EC-2016-0001 - Vol. 1

STATE OF MISSOURI	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
Pre-Hearing Conference October 6, 2015 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1 ROMAN DZHURINSKIY and ZI NAI DA DZURINSKAYA, Complainants, vs. UNI ON ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI,	
Respondent.) DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE	
REPORTED BY: Erin R. Donato, CCR No. 1385(T)	

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:
3	MR. TIMOTHY OPITZ
4	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL 200 Madison Street, PO Box 2230
5	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5324
6	
7	FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
8	MR. WILLIAM H. WILLIAMS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 200 Madison Street, P0 Box 360
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.8517
10	FOR THE RESPONDENT:
11	MS. SARAH GIBONEY
12	SMITH LEWIS LLP
13	111 South Ninth Street, Suite 200 Columbia, Missouri 65201 573.443.3141
14	
15	MS. WENDY KAY TATRO AMEREN SERVICES
16	1901 Chouteau Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63103
17	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER:
18	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER:
19	ERIN R. DONATO, CCR NO. 1385(T)
20	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
21	111 East Broadway, Suite 310
22	Columbia, Missouri 65203
23	573. 999. 2662
24	
25	
	2

EC-2016-0001 - Vol. 1

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (The Court went on the record at 10:09 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: The Commission is calling 4 the action in file No. EC-2016-0001. That is the 5 case of Dzhurinskiy vs. Union Electric Company. 6 We're here for a prehearing conference this 7 morning. I'm Daniel Jordan. I am the regulatory 8 law judge assigned to this action. 9 We'll begin by taking entries of 10 appearance. And if you have any representatives of clients with you, please introduce them also. 11 12 We'll begin with the complainants. 13 Mr. Dzhurinskiy, I believe you're on the 14 line? Mr. Dzhurinskiy? MR. DZHURINSKIY: Yes, yes, I can hear 15 16 you. 17 THE COURT: And is Mrs. Dzurinskaya with 18 you? 19 MR. DZHURINSKIY: Yes, my wife. 20 THE COURT: Very good. I hope I'm 21 pronouncing that correctly. 22 MR. DZHURINSKIY: Yes, that's fine. 23 THE COURT: And for Ameren? 24 MS. GIBONEY: For Ameren, Sarah Giboney, 25 111 South Ninth Street, Columbia, Missouri 65201.

And here in the hearing room is Ameren's 1 2 representative Cathy Hart. And then by telephone? 3 MS. TATRO: Wendy Tatro, 1901 Chouteau 4 Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103. THE COURT: Thank you. And for the 5 6 Commission staff? 7 MR. WILLIAMS: For Commission staff, 8 William Hampton Williams II, PO Box 360, Jefferson 9 City, Missouri 65102. 10 THE COURT: And is there staff 11 representative today? 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. We do have two 13 staff representatives, Mike Scheperle and Michael 14 Stahl man. 15 THE COURT: Very good. And for the 16 Office of Public Counsel? 17 MR. OPITZ: For the Office of Public 18 Counsel, I'm Tim Opitz, PO Box 2230, Jefferson 19 City, Missouri 65102. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. We're 21 here to discuss a few matters. First, primarily 22 procedural matters involving scheduling. There is 23 a pending motion for summary determination and a 24 motion to extend time related to that motion for 25 summary determination. I imagine that will be --

1	certainly can be, and I hope it will be the subject
2	of discussion amongst the parties as far as
3	scheduling. Any objection to that?
4	MS. GIBONEY: No, Judge.
5	THE COURT: ALL right.
6	MR. OPITZ: Judge, may I inquire?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. OPITZ: Are you based on your
9	order, I was under the impression that we were
10	going to discuss the motion to continue during the
11	on-the-record portion of this. Are you suggesting
12	now that you're going to leave that to us to
13	discuss afterwards?
14	THE COURT: Well, I'm asking what's your
15	choice? We can discuss that motion to continue,
16	which basically asks to extend time to do
17	discovery, to respond to the motion for summary
18	determination. Does OPC have something that it
19	wants to an argument that it wants to make to
20	the Commission?
21	MR. OPITZ: Yes, Judge. Public Counsel
22	would oppose this motion to continue. It's our
23	position that there is no ambiguity. The tariff is
24	clear. This case was filed on July 1st of 2015.
25	Ameren had an opportunity and did file a response

EC-2016-0001 - Vol. 1

1	to the complaint in answer to the complaint.
2	Thereafter, staff filed its report in which it
3	articulated its legal analysis and made its
4	recommendation that the complainant is entitled to
5	relief. After a period of days, Ameren Missouri
6	had the opportunity and did respond substantively
7	to the staff's recommendation. On that same date,
8	public counsel filed its motion for summary
9	determination which largely concurred with the
10	legal arguments of the Commission staff.
11	Since that time the company has made this
12	motion for an extension of time to conduct
13	discovery. It's my position that there is no
14	discovery necessary. The tariff language is clear.
15	There's no reason for the delay here because
16	there's nothing that is necessary to be discovered.
17	With that said, since this motion has
18	been made, I will say that public counsel will
19	commit to responding as expeditiously to any
20	discovery sent to us by the company, or any party,
21	so that there is you know, if they do want to
22	conduct discovery, they can do so within the time
23	allowed for by the Commission's rules. And so for
24	those reasons, it's our belief that delaying this
25	procedural schedule serves no purpose other than to

1	deny the complainants the relief that the
2	Commission may determine they're entitled to.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I'll take some
4	responses to that. First from Ameren, then from
5	the complainants, and then from staff. Ameren?
6	MS. GIBONEY: Judge, I think it became
7	apparent after Ameren's answer was filed and staff
8	filed its report that the tariff is interpreted
9	differently, at least by the company and by staff,
10	which gives some indication that there might be an
11	ambiguity. And if the Commission determined that
12	the tariff was ambiguous, then applying the rules
13	of statutory construction it would resort to
14	evidence, which means fact of the tariff's intended
15	meaning. And those are the facts that we would
16	like to discover and develop, basically what the
17	parties' interpretations are.
18	And that is important, what the parties
19	mean. Because the tariff, as you know, is not only
20	a law, but it's also a species of contract and
21	generally reached by negotiation among OPC and
22	staff and the company and potentially other
23	parties. So that would be if the Commission
24	determined it was ambiguous, we would still need to
25	be able to show facts.

