

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O.Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 16, 2003

FILED²
OCT 1 6 2003

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Public Service Commission
Governor's Office Building
Madison & E. Capitol
Jefferson City, MO 65101

Missouri Public Service Commission

RE:

In the Matter of the Application of Aquila, Inc. for Authority to Assign, Transfer, Mortgage or Encumber Its Franchise, Works or System Case No. EF-2003-0465

Dear Judge Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case are the original and 8 copies of the State of Missouri, Office of Public Counsel, Sedalia Energy Users' Association, and AG Processing, Inc.'s motion for reconsideration of the Commission's order denying summary disposition. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

JEREMJAH W/(JAY) NIXON

Attorney General

Ronald Molteni

Assistant Attorney General

Enclosures

cc: All Parties on the Service List

FILED²
0CT 1 6 2003

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

Service Commission

	mission
In the Matter of the Application of Aquila, Inc.)
for Authority to Assign, Transfer, Mortgage or) Case No. EF-2003-0465
Encumber Its Franchise, Works or System)

JOINT MOTION OF STATE OF MISSOURI, THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL, THE SEDALIA INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS' ASSOCIATION AND AG PROCESSING, INC. TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING SUMMARY DISPOSITION

Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.160, the joint moving parties respectfully request that the Commission reconsider its order denying summary disposition. The order contains a fundamental misconception about the nature of an asset encumbrance that has ramifications beyond summary disposition in this case, that affect this case's eventual disposition, and that may impact other requests the Commission may receive in the future to encumber Missouri regulated assets.

On pages 2-3 of the Commission's October 9, 2003 order, the Commission states, "[t]he Missouri Supreme Court has already interpreted § 393.180 to apply only to Missouri corporations. Assuming, however, that *Union Pacific* is inapposite, the Joint Movants' argument means that an applicant would have to meet a higher standard to **encumber** its assets than it would to **sell** them or to **merge** with another entity. This would be an illogical result." [emphasis in original.]

As a matter of law and public policy, it is not illogical for this Commission to require an applicant to meet a higher standard to encumber assets than to sell or merge assets. When a Missouri regulated utility merges or sells assets, it does so in a transaction that will always

ultimately involve the assets being controlled by a "public utility" as that term is defined in § 386.020(42) RSMo. who maintains a certificate of service authority to provide service under the regulation of this Commission. Decisions affecting rates and service reliability will remain within the Commission's jurisdiction because the decision-maker will remain within the Commission's jurisdiction.

When a Missouri regulated utility encumbers assets, it is pledging those assets as collateral to secure financing to a party that is not a "public utility" and who makes decisions outside of the context of responsibilities and duties statutorily imposed by chapters 386 through 394 and outside of this Commission's control.

In this case, Aquila has procured financing from Credit Suisse First Boston, Cayman

Islands branch. No Credit Suisse First Boston affiliated business organization is certificated by
this Commission. Credit Suisse First Boston, LLC is a foreign limited liability company
organized in Delaware and registered to do business in Missouri. Its purpose is "broker/dealer."

[See attached Application for Registration of a Foreign Limited Liability Company filed with the
Missouri Secretary of State.] John Cavalier is the co-chairman of Credit Suisse First Boston's
energy group and a managing director in the Credit Suisse First Boston's investment banking
division. He testified on October 9, 2003, at his deposition in this proceeding. Mr. Cavalier
stated that the Cayman Islands subsidiary of Credit Suisse First Boston is separately organized
legally from the parent Credit Suisse First Boston. Relevant pages from Mr. Cavalier's
deposition are attached. No records maintained by the Secretary of State on-line show a Cayman
Islands affiliate of Credit Suisse First Boston registered to do business in Missouri.

Moreover, the term loan agreement between Aquila and Credit Suisse First Boston, which incidently is governed by the laws of the state of New York, contains default provisions. Those default provisions appear in Article 7 of Schedule RD9 of Rick Dobson's direct testimony. They work with Section 9.04 of the Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust between Aquila and trustee, Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Schedule RD10 to Rick Dobson's testimony.

According to the arrangement with Aquila, in an event of default, the trustee for the bondholders syndicated by Credit Suisse First Boston, can step in, seize the encumbered assets, and sell to the highest bidder. Bank One Trust Company, N.A., is not a "public utility" under Missouri law. It does not have a certificate of authority granted by this Commission.

