

Table 9A.1 2017 IRP Renewable Compliance Filing Model

TERM 1											
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	
MW's Installed New Solar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	36.0
MW's Installed New LFG	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MW's Installed New Wind	0.0	0.0	0.0	700.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	700.0
Revenue Requirement-1% Rate Cap limit	\$3,167	\$3,123	\$3,211	\$3,372	\$3,608	\$3,565	\$3,568	\$3,694	\$3,735	\$3,745	\$34,712
										% Increase	0.069%
TERM 2											
	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	
MW's Installed New Solar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MW's Installed New LFG	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MW's Installed New Wind	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Revenue Requirement-1% Rate Cap limit	\$3,737	\$3,730	\$3,721	\$3,818	\$3,814	\$3,810	\$3,804	\$3,798	\$3,792	\$3,786	\$37,807
										% Increase	-0.012%
										\$72.443	0.027%

Plan A - RAP EE & DR																										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037					
Existing Resources	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,230	10,230	10,065	9,233	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	8,104	8,104	8,104	6,918					
Existing Sales and Load	-8,745	-8,564	-8,493	-8,463	-8,474	-8,498	-8,521	-8,546	-8,564	-8,579	-8,601	-8,621	-8,665	-8,710	-8,757	-8,787	-8,828	-8,872	-8,906	-8,949	-8,979					
Renewables	0	0	0	0	109	122	122	122	134	134	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159					
Energy Efficiency	0	0	0	122	242	381	513	659	782	912	1,026	1,140	1,250	1,352	1,456	1,544	1,618	1,707	1,769	1,820	1,877					
Demand Response	0	0	0	26	123	304	425	485	517	548	579	609	640	637	635	633	631	610	609	608	608					
New Primary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
New Secondary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Capacity Position after Adjustment	1,456	1,637	1,856	2,134	2,550	2,627	1,978	1,949	2,105	2,235	2,381	2,503	2,558	2,615	2,654	2,696	2,723	1,769	1,785	1,799	652					
Purchases (+) or sales (-)	-1,456	-1,637	-1,856	-2,134	-2,550	-2,627	-1,978	-1,949	-2,105	-2,235	-2,381	-2,503	-2,558	-2,615	-2,654	-2,696	-2,723	-1,769	-1,785	-1,799	-652					
Plan B - RAP EE Only																										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037					
Existing Resources	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,230	10,230	10,065	9,233	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	8,104	8,104	8,104	6,918					
Existing Sales and Load	-8,745	-8,564	-8,493	-8,463	-8,474	-8,498	-8,521	-8,546	-8,564	-8,579	-8,601	-8,621	-8,665	-8,710	-8,757	-8,787	-8,828	-8,872	-8,906	-8,949	-8,979					
Renewables	0	0	0	0	109	122	122	122	134	134	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159					
Energy Efficiency	0	0	0	122	242	381	513	659	782	912	1,026	1,140	1,250	1,352	1,456	1,544	1,618	1,707	1,769	1,820	1,877					
Demand Response	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
New Primary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
New Secondary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Capacity Position after Adjustment	1,456	1,637	1,830	2,010	2,246	2,202	1,494	1,432	1,557	1,656	1,772	1,863	1,920	1,980	2,021	2,065	2,112	1,160	1,177	1,191	45					
Purchases (+) or sales (-)	-1,456	-1,637	-1,830	-2,010	-2,246	-2,202	-1,494	-1,432	-1,557	-1,656	-1,772	-1,863	-1,920	-1,980	-2,021	-2,065	-2,112	-1,160	-1,177	-1,191	-45					
Plan C - RAP DR & 2 CCs in 2037																										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037					
Existing Resources	10,200																									