1	Even if the Commission determined that it
2	was not ambiguous, that it was plain meaning, the
3	company's still entitled to present evidence that
4	that plain meaning leads to an absurd or illogical
5	result, and we would also like to obviously develop
6	some facts, do some discovery to show that there is
7	an obvious, absurd and illogical result with both
8	staff and OPC's interpretation. So those would be
9	the reasons that we would like to conduct some
10	di scovery.
11	And it really wasn't as apparent that
12	that would be necessary until staff's report was
13	filed and then OPC's motion for summary
14	determination was filed. And as to any possible
15	delay, this is not meant to minimize in any way the
16	importance of the complaint, but this is the first
17	time that this particular tariff has come before
18	the Commission in a complaint. And so we think it
19	merits taking some time to develop this.
20	And in addition there is a, relatively
21	speaking, low dollar amount involved. And so, for
22	example, this is not a case where complainant is
23	facing a disconnection for a thousand-dollar bill
24	or something like that. We're talking about, you
25	know, we'll say in the range of \$5 a month while

1	we're trying to come to a conclusion of this
2	complaint. And so we don't see any reason while
3	there's no reason to inordinately delay it, we
4	don't see any reason that we cannot develop this
5	complaint as it deserves to be developed.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dzhurinskiy, did
7	you have anything to say about Ameren's request for
8	more time to put together the facts of its case?
9	MR. DZHURINSKIY: I do think a little
10	out of proportion. I agree with the staff there is
11	no there is no reason to make a discovery and
12	make it a longest time to finally evolve. I
13	believe it's not just because of my complaint, it's
14	probably because of thousands of people in this
15	state. That is why Ameren wants to make this case
16	continue. I don't think it's right. I agree with
17	the staff you need to make a summary determination.
18	There is nothing to discover anymore. Anything is
19	just statutes and rules and regulations.
20	THE COURT: Okay, thank you,
21	Mr. Dzhurinskiy. Staff, anything to add in this
22	regard?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: I'd like to add two
24	points.
25	THE COURT: Yes.
	0
	U U

1	MR. WILLIAMS: At the outset of this
2	complaint, there was a factual question as to
3	whether or not Mr. Dzhurinskiy had received
4	assistance, which is a payment was made from a
5	low-income assistance program. Since July 1st, I
6	believe when this case was initiated, that has been
7	supplemented in the record through OPC's filing on
8	September 14th.
9	Because there's no other outstanding
10	facts with regard to the application of the tariff
11	itself, the language itself, we believe that
12	there's we're unsure as to what further facts
13	remain undiscovered regarding the tariff itself and
14	Mr. Dzhurinskiy's application.
15	With regard to the issue of
16	interpretation and the issues claimed by Ameren in
17	their answer in reply to staff's brief, Ameren has
18	attempted to use extrinsic evidence to prove the
19	existence of an ambiguity in a clear tariff.
20	Courts have ruled against this time and time again.
21	You know, the Commission has and in recent years
22	been ruled against by the courts for interpreting
23	unambiguous tariffs. And we would just like to see
24	that, you know, the Commission follow the rule of
25	I aw.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I want to do a little
2	bit of probing as to this action. I want to first
3	clarify what remedies the parties are seeking.
4	First, Mr. Dzhurinskiy, I understand that you want
5	your bill corrected. Am I right with that? Am I
6	right about that?
7	MR. DZHURINSKIY: Yes, I would like my
8	bill to be corrected. And I also raise a situation
9	that involves the future, how it's going to be set.
10	Because it's supposed to be determination, what
11	exactly, not just only at this time Ameren is going
12	to correct my bill. What's going to be next?
13	Because they are going to apply for a mediation
14	again. And I (hard to hear) a guess.
15	I would, like I explained to the
16	Commission staff and other counsel, I cannot rely
17	on my application that I use as far as heating my
18	home. So now for two months I'm in receipt again,
19	and I would like to know what Ameren is going to
20	do, not just only to correct my bill, what actions
21	they are going to do to correct everything, what's
22	been assessed in this right.
23	THE COURT: Very good.
24	MR. DZHURINSKIY: You'II probably hear
25	from other customers. It's not about me. It's not

1	just fighting for my \$5 a month. It's fighting for
2	other families, several thousand dollars, customers
3	who receive assistance the same way like us, most
4	probably since '07.
5	THE COURT: Well, I think you raise an
6	important point there, Mr. Dzhurinskiy, and I will
7	turn now to staff and ask what remedies staff is
8	going to ask the Commission to make.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, our primary
10	concern in this case is Ameren's asserted defense
11	of its interpretation of the tariff. We were
12	concerned that Ameren believes it has the authority
13	to unilaterally interpret and apply its tariff
14	without notification to the Commission regarding
15	how it's going to be applied. And it's an
16	authority that has not been extended to individuals
17	in the past under law. It's not an authority that
18	has been extended to companies in the past.
19	We believe that if it is Ameren's belief
20	that they're not entitled to compensate, or I guess
21	remedy Mr. Dzhurinskiy due to the tariff's
22	language, their proper recourse is to file
23	corrected tariff sheets with the definitions as
24	they believe or as they I guess that meets their
25	intent with the Commission for its consideration

and approval.
The notion of going through a complaint
process for the Commission to discover how it is
applying its tariff is not in the interest of the
Commission. I think it undermines the regulatory
scheme, and I think ultimately it creates it has
larger implications than this case alone.
THE COURT: Is staff planning to seek an
order to file for filing of a new tariff?
MR. WILLIAMS: That is something that we
can discuss. I think that this is this was
something that we had contemplated. Because like l
said, and even Mr. Dzhurinskiy mentioned this as
well, some of the arguments that were included in
Ameren's response go beyond this complaint itself.
And what we want to do is make sure that the
tariffs that are filed on record are being
implemented to the understanding of the customers.
THE COURT: Okay. And Office of Public
Counsel, are you planning to seek any further
remedies such as I mentioned in discussions with
staff or any others?
MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. Our
primary remedy that we're seeking is summary
determination in favor of the complainants, finding

