an indefinite
24	rezoning.
25	True, if this use were to go

1 away the underlying zoning is still 2 apt, but if this use never goes away 3 isn't that the same thing as being 4 tantamount to a zoning? You have 5 forever changed the use of this 6 property by giving it an unlimited 7 Special Use Permit that on the -- on 8 the best day you might hope for an 9 abandonment of nonuse after 12 months. 10 Obviously the size is larger than a single-family home on acreage 11 12 so it can't possibly meet the location and size and intensity of 13 operation requirement. It clearly 14 exceeds at least one of your zoning 15 violations -- or one of your zoning 16 17 criteria on height of buildings and they've now had to ask for a 18 19 variance. 20 What Mr. Keefe told you is we have an obligation to build at 21 the lowest cost. What I want to ask 22 you is how exactly do they reconcile 23 their desire to build at the lowest 24

cost with your desire to protect the

1 health, safety and welfare of the environment? Just because that's the 2 3 cheapest place to put it, doesn't mean it's the best place to put it 4 5 for the citizens of this County. 6 And again, your job isn't to 7 find them the best place for their facility. Your job is to protect the 8 health, safety and welfare of this 9 community and ensure adequate and 10 appropriate land use planning. 11 Now, Mr. Stower brought up 12 the point that the Greenwood Energy 13 Center down there is on 160 acres 14 and there's been tons of development 15 16 around it. But I think Mr. Collins adequately addressed that by saying 17 18 they came in afterwards, what us lawyers commonly refer to as coming 19 to the nuisance. 20 There's nothing wrong with 21 building around a power plant, a 22 septic tank, a pig farm, a shopping 23 center, a landfill, as long as you 24

know what you're getting into. And

1	you have to assume, as prudent real
2	estate buyers, that they took that
3	into account in their purchase price.
4	That some prudent buyer said, I'm
5	not going to give you the exact same
6	amount of money to buy in this
7	subdivision next to a peaking plant
8	that runs 11 hours a day with
9	turbine engines, as I would for this
10	subdivision across town of equal size
11	and equal quality building materials.
12	There's no way.
	mba aaablaa aa baaa baaa da
13	The problem we have here is
13 14	they've got the cart ahead of the
	-
14	they've got the cart ahead of the
1 4 15	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they
14 15 16	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands
14 15 16 17	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage.
14 15 16 17 18	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage. This is a case where these people
14 15 16 17 18 19	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage. This is a case where these people built first and they didn't see this
14 15 16 17 18 19	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage. This is a case where these people built first and they didn't see this coming.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage. This is a case where these people built first and they didn't see this coming. So what they don't give you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they've got the cart ahead of the horse. This is not a case where they build a plant and everybody expands around them and there's no damage. This is a case where these people built first and they didn't see this coming. So what they don't give you an answer to is, what's the negative

That's what they don't tell you.

1	But, see, that's your job, not to
2	find them the best place to put the
3	plant, but to make sure that these
4	people's property values aren't
5	damaged.
6	So in conclusion, what have
7	we heard here tonight? Well, you
8	know that the City of Harrisonville
9	doesn't want this. You've obviously
10	heard that the school district
11	doesn't want this. I think we've
12	made it clear that the citizens
13	don't want this. I think it's also
14	clear that they can't meet the
15	Article VIII zoning requirements.
16	They don't meet their Comp Plan,
17	they don't meet your Comp Plan, they
18	don't meet the zoning ordinance.
19	And with all that
20	information in mind, we appreciate
21	your time and we respectfully request
22	that you send a serious denial on to
23	The Board. Thank you very much.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
25	Epstein.

1	MR. NIXON: Good evening.
2	My name is Bill Nixon, I reside at
3	2311 South Jefferson Parkway in
4	Harrisonville or north of
5	Harrisonville.
6	You may say I'm a land bridge
7	between Shaffer Estates being the
8	railroad is my eastern boundary. If
9	you look at their map where it said
10	railroad, that's me. I'm a half a
11	mile from the western boundary of
12	Shaffer Estates and I'm directly
13	across the street from what the City
14	proposes as an alternate of the
15	North Lake area.
16	But I propose that this is
17	not a good deal for Cass County in
18	any fashion. I've heard many things
19	tonight, but I suspect that more
20	conflicts than not and I won't get
21	into those details, I'll keep it
22	simple.
23	On November the 3rd past, the
24	Missouri Public Service Commission, an
25	electricity roundtable mix, Ryan

1	Kind, the chief energy economist of
2	Missouri Office of Public Counsel
3	stated, and I quote, "A. Capacity
4	additions in Missouri have been made
5	more than double the amount needed
6	to keep pace with load growth." Do
7	you follow that?
8	"B. Missouri's position as
9	a net exporter of power has
10	continued to strengthen. Any power
11	they generate would be sold somewhere
12	else.
13	"C. Missouri's net
14	increases are more than double that
15	of Iowa and Kansas."
16	This proposed plant,
17	regardless of location or whatnot, is
18	a lifeline thrown out for somebody
19	that's sinking. All you have to do
20	is follow the press a little bit.
21	Aquila's financial condition is
22	perilous at best. The Pleasant Hill
23	plant, they defaulted on the loan,
24	they took a hell of a beating on it.
25	Excuse me.