Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Dues and Donations

Witness: Jane C. Dhority ng Party: MoPSC Staff

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: WR-2020-0344

Date Testimony Prepared: January 15, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FINANCIAL and BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION AUDITING DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JANE C. DHORITY

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2020-0344

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2021

1			REBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
2			OF			
3			JANE C. DHORITY			
4			MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY			
5			CASE NO. WR-2020-0344			
6	(Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
7	I	Α.	My name is Jane C. Dhority. My business address is 111 North 7 th Street,			
8	Suite 10	5, St. 1	Louis, Missouri 63101.			
9	(Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?			
10	I	Α.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) as a			
11	Utility Regulatory Auditor.					
12	(Q.	Are you the same Jane C. Dhority who has previously contributed to the Staff's			
13	Cost of Service Report in Case No. WR-2020-0344, filed on November 24, 2020?					
14	1	A .	Yes, I am.			
15	(Q.	Please summarize your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding.			
16	1	Α.	The purpose of this testimony is to discuss the Office of the Public Counsel			
17	("OPC") witness Amanda C. Conner's treatment of certain membership dues and registration					
18	fees.					
19	(Q.	How did Ms. Conner approach these costs?			
20	1	A .	Ms. Conner disallowed the entirety of costs associated with organizations she			
21	determin	ned to	be engaged in lobbying activities. Specifically those pertaining to the American			
22	Water V	Vorks	Association (AWWA), the National Investor Relations Institute (NIRI), the			
23	Nationa	l Asso	ciation of Corporate Directors (NACD), and the National Association of Water			

21

22

23

Companies (NAWC). Additionally, she removed costs associated with certain attorney 1 2 registration fees. Finally, Ms. Conner included in her disallowances costs occurring outside of 3 the January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019 test year. 4 Q. Does Staff agree with Ms. Conner's treatment of these costs? 5 A. Not entirely. 6 Q. How did Staff approach the costs associated with these organizations? 7 A. Rather than disallowing the entire cost of each membership, Staff disallowed a 8 portion of each organization's dues based on its lobbying percentages. 9 Q. What has Staff disallowed as attributable to lobbying activities? 10 A. Staff has disallowed the lobbying portion of costs associated with the AWWA, 11 the NAWC, and the NIRI. 12 Q. How did Staff determine the proportion of lobbying costs for each entity? Staff received emails from the AWWA and the NAWC (attached to this 13 A. 14 testimony as Schedules JCD-r1 and JCD-r2, respectively) that state the proportion of lobbying 15 costs to total costs for their respective entities. The lobbying percentage for the NIRI is listed 16 on the organization's website. 17 Q. Why has Staff allowed recovery of the non-lobbying portion of dues attributable 18 to the AWWA, the NAWC, and the NIRI? 19 A. Staff has allowed recovery of the non-lobbying portion of these dues as they are 20 beneficial to MAWC as well as the ratepayers. The AWWA, the NAWC, and the NIRI are not

solely lobbying organizations. The main function of the AWWA is the performance of

research and development activities to lead to the implementation of new technology; the

NAWC fosters collaboration and sharing of best practices in order to protect public health,

- promote environmental stewardship and deliver sustainable solutions; and the NIRI advances engagement in the capital markets and drives best practices in corporate disclosures, governance, and informed investing. Only a small portion of each of these organization's activities are attributable to lobbying and, therefore, Staff has allowed recovery of all but those amounts.
 - Q. How did Staff approach costs attributable to the NACD?
- A. Staff did not include any costs attributable to the NACD in its case as they were incurred in 2020, which is outside of the test year. It is inappropriate to "disallow" costs that occurred past the test year cutoff, as the costs are not included in the test year in the first place. To reach past the end of the test year on this issue violates the matching principle of the historic test year for revenues/expenses/investment as dues and donation is not considered to be a true up issue.
 - Q. How has Staff approached costs relating to attorney registration fees?
- A. OPC proposed disallowance of attorney registration fees incurred outside of the January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019 test year. As stated above, this is incorrect. Staff has allowed the remaining attorney registration fees booked in the test year but is still seeking further information regarding the percentage of work the attorneys are performing for MAWC. Staff may recommend disallowing a portion of these costs upon further review if Staff determines that the work done by the attorneys is not beneficial to MAWC ratepayers or is already being performed by MAWC attorneys.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF JANE C. DHORITY							
Service Areas	,						
Service Areas	Ś						
and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri)						
Implement General Rate Increase for Water)	Case No. WR-2020-0344					
Company's Request for Authority to)						
In the Matter of Missouri-American Water)						

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COME NOW JANE C. DHORITY and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony of Jane C. Dhority*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury.

Further the Affiants sayeth not.

/s/ Jane C. Dhority
JANE C. DHORITY

From: Patrick Biddle
To: Dhority, Jane
Subject: AWWA Lobbying

Date: Thursday, November 12, 2020 1:37:10 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Hello Jane,

Please see below,

The following is the lobbying information reported by the American Water Works Association.

AWWA reported \$200,044 total lobbying expenditures on 2019 Form 990 Schedule C. Those expenses were primarily related to the Water Matters! Fly In. There were no expenses related to overt political activity such as campaign contributions and no payments were made to professional lobbyists.

Dues reported on AWWA's 2019 Form 990 Part VIII were \$13,303,709. This makes total lobbying expenditures calculate out at 1.5% of total dues. This percentage is not a statistic reported on the Form 990.

Any deductibility questions should be addressed to your tax preparer.

AWWA's 2020 information will not be available until June 2021.

Please let me know if you need any more information.

Thank you,

Patrick Biddle Association Services Representative American Water Works Association

Direct 303.734.3513 Fax 303.347.0804

service@awwa.org www.awwa.org

Register now for our December 8-10 Virtual Summit!



This communication is the property of the American Water Works Association and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

American Water Works Association

Dedicated to the World's Most Imp*ortant* Resource ®

From: Rik Hull
To: Dhority, Jane

Subject: National Association of Water Companies Dues Related to Lobbying Activities

Date: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 1:41:56 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Jane – per our conversation, for 2019 15% of dues paid to the National Association of Water Companies were used for lobbying activities.

Please do not hesitate to let me now if you have any further questions.

Rik

Rikardo J. Hull EVP, Strategy & External Affairs National Association of Water Companies (717) 439-8852

