Exhibit No.:

Issues: Observation of Site

Screening, Sound

Abatement, and Pollution

Witness: Leon C. Bender

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: EA-2006-0309

Date Testimony Prepared: April 4, 2006

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

## **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

LEON C. BENDER

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P

CASE NO. EA-2006-0309

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2006

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Aquila,	)
Inc. for Permission and Approval and a	)
Certificate of Public Convenience and	)
Necessity Authorizing it to Acquire,	)
Construct, Install, Own, Operate,	)
Maintain, and otherwise Control and	) Case No. EA-2006-0309
Manage, and otherwise Control and	)
Manage Electrical Production and Related	)
Facilities in Unincorporated Areas of Cass	)
County, Missouri Near the Town of	)
Peculiar	ý

#### AFFIDAVIT OF LEON C. BENDER

STATE OF MISSOURI	)
	) ss
COUNTY OF COLE	)

Leon C. Bender, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 7 pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Leon C. Bender

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 day of April, 2006.

Notary Public

n expires

2009

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2 3	OF	
4 5	LEON C. BENDER	
6 7	AQUILA, INC.	
8 9	D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P	
10 11	CASE NO. EA-2006-0309	
12 13		
14	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
15	A. Leon C. Bender, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.	
16	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
17	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission St	aff (Staff)
18	as a Regulatory Engineer in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations	s Division
19	of the Missouri Public Service Commission.	
20	Executive Summary	
21 22	Q. Please give a brief summary of your rebuttal testimony.	
23	A. My rebuttal testimony responds to the direct testimony	y filed by
24	Aquila in this case and describes Staff's participation in the monitoring of	Aquila's
25	efforts to address the site specific conditions of visual screening, sound abate	ment, and
26	pollution testing at South Harper Station.	
27	Q. Please describe your educational and work background.	
28	A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineeri	ng in
29	August 1978 from Texas Tech University. I was employed by Southwestern I	Public
30	Service Company (SPS) as a power generation plant design engineer in Septer	mber

- 1978. While employed by SPS, I was lead engineer on many projects involving the design and construction of new power generating stations and the upgrading of its older plants. In 1983, I became a registered Professional Engineer in the state of Texas. In 1986, I transferred to SPS's newly formed subsidiary company, Utility Engineering Corporation, and was responsible for various projects at various other clients' power generation plants. In June 1990, I accepted employment as a systems engineer with Entergy Operations, Inc. at the nuclear powered generating station, Arkansas Nuclear One. In December 1995, I joined the Commission Staff.
  - Q. Have you filed testimony in previous cases before this Commission?
- A. Yes, I filed testimony in Case Nos. ER-2005-0436, ER-2004-0570, ER-2004-0034, EC-2001-001, ER-2001-299, ER-97-515, EC-97-394 and EM-97-362.
  - Q. Do you have experience with combustion turbine-generator projects?
- A. Yes. During my ten years at the Commission, I have toured most of the combustion turbine-generator (CTG) sites owned or operated by the electric companies regulated by the Commission. I have witnessed the construction phase as well as the startup, running, and shutdown of many of those CTG units visited. The most recent combustion turbine projects I have monitored are Aquila's South Harper Station, AmerenUE's Venice Plant CTG's, AmerenUE's Bowling Green CTG's, and Aquila's Greenwood CTG's.
- Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this case, Aquila, Inc. (Aquila) D/B/A Aquila Networks-MPS (MPS) and Aquila Networks-L&P (L&P) Case No. EA-2006-0309?

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

A. My rebuttal responds to the direct testimonies of Block M. Andrews and Norma F. Dunn and in doing so, describes Staff's monitoring of Aquila's efforts to address the site specific conditions of visual screening, sound abatement, and pollution testing at South Harper Station as mentioned in Staff witness Warren Wood's rebuttal testimony.

- Please describe the South Harper Station. Q.
- South Harper Station is located just south of Peculiar, Missouri, at the A. intersection South Harper Road and 243rd St., next to a Southern Star Gas Compressor Station. On the property, Aquila installed three new Siemens Westinghouse simple cycle combustion turbine generators that are approximately 105 MW each. In addition, Aguila built a control/service building on the site. Aguila also installed a 161/69 KVA Substation on the site to handle the full output of all three generating units.
- Q. Please describe your involvement in monitoring the progress of the construction project?
- A. I obtained construction and testing schedules and monitored the progress of the construction and testing. I made eight visits to the construction site and had numerous telephone conversations with Aquila's managerial personnel during my visits to the site throughout the construction and testing phases of the project. I also observed some of the sound testing and air quality testing performed by Aquila, and Aquila's contractors.
- While monitoring the progress of construction and testing at the South Q. Harper Station site did you observe any visual screening efforts made by Aquila?

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Yes. I observed that Aquila built berms on the north, south, and east sides A. of the site. Additionally, I observed that trees and grass were planted on the berms. Aguila personnel at the site said the purpose of the berms is to prevent erosion, absorb sound, and block the view of the site from nearby residences.

