	Page 1
1	STATE OF MISSOURI
	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	
3	
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
4	INMOGRIFI OF TROUBBLINGS
5	Prehearing Conference
6	richearing conference
7	January 28, 2015
,	Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1
9	volume 1
10	In the Matter of the First Prudence )
	Review of Union Electric Company )
11	d/b/a Ameren Missouri's )
	Implementation of Energy Efficiency )Case No. EO-2015-0029
12	Programs in Furtherance of the )
12	Missouri Energy Efficiency )
13	Investment Act (MEEIA) )
14	investment Act (Fiblia)
15	
16	
10	JUDGE MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18	ALGOLATORI HAW CODGE
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR
	Midwest Litigation Services
22	3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
	Jefferson City, MO 65109
23	(573) 636-7551
24	(3/3) 030-7331
25	
23	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:
3	Mr. Robert S. Berlin
	Office of the Public Service Commission
4	200 Madison Street
	P.O. Box 360
5	Jefferson City, MO 65102
	(573) 526-7779
6	
7	For Office of the Public Counsel and the Public:
8	Mr. Timothy Opitz
	Office of Public Counsel
9	200 Madison Street
	P.O. Box 2230
10	Jefferson City, MO 65102
	(573) 751-5324
11	
12	For Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri via
	Telephone:
13	
	Mr. Matt Tomc
14	Attorney at Law
	1901 Chouteau Avenue
15	St. Louis, MO 63103
	(314) 554-4673
16	
17	For Department of Economic Development, Division of Energy:
18	Mr. Jeremy Knee
	Attorney at Law
19	301 W. High Street, Suite 680
	Jefferson City, MO 65201
20	(573) 522-3304
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 3

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Let's go on the record.
- 3 Today is January 28, 2015, at 2:00 p.m.
- 4 The Commission has set this time for a
- 5 procedural conference in the case captioned as In
- 6 the Matter of the First Prudence Review of Union
- 7 Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's
- 8 Implementation of Energy Efficiency Programs in
- 9 Furtherance of the Missouri Energy Efficiency
- 10 Investment Act (MEEIA). That's File
- 11 No. EO-2015-0029.
- 12 My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the
- 13 Regulatory Law Judge. So let's start with
- 14 attorneys making their entries of appearance for
- 15 Ameren Missouri?
- MR. TOMC: Thank you, your Honor. Matthew
- 17 R. Tomc, appearing on behalf of Union Electric
- 18 Company doing business as Ameren Missouri. Our
- 19 address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
- 20 Missouri.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. Office of
- 22 Public Counsel?
- 23 MR. OPITZ: Tim Opitz for the Office of
- 24 Public Counsel. My address is P.O. Box 2230,
- 25 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

Page 4 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Commission Staff? 2 MR. BERLIN: Yes, judge. Appearing on 3 behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission is Robert S. Berlin, Post Office 4 5 Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. 6 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And Missouri Division of 7 Energy? 8 MR. KNEE: Jeremy Knee for the Department of Economic Development, Division of Energy. And the court reporter has my contact information. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. I think that's 11 12 everybody. From the pleadings, it appears that there is a single disputed issue related to Ameren 13 Missouri's through-put disincentive-net shared 14 benefits amount. 15 16 And Office of Public Counsel has requested 17 an evidentiary hearing about that. So we're going to need a procedural schedule. 18 19 So I'd like the parties to file a joint proposed procedural schedule no later than next 20 21 Wednesday, February 4th. MR. BERLIN: Judge, if I could kind of 22 23 offer some explanation? 2.4 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Sure.

Fax: 314.644.1334

MR. BERLIN: The Staff has been working

25

Page 5

- 1 with Ameren and the Commission's Auditor to
- 2 research the cause of the discrepancy that was
- 3 raised by Public Counsel.
- 4 And I believe Staff is -- and in
- 5 conjunction with Ameren and the Auditor have --
- 6 have found the calculation differences and so forth
- 7 and the numbers behind that and can explain it
- 8 away.
- 9 But we would need time to do that. So I
- 10 would suggest that we take some period of time and
- 11 then file either a status report or a proposed
- 12 procedural schedule before we go into a full-blown
- 13 procedural schedule because, I believe, based upon
- 14 meetings that have occurred even as late as this
- 15 morning, that we can resolve this.
- 16 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, that's excellent.
- 17 And rather than go through all of the work of
- 18 developing a procedural schedule, it sure makes
- 19 sense to me to go ahead and grant some more time if
- 20 you can resolve it without going through all that.
- 21 How much time do you think would be
- 22 appropriate for the parties before you need to
- 23 report on your progress?
- 24 MR. BERLIN: Yeah. I think a week would
- 25 work.