1 that the complainants meet the qualifications that 2 are listed in Ameren's tariff sheet. Within that 3 same order, we would ask that the Commission 4 require Ameren to exclude the complainants and all 5 other qualifying low-income customers from paying 6 this rider EEIC charge. We would not be in favor of an order for 7 8 requiring the filing of a new tariff. lt's my 9 position that the tariff is clear and unambiguous. 10 And it's simply a matter of Ameren Missouri not 11 following its tariff. And so the long and short of 12 it is we would seek an order requiring them to 13 follow the tariff that's on file. A tariff that 14 was drafted by the company that was the underlying 15 reason for the tariff was a stipulation agreement 16 to which the company was a signatory and one of the 17 primary drafters. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Before I get to 19 Ameren, I want to do a little probing of the larger 20 issues that Mr. Dzhurinskiy and the other parties 21 have stated, or at least implied in this action. 22 And I think my first question will be for Ameren's

representatives. I'm going from my memory of
what's been filed in this action so far. Ameren
has residential customers of a million, a

1 million-point-2, 1.2 million. 2 MS. HART: 1.2. 3 THE COURT: 1.2 million. And anyone 4 else feel free to jump in if they have some numbers 5 they think are better. And then if I also recall 6 further, the number of residents that may be 7 eligible, the other residents as Mr. Dzhurinskiy 8 mentions, eligible for this benefit to have this 9 charge removed from their bill, 3 percent of that 10 customer base? 11 MS. GIBONEY: That was the testimony of 12 Bill Davis during the rate case. 13 THE COURT: That's my recollection. 14 MS. GI BONEY: Direct testimony that was 15 filed by Bill Davis in the rate case. 16 THE COURT: And I'm not real good with 17 moving decimal points around. How many people is 18 that, 3 percent of a million? I don't have my 19 calculator. That's back on the bench. 20 30,000, plus another. MS. GI BONEY: 21 THE COURT: Plus another, counsel? 22 MS. GIBONEY: I can't do that math. 23 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. But 24 somewhere up from 30,000 people? 25 MS. GI BONEY: Yeah.

1 THE COURT: Okay, all right. And do I 2 also remember that this program of removing the 3 charge started in June of 2015, according to the 4 tari ff? Does that sound right? 5 MS. GIBONEY: I think that's correct. 6 Wendy, do you recall? Wasn't it the June billing? MR. OPITZ: I believe it was the June 7 8 billing. 9 THE COURT: Let me get some more volume 10 on here. Try that again. 11 MS. TATRO: I'd have to look. We did 12 have it implemented a little bit earlier than the 13 rate case final order because we implemented it 14 with the change in the EEIC rider. 15 STAFF REPRESENTATIVE: It was late May, 16 May 27th. 17 THE COURT: So we're looking at late 18 May. 19 MS. GI BONEY: But the June billing. 20 THE COURT: So where are we now? We're 21 in October, four months, 4 times 30, at least 120 22 All right. Does staff counsel remember off days. 23 the top of its head its authority, the Commission's 24 authority to seek penalties from the circuit court? 25 Am I correct that's a thousand dollars maximum?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, it's a thousand 1 dollars per day per offense. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay, per offense. So a 4 thousand dollars for, say, 120 days so far times 5 30,000 customers. That's a lot of zeros, isn't it? 6 MS. GI BONEY: Those are company 7 customers. 8 MS. TATRO: Yeah, those are company 9 customers who are already receiving the discount. 10 Those are customers that -- when Mr. Davis came up 11 with that number, that was customers that were 12 certainly receiving LIHEAP or the other qualifying 13 assistance. So those have already been opted out 14 automatically. The system does that automatically. 15 The difference here is, he's not getting assistance 16 on the Ameren Missouri bill. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So we already have 18 accounted for those 30,000 people. Those are the 19 people we know about. 20 MS. TATRO: Right. 21 MS. GIBONEY: That would be -- Judge, 22 just to be clear, those are people who would be --23 that we think would be eligible based on poverty 24 levels, whether or not they've applied for LIHEAP 25 or received it is a totally different issue.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So those are
2	people so that was my question. These are
3	people that are eligible. You're telling me
4	they're eligible, but not necessarily getting it
5	today. We don't know.
6	MS. GIBONEY: Who based on their income
7	level would apply to receive it, just based on what
8	we think their income level is. But we don't know
9	whether they have applied, and we don't know
10	whether they've been determined to be eligible
11	because there's other things that could affect your
12	eligibility.
13	THE COURT: Staff counsel, want to take
14	up on this?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Judge. I believe
16	that the program was set up to automatically flag
17	individuals who have received LIHEAP assistance.
18	There should not be an application process
19	necessary.
20	MS. GIBONEY: You have to apply for
21	LI HEAP.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I understand that.
23	MS. TATRO: I think you guys are talking
24	past each other.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: I believe we are.
	18

EC-2016-0001 - Vol. 1

1 THE COURT: Let's give the reporter a 2 break here. And why don't I inquire on those? And 3 we can do it in kind of question and answer. 4 Staff's idea is that the customers are flagged when 5 they receive assistance. Is that correct? 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: That's your reading, okay. 8 How do they get flagged for -- to Ameren when they 9 receive assistance for a LIHEAP bill? What does 10 the tariff provide with that? 11 MR. WILLIAMS: The answer, Judge, is 12 that there's no automatic flagging involved when 13 assistance is provided to another utility. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: I believe that the 16 initial question was regarding the 3 percent that 17 was contemplated in representing the case. That 18 was with regard to Ameren customers who are 19 receiving LIHEAP or some of the other program 20 assistance applied to Ameren. 21 MS. GIBONEY: I don't think that's 22 exactly correct. I believe the 3 percent number 23 was the number of Ameren customers that Ameren 24 estimated would be, if they applied, potentially 25 eligible to receive LIHEAP or energy assistance.

