1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	On-The-Record Proceeding
7	November 28, 2011
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	
12	
13	In The Matter Of The Application Of)
	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren) File No.
14	Missouri For Authority To Sell And) EO-2012-0146
	Repurchase Coal And Lease Property)
15	
16	
17	
18	HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding
	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19	KEVIN D. GUNN, Chairman,
	JEFF DAVIS,
20	TERRY M. JARRETT,
	ROBERT S. KENNEY,
21	COMMISSIONERS
22	
	REPORTED BY:
23	Jennifer Leibach, CCR No. 1108
	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	TOM BYRNE, Attorney at Law
	1901 Chouteau Avenue
4	St. Louis, Missouri 63103
	314.554.2514
5	FOR: Ameren Missouri
6	
	LISA LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law
7	Sandberg Phoenix & von Gontard, P.C.
	600 Washington Avenue, 15th Floor
8	St. Louis, Missouri 63101
Ü	314.446.4238
9	FOR: Barnes-Jewish Hospital
	rok. Baines-Jewish hospital
10	
	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law
11	Fischer & Dority, P.C.
	101 Madison Street, Suite 400
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
	573.636.6758
13	FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company
	KCPL Greater Missouri Operations Company
14	
	EDWARD F. DOWNEY, Attorney at Law
15	Bryan Cave
	221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
16	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
	573.556.6622
17	FOR: MIEC
18	JOHN BORGMEYER, Legal Counsel
10	STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Legal Counsel
1 0	Public Service Commission
19	
0.0	200 Madison Street
20	P.O. Box 309
	Jefferson City, MO 65102
21	573.751.6514
	FOR: The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
22	
	LEWIS MILLS
23	Office of Public Counsel
	200 Madison Street
24	P.O. Box 2230
	Jefferson City, MO 65102
25	FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public
26	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE STEARLEY: Good morning. It is Monday,
3	November 28, 2011. The Commission has set this time for an
4	on-the-recording in File Number EO-2012-0146, which is
5	captioned as In The Matter Of The Application Of Union
6	Electric Company doing business as Ameren Missouri for
7	Authority To Sell And Repurchase Coal And Leased Property.
8	My name is Harold Stearley and I'm the
9	regulatory law judge presiding over today's proceeding, and
LO	we will begin by taking entries of appearance, starting with
L1	Union Electric Company.
L2	MR. BYRNE: Thank you, Your Honor. My name's
L3	Tom Byrne. I'm appearing on behalf of Union Electric Company
L 4	doing business as Ameren Missouri. My address is 1901
L5	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
L6	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
L7	Mr. Byrne. For our intervenors, Missouri Industrial Energy
L8	Consumers.
L 9	MR. DOWNEY: Good morning, Judge. Edward F.
20	Downey, attorney with Bryan Cave, 221 Bolivar Street, Suite
21	101, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Downey. For
23	Barnes-Jewish Hospital.

Langeneckert for the law firm of Sandberg Phoenix & von

MS. LANGENECKERT: Good morning, Judge. Lisa

24

- 1 Gontard appearing on behalf of Barnes-Jewish Hospital, 600
- Washington Avenue, 15th Floor, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Ms. Langeneckert.
- 4 For the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 5 MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of the
- 6 Public Counsel and the Public, my name is Lewis Mills. My
- 7 address is Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 8 65102.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Mills. And
- 10 for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
- 11 MR. BORGMEYER: Good morning, Judge. My name
- is John Borgmeyer, with me is Steven Dottheim, appearing for
- 13 the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,
- P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 61502.
- 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Borgmeyer.
- 16 Initially, I must remind you-all to please turn off any cell
- 17 phones, BlackBerries or other electronic devices. They do
- have a tendency to interfere with our recording and our web
- 19 casting.
- 20 Are there any preliminary matters we should --
- 21 whoop.
- 22 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I should probably enter
- an appearance on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company.
- 24 JUDGE STEARLEY: My apologies, I skipped over
- 25 you, Mr. Fischer.

