

5. Ameren Missouri admits that, if Sheet No. 62.5 were rejected because the Commission decided it should not be seasonally differentiated, and that if Ameren Missouri then failed to file a Sheet No. 62.5 with a flat rate, that it would then over-collect its revenue requirement.

6. Staff does not agree that the seasonally-differentiated rates proposed by Ameren Missouri are reasonably designed in respect to the magnitude of a reasonable differential for an energy-only rate.

WHEREFORE, Staff urges the Commission to reject the Compliance Tariffs previously filed herein and to require Ameren Missouri forthwith to file a revised IAS Rate Sheet with a flat rate design.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin A. Thompson

Kevin A. Thompson
Chief Staff Counsel
Mo. Bar No. 36288

Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Tel. 573-751-6514
Fax 673-526-6969
Kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri
Public Service Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand-delivered, transmitted by e-mail or mailed, First Class, postage prepaid, this 13th day of May, 2015, to counsel for all parties on the Commission's service list in this case.

/s/ Kevin A. Thompson