THE COURT: That's my recollection of 1 2 the record. MR. WILLIAMS: 3 Okay. 4 THE COURT: My question is, of course 5 Ameren knows when its customers get assistance. 6 MS. GI BONEY: Correct. 7 THE COURT: How does it know? What 8 flags Ameren as to LIHEAP or anyone else? 9 MR. WILLIAMS: I believe this case 10 represents that, that this would be a circumstance 11 where the customer who has received assistance to 12 another program would notify the company of its 13 We have in the Commission rules procedure receipt. 14 for billing adjustments where there has been an 15 overcharge in this case. What the customer did was 16 notify Ameren, provide, I believe, a letter of 17 eligibility, which was again, as I mentioned 18 earlier, a point of contention in this case. 19 However, that's been supplemented where a letter 20 showing disbursement from the Missouri Department 21 of Social Services the paper was made. 22 THE COURT: I think that's the state of 23 the record as to Mr. Dzhurinskiy. Ameren's not 24 disputing that the Dzhurinskiys have received this 25 assistance anymore, is it?

1	MS. GIBONEY: I will say that the
2	company cannot, and this would be a problem among
3	all customers of Ameren who receive LIHEAP towards
4	their primary heat source, which is in Ameren,
5	that's my category, as to that category of people,
6	which includes the Dzhurinskiys, Ameren has no way
7	to verify. And I don't mean a practical way, I
8	mean a legal way to verify that those people have
9	received that assistance.
10	THE COURT: And can you give me a little
11	bit more background in the law of that you're
12	referring to here? Because as I read the tariff,
13	you know, just off the top of my head, I didn't see
14	the flagging mechanism to which staff counsel
15	refers. So can you give me a little more
16	background of the law on this? I have a feeling
17	there is some as far as confidentiality of utility
18	bills, but I'm not real clear. And then I'll take
19	response from public counsel as well.
20	MS. GIBONEY: There would be contract
21	provisions that would affect that, and there would
22	also be legal there would be law that would
23	affect that. On the contract side, as a home
24	energy supplier, Ameren Missouri, and that's a
25	contract we have with the Department of Social

1	Services, they're not permitted to disclose any
2	information about anyone who receives any type of
3	emergency assistance on the company's bills. We
4	are not permitted to disclose that to any other
5	utility or anyone else.
6	On the Department of Social Services
7	side, or actually the state generally, I believe
8	it's Section 208.120 of the Missouri Revised
9	Statutes, provide that no state employee, period,
10	can disclose any information about any applicant or
11	recipient for benefits unless that information
12	directly pertains to that application. So in other
13	words, the Department of Social Services can
14	disclose information about, you know, my
15	application for LIHEAP to my home energy supplier
16	but cannot disclose that to, you know, the public
17	or someone else.
18	THE COURT: Right. So we're talking
19	about a body of law that relates only, kind of
20	indirectly to utilities, it's really social
21	services law.
22	MS. GIBONEY: That's right.
23	THE COURT: And their obligations as to
24	confidentiality and keeping the confidence of
25	information regarding benefits. Is that where we

1	look for this?
2	MS. GIBONEY: That's correct. And so to
3	extend that issue, if the company were to contact
4	the Department of Social Services and say, can you
5	confirm to me that this particular person who is
6	our customer received energy assistance towards
7	another utility's bill? I believe that 208.120
8	prevents that disclosure.
9	THE COURT: They just say no, like the
10	Commission has to say no when it's asked for
11	information regarding an industry that it's
12	gathered in the course of an investigation.
13	Okay, I understand that idea conceptually. I see
14	where you're pointing me towards.
15	Office of Public Counsel, do you have
16	anything to add to this conversation?
17	MR. OPITZ: Yes, Judge. So in regard to
18	the confidentiality, I believe the situation that
19	would be contemplated is much like the complainants
20	in this case where they did receive LIHEAP funding.
21	They are an Ameren customer, but that LIHEAP
22	funding was not applied to their Ameren utility
23	bill. The customer attempted to provide
24	information and eventually was able to provide the
25	letter showing that they received the funding.

1 If it is a step where once that customer 2 has given this information to Ameren and said I 3 should be exempt, I believe that there could be 4 some system worked out where if the customer 5 submits a written waiver or something saying to the 6 social services, or request social services to then 7 contact the company. I don't think this is a 8 barrier that's impossible to get past. And it's --9 it's something that they must do under this tariff. 10 THE COURT: I'm seeing, as Mr. Dzhurinskiy said earlier, a couple of issues 11 12 that are involved in this complaint. The first is 13 his bill, and I'm pretty sure the resolution of 14 that will not be complicated. Other issues that 15 are implicated in this action are far more 16 So my inclination now, since OPC has complicated. 17 asked for a ruling on the motion to extend the time 18 to respond to summary determination, is that I will 19 grant it. I will leave it to the parties to fold 20 the discussion of the length of that extension into 21 their discussion of the procedural schedule as a 22 whole. 23 So that's been educational for me, and I 24 hope this provides also a framework for the 25 parties' discussion for the rest of this

EC-2016-0001 - Vol. 1

1	conference. So I've made my ruling on that, and I						
2	think that's all I had to say as far as this						
3	prehearing conference goes. Do the parties have						
4	any more matters that I can help them with before						
5	we go off the record and I leave the room?						
6	MS. GIBONEY: Judge, can I ask a						
7	question? So the motion you've granted our						
8	motion to extend the time. And the parties are to						
9	determine how long that extension will last? Is						
10	that what I'm gathering?						
11	THE COURT: Right. And if you can't,						
12	I'll make a ruling on that too.						
13	MS. GIBONEY: All right, thank you.						
14	THE COURT: I'd like staff to do a few						
15	things. Number 1, let me know when the parties are						
16	done so we can lock up and hang up the phone when						
17	Ms. Tatro is done.						
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Sure.						
19	THE COURT: My hope is that the parties						
20	will work out a proposed procedural schedule today.						
21	And so I hope that staff will be able to file a						
22	motion for a proposed procedural schedule on behalf						
23	of all parties end of today, early tomorrow. Does						
24	that sound realistic?						
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.						

