Exhibit No.:

Issues: Adverse Effects of Route Witness: Edward J. Schaefer, Jr. Exhibit Type: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Intervenor CCOFFH

Case No. EO-2002-351 Date: August 8, 2002

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EO-2002-351

OF EDWARD J. SCHAEFER, JR.

ON BEHALF OF

SION

AUG 0 8 2002

Service Commission

INTERVENOR CONCERNED CITIZENS OF FAMILY FARMS AND HERITAGE

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company for Permission and Authority to Construct, Operate, Own, and Maintain a 345 Kilovolt Transmission Line in Maries, Osage, and Pulaski Counties, Missouri ("Callaway-Franks Line"). | Case No. EO-2002-351 | |
|---|--|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF EDWARD | J. SCHAEFER, JR. | |
| is the witness who sponsors the accompanying to | if inquiries were made as to the facts and I respond as therein set forth; and that the | |
| · - | Edward J. Schaefer, Vr | |
| State of Missouri County of OSage SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this D day of July 2002. | | |

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: May 20, 2006

JOHN A. DEEKEN . Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Osage County My Commission Expires: May 20, 2006

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF EDWARD J. SCHAEFER, JR.

| l | Q. | Please state your name and residence address. |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | A. | Edward J. Schaefer, Jr., Route 1, Box 142-1A, Freeburg, Missouri 65035. |
| 3 | Q. | Are you a property owner in one of the three counties through which Union Electric |
| 4 | | Company is applying for permission and authority to construct, operate, own and maintain |
| 5 | | a 345 kilovolt transmission line? |
| 6 | A. | Yes, I am the owner of property which I am advised will be crossed by this new 345,000 volt |
| 7 | | transmission in Osage County. |
| 8 | Q. | What is the purpose of your testimony? |
| 9 | A. | I am providing this testimony in opposition to the application to construct this transmission |
| 10 | | line on the route requested by Ameren UE. The law requires that construction of this power |
| 11 | | line must be shown by Ameren UE to be necessary and convenient for the public service. |
| 12 | | Regardless of any necessity for a transmission line somewhere else, it is neither necessary |
| 13 | | nor convenient to the public to build the transmission line as proposed. The line can be |
| 14 | | constructed in other areas and its construction in the place and manner proposed will be |
| 15 | | destructive of family farms, family farm values, and does irreparable harm to the history and |
| 16 | | heritage of the local area and its communities. |
| 17 | Q. | Are you a member of the Intervenor group known as Concerned Citizens for Family Farms |
| 18 | | and Heritage? |
| 19 | A. | No, I am not a member of that group but I offer my testimony in support of its statement of |
| 20 | | purpose, which is attached to this testimony and incorporated. |

- Q. Have you as a property owner in the path of the proposed transmission line been contacted by Ameren UE for purpose of discussing where the line would go through your property and what alternatives, if any, might be available?
- A. No. I was informed that they have surveyed my farm, but I was never told that they were coming. I found gates open and cows out and orange tape on the Central poles. If not for that I would have not known.
- 7 Q. How long have you owned the property in question?
- 8 A. Seven years.

17

- Q. Could you describe the nature of the property and its past and current use?
- 10 A. We use it to raise a few cattle. Pasture and hay is the main use.
- 11 Q. Is the current use of the property income producing to you?
- 12 A. Yes. I would consider it supplemental income.
- 13 Q. Do you already have any power lines over your property?
- 14 A. Yes, Central Electric. Central Electric has caused serious erosion problems on the creeks
 15 that they have cleared. Their careless right of way clearing has caused the land under the
 16 lines to be completely useless.
 - Q. Will the proposed power line, if built, affect the value of your land?
- 18 A. Yes. A power line of this size on my property will without question decrease the overall
 19 value of the remaining property. The power line itself, the large easement taken on a strip
 20 through that part of the property will impair present and future use of the entire parcel of
 21 property I own. The option of selling the property to get rid of the problems caused by the
 22 power line is unavailable. The power line will make the property virtually unsaleable.

requiring that I simply live with Ameren UE's solution to a problem that I do not feel I even share with them. While I am unsure of the health affects of such a large power line so close to my own daily activities, it is ingrained in the popular mentality that such power lines constitute a health hazard, rendering unlikely any sale of my property at all. No one will buy this property with this 345,000 volt electric line through it. UE plans to windrow trees they have on their easement. This will make most of the land under these lines unuseable. The value of this line will be \$0.00, but yet I will pay real estate taxes on it.