- 1 MR. FISCHER: Not a problem at all, Judge. On
- behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater
- 3 Missouri Operations Company, let the record reflect the
- 4 appearance of James M. Fischer, and my contact information is
- 5 on the written entry. Thank you.
- 6 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
- 7 Mr. Fischer. And I always count on the parties to correct me
- 8 whenever I'm making an error or skipping over somebody, so.
- 9 Are there any preliminary matters that we can
- 10 take up at this time? I know Ameren Missouri has two
- 11 witnesses, Mr. Mark Birk and Mr. Robert Neff. Did any of the
- 12 intervenors bring subject matter experts that intended to
- offer any comments or anything to the Commission today?
- MS. LANGENECKERT: No, Judge.
- 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Seeing none.
- 16 Then we will begin with opening statements then.
- 17 MR. BYRNE: Judge, just as a preliminary
- 18 matter, as we spoke, I think what I would like to do is put
- 19 our -- my witnesses on the stand and then they have a
- 20 PowerPoint presentation that we've set up, and hopefully that
- 21 will help elucidate the transaction here.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Would you like to do
- 23 that prior to opening statements?
- MR. BYRNE: No, no, opening first.
- 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: We will go ahead and do some

- 1 brief openings and then make your witnesses available with
- the PowerPoint. Okay. Beginning with you, Mr. Byrne.
- 3 MR. BYRNE: May it please the Commission. I'm
- 4 Tom Byrne and I represent Ameren Missouri. I'd like to start
- 5 by thanking the Commission for scheduling this on-the-record
- 6 proceeding on such short notice at our request. I know that
- 7 your calendars are full and particularly around the holidays,
- 8 it's difficult to find hearing dates, but I'm hopeful that
- 9 today will prove to be a good investment of time for all of
- us, and ultimately for Ameren Missouri's customers.
- 11 Ameren Missouri is here today and it filed its
- application in this proceeding because it has an unusual and
- valuable opportunity made possible by Section 45 of the
- 14 Internal Revenue Code, and contracts that the company has
- 15 entered into with Buffington Partners, LLC, which is an
- 16 affiliate of Coal Emission Reduction Technologies, LLC, also
- 17 known as CERT. This opportunity would permit the company to
- have the coal at its Rush Island plant refined to
- 19 significantly reduce harmful emissions at no cost to
- 20 customers and at the same time reduce operations and
- 21 maintenance costs at the plant in both the short-term and the
- 22 long-term.
- 23 Here's how it works. In order to encourage
- 24 reductions of emissions from the burning of coal, Section 45
- of the Internal Revenue Code provides tax benefits to any

- 1 party who can refine coal so that it meets specified
- 2 reductions in mercury and nitrogen oxide, or NOx, emissions.
- 3 The required reductions in emissions are significant. The
- 4 reduction in mercury emissions must be at least 40 percent
- 5 and the reduction in NOx emissions must be at least 25
- 6 percent.
- 7 The party who refines the coal must be
- 8 different from the party who burns the coal. Therefore,
- 9 Ameren Missouri would not be eligible to refine its own coal
- 10 for this purpose. And in order for the refiner to qualify
- for the tax benefit, the refining process must be operational
- 12 before the end of calendar year 2011. However, once a
- facility is qualified by becoming operational in 2011, the
- 14 tax benefits can last up to ten years.
- 15 CERT is the licensee of a refinement process
- 16 called Chem-Mod, which can be used to treat the coal at
- Ameren Missouri's Rush Island plant to meet the requirements
- of Section 45 of the Internal Revenue Code. Ameren Missouri
- 19 has entered into a series of agreements with CERT's
- 20 affiliate, Buffington Partners, all of which are attached to
- 21 the pre-filed direct testimony of Ameren Missouri witness
- 22 Robert Neff. These agreements provide for Ameren Missouri to
- 23 sell Rush Island plant coal to Buffington Partners, for
- 24 Buffington Partners to refine the coal at the plant, and then
- 25 resell the coal back to Ameren Missouri at the same price