One of the events of default would be Aquila's filing for bankruptcy. Under those circumstances, Aquila's secured creditors would drive terms of liquidation or reorganization subject to the decision-making of the bankruptcy court. While there are certain provisions of the federal bankruptcy code, specifically, §1129(a)(6) respecting a state's public utility commission's rate making role, there are other provisions that suggest the state regulator's role is preempted. See for example § 1123(a), which preempts laws that may obstruct transactions contemplated by a Chapter 11 reorganization plan. The California Public Utility Commission is being battered in the proceedings relating to the bankruptcy of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. For example, see *In re Pacific Gas and Electric Co.*, 283 B.R. 41 (N.D. Ca. 2002).

In Public Service Commission v. Union Pacific Railroad, 197 S.W. 39 (Mo. banc 1917), the Court ruled that the PSC did not have jurisdiction to require Union Pacific to obtain PSC approval for bonds to cover expenditures for rolling stock. The Commission cites this case for the proposition that § 393.180 does not apply to foreign corporations. However, that case does

not fit the facts of this proceeding. In Union Pacific, the railroad did not acquire charter rights or special privileges from the state of Missouri at any time, and its Missouri property was exclusively employed in the performance of its duties as an interstate carrier. In this case, Aquila operates utilities in Missouri, enjoys special privileges from Missouri, and has accepted a certificate of convenience and necessity from this Commission enabling it to operate as a monopoly in its service territories. Moreover, it is nonsensical to hold a foreign corporation to lesser standards of scrutiny than the Commission would apply to a domestic one. Finally, the case cited by the applicant in response to the motion for summary disposition, *State ex rel. City of St. Louis v. Public Service Commission*, 73 S.W.2d 393 (Mo. banc 1934), does not stand for the proposition that public detriment is limited to an increase in rates or a reduction in the level of service.

The joint movants understand that this case really is one of first impression. None of the joint movants is aware of any case wherein the utility filed for approval to mortgage or encumber its properties to secure a debt obligation that had already been incurred with financing already provided. But, the point the joint movants want to make is that an asset encumberance, including this one, has all the potential to have substantial effects on ratepayers and on the ability of the State, through its public utility commission, to regulate a certificated monopolist, effects as serious, if not more serious, that an asset purchase or merger. Accordingly, it is an error of logic, a misunderstanding of secured creditor and bankruptcy law, and a lack of appreciation for the practical consequences of mortgaging property, to assert that it is "illogical" to impose a higher standard on the encumberance of assets than on sale or merger. On the contrary, it is not only logical, it would be responsible for this Commission to do so.

Respectfully submitted,

JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON

Attorney General

Ronald Molteni

Assistant Attorney General Missouri Bar No. 40946

Supreme Court Building

221 West High Street

P.O. Box 899

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Telephone: 573-751-1183 Telefax: 573-751-9456

e-mail: ronald.molteni@mail.ago.state.mo.us

Attorneys for State of Missouri,

Doug Micheel

Senior Public Counsel

Missouri Bar No. 38371

Office of the Public Counsel

P.O. Box 7800

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

573*-75*1-5560

Stuart Conrad

Missouri Bar No. 23966

Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C.

3100 Broadway, Suite 1209

Kansas City, Missouri 64111

816-753-1122

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was hand-delivered or mailed, postage prepaid, this 16th day of October, 2003 to:

Douglas Micheel Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Steve Dottheim Nathan Williams Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Jim Swearengen
Paul Boudreau
Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
312 E. Capitol Avenue
P.O. Box 456
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Stuart W. Conrad Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C. 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, Missouri 64111

Assistant Attorney General

State of Missouri Creation - LLC/LP 1 Page(s) T0314107056



Corporations Division
P.O. Box 778, Jefferson City, MO 65102

File Number: 200315712912 Date Filed: 05/14/2003 02:32 PM Matt Blunt Secretary of State

James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 W. Main Street, Rm 322, Jefferson City, MO 65101

Application for Registration of a Foreign Limited Liability Company

(Submit in duplicate with filing fee of \$105.00)