¹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)9

	Plan L - No DSM - Solar																									
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037					
Existing Resources	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,230	10,230	10,065	9,233	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	8,104	8,104	8,104	8,104					
- Existing Sales and Load	-8,745	-8,564	-8,493	-8,463	-8,474	-8,488	-8,521	-8,546	-8,564	-8,579	-8,601	-8,621	-8,665	-8,710	-8,757	-8,787	-8,828	-8,872	-8,906	-8,949	-8,979					
+ Renewables	0	0	0	0	109	122	122	122	134	134	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159					
+ Energy Efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
+ Demand Response	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
+ Solar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250	500	750	1,250	1,500	1,750	2,000					
+ New Secondary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
= Capacity Position after Adjustment	1,456	1,637	1,708	1,768	1,866	1,689	835	650	645	630	632	613	569	524	727	947	1,156	641	857	1,065	99					
Purchases (±) or sales (L)	-1,456	-1,637	-1,708	-1,768	-1,866	-1,689	-835	-650	-645	-630	-632	-613	-569	-524	-727	-947	-1,156	-641	-857	-1,065	-99					

	Plan R - RAP - 35% CO2 Reduction																				
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
Existing Resources	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,230	10,230	10,065	9,233	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	9,074	8,104	8,104	8,104	6,918
- Existing Sales and Load	-8,745	-8,564	-8,493	-8,463	-8,474	-8,468	-8,521	-8,546	-8,564	-8,579	-8,601	-8,621	-8,664	-8,710	-8,757	-8,787	-8,828	-8,874	-8,906	-8,949	-8,979
+ Renewables	0	0	0	0	109	122	122	122	134	134	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159
+ Energy Efficiency	0	0	122	242	381	513	659	782	912	1,026	1,140	1,250	1,352	1,456	1,544	1,618	1,707	1,769	1,820	1,877	1,946
+ Demand Response	0	0	26	123	304	425	485	517	548	579	609	640	637	635	633	631	610	609	608	608	607
- New Primary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+ New Secondary Supply Side	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
= Capacity Position after Adjustment	1,456	1,637	1,856	2,134	2,550	2,627	1,978	1,949	2,105	2,235	2,381	2,503	2,558	2,615	2,654	2,696	2,723	2,769	2,785	2,799	652
Purchases (+) or sales (-)	-1,456	-1,637	-1,856	-2,134	-2,550	-2,627	-1,978	-1,949	-2,105	-2,235	-2,381	-2,503	-2,558	-2,615	-2,654	-2,696	-2,723	-2,769	-1,785	-1,799	-652

Table 9A.3 Deviation and Probability: Project Cost Grid, Project Cost, FOM, OM, Project Schedule, EFOR, Environmental CapEx, and Transmission²***Project Cost Uncertainty Grid³***

Estimate Class	Degree of Project Definition (Expressed as % of complete definition)	Established Standard	Maturing	Evolving	Emerging
Class 5	0% to 2%	Low: -20%, High: +30%	Low: -25%, High: +45%	Low: -30%, High: +75%	Low: -35%, High: +120%
Class 4	1% to 15%	Low: -15%, High: +20%	Low: -20%, High: +35%	Low: -25%, High: +55%	Low: -30%, High: +90%
Class 3	10% to 40%	Low: -10%, High: +10%	Low: -15%, High: +25%	Low: -20%, High: +45%	Low: -25%, High: +70%
Class 2	30% to 75%	Low: -5%, High: +5%	Low: -10%, High: +15%	Low: -15%, High: +35%	Low: -20%, High: +55%
Class 1	65% to 100%	Low: -3%, High: +3%	Low: -5%, High: +8%	Low: -10%, High: +17%	Low: -15%, High: +40%

Ameren Missouri used the project cost uncertainty grid above to help guide the subject matter experts in their project cost deviation and probability assignments. Columns (Established Standard, Maturing, etc.) show the degree of technological maturity for a supply side type, whereas the rows, Estimate Classes, show the degree of project definition. Subject matter experts first determined which maturity and class level each supply side option falls under, and then, using that information, made assessments on how much project costs might deviate and the probabilities associated with that. This approach was an attempt to standardize and add more objectivity to a subjective step and also was consistent with Ameren's project approval and project management practices.