- 1 that it purchased the coal.
- In connection with these agreements,
- 3 Buffington Partners will initially buy a small portion of the
- 4 existing coal inventory at the Rush Island plant, but it will
- 5 thereafter continually buy and sell coal used at the Rush
- 6 Island plant during the ten-year term of the contracts.
- 7 The operations and maintenance costs of the
- 8 plant will be reduced in several ways under the contracts.
- 9 First, Buffington Partners will pay a monthly charge to lease
- 10 a small amount of space at the plant site needed for its
- 11 facilities. Second, Buffington Partners will pay Ameren
- 12 Missouri a per ton whole handling and licensing fee for all
- 13 refined coal that is burned. Both of these revenue streams
- 14 will offset O & M costs at the plant.
- 15 In addition, the company anticipates that if
- 16 the Chem-Mod process does, in fact, reduce mercury and NOx
- 17 emissions, future environmental compliance costs at the plant
- 18 will also be reduced. The benefits of these reduced O & M
- 19 costs will flow through to customers beginning with our next
- 20 rate case, which we expect to file in the first half of 2012.
- 21 And in fact, later today, we will be filing a 60-day notice
- 22 for our next rate case under the Commission's ex parte rules.
- 23 We filed this application because two aspects
- 24 of the transaction require Commission approval and the
- 25 contracts are all contingent upon Ameren Missouri timely

- 1 obtaining the needed Commission approvals. Specifically
- 2 under 393.190.1 of the Missouri statutes, the Commission must
- 3 approve Ameren Missouri's sale of the coal to Buffington
- 4 Partners and the lease of the small portion of the Rush
- 5 Island plant site to Buffington Partners.
- 6 The Commission must approve these transactions
- 7 if they are not detrimental to the public interest, a
- 8 standard that we believe is clearly met here. Not only are
- 9 these transaction not detrimental to the public interest,
- 10 they will result in material benefits to the public in the
- form of cleaner air and lower plant operating costs, which
- 12 will ultimately translate into lower rates for the customers.
- 13 We are requesting approval of this application as soon as
- 14 possible but in no event later than December 20th to ensure
- 15 that Buffington Partners can qualify for the tax benefits and
- 16 that the transaction can go forward.
- 17 On Wednesday, the Commission Staff filed its
- 18 recommendation to approve this application subject to four
- 19 conditions. Ameren Missouri has reviewed the four conditions
- and we are agreeable to all four of them. Today, both of the
- 21 Ameren Missouri witnesses who submitted pre-filed testimony
- 22 are here, Bob Neff and Mark Birk. Following whatever opening
- 23 statements there are, we will swear in the witnesses and
- 24 present their testimony. And then as I said before, they
- 25 also have a short PowerPoint presentation that hopefully will

- 1 clarify this transaction for the Commission and the other
- 2 parties.
- And following the presentation, Mr. Birk and
- 4 Mr. Neff will be available to answer any questions. We also
- 5 have personnel from Ameren Missouri's tax department if there
- 6 are tax questions and there are also some representatives of
- 7 the counterparty to this transaction that are here today,
- 8 specifically Jeff Green and Leah Schaatt from Buffington
- 9 Partners are here, and Terry Friddle from Pathfinder Capital,
- 10 which is affiliated with CERT is also here in case anyone has
- 11 any questions for them.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Byrne. Are
- there any questions from the bench for Mr. Byrne?
- 15 COMMISSIONER GUNN: I just have a couple quick
- 16 questions. So does both Ameren Missouri as well as the
- 17 refiner receive tax benefits from this?
- 18 MR. BYRNE: No, only the refiner gets the tax
- 19 benefits.
- 20 COMMISSIONER GUNN: So there is no tax
- 21 benefits to Ameren, just the CERT and -- CERT's ability?
- 22 MR. BYRNE: Right, and -- right. Although
- 23 there's economic benefit because CERT pays us rental and --
- 24 COMMISSIONER GUNN: Sure, that was actually
- 25 one of my questions trying to figure out how they're making