Page 6

- 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So is it okay just next
- 2 Wednesday, the 4th? That would be a week. You
- 3 could either provide me a status report explaining
- 4 what progress you're making, if any. If you need
- 5 some more time, you can ask for that.
- 6 If it -- if you come to an impasse and you
- 7 decide by then that there's -- there's still going
- 8 to be a disputed issue, we're going to have to have
- 9 a procedural schedule, you can just go ahead and
- 10 file a proposed one at that point.
- 11 And if you do file a proposed procedural
- 12 schedule, I don't need anything unusual in it, just
- 13 the usual items that you're all familiar with. So
- 14 that would be a good resolution.
- 15 That way, we can bypass an evidentiary
- 16 hearing, assuming that some agreement can become
- 17 with the issue that's set out. Any other parties
- 18 want to weigh in on that proposal?
- 19 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, on behalf of Ameren
- 20 Missouri, I would -- I would say that the proposal
- 21 is agreeable from our standpoint, and we'll
- 22 certainly cooperate in the development of any
- 23 status report due next week.
- JUDGE BUSHMANN: Office of Public Counsel
- 25 okay with that?

Page 7 MR. OPITZ: Judge, that sounds good with 1 2 us. If -- we have also been working with Staff and 3 the company to try and resolve the discrepancies that we had identified. So I think, hopefully, 4 5 with more time, we'll be able to assuage our 6 concerns. 7 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. And okay with Division of Energy? 8 MR. KNEE: Sounds fine. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. So then just to recap, then, next Wednesday, February 4th, there 11 12 will either be a status report or a joint proposed procedural schedule. 13 14 And the status report could either say that there's a pending resolution or it could ask 15 for more time, if necessary. 16 17 Any other issues that we need to take up 18 today on the record? Hearing none, that concludes this conference, and we're off the record. 19 20 (The proceedings were concluded at 2:10 p.m. on 21 January 28, 2015.) 22 23 2.4 25

	Page 8
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI )
	)ss.
4	COUNTY OF OSAGE)
5	
6	I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
7	Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered Professional
8	Reporter, within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby
9	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings as
10	set forth in the caption sheet hereof; that I then and there
11	took down in stenotype the proceedings had at said time and
12	was thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately
13	set forth in the preceding pages.
14	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
16	seal on, 2015.
17	
18	
19	
20	Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0538
21	Registered Professional Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	