- Q. Please describe the area immediately around the South Harper plant site border?
- A. On the west border of the site is a row of dense mature trees which extends the entire length of the property on that edge. Berms with trees are located on the north, south, and east sides of the plant. The trees are newly planted and thus have not yet grown to mature height. To the south of the property line is another line of mature trees. Southern Star Gas Compressor station is located on the northeast corner just immediately outside of the Aquila property. South Harper Road is immediately outside of Aquila property on the east side of the plant and across that road is an empty field with trees and a large water pond. A residence is located directly across the street to the east of the Southern Star Gas Compressor Station.
  - Are any residences visible from the plant property? Q.
- I toured the site on each of my visits and from ground level in the A. immediate area around the combustion turbines on the company property I could not see any residences.
  - Was the plant visible from any residences in the area? Q.
- Yes. I drove down 243rd Street from Highway C to South Harper Road A. but did not see the plant except for the upper portion of the exhaust stacks until I was at the gate entrance. For a map of the immediate region please see Schedule one. The

first visible building was the Southern Star Gas Compressor Station. From Lucille Street north of the plant, and the area of 241st Street directly north of the plant, it is visible. Further on South Harper Road and east on 241st Street, I could see the upper portion of the exhaust stacks of the plant. The substation and electrical transmission lines were visible from both South Harper Road and 241st Street. During sound testing I was allowed on the property of two nearby residences located on 241st Street. At various locations on those properties, I could see the upper portion of the exhaust stacks and the substation and transmission lines.

- Q. Did the South Harper Station units have to meet any sound guarantees?
- A Yes. Staff's In Service Criteria established for these units require that all operational guarantees had to be met. The turbine generator manufacturer, Siemens Westinghouse, had near-field sound-level guarantees of 90 dbA that the units had to meet. Also, the stack manufacturer, Higgott Krane, had near-field guarantees of 85 dbA. I submitted direct testimony in Aquila's recent rate increase case, Case No. ER-2005-0436, which stated that Aquila submitted documentation to prove all guarantees in the Staff's In Service Criteria were met. Aquila also met the Cass County Noise Ordinance of less than 55 dbA according to the sound testing performed by Burns & McDonnell.
  - Q. Please describe Aquila's efforts to address the potential noise problems?
- A. Documentation I reviewed for Case No. ER-2006-0436 revealed that Aquila knew early in the project that noise could be a potential problem and sought to reduce the sound levels. Aquila's efforts included purchasing the low-noise exhaust stack, installing sound attenuation around the gas reducing station vents, installing

3

sound attenuation around the air inlet ducting, installing silencers on the compressed air vent, installing alarms inside of the service building rather than outside, and installing sound attenuating devices around the starting motors. Trees planted around the site may also dissipate some of the sound energy.

45

Q. Were you present during any of the sound level testing?

6

7

8

A. Yes. I was present on August 11, 2005, when Aquila and Siemens Westinghouse personnel were measuring the sound levels in the turbine area, exhaust stack area, and outside the property line while the generators were at maximum capacity. I was also present when Burns & McDonnell was performing a Residential

9

10

Noise Assessment Study.

A.

11

Q. Please describe the sound levels you observed during those visits.

1213

personnel, the sound levels were below the guaranteed levels by the manufacturers. On

During the testing performed by Aquila and Siemens Westinghouse

14

August 11, 2005, I accompanied Aquila personnel outside the gate to observe sound

15

level testing. The level measured on Aquila's calibrated noise instrument was 47 dbA.

16

That is below the level of the County Noise Ordinance requirement of 55 dbA. On the

1718

same day I accompanied Aquila and Burns & McDonnell personnel during the part of

19

the Residential Noise Assessment Study performed by Burns & McDonnell. I accompanied Burns & McDonnell personnel to two of the nearest residences and

20

observed sound level readings taken in various locations on the properties with

21

calibrated instruments. On many spots the sound of the plant was not observable

22

because of the noise created a car passing on the gravel road and the outside air

23

conditioning units running and cycling on and off. In other areas, where it was possible

- to get a good measurement of South Harper Station without other noise, such as cars on gravel roads or outside air conditioning units, no sound levels of South Harper Station measured were above the acceptable limits.
  - Q. Did Aquila have to meet any environmental requirements?
- A. Yes. The Siemens Westinghouse guarantee includes air quality limits. Aquila hired Air Hygiene International, Inc. to perform all emissions testing. I was present June 30, 2005, and observed most of the testing. As my prepared testimony in Case No. ER-2006-0436 states, documentation presented by Aquila verified that all the Siemens Westinghouse guarantees for air quality were met. The South Harper Station also had to meet, and must continue to meet, the air quality permit limits set by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Members of DNR and EPA witnessed these tests on June 30, 2005, also. Each exhaust stack has its own continuous emissions monitoring system to ensure that the units continue to meet the permitted limits.
  - Q. Have the South Harper Station units been operating this year?
- A. No. According to Aquila's operating logs, which I reviewed on March 30, 2006, the last day a unit operated at South Harper Station was December 6, 2005.
  - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
  - A. Yes, it does.

North ↑