1	THE COURT: Okay. And that's all I've						
2	got. What else can I do for the parties while						
3	we're here on the record? Anything?						
4	MR. OPITZ: Judge, may I ask or inquire						
5	as to the contents of the procedural schedule that						
6	you might be looking for in this case? As you're						
7	aware, I have filed a motion for summary						
8	determination. So it's it would be my position						
9	that there's no need for a hearing or additional						
10	testimony. Is that something that you're looking						
11	for in terms of the procedural schedule?						
12	THE COURT: Well, I see what you're						
13	saying. We won't need anything more if the						
14	Commission grants the motion for summary						
15	determi nati on.						
16	MR. OPITZ: Okay.						
17	THE COURT: So what I'm envisioning is						
18	that as resolution for the motion for summary						
19	determination first, and then more stuff after						
20	that, if necessary.						
21	MS. TATRO: So, Judge, just to make sure						
22	I understand, you're asking us to provide a						
23	procedural schedule that includes dates beyond the						
24	determination, and we'll just cancel them if the						
25	Commission makes the ruling on the summary						

determination? 1 2 THE COURT: That's correct. MS. TATRO: Thank you. 3 4 THE COURT: And I think everybody here 5 understands what kinds of things go into a 6 procedural schedule. The parties may want to do 7 prefile testimony. They might not want to. 8 Discovery deadlines are helpful. Di scovery 9 conferences can be helpful. I can leave a lot of 10 that to the parties. I think you have an idea of 11 what I have in mind. 12 MS. GIBONEY: That does raise a question 13 I had. I imagine that we will want to subpoen afor 14 deposition Heather Jones who's the LIHEAP 15 coordinator for the Missouri Department of Social 16 Services because she's not a party to this action. 17 And can we just submit the subpoena to you in an 18 e-mail? 19 THE COURT: Are we talking about a 20 subpoena duces tecum? MS. GIBONEY: Well, we'd want to both 21 22 depose her and gather documents, yes. 23 THE COURT: Right. So I think -- I'm 24 trying to remember. I'm trying to remember whether 25 the PSC has a procedure different from Chapter 536

for subpoena duces tecum, and I don't remember off 1 2 the top of my head what the regulation provides. 3 MS. GI BONEY: There is a rule about the 4 subpoenas, but I believe it says submit them to the 5 secretary or the judge. And the reason I'm 6 inquiring is because the sample subpoena that's on 7 the Commission's website just refers to testifying 8 at a hearing. It doesn't refer to a deposition. 9 THE COURT: For discovery, which you get under 536. 10 11 MS. GIBONEY: Okay. And maybe Wendy's 12 familiar with this and I'm asking a question I 13 don't need to ask. But it occurred to me this 14 morning that --15 THE COURT: Yeah. My answer is, I don't 16 know the answer off the top of my head. 17 MS. TATRO: I think it's a good 18 question. I don't know the answer either, Sarah. 19 I haven't done that before. 20 THE COURT: Okay. What else can I do 21 for the parties while we're on the record? Not 22 hearing anything. All right, and I've done my 23 instructions to staff, staff counsel, so thank you 24 all for being here. I appreciate your thorough 25 discussion of these issues. Ms. Tatro by phone and Г

1	Mr. Dzhurinskiy by phone, thank you for your
2	patience. And with that, I will adjourn this part
3	of the prehearing conference and we will go off the
4	record.
5	(The Court went off the record at 10:41 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	29

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER						
2							
3	I, Erin R. Donato, a Certified Court						
4	Reporter, CCR No. 1385(T), the officer before whom						
5	the foregoing pre-hearing conference was taken, do						
6	hereby certify that the proceedings were taken by						
7	me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced						
8	to typewriting under my direction; that I am						
9	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by						
10	any of the parties to the action in which this						
11	pre-hearing conference was conducted; and further,						
12	that I am not a relative or employee of any						
13	attorney or counsel employed by the parties						
14	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in						
15	the outcome of the action.						
16							
17							
18	Crink Donato -210013						
19	Erin R. Donato, CCR, RPR, CRR						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	30						