Q. What other adverse impacts do you feel this line will create for yourself and others?

- A. The attached statement of purpose for Concerned Citizens for Family Farms and Heritage sums up the overall bad impact. I and my neighbors live where we do by choice. We forego the luxuries of more urban living in exchange for the peace, quiet and more rustic lifestyle of the family farm and the small local community. Every reason and purpose that my neighbors and I own property here for is drastically impaired or practically destroyed by the presence of this high voltage power line, and the constant commercial activity anticipated in building and maintaining it in the future. Several creeks run through the area they will destroy. The erosion of these creeks and springs will even lower the property values further.
- Q. Do you know of any alternatives to the Ameren UE proposal which might change your opinion about this project?
- A. The alternatives are all within the power of Ameren UE to implement, and I do believe an alternative exists. I believe Ameren should restudy the route and balance the interests of the community against their need to relieve overloading problems. There are other corridors equally available to Ameren to build this line which would be better able to bear the burden

| of the line's presence without destroying property values, life styles and family farms. My | |
|--|--|
| neighbors and I have no alternatives, and construction of the line as proposed will change our | |
| lives and circumstances forever. We will not be able to sell even though we may not wish | |
| to stay. Given our lack of options and alternatives, and the numerous alternatives available | |
| to Ameren UE to put this line almost anywhere it wants, it is my hope that the Public Service | |
| Commission will require Ameren UE to balance their mere commercial need against our vital | |
| need to preserve the family farm and our way of life. | |

- Q. Have you had past experiences with power companies and their maintenance of their easement and right-of-way, and do you have concerns about this aspect of the new power line?
- A. Yes. All cross fences on the power line have been ruined by electric right of way clearing or erosion. Stumps left after clearing cause damage to equipment, tractors and people using them. If gates left open was the only concern, it wouldn't be that bad. But there are stumps and trees knocked down and not properly removed, causing erosion and there will be trash.
- Q. Do you have any further testimony or observations to make for the Public Service Commission?
- A. The poles on our property right now look like they could fail at any time. There is a lack of any kind of communication to let us know when they are coming. Loss of use of the property after they come through is a concern. Central Electric came back and cleared trees that they had pushed into the woods the last time they cleared the right of way. They failed to remove all the trees and left many stumps that are tall enough to throw a person off a tractor if he tries to brush hog or use the property for other reasons.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?
- 2 A. Yes.

CONCERNED CITIZENS OF FAMILY FARMS AND HERITAGE

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

Concerned Citizens of Family Farms and Heritage ("Concerned Citizens") is an unincorporated membership association of family farm owners, small property owners, small business owners and farm community residents formed to promote the interests of family farming, small town communities and rural life. Membership is open to all who share the desire to promote the interests of family farms and small communities in the rural areas of central Missouri, and those who wish to preserve the rural environment against the continuous encroachment of large commercial development and urban sprawl. Our primary concern is with preservation and protection of the family farm and its heritage in rural Missouri. Concerned Citizens oppose through all lawful means the encroachment on and destruction of family farms, which are the fundamental economic and cultural basis for the rural lifestyle. Concerned Citizens promotes all reasonable efforts to preserve and protect family farms and rural lifestyle, and vigorously opposes impairment or destruction of property which is used or useful for perpetuation of rural existence on family farms, or diversion of property from use as family farms.

(KW5896, WPD;1)