- 1 money off the deal if they're paying you and they're -- and
- 2 the coal is basically a wash transaction.
- 3 MR. BYRNE: Yeah, it's the tax benefits.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GUNN: They get good tax
- 5 benefits. Okay. Thank you.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Byrne.
- 7 Do any of the intervenors wish to make opening statements?
- 8 MR. FISCHER: Judge, on behalf of Kansas City
- 9 Power & Light and GMO, I just say we support Ameren's
- 10 application. But otherwise, I don't have any opening
- 11 statement.
- 12 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Public Counsel?
- 13 MR. MILLS: I have no opening this morning,
- 14 Judge.
- 15 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Staff of the
- 16 Commission?
- MR. BORGMEYER: Just briefly.
- May it please the Commission. My name is John
- 19 Borgmeyer with the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
- 20 Commission, and I just wanted to introduce Mr. Dan Beck.
- 21 He's the author of the Staff recommendation in this case.
- 22 And just real briefly, the Staff has reviewed the application
- 23 and other filings and recommends that the Commission approve
- the application subject to four conditions.
- 25 First, the Order should indicate that no

- 1 rate-making decision is being made regarding the sale of the
- 2 coal or the lease of the property.
- 3 Number two: That no rate-making decision is
- 4 being made regarding the exclusion of the licensing and
- 5 handling fee that the company will collect from the fuel
- 6 adjustment clause. The company is not putting that through
- 7 the fuel adjustment clause and the Staff is just simply
- 8 making no rate-making decision regarding the licensing and
- 9 handling fees.
- 10 The agreements contain an option agreement for
- 11 the purchase of membership interests, which essentially
- 12 allows the company to get in the coal refinery business. And
- 13 the Staff would like an Order indicating that if the company
- does exercise that option, that they make a presentation to
- 15 the Commission as soon as practicable after making that
- decision, just explaining that they are going to exercise
- 17 that option.
- And finally, the fourth condition is that if
- Ameren decides to suspend or terminate or modify any of the
- agreements that it's making with BP in this case, that it
- 21 notify the Commission as soon as practical after deciding to
- 22 terminate any of those agreements. Staff also recommends
- 23 that the Commission grant the company's request for expedited
- 24 treatment and grant the motion for waiver of the 60-day
- 25 notice of filing requirement.

- JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
- 2 Mr. Borgmeyer.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Byrne, I'm just going to
- 4 swear your two witnesses in together here. Mr. Birk and
- 5 Mr. Neff, if you'd please raise your right hands.
- 6 (The witnesses were sworn.)
- JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Very well, you
- 8 may proceed. Do you wish to offer their pre-filed testimony
- 9 first?
- 10 MR. BYRNE: Yes. Do I need to -- I guess they
- 11 have an affidavit on them. Can I just offer it, or should
- 12 I --
- JUDGE STEARLEY: You can just offer it unless
- there's any changes to be made to it.
- 15 MR. BYRNE: All right. Well, I quess we need
- 16 to mark it. I'll have Mr. Neff's marked, and it's got an HC
- 17 and an NP version, so I'll mark that as Ameren Missouri 1.
- 18 Is that appropriate, Judge?
- 19 (AMEREN EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1-NP AND 1-HC WERE
- 20 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.)
- JUDGE STEARLEY: That's fine.
- MR. BYRNE: And Mr. Birk's will be Ameren
- 23 Missouri 2-HC and NP. And Judge, I do have extra copies if
- 24 anyone needs any copies of their testimony.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Does anyone on the bench need

1 a copy of their testimony? COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No, thank you. 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Looks like we're all all right here. (AMEREN EXHIBIT NUMBERS 2-NP AND 2-HC WERE 5 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.) 6 7 MR. BYRNE: Then Your Honor, I would offer Ameren Missouri 1-NP and HC and Exhibit 2-NP and HC. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Any objections to the 10 offering of Exhibits 1 and 2? Hearing none, they shall be received into the record. 11 (AMEREN EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1-NP, 1-HC, 2-NP AND 12 13 2-HC WERE RECEIVED INTO THE RECORD.) 14 MR. BYRNE: And then with Your Honor's 15 permission, maybe Mr. Birk and Mr. Neff could go through the 16 PowerPoint presentation. 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. And they can just present from the podium, if they wish. 18 19 (REPORTER™S NOTE: At this point, an in-camera 20 session was held, which is contained in Volume 2, pages 15 to 21 76 of the transcript.) 22 23 24 25