(1)	The name of the foreign limited li	iability company is:			
	CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON LLC				
(2)	The name under which the foreign limited liability company will conduct business in Missouri is (must contain "limited company, "limited liability company", "LC", "LC", "L.C.", or "L.L.C.") (must be filled out if different from line (1)):				
(3)	The foreign limited liability comp	pany was formed under the laws of	elaware	on the	
	date of 12/19/02	and is to dissolve on	(state or jurisdiction)		
			(month/day/year, eve	ent, or perpetual)	
(4)	The purpose of the foreign limited liability company or the general character of the business it proposes to transact in this state is:				
	broker/dealer				
	include a street address): Corporation Service Company CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Se Name Address retary of State is appointed agent for service	ervice Company 221 Bolivar Street (P.O. Box may only be used in conjunction with of process if the foreign limited liability company	., Jefferson City, Missou h a physical street address) Cit sy fails to maintain a registered agen	ri 65101 ty/State/Zip	
register	d agent constitutes grounds to cancel the registration of the foreign limited liability company. The address of the registered office in the jurisdiction organized. If none required, then the principal office address of the foreign limited liability company is:				
			9808		
	Name Address	(P.O. Box may only be used in conjunction with	h a physical street address) Cit	ty/State/Zip	
(7)	For tax purposes, is the limited lia	ability company considered a corporati	on?yes	X_no	
	In affirmation thereof the facts stated above are true. Lori M. Russo, Secy., Credit Suisse First Boston (U.A), Inc member 5/7/03				
	(Authorized Signature)	(Printed No	ame)	(Date)	
	(Authorized Signature)	(Printed N	ame)	(Date)	
LLC-4((Authorized Signature) 11/00)	(Printed N	ame)	(Date)	

Page 1

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY AQUILA, INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO ASSIGN, TRANSFER, MORTGAGE OR ENCUMBER ITS FRANCHISE, WORKS OR SYSTEM.

Case No. EF-2003-0465

DEPOSITION OF JOHN A. CAVALIER

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 9, 2003

John Cavalier 10/9/2003

```
Page 2
1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2
3
     In the Matter of the
     Application by Aquila, Inc.
     for Authority to:
                                     ) CASE NO. EF-2003-0465
 5
     Assign, Transfer, Mortgage or )
     Encumber Its Franchise, Works )
 6
     or System.
 7
 8
 9
10
                   DEPOSITION OF JOHN A. CAVALIER
11
12
                 Taken on Thursday, October 9, 2003
13
                       At 8:45 o'clock a.m.
14
                       At 20 West 9th Street
15
                       Kansas City, Missouri
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 Whereupon--JOHN A. CAVALIER, 5 was called as a witness, and having been first duly 6 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 (By Mr. Molteni) Good morning, Mr. Cavalier. Q. 9 We're here for your deposition in a case that's 10 pending before the Missouri Public Service 11 Commission, and it's styled In the Matter of the 12 Application of Aquila, Inc., for Authority to 13 Assign, Transfer, Mortgage or Encumber its Utility Franchise, Works or System in Order to Secure 14 15 Revised Bank Financing Arrangements, and it is case number EF-2003-0465. 16 17 Can you please state your name for the 18 record? 19 John Anthony Cavalier. Α. 20 And where do you work, Mr. Cavalier? 21 I work with Credit Suisse First Boston in New York City. 22 And what's your position with that firm? 23 24 I am co-chairman of the energy group and a 25 managing director in the investment banking

Page 7

- division.
- 2 Q. Does that mean you have an ownership interest in
- 3 the firm?
- 4 A. I own some stock in the firm, but it's -- it's not
- 5 a material investment.
- 6 Q. Do you own any interest in Aquila?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. How many occasions?
- 11 A. Four times.
- 12 Q. In what capacity?
- 13 A. The last time I was actually deposed and then
- 14 testified in a federal court, federal case in
- 15 Phoenix, Arizona, involving the lawsuit of
- 16 Southern Union Company against Southwest Gas of
- 17 Reno.
- 18 Q. We don't know anything about that, Mr. Cavalier.
- 19 I'm kidding, of course. Sorry.
- 20 A. The time before that, I was a witness in a lawsuit
- 21 that Heritage Propane had filed against Scana and
- 22 Suburban Propane.
- 23 Q. Were you an expert witness in those cases that you
- 24 just mentioned?
- 25 A. I think I was used both as an expert witness and

Page 20

- 1 Island subsidiary.
- 2 Q. And is the Cayman Island subsidiary incorporated
- 3 or do you know the form of its business
- 4 organization?
- 5 A. I don't know if it's an LLC, but I know for a fact
- 6 that it is completely licensed under all of the
- 7 auspices of the federal government to conduct a
- 8 commercial banking business within the United
- 9 States.
- 10 Q. And it is a separate business organization from
- 11 the parent company of Credit Suisse First Boston?
- 12 A. I'm sure it's a wholly owned subsidiary of Credit
- 13 Suisse First Boston group.
- 14 Q. But separately organized legally?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Do you know whether it is registered to do
- 17 business as a foreign business entity in Missouri?
- 18 A. I would hope so. I don't know the answer to that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Do you know whether the parent company,
- 20 Credit Suisse First Boston, is registered to do
- 21 business in Missouri?
- 22 A. We transact a very substantial amount of business
- 23 in Missouri. I would assume that we are in
- 24 compliance with all of the regulations of the
- 25 state.