			l	I
A	case 1:11 3:5	4:14	G	7:9
<b>able</b> 7:5	cause 5:2	<b>disputed</b> 4:13 6:8	<b>G</b> 3:1	
accurately 8:12	<b>CCR</b> 1:21 8:20	<b>Division</b> 2:17 4:6	<b>go</b> 3:2 5:12,17,19	<u>L</u>
<b>Act</b> 1:13 3:10	certainly 6:22	4:9 7:8	6:9	late 5:14
address 3:19,24	CERTIFICATE	<b>doing</b> 3:18	<b>going</b> 4:17 5:20	Law 1:17 2:14,18
agreeable 6:21	8:1	<b>due</b> 6:23	6:7,8	3:13
agreement 6:16	Certified 8:6,7	<b>d/b/a</b> 1:11 2:12	good 6:14 7:1	let's 3:2,13
ahead 5:19 6:9	certify 8:9	3:7	<b>grant</b> 5:19	Litigation 1:21
Ameren 1:11	Chouteau 2:14			<b>Louis</b> 2:15 3:19
2:12 3:7,15,18	3:19	-	H	<u>M</u>
4:13 5:1,5 6:19	City 1:7,22 2:5	E 2:1,1 3:1,1	hand 8:15	Madison 2:4,9
amount 4:15	2:10,19 3:25	Economic 2:17	hearing 4:17	making 3:14 6:4
appearance 3:14	4:5	4:9	6:16 7:18	Matt 2:13
appearing 3:17	come 6:6	Efficiency 1:11	hereof 8:10	<b>Matter</b> 1:10 3:6
4:2	Commission 1:1	1:12 3:8,9	hereunto 8:15	<b>Matthew</b> 3:16
appears 4:12	2:2,3 3:4 4:1,4	either 5:11 6:3	<b>High</b> 2:19	Mealy 1:21 8:6
appropriate 5:22	Commission's	7:12,14	<b>Honor</b> 3:16 6:19	8:20
assuage 7:5	5:1	Electric 1:10	hopefully 7:4	8:20 <b>MEEIA</b> 1:13
assuming 6:16	company 1:10	2:12 3:7,17		3:10
<b>Attorney</b> 2:14,18	2:12 3:7,18 7:3	Energy 1:11,12	1	meetings 5:14
attorneys 3:14	concerns 7:6	2:17 3:8,9 4:7,9	identified 7:4	Michael 1:16
Auditor 5:1,5	concluded 7:20	7:8	impasse 6:6	3:12
<b>Avenue</b> 2:14 3:19	concludes 7:18	entries 3:14	Implementation	Midwest 1:21
	conference 1:5	EO-2015-0029	1:11 3:8	Missouri 1:1,7
B	3:5 7:19	1:11 3:11	information 4:10	
<b>based</b> 5:13	conjunction 5:5	everybody 4:12	Investment 1:13	1:12 2:2,12 3:9
<b>behalf</b> 3:17 4:3	contact 4:10	evidentiary 4:17	3:10	3:15,18,20,25
6:19	cooperate 6:22	6:15	issue 4:13 6:8,17	4:3,5,6 6:20 8:3 8:8
<b>believe</b> 5:4,13	Counsel 2:7,8	excellent 5:16	issues 7:17	
benefits 4:15	3:22,24 4:16	explain 5:7	items 6:13	Missouri's 1:11
<b>Berlin</b> 2:3 4:2,4	5:3 6:24	explaining 6:3		3:7 4:14
4:22,25 5:24	COUNTY 8:4	explanation 4:23	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$	<b>MO</b> 1:22 2:5,10
Boulevard 1:22	<b>court</b> 4:10 8:7	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	<b>January</b> 1:7 3:3	2:15,19
<b>Box</b> 2:4,9 3:24	<b>CSR</b> 1:21 8:20	familiar 6:13	7:21	Monnie 1:21 8:6
4:5			<b>Jefferson</b> 1:7,22	8:20
Bushmann 1:16	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}}$	<b>February</b> 4:21 7:11	2:5,10,19 3:25	morning 5:15
3:2,12,21 4:1,6	<b>D</b> 3:1	file 3:10 4:19	4:5	N
4:11,24 5:16	decide 6:7	5:11 6:10,11	Jeremy 2:18 4:8	$\frac{1}{N}$ 2:1 3:1
6:1,24 7:7,10	Department 2:17	5:11 6:10,11 <b>fine</b> 7:9	joint 4:19 7:12	name 3:12
business 3:18	4:8	First 1:10 3:6	judge 1:16,17 3:2	necessary 7:16
bypass 6:15	developing 5:18	forth 5:6 8:10,13	3:13,21 4:1,2,6	need 4:18 5:9,22
	development	<b>found</b> 5:6	4:11,22,24 5:16	6:4,12 7:17
C	2:17 4:9 6:22		6:1,24 7:1,7,10	numbers 5:7
C 2:1 3:1	differences 5:6	fully 8:12	K	
calculation 5:6	discrepancies 7:3	full-blown 5:12	kind 4:22	0
caption 8:10	discrepancy 5:2	Furtherance	Knee 2:18 4:8,8	O 3:1
captioned 3:5	disincentive-net	1:12 3:9	131100 2.10 7.0,0	occurred 5:14
	1	1	l	<u> </u>