Aanswer 6:1 7:7attorney 30a.m 3:2 29:510:17 19:3,11authority 1ability 30:728:15,16,1812:16,17able 7:25 23:2420:25automatic 125:2120:25automatic 1absurd 8:4,7apparent 7:7automatic 1accounted 17:188:1117:14,14action 3:4,8 11:2appearance 3:10Avenue 2:114:21 24 24:15APPEARANaware 26:7	2:12 bills 21:18 22:3 claimed 10:16 16:23 bit 11:2 16:12 clarify 11:3 19:12 body 22:19 10:19 14:9 19:12 body 22:19 17:22 21:18 18:16 break 19:2 claimed 10:16 5 4:4 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1 come 8:17 9:1
ability 30:7 28:15,16,18 12:16,17 able 7:25 23:24 anymore 9:18 16:24 25:21 20:25 automatic 1 absurd 8:4,7 apparent 7:7 automatic 1 accounted 17:18 8:11 17:14,14 action 3:4,8 11:2 appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	16:23 bit 11:2 16:12 21:11 clarify 11:3 clear 5:24 6:14 19:12 body 22:19 10:19 14:9 ally Box 2:4,8 4:8,18 17:22 21:18 break 19:2 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1 come 8:17 9:1
able 7:25 23:24 anymore 9:18 16:24 25:21 20:25 automatic absurd 8:4,7 apparent 7:7 automatic accounted 17:18 8:11 17:14,14 action 3:4,8 11:2 appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	21:11 clear 5:24 6:14 19:12 body 22:19 Illy Box 2:4,8 4:8,18 18:16 break 19:2 5 4:4 brief 10:17 Broadway 2:21 Clumbia 2:13 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1
able 7.25 23.24 20:25 automatic 1 25:21 20:25 automatic 1 absurd 8:4,7 apparent 7:7 automatic 1 accounted 17:18 8:11 17:14,14 action 3:4,8 11:2 appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	19:12 body 22:19 10:19 14:9 Ily Box 2:4,8 4:8,18 17:22 21:18 18:16 break 19:2 clients 3:11 5 4:4 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1
absurd 8:4,7 apparent 7:7 automatica accounted 17:18 8:11 17:14,14 action 3:4,8 11:2 appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	Box 2:4,8 4:8,18 17:22 21:18 18:16 break 19:2 clients 3:11 5 4:4 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1 come 8:17 9:1
accounted 17:18 action 3:4,8 11:2 Appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	18:16 break 19:2 clients 3:11 5 4:4 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1
accounted 17:18 8:11 17:14,14 action 3:4,8 11:2 appearance 3:10 Avenue 2:1	5 4:4 brief 10:17 Columbia 2:13 Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1
	Broadway 2:21 2:22 3:25 come 8:17 9:1
A DDE A D A N aways 26.7	come 8:17 9:1
14:21,24 24:15 APPEARAN aware 26:7	C
27.16.30.10.15 2:1	
actions 11:20 applicant 22:10 B	
add 9:21,23 application back 15:19	C 3:1 2:6,8 3:3 4:6,7
23.16 10:10,14 11:17 backgroun	
addition 8:20 18:18 22:12,15 21:11,16	calling 3:3 7:11,23 8:1,18
additional 26:9 applied 12:15 barrier 24:	10.21,24 11.10
adjourn 29.2 17:24 18:9 base 15:10	case 3:5 5:24 12:8,14,25
adjustments 19:20,24 23:22 based 5:8 1	15.5,5 17.5
20.14 apply 11:13 18:6,7	10:6 12:10 20:13 23:10
affect 18:11 12:13 18:7,20 basically 5:	20.11,20
21.21.23 applying 7:12 7:16	16:13 19:17 Commission's
agree 9:10.16 13:4 behalt 25:2	0.25 10.25
agreement 14.15 appreciate belief 6:24	20.7
allowed 6.23 28:24 believe 3:13	
ambiguity 5.23 approval $13:1$ $10:6,11.1$	<i>companies</i>
7.11 10.19 argument 5:19 12:24 16:	12.10
ambiguous 7.12 arguments 6:10 18:15,25	company 1.15
7.24 8.2 13:14 19:22 20:	5.5 0.11,20 1.9
Ameren 1:13 articulated 6:3 22:7 23:7	/.22 11.11,10
2.15 3.23 24 asked 23:10 24:3 28:4	17.0,0 20.12
5:25 6:5 7:4.5 24:17 believes 12:	21.2 23.3 27.7
9.15 10.16 17 asking 5:14 bench 15:19	company 50.5
11.11 19 12.12 26:22 28:12 benefit 15:8	22.3
14.4 10 19 24 asks 5:16 benefits 22	
17.16 19.8 18 asserted 12:10 22:25	change 16:14 12:20
19.20.23.23 assessed 11:22 best 30:7	Chapter 27:25 complainant 6:4
20.5 8 16 21.3 assigned 3:8 better 15:5	charge 14:6 15:9 8:22
21:4 6.24 assistance 10:4,5 beyond 13:	complainants
23.21 22 24.2 12:3 17:13,15 26:23	choice 5:15 1:11 3:12 7:1,5
Ameren's 4:1 18:17 19:5,9 bill 8:23 11	15.25 11.1,1
7:7 9:7 12:10 19:13,20,25 11:12,20	
12:19 13:15 20:5,11,25 15:12,15	
14:2,22 20:23 21:9 22:3 23:6 19:9 23:7	0.10,10 7.2,5
amount 8:21 attempted 10:18 24:13	20:10 9:13 10:2 13:2
analysis 6:3 23:23 billing 16:6	,8,19 City 1:7 2:4,9 13:15 24:12
·	