Project Cost Uncertainty Distribution

Technology	Estimate Class	Item	Low Project Cost	Low Mid Point Cost	Expected Value Project Cost	High Mid Point Cost	High Project Cost
Combined Cycle	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	0%	20%	50%	20%	10%
Simple Cycle	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	5%	20%	50%	20%	5%
Nuclear	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	60%	120%
		Probability	5%	15%	40%	25%	15%
Pumped Storage	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	5%	15%	60%	15%	5%
Hydro	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	5%	15%	60%	15%	5%
Regional Wind	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	5%	20%	50%	20%	5%
MO Wind	Class 5	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
		Probability	5%	20%	50%	20%	5%
Solar PV	Class 5	Deviation	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%
		Probability	5%	20%	50%	20%	5%

² 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1A; 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1B

³ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(E)

FOM Uncertainty Distribution ⁴

Technology	Item	Low Cost	Low Mid Point Cost	Expected Value	High Mid Point Cost	High Cost
Combined Cycle	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Simple Cycle	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Nuclear	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	20%	35%	30%	10%
Pumped Storage	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Hydro	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Regional Wind	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
MO Wind	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Solar PV	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%

VOM Uncertainty Distribution

Technology	Item	Low Cost	Low Mid Point Cost	Expected Value	Mid High Point Cost	High Mid Point Cost	High Cost
Combined Cycle	Deviation		-50%	0%	33%	83%	
	Probability		25%	45%	25%	5%	
Simple Cycle	Deviation		-50%	0%	50%		
	Probability		25%	50%	25%		
Nuclear	Deviation	-25%	-10%	0%	10%	25%	40%
	Probability	5%	20%	40%	20%	10%	5%
Pumped Storage	Deviation	-25%	-10%	0%	10%	25%	40%
	Probability	5%	20%	40%	20%	10%	5%
Hydro	Deviation	-25%	-10%	0%	10%	25%	40%
	Probability	5%	20%	40%	20%	10%	5%
Regional Wind	Deviation		**Assumed all O&M costs are fixed**				
	Probability						
MO Wind	Deviation		**Assumed all O&M costs are fixed**				
	Probability						
Solar PV	Deviation		**Assumed all O&M costs are fixed**				
	Probability						

⁴ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(I)

Project Schedule Uncertainty Distribution ⁵

Technology	Item	Low	Low Mid Point	Expected Value	High Mid Point	High
Combined Cycle	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Simple Cycle	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Nuclear	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Pumped Storage	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Hydro	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Regional Wind	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
MO Wind	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Solar PV	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%

EFOR Uncertainty Distribution ⁶

Technology	Item	Low	Low Mid Point	Expected Value	High Mid Point	High
Combined Cycle	Deviation		-1%	0%	2%	
	Probability		25%	50%	25%	
Simple Cycle	Deviation		-4%	0%	4%	
	Probability		25%	50%	25%	
Nuclear	Deviation		-1%	0%	1%	
	Probability		20%	50%	30%	
Pumped Storage	Deviation		-4%	0%	4%	
	Probability		25%	50%	25%	
Hydro	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Regional Wind	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
MO Wind	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%
Solar PV	Deviation	-30%	-15%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	25%	40%	25%	5%

⁵ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(F)⁶ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(J)

Environmental CapEx Uncertainty Distribution⁷

Technology	Item	Low Project Cost	Low Mid Point Cost	Expected Value Project Cost	High Mid Point Cost	High Project Cost
Labadie - Ash Landfill	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	15%	30%
	Probability	5%	10%	55%	20%	10%
Labadie - Fine Mesh Screens	Deviation	-30%	-11%	0%	17%	40%
	Probability	5%	10%	60%	20%	10%
Rush Island - Fine Mesh Screens	Deviation	-29%	-10%	0%	19%	42%
	Probability	5%	15%	60%	15%	5%

Retirement Transmission CapEx Uncertainty Distribution⁸

Technology	Item	Low Project Cost	Low Mid Point Cost	Expected Value Project Cost	High Mid Point Cost	High Project Cost
Meramec	Deviation	-20%	-10%	0%	20%	40%
	Probability	5%	15%	40%	30%	10%
Sioux	Deviation	-40%	-20%	0%	35%	70%
	Probability	5%	15%	40%	30%	10%
Labadie	Deviation	-40%	-20%	0%	35%	70%
	Probability	5%	15%	40%	30%	10%
Rush Island	Deviation	-40%	-20%	0%	35%	70%
	Probability	5%	15%	40%	30%	10%