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.midwestlitigation.com

		İ	İ	Ī
<b>offer</b> 4:23	<b>P.O</b> 2:4,9 3:24	single 4:13	<b>W</b> 1:22 2:19	<b>573</b> 1:23 2:5,10
<b>Office</b> 2:3,7,8		<b>sounds</b> 7:1,9	<b>want</b> 6:18	2:20
3:21,23 4:4,16	<u>R</u>	ss 8:3	way 6:15	
6:24	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1,17	<b>St</b> 2:15 3:19	Wednesday 4:21	6
okay 4:11 6:1,25	raised 5:3	<b>Staff</b> 2:2 4:1,3,25	6:2 7:11	<b>63103</b> 2:15
7:7,7,10	recap 7:11	5:4 7:2	week 5:24 6:2,23	<b>636-7551</b> 1:23
<b>Opitz</b> 2:8 3:23,23	record 3:2 7:18	standpoint 6:21	weigh 6:18	<b>65102</b> 2:5,10
7:1	7:19	<b>start</b> 3:13	we'll 6:21 7:5	3:25 4:5
OSAGE 8:4	Registered 8:7	<b>State</b> 1:1 8:3,8	we're 4:17 6:8	<b>65109</b> 1:22
	8:21	status 5:11 6:3	7:19	<b>65201</b> 2:19
P	Regulatory 1:17	6:23 7:12,14	<b>WHEREOF</b> 8:15	<b>680</b> 2:19
<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1	3:13	stenotype 8:11	WITNESS 8:15	7
pages 8:13	related 4:13	<b>Street</b> 2:4,9,19	work 5:17,25	<b>751-5324</b> 2:10
parties 4:19 5:22	report 5:11,23	suggest 5:10	working 4:25 7:2	/ <b>51-5324</b> 2:10
6:17	6:3,23 7:12,14	<b>Suite</b> 1:22 2:19	<b>T</b> 7	
pending 7:15	REPORTED	sure 4:24 5:18	Y	
period 5:10	1:21		<b>Yeah</b> 5:24	
personally 8:9	reporter 4:10 8:6	T	#	
pleadings 4:12	8:7,8,21	take 5:10 7:17	# <b>0538</b> 8:7,20	
<b>point</b> 6:10	REPORTER'S	Telephone 2:12	#0556 8:7,20	
Post 4:4	8:1	Thank 3:16,21	1	
preceding 8:13	requested 4:16	think 4:11 5:21	11:8	
Prehearing 1:5	research 5:2	5:24 7:4	<b>1901</b> 2:14 3:19	
present 8:9	resolution 6:14	through-put 4:14		
Presiding 1:16	7:15	Tim 3:23	2	
procedural 3:5	resolve 5:15,20	time 3:4 5:9,10	<b>2:00</b> 3:3	
4:18,20 5:12,13	7:3	5:19,21 6:5 7:5	<b>2:10</b> 7:20	
5:18 6:9,11	<b>Review</b> 1:10 3:6	7:16 8:11	<b>200</b> 2:4,9	
7:13	Robert 2:3 4:4	Timothy 2:8	<b>2015</b> 1:7 3:3 7:21	
proceedings 1:3	<b>RPR</b> 1:21	today 3:3 7:18	8:16	
7:20 8:9,11	S	Tomc 2:13 3:16	<b>207</b> 1:22	
Professional 8:7	S 1:21 2:1,3 3:1	3:17 6:19	<b>2230</b> 2:9 3:24	
8:21	4:4 8:6,20	transcribed 8:12	<b>28</b> 1:7 3:3 7:21	
Programs 1:12	schedule 4:18,20	TRANSCRIPT		
3:8	5:12,13,18 6:9	1:3	3	
progress 5:23 6:4	6:12 7:13	<b>Truman</b> 1:22	<b>301</b> 2:19	
<b>proposal</b> 6:18,20	seal 8:16	try 7:3	<b>314</b> 2:15	
proposed 4:20	sense 5:19	U	<b>3432</b> 1:22	
5:11 6:10,11 7:12	<b>Service</b> 1:1 2:2,3	Union 1:10 2:12	<b>360</b> 2:4 4:5	
7:12 <b>provide</b> 6:3	4:3	3:6,17	4	
Prudence 1:10	Services 1:21	unusual 6:12	4th 4:21 6:2 7:11	
3:6	set 3:4 6:17 8:10	usual 6:13	<b>401</b> 4:21 0:2 7:11	
Public 1:1 2:2,3,7	8:13,15	-	5	
2:7,8 3:22,24	shared 4:14	V	<b>522-3304</b> 2:20	
4:3,16 5:3 6:24	sheet 8:10	Volume 1:8	<b>526-7779</b> 2:5	
<b>p.m</b> 3:3 7:20	Shorthand 8:6		<b>554-4673</b> 2:15	
<b>p.m</b> 3.3 1.20		W	0.13 2.13	
	1	1	1	1