complicated	23:2 27:2	14:5,25 17:5,7	8:19 9:4	Dzhurinskiy 1:9
24:14,16	corrected 11:5,8	17:9,10,11	developed 9:5	3:5,13,14,15
conceptually	12:23	19:4,18,23	difference 17:15	3:19,22 9:6,9
23:13	correctly 3:21	20:5 21:3	different 17:25	9:21 10:3 11:4
concern 12:10	counsel 2:2,3		27:25	11:7,24 12:6
concerned 12:12	4:16,18 5:21	D	differently 7:9	12:21 13:13
conclusion 9:1	6:8,18 11:16	D 3:1	Direct 15:14	14:20 15:7
concurred 6:9	13:20 15:21	d/b/a 1:13	direction 30:8	20:23 24:11
conduct 6:12,22	16:22 18:13	Daniel 1:16 3:7	directly 22:12	29:1
8:9	21:14,19 23:15	date 6:7	disbursement	Dzhurinskiy's
conducted 30:11	28:23 30:9,13	dates 26:23	20:20	10:14
conference 1:5	couple 24:11	Davis 15:12,15	disclose 22:1,4	Dzhurinskiys
3:6 25:1,3 29:3	course 20:4	17:10	22:10,14,16	20:24 21:6
30:5,11	23:12	day 17:2	disclosure 23:8	Dzurinskaya
conferences 27:9	court 2:17,20	days 6:5 16:22	disconnection	1:10 3:17
confidence	3:2,3,17,20,23	17:4	8:23	
22:24	4:5,10,15,20	deadlines 27:8	discount 17:9	E
confidentiality	5:5,7,14 7:3	decimal 15:17	discover 7:16	E 3:1,1
21:17 22:24	9:6,20,25 11:1	defense 12:10	9:18 13:3	e-mail 27:18
23:18	11:23 12:5	definitions	discovered 6:16	earlier 16:12
confirm 23:5	13:8,19 14:18	12:23	discovery 5:17	20:18 24:11
consideration	15:3,13,16,21	delay 6:15 8:15	6:13,14,20,22	early 25:23
12:25	15:23 16:1,9	9:3	8:6,10 9:11	East 2:21
construction	16:17,20,24	delaying 6:24	27:8,8 28:9	EC-2016-0001
7:13	17:3,17 18:1	deny 7:1	discuss 4:21	1:12 3:4
contact 23:3	18:13 19:1,7	Department	5:10,13,15	educational
24:7	19:14 20:1,4,7	20:20 21:25	13:11	24:23
contemplated	20:22 21:10	22:6,13 23:4	discussion 5:2	EEIC 14:6 16:14
13:12 19:17	22:18,23 23:9	27:15	24:20,21,25	either 28:18
23:19	24:10 25:11,14	depose 27:22	28:25	Electric 1:13 3:5
contention	25:19 26:1,12	deposition 27:14	discussions	eligibility 18:12
20:18	26:17 27:2,4	28:8	13:21	20:17
contents 26:5	27:19,23 28:9	deserves 9:5	disputing 20:24	eligible 15:7,8
continue 5:10,15	28:15,20 29:5	determination	documents	17:23 18:3,4
5:22 9:16	30:3	4:23,25 5:18	27:22	18:10 19:25
contract 7:20	courts 10:20,22	6:9 8:14 9:17	dollar 8:21	emergency 22:3
21:20,23,25	creates 13:6	11:10 13:25	dollars 12:2	employed 30:9
conversation	CRR 30:19	24:18 26:8,15	16:25 17:2,4	30:13
23:16	customer 15:10	26:19,24 27:1	Donato 1:24	employee 22:9
coordinator	20:11,15 23:6	determine 7:2	2:19 30:3,19	30:12
27:15	23:21,23 24:1	25:9	drafted 14:14	energy 19:25
correct 11:12,20	24:4	determined 7:11	drafters 14:17	21:24 22:15
11:21 16:5,25	customers 11:25	7:24 8:1 18:10	duces 27:20 28:1	23:6
19:5,22 20:6	12:2 13:18	develop 7:16 8:5	due 12:21	entitled 6:4 7:2
	l	l	l	

	l	I	I	
8:3 12:20	feeling 21:16	gathered 23:12	head 16:23	12:16 18:17
entries 3:9	fighting 12:1,1	gathering 25:10	21:13 28:2,16	industry 23:11
envisioning	file 1:11 3:4 5:25	generally 7:21	hear 3:15 11:14	information
26:17	12:22 13:9	22:7	11:24	22:2,10,11,14
Erin 1:24 2:19	14:13 25:21	getting 17:15	hearing 4:1 26:9	22:25 23:11,24
30:3,19	filed 5:24 6:2,8	18:4	28:8,22	24:2
estimated 19:24	7:7,8 8:13,14	Giboney 2:11	heat 21:4	initial 19:16
eventually 23:24	13:17 14:24	3:24,24 5:4 7:6	Heather 27:14	initiated 10:6
everybody 27:4	15:15 26:7	15:11,14,20,22	heating 11:17	inordinately 9:3
evidence 7:14	filing 10:7 13:9	15:25 16:5,19	help 25:4	inquire 5:6 19:2
8:3 10:18	14:8	17:6,21 18:6	helpful 27:8,9	26:4
evolve 9:12	final 16:13	18:20 19:21	home 11:18	inquiring 28:6
exactly 11:11	finally 9:12	20:6 21:1,20	21:23 22:15	instructions
19:22	financially 30:14	22:22 23:2	hope 3:20 5:1	28:23
example 8:22	finding 13:25	25:6,13 27:12	24:24 25:19,21	intended 7:14
exclude 14:4	fine 3:22 15:23	27:21 28:3,11		intent 12:25
exempt 24:3	first 4:21 7:4	give 19:1 21:10	$\left \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}}\right $	interest 13:4
existence 10:19	8:16 11:2,4	21:15	idea 19:4 23:13	interested 30:14
expeditiously	14:22 24:12	given 24:2	27:10	interpret 12:13
6:19	26:19	gives 7:10	II 4:8	interpretation
explained 11:15	flag 18:16	go 13:15 25:5	illogical 8:4,7	8:8 10:16
extend 4:24 5:16	flagged 19:4,8	27:5 29:3	imagine 4:25	12:11
23:3 24:17	flagging 19:12	goes 25:3	27:13	interpretations
25:8	21:14	going 5:10,12	implemented	7:17
extended 12:16	flags 20:8	11:9,11,12,13	13:18 16:12,13	interpreted 7:8
12:18	fold 24:19	11:19,21 12:8	implicated	interpreting
extension 6:12	follow 10:24	12:15 13:2	24:15	10:22
24:20 25:9	14:13	14:23	implications	introduce 3:11
extrinsic 10:18	following 14:11	good 3:20 4:15	13:7	investigation
	foregoing 30:5	11:23 15:16	implied 14:21	23:12
$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{r}}$	four 16:21	28:17	importance 8:16	involved 8:21
facing 8:23	framework	grant 24:19	important 7:18	19:12 24:12
fact 7:14	24:24	granted 25:7	12:6	involves 11:9
facts 7:15,25 8:6	free 15:4	grants 26:14	impossible 24:8	involving 4:22
9:8 10:10,12	funding 23:20	guess 11:14	impression 5:9	issue 10:15
factual 10:2	23:22,25	12:20,24	inclination	17:25 23:3
familiar 28:12	further 10:12	guys 18:23	24:16	issues 10:16
families 12:2	13:20 15:6	н	included 13:14	14:20 24:11,14
far 5:2 11:17	30:11		includes 21:6	28:25
14:24 17:4	future 11:9	H 2:7	26:23	J
21:17 24:15 25:2	G	Hampton 4:8	income 18:6,8 indication 7:10	Jefferson 1:7 2:4
25:2 favor 13:25 14:7	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} 3:1}$	hang 25:16 hard 11:14	indirectly 22:20	2:9 4:8,18
feel 15:4	gather 27:22	Hart 4:2 15:2	individuals	Jones 27:14
1001 1.5.4	gaulei 21.22	11a114.2 1J.2	muiviuuais	JUIICS 27.14
				I