⁷ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(E)⁸ 4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(E)

Table 9A.4 Sensitivity Analysis: Resource Specific Uncertain Factors⁹**Change in PVRR Ranking**

Plan	Integration Ranking	Project Cost			Project Schedule			Fixed and Variable O&M			EFOR		
		PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High
A-RAP	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-RAP EE only	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-RAP DR only	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D-MAP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E-MAP EE only	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F-MAP DR only	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G-No DSM-CC	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H-No DSM-SC	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J-No DSM-Nuclear	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-No DSM-Solar	15	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N-Labadie Retired 2024	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P-Meramec Retired 2020	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q-RES Compliance only	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Change in PVRR

Plan	Integration PVRR	Project Cost			Project Schedule			Fixed and Variable O&M			EFOR		
		PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High
A-RAP	55,037	49	-1,102	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0
B-RAP EE only	55,374	49	-1,102	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0
C-RAP DR only	58,041	52	-1,273	1,789	10	-31	135	1	-102	108	0	-3	3
D-MAP	54,398	49	-1,102	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0
E-MAP EE only	55,083	49	-1,102	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0
F-MAP DR only	57,485	50	-1,188	1,690	10	-22	123	1	-68	80	0	-1	2
G-No DSM-CC	58,614	53	-1,381	1,914	11	-41	151	0	-150	148	0	-5	5
H-No DSM-SC	58,457	52	-1,316	1,835	11	-38	145	1	-113	120	0	-5	5
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	59,182	52	-1,371	1,887	12	-71	193	0	-87	90	0	7	-9
J-No DSM-Nuclear	64,610	253	-4,890	7,422	16	-570	732	6	-260	322	0	-22	18
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	59,761	47	-1,674	2,141	29	-60	346	4	-179	219	0	-3	4
L-No DSM-Solar	58,695	46	-1,332	1,792	15	-13	162	4	-93	137	0	0	0
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	56,202	41	-1,060	1,469	10	-22	123	1	-68	80	0	-1	2
N-Labadie Retired 2024	56,736	30	-939	1,240	10	-24	126	1	-82	91	0	-2	2
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	56,766	30	-939	1,240	10	-24	126	1	-82	91	0	-2	2
P-Meramec Retired 2020	55,067	49	-1,103	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0
Q-RES Compliance only	55,018	49	-1,096	1,585	10	-13	109	2	-33	48	0	0	0
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	55,102	49	-1,102	1,591	10	-13	111	2	-35	51	0	0	0

⁹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(6)

Table 9A.5 Sensitivity Analysis: Non-Resource Specific Uncertain Factors¹⁰**Change in PVRR Ranking**

Plan	Integration Ranking	DSM Load Impact and Cost			DSM Cost Only			ROE - Interest Rate			Coal Price		
		PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High
A-RAP	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-RAP EE only	7	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-RAP DR only	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D-MAP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E-MAP EE only	5	0	-3	1	0	-3	2	0	0	0	0	-1	1
F-MAP DR only	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G-No DSM-CC	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H-No DSM-SC	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J-No DSM-Nuclear	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L-No DSM-Solar	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N-Labadie Retired 2024	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P-Meramec Retired 2020	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Q-RES Compliance only	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	6	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-2