- 1 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. And Staff has
- offered their recommendation as Exhibit 4. Are there any
- 3 objections to the offering of that exhibit?
- 4 MR. BORGMEYER: And Judge, the exhibit also
- 5 includes some -- a couple attachments that were HC, I just
- 6 wanted to clarify that.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. We'll make the entire
- 8 exhibit HC and it shall be received into the record.
- 9 Actually, you know, on second thought, why don't we break
- 10 those two. We'll make your attachments Exhibit Number 5 and
- 11 make it HC.
- MR. BORGMEYER: Okay.
- JUDGE STEARLEY: Because your recommendation
- is already in the EFIS file already as a public document, so
- 15 it will remain Exhibit 4, which is public, and the
- 16 attachments will be HC as Exhibit 5.
- 17 (STAFF EXHIBIT NUMBER 5-HC WAS MARKED FOR
- 18 IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.)
- 19 (STAFF EXHIBIT NUMBERS 4 AND 5-HC WERE
- 20 RECEIVED INTO THE RECORD.)
- JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Byrne, would
- 22 you like to do any closing summation?
- 23 MR. BYRNE: No, thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Is there any
- 25 other party that would like to make any closing remark? All

1	right. Very well. You've got the briefing schedule: The				
2	briefs as directed by the Commission will be due on Monday,				
3	December 5th. Any of the parties to this proceeding which				
4	wish to oppose the application must file an affirmative				
5	statement declaring so no later than Wednesday, December 7th.				
6	MS. LANGENECKERT: Only if we wish to oppose,				
7	not either way, correct?				
8	JUDGE STEARLEY: I'm sorry?				
9	MS. LANGENECKERT: We only file if we wish to				
10	oppose on December 7th?				
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes. You don't have to				
12	if I'm assuming if nothing is filed, you are in				
13	non-opposition, so that's what the Commission will assume				
14	when it takes this up.				
15	All right. If there's nothing more, today's				
16	on-the-record proceeding in File Number EO-2012-0146 is				
17	hereby adjourned, and I thank you all very much.				
18	(End of Proceedings.)				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss:
3	COUNTY OF GASCONADE)
4	
5	I, JENNIFER L. LEIBACH, Registered Professional
6	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR #1108, and Certified
7	Realtime Reporter, the officer before whom the foregoing
8	matter was taken, do hereby certify that the witness/es whose
9	testimony appears in the foregoing matter was duly sworn;
LO	that the testimony of said witness/es was taken by me to the
L1	best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting
L2	under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related
L3	to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which
L 4	this matter was taken, and further that I am not a relative
L5	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
L 6	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in
L7	the outcome of the action.
L8	
L 9	
20	Court Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	I N D E X	
2	Opening Statement by Mr. Byrne	6
	Opening Statement by Mr. Borgmeyer	11
3		
4	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY'S EVIDENCE	
5	MARK BIRK:	
	PowerPoint Presentation	16
6	Questions by Commissioner Kenney	18
	Questions by Commissioner Jarrett	23
7	Questions by Mr. Mills	55
8		
	ROBERT NEFF:	
9	PowerPoint Presentation	23
	Questions by Commissioner Jarrett	29
LO	Questions by Chairman Gunn	32
	Questions by Commissioner Kenney	32
L1	Questions by Mr. Downey	39
	Questions by Commissioner Kenney	44
L2	Questions by Commissioner Jarrett	46
	Questions by Mr. Mills	47
L3	Questions by Mr. Byrne	54
L 4	LEAH SCHAATT:	
	Questions by Commissioner Kenney	36
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	EXHIBIT INDEX		
		MARKED	RCV'D
2			
3	Exhibit Number 1-NP	13	14
	Direct Testimony of Robert K. Neff		
4	Non-Proprietary		
5	Exhibit Number 1-HC	13	14
	Direct Testimony of Robert K. Neff		
6	Highly Confidential		
7	Exhibit Number 2-NP	14	14
	Direct Testimony of Mark Birk		
8	Non-Proprietary		
9	Exhibit Number 2-HC	14	14
	Direct Testimony of Mark Birk		
10	Highly Confidential		
11	Exhibit Number 3-HC	62	63
	PowerPoint Presentation Slides		
12			
	Exhibit Number 4	76	77
13	Staff's Memorandum		
14	Exhibit Number 5-HC	77	77
	Attachments to Staff's Memorandum		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			