OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Mark Twain)	
Communications Company for Approval of an)	
Amendment to the Interconnection and Unbundling)	Case No. CK-2009-0123
Agreement between Mark Twain Communications)	
Company and Spectra Communications Group, LLC)	

ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO INTERCONNECTION AND UNBUNDLING AGREEMENT

Issue Date: October 27, 2008 Effective Date: November 6, 2008

This order approves the amendments to the interconnection and unbundling agreement between the parties filed by Mark Twain Communications Company (Mark Twain).

On October 3, 2008, Mark Twain filed an application with the Commission for approval of amendments to its interconnection and unbundling agreement with Spectra Communications Group, LLC (Spectra). Mark Twain and Spectra currently have a Commission-approved interconnection and unbundling agreement between them. In the current application, the parties have agreed to amend the interconnection and unbundling agreement. The amendments were filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The amendments would eliminate the existing Appendix B presently covering interim number portability and replace it with a new Appendix B to

_

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 251, et seq.

designate existing Internet Protocol (IP). Both Mark Twain and Spectra hold certificates of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri.

Although Spectra is a party to the Agreement, it did not join in the application. On October 8, 2008, the Commission issued an order making Spectra a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than October 28, 2008. On October 16, 2008 Spectra filed a notice stating they did not wish to request a hearing and supported the relief Mark Twain requested in the October 3 Application.

Under Section 252(e) of the Act, any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation must be submitted to the Commission for approval. The Commission may reject an agreement if it finds that the agreement is discriminatory or that it is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

On October 21, 2008, the Staff of the Commission filed a memorandum and recommendation. The Staff memorandum recommends that the amendments to the Agreement be approved and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any further amendments to the Commission for approval.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's Recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission concludes that the Agreement as amended meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate

against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement as amended is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement as amended shall be conditioned upon the parties submitting any further amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Amendment Procedure

The Commission has a duty to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.² In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize amendments to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection.³ This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission.⁴

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all amendments, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed amendment must be submitted pursuant to Commission rule 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

-

² 47 U.S.C. § 252.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,⁵ is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.⁶ Based upon its review of the amendments to the Agreement between Mark Twain and Spectra and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement as amended is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and shall be approved.

The Commission notes that prior to providing telecommunications services in Missouri, a party shall possess the following: (1) an interconnection agreement approved by the Commission; (2) except for wireless providers, a certificate of service authority from the Commission to provide interexchange or basic local telecommunications services; and (3) except for wireless providers, a tariff approved by the Commission.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The amendments to the Interconnection and Unbundling Agreement between Mark Twain Communications Company and Spectra Communications Group, LLC, filed on October 3, 2008, are approved.
- 2. Any changes or amendments to this Agreement shall be submitted in compliance with 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

⁴ 4 CSR 240-3.545.

⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

- 3. This order shall become effective on November 6, 2008.
- 4. This case may be closed on November 7, 2008.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Colleen M. Dale, Chief Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 27th day of October, 2008.