Change in PVRR

Plan	Integration PVRR	DSM Load Impact and Cost			DSM Cost Only			ROE - Interest Rate			Coal Price		
		PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High	PWA	Low	High
A-RAP	55,037	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-612	613	-51	-1,878	1,364
B-RAP EE only	55,374	15	95	55	21	-281	493	0	-616	616	-51	-1,878	1,364
C-RAP DR only	58,041	18	210	-16	4	-55	96	0	-656	656	-51	-1,878	1,364
D-MAP	54,398	55	409	145	46	-609	1,068	0	-605	606	-51	-1,878	1,364
E-MAP EE only	55,083	21	32	178	39	-517	904	0	-612	613	-51	-1,878	1,364
F-MAP DR only	57,485	34	377	-34	7	-92	164	0	-636	636	-51	-1,878	1,364
G-No DSM-CC	58,614	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-680	681	-51	-1,878	1,364
H-No DSM-SC	58,457	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-672	673	-51	-1,878	1,364
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	59,182	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-699	701	-51	-1,878	1,364
J-No DSM-Nuclear	64,610	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1,052	1,067	-51	-1,878	1,364
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	59,761	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-765	766	-51	-1,878	1,364
L-No DSM-Solar	58,695	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-701	702	-51	-1,878	1,364
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	56,202	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-607	608	-45	-1,465	1,019
N-Labadie Retired 2024	56,736	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-593	593	-40	-1,294	897
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	56,766	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-592	593	-37	-1,252	884
P-Meramec Retired 2020	55,067	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-612	613	-49	-1,836	1,351
Q-RES Compliance only	55,018	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-610	611	-51	-1,878	1,364
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	55,102	33	305	38	25	-336	589	0	-612	613	-52	-1,828	1,311

¹⁰ 4 CSR 240-22.060(6)

Table 9A.6 DSM Participant Costs¹¹

DSM Load Impact and Cost

DSM Program	Value (\$Million)	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
RAP	Low	24	32	38	40	41	41	42	42	42	41	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	38	38
	Base	28	38	46	48	49	50	50	50	50	50	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	46	45
	High	33	45	54	56	58	58	59	59	59	58	57	56	56	56	56	56	56	54	53
MID	Low	12	16	19	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	19
	Base	14	19	23	24	25	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	23	23
	High	17	22	27	28	29	29	29	29	30	29	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	27	27
MAP	Low	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Base	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	High	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

DSM Cost Only

DSM Program	Value (\$Million)	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
RAP	Low	23	30	37	38	39	40	40	40	40	40	39	38	38	38	38	38	38	37	36
	Base	28	38	46	48	49	50	50	50	50	50	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	46	45
	High	38	51	62	64	66	67	68	68	68	67	65	65	65	65	64	65	65	62	61
MID	Low	11	15	18	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	18	18
	Base	14	19	23	24	25	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	23	23
	High	19	26	31	32	33	33	34	34	34	33	33	32	32	32	32	32	32	31	30
MAP	Low	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Base	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	High	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹¹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)3



Figure 9A.1 Combined Impact of DSM on Summer Peak Demand¹²Figure 9A.2 Combined Impact of DSM on Winter Peak Demand¹³¹² 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)1¹³ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)1



Figure 9A.3 Stacked Programs for RAP DSM Capacity¹⁴Figure 9A.4 Stacked Programs for MAP DSM Capacity¹⁵¹⁴ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)2¹⁵ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)2















Figure 9A.5 Stacked Programs for MID DSM Capacity¹⁶¹⁶ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)2

Figure 9A.6 Composition of Capacity¹⁷¹⁷ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)3
















Figure 9A.7 Combined Impact of DSM Resources on Energy¹⁸Figure 9A.8 Programs for RAP DSM Energy¹⁹¹⁸ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)4¹⁹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)5










Figure 9A.9 Programs for MAP DSM Energy²⁰Figure 9A.10 Programs for MID DSM Energy²¹²⁰ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)5²¹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)5

Figure 9A.11 Composition of Energy by Supply-Side Resource²²²² 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)6
















Figure 9A.12 Financial Measures²³ **



²³ 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)6

CONFIDENTIAL


CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

**




CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL


Figure 9A.13 Revenue Requirements and Rates²⁴

CONFIDENTIAL

**



²⁴ 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)4; 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)5







Figure 9A.14 Results with Financial Incentives for DSM²⁵

Annual Revenue Requirements²⁶ **


CONFIDENTIAL

²⁵ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)

²⁶ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1A

Annual Average Rates²⁷

**



Tabulation for annual % increases in rates with and without financial incentives is provided in the workpapers.

²⁷ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1B; 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1C

Free Cash Flows **

CONFIDENTIAL

**







Figure 9A.15 Annual Emissions²⁸²⁸ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)7













Figure 9A.16 Probable Environmental Costs²⁹²⁹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)2; 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)8







Expected Values, Rankings and Cumulative Probability Distributions for Selected Performance Measures³⁰

Ameren Missouri first examined the ranks of the alternative resource plans using the probability-weighted averages or the expected values for the selected performance measures. The electronic workpapers also provide data for the alternative resource plans and the performance of each plan by each selected performance measure: PVRR, PVRR with utility financial incentives, PVRR with PV of out-of-pocket costs to participants in DSM programs, PV of probable environmental costs, emissions, levelized annual average rates, annual and maximum single-year increase in average rates, pre-tax interest coverage, FFO/interest coverage, FFO/debt, debt/capitalization, ROE, PV of FCF, EPS and FTE jobs.³¹

The expected value data for the measures and their ranks on each measure are shown in Table 9A.7 and Table 9A.8, respectively.

Since Ameren Missouri is a market participant in MISO, the modeling used in integration analysis assumes electric energy can be bought and sold within MISO market. Any energy unable to be served with Ameren Missouri resources is served with other MISO market resources; therefore, the unserved hours will always be zero.³²

³⁰ 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)

³¹ 4 CSR 240-22.060(2)

³² 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)4

Table 9A.7 Expected Values for Selected Performance Measures³³

	EXPECTED VALUE														
	PVRR	PVRR w/	Levelized Rates	Max Single Yr	PV of	PV of Probable	Avg CO2	PreTax Interest	FFO-Interest	FFO/Debt	Debt/		FCF		
Plan	\$MM	Incentives \$MM	Cents/kWh	Rate Increase	Participant Cost \$MM	Environmental Cost \$MM	Emissions (MM Tons)	Coverage	Coverage		Capitalization	ROE	\$MM	EPS	Jobs
A-RAP	54,429	54,905	13.27	13%	492	2,272	22	4.94	7.56	30%	40%	11%	6,250	2.26	24,164
B-RAP EE only	54,740	55,099	13.35	13%	492	2,272	22	4.93	7.55	30%	40%	11%	6,242	2.27	19,562
C-RAP DR only	57,158	57,268	12.77	12%	0	2,341	23	4.78	7.19	29%	40%	11%	5,944	2.46	10,999
D-MAP	53,892	54,522	13.59	14%	0	2,272	22	4.95	7.57	30%	40%	11%	6,267	2.24	27,496
E-MAP EE only	54,534	55,012	13.76	13%	0	2,272	22	4.94	7.56	30%	40%	11%	6,252	2.26	22,023
F-MAP DR only	56,641	56,843	12.66	12%	0	2,307	22	4.84	7.35	30%	40%	11%	6,089	2.37	9,889
G-No DSM-CC	57,679	57,679	12.89	11%	0	2,387	23	4.72	7.06	29%	40%	11%	5,824	2.56	8,648
H-No DSM-SC	57,532	57,532	12.86	12%	0	2,359	23	4.74	7.12	29%	40%	11%	5,875	2.52	9,039
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	58,250	58,250	13.02	11%	0	2,341	23	4.70	6.97	29%	40%	11%	5,778	2.63	10,327
J-No DSM-Nuclear	63,924	63,924	14.29	48%	0	2,307	22	4.46	6.32	27%	41%	13%	4,840	4.10	20,216
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	59,042	59,042	13.19	11%	0	2,350	23	4.60	6.88	29%	39%	11%	5,557	2.84	16,495
L-No DSM-Solar	57,947	57,947	12.95	11%	0	2,272	22	4.61	7.29	30%	39%	11%	5,969	2.61	7,555
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	55,450	55,927	13.52	12%	492	1,810	17	4.90	7.44	30%	40%	11%	6,162	2.28	24,429
N-Labadie Retired 2024	55,869	56,345	13.62	14%	492	1,508	15	4.94	7.49	30%	40%	11%	6,151	2.24	23,464
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	55,918	56,394	13.64	14%	492	1,506	15	4.94	7.49	30%	40%	11%	6,150	2.24	23,260
P-Meramec Retired 2020	54,478	54,954	13.28	13%	492	2,269	22	4.94	7.56	30%	40%	11%	6,249	2.26	23,960
Q-RES Compliance only	54,406	54,882	13.27	13%	492	2,272	22	4.94	7.56	30%	40%	11%	6,251	2.26	24,043
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	54,481	54,957	13.29	13%	492	2,242	21	4.94	7.56	30%	40%	11%	6,250	2.26	24,164

Table 9A.8 Rankings for Selected Performance Measures


	RANKING														
Plan	PVRR \$MM	PVRR w/ Incentives \$MM	Levelized Rates Cents/kWh	Max Single Yr Rate Increase	PV of Participant Cost \$MM	PV of Probable Environmental Cost \$MM	Avg CO2 Emissions (MM Tons)	PreTax Interest Coverage	FFO-Interest Coverage	FFO/Debt	Debt/ Capitalization	ROE	FCF \$MM	EPS	Jobs
A-RAP	3	3	9	10	14	6	6	7	6	5	5	8	5	11	3
B-RAP EE only	7	7	12	9	14	6	6	9	7	3	3	11	7	10	11
C-RAP DR only	12	12	2	7	1	14	14	12	13	13	14	14	13	7	13
D-MAP	1	1	14	16	1	6	6	1	1	7	9	4	1	16	1
E-MAP EE only	6	6	17	14	1	6	6	3	2	2	7	6	2	14	9
F-MAP DR only	11	11	1	6	1	12	12	11	11	12	12	13	11	8	15
G-No DSM-CC	14	14	4	4	1	18	18	14	15	15	16	16	15	5	17
H-No DSM-SC	13	13	3	5	1	17	17	13	14	14	15	15	14	6	16
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	16	16	6	2	1	14	14	15	16	17	17	12	16	3	14
J-No DSM-Nuclear	18	18	18	18	1	12	12	18	18	18	18	1	18	1	10
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	17	17	7	1	1	16	16	17	17	16	2	17	17	2	12
L-No DSM-Solar	15	15	5	3	1	6	6	16	12	1	1	18	12	4	18
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	8	8	13	8	17	3	3	10	10	11	13	10	8	9	2
N-Labadie Retired 2024	9	9	15	15	14	2	2	8	9	10	11	2	9	17	7
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	10	10	16	17	18	1	1	5	8	9	10	3	10	18	8
P-Meramec Retired 2020	4	4	10	11	11	5	5	4	3	4	4	9	6	13	6
Q-RES Compliance only	2	2	8	13	12	6	6	2	4	8	8	5	3	15	5
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	5	5	11	12	12	4	4	6	5	5	5	7	4	11	3

³³ 4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(A); 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(A); 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)3

The expected values for one of the selected performance measures, PVRR with utility incentives for DSM, had essentially the same rank order as the PVRR rank order, so no cumulative distribution function (CDF) was produced for this measure. Additionally, since only plans with RAP EE would have DSM participant costs at this stage, a CDF would not be useful for this measure. Since the two uncertain factors (project cost and ROE&interest rates) that would cause variability in financial measures within the end points of each plan were not found to be critical in the sensitivity analysis, and hence, were not included in the final probability tree in the risk analysis, CDFs for these measures are also not very meaningful; therefore, the CDFs for financial measures are not included in this report, but can be found in the workpapers. CDFs for the remaining performance measures are shown in Figures 9A.17 – 28.³⁴

To create the CDFs, Ameren Missouri looked at the unique outcomes for the performance measures for each of the 135 branches on the full probability tree for each alternative resource plan and their associated joint probabilities. To create the CDF for PVRR for each plan, for example, the 135 PVRR values were sorted from lowest to highest, and the associated probabilities were accumulated. The lowest PVRR would then be assigned the probability of its same branch, the second lowest PVRR would be assigned its own branch summed with the probability of the lowest PVRR, the third lowest PVRR would have a cumulative probability of its own and of the lower two, and so on. This process essentially shows the PVRR values (or the values for the other selected performance measures) and the percentiles for a plan.³⁵

Figure 9A.17 Cumulative Probability Distribution of PVRR



³⁴ 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)2

³⁵ 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1

Figure 9A.18 Cumulative Probability Distribution of PV of Environmental Costs



Figure 9A.19 Cumulative Probability Distribution of PV of CO₂ Costs

Figure 9A.20 Cumulative Probability Distribution of Levelized Rates





Figure 9A.21 Cumulative Probability Distribution of Max Single Year Rate Increase



Measures of Dispersion

Ameren Missouri also estimated the standard deviation of the performance measures shown as in Table 9A.9.

Table 9A.9 Standard Deviation for Selected Performance Measures³⁶

Plan	STANDARD DEVIATION														
	PVRR \$MM	PVRR w/ Incentives \$MM	Levelized Rates Cents/kWh	Max Single Yr Rate Increase	PV of Participant Cost \$MM	PV of Probable Environmental Cost \$MM	Avg CO2 Emissions (MM Tons)	PreTax Interest Coverage	FFO-Interest Coverage	FFO/Debt	Debt/Capitalization	ROE	FCF \$MM	EPS	Jobs
A-RAP	1,624	1,624	0.40	1%	110	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
B-RAP EE only	1,641	1,641	0.40	1%	110	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
C-RAP DR only	1,689	1,689	0.38	2%	0	765	2.36	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
D-MAP	1,676	1,676	0.42	1%	0	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
E-MAP EE only	1,679	1,679	0.42	1%	0	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
F-MAP DR only	1,657	1,657	0.37	1%	0	743	2.40	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
G-No DSM-CC	1,734	1,734	0.39	1%	0	793	2.32	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
H-No DSM-SC	1,734	1,734	0.39	1%	0	776	2.34	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
I-No DSM-Pumped Storage	1,732	1,732	0.39	1%	0	765	2.36	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
J-No DSM-Nuclear	1,679	1,679	0.38	3%	0	743	2.40	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
K-No DSM-Wind&SC	1,686	1,686	0.38	1%	0	770	2.35	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
L-No DSM-Solar	1,706	1,706	0.38	1%	0	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
M-Rush Island Retired 2024	1,410	1,410	0.34	1%	110	483	1.58	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
N-Labadie Retired 2024	1,431	1,431	0.35	1%	110	375	1.55	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
O-Meramec 2020-Labadie 2024	1,442	1,442	0.35	1%	110	374	1.48	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
P-Meramec Retired 2020	1,621	1,621	0.40	1%	110	721	2.36	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
Q-RES Compliance only	1,624	1,624	0.40	1%	110	722	2.43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A
R-RAP-35% CO2 Reduction	1,593	1,593	0.39	1%	110	701	2.22	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0	0.00	N/A


Standard deviation for “Jobs” has not been estimated since there was only one estimate per plan, it would be 0.

Charts 9A.22-24 display the expected value and standard deviation for each plan’s PVRR. Also, in chart 9A.25, the 5th and 95th percentiles along with the expected PVRR are shown.³⁷

³⁶ 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)3

³⁷ 4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(B)

Figure 9A.22 PVRR – Expected Value and Standard Deviation



PVRR – Expected Value and Standard Deviation (without Plan J)






Figure 9A.23 PVRR – Expected Value and Standard Deviation

Figure 9A.24 PVRR – Expected Value, 5th and 95th Percentiles

Compliance References

4 CSR 240-22.060(2)	45
4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)2	41
4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)3	10
4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)4	33
4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)5	33
4 CSR 240-22.060(2)(A)6	28
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(A)	46
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)1	11
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)2	12, 13
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)3	14
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)4	20
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)5	20, 21
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)6	22
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)7	38
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)8	41
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(B)9	1
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)	35
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1A.....	35
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1B.....	36
4 CSR 240-22.060(4)(C)1C.....	36
4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(E)	4, 7
4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(F).....	6
4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(I).....	5
4 CSR 240-22.060(5)(J)	6
4 CSR 240-22.060(6)	8, 9
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)	45
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(A)	46
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(B)	50
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1	47
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1A.....	4
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)1B.....	4
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)2	47
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)3	46, 50
4 CSR 240-22.060(7)(C)4	45