	I	I	I	I
Jordan 1:16 3:7	23:25	mediation 11:13	26:9,13 28:13	Opitz 2:3 4:17
judge 1:16 3:8	level 18:7,8	meet 14:1	negotiation 7:21	4:18 5:6,8,21
5:4,6,21 7:6	levels 17:24	meets 12:24	neither 30:9	13:23 16:7
12:9 13:23	LEWIS 2:12	memory 14:23	new 13:9 14:8	23:17 26:4,16
17:21 18:15	LIHEAP 17:12	mentioned	Ninth 2:12 3:25	opportunity
19:11 23:17	17:24 18:17,21	13:13,21 20:17	notification	5:25 6:6
25:6 26:4,21	19:9,19,25	mentions 15:8	12:14	oppose 5:22
28:5	20:8 21:3	merits 8:19	notify 20:12,16	opted 17:13
July 5:24 10:5	22:15 23:20,21	Michael 4:13	notion 13:2	order 5:9 13:9
jump 15:4	27:14	Mike 4:13	number 15:6	14:3,7,12
June 16:3,6,7,19	line 3:14	million 14:25	17:11 19:22,23	16:13
	listed 14:2	15:1,3,18	25:15	outcome 30:15
K KANO 14	little 9:9 11:1	million-point-2	numbers 15:4	outset 10:1
KAY 2:14	14:19 16:12	15:1	0	outstanding
keeping 22:24	21:10,15	mind 27:11		10:9
kind 19:3 22:19	LLC 2:20	minimize 8:15	03:1	overcharge
kinds 27:5	LLP 2:12	Missouri 1:1,7	objection 5:3	20:15
know 6:21 7:19	lock 25:16	1:13 2:4,9,13	obligations	P
8:25 10:21,24	long 14:11 25:9	2:16,22 3:25	22:23	
11:19 17:19	longest 9:12	4:4,9,19 6:5	obvious 8:7	P 3:1
18:5,8,9 20:7	look 16:11 23:1	14:10 17:16	obviously 8:5	paper 20:21
21:13 22:14,16	looking 16:17	20:20 21:24	occurred 28:13	part 29:2
25:15 28:16,18	26:6,10	22:8 27:15	October 1:6	particular 8:17
knows 20:5	lot 17:5 27:9	month 8:25 12:1	16:21	23:5
L	Louis 2:16 4:4	months 11:18	offense 17:2,3	parties 5:2 7:18
language 6:14	low 8:21	16:21	Office 2:2,3 4:16	7:23 11:3
10:11 12:22	low-income 10:5	morning 3:7	4:17 13:19	14:20 24:19
largely 6:9	14:5	28:14	23:15	25:3,8,15,19
larger 13:7	M	motion 4:23,24	officer 30:4	25:23 26:2
14:19		4:24 5:10,15	okay 7:3 9:6,20	27:6,10 28:21
late 16:15,17	Madison 2:4,8	5:17,22 6:8,12	11:1 13:19 14:18 15:23	30:10,13
law 1:16 3:8	math 15:22 matter 14:10	6:17 8:13		parties' 7:17
7:20 10:25		24:17 25:7,8	16:1 17:3,17	24:25
12:17 21:11,16	matters 4:21,22	25:22 26:7,14	18:1 19:7,14	party 6:20 27:16
21:22 22:19,21	25:4 maximum 16:25	26:18	20:3 23:13	patience 29:2
leads 8:4		moving 15:17	26:1,16 28:11	paying 14:5
leave 5:12 24:19	mean 7:19 21:7 21:8	N	28:20	payment 10:4
25:5 27:9		N 3:1	on-the-record 5:11	penalties 16:24
legal 6:3,10 21:8	meaning 7:15		once 24:1	pending 4:23 people 9:14
21:22	8:2,4 means 7:14	necessarily 18:4	OPC 5:18 7:21	15:17,24 17:18
length 24:20	means 7:14 meant 8:15	necessary 6:14 6:16 8:12	24:16	17:19,22 18:2
Let's 19:1	mechanism	18:19 26:20	OPC's 8:8,13	17:19,22 18:2
letter 20:16,19	21:14	need 7:24 9:17	10:7	percent 15:9,18
	21.14	necu / .24 9.1/	10.7	percent 15.9,18
	I	I	I	l

19:16,22	6:25 24:21	28:12,18	refer 28:8	representing
period 6:5 22:9	25:20,22 26:5	R	referring 21:12	19:17
permitted 22:1,4	26:11,23 27:6		refers 21:15 28:7	represents 20:10
person 23:5	procedure 20:13	R 1:24 2:19 3:1	regard 9:22	request 9:7 24:6
pertains 22:12	27:25	30:3,19	10:10,15 19:18	require 14:4
phone 25:16	proceedings 1:4	raise 11:8 12:5	23:17	requiring 14:8
28:25 29:1	30:6	27:12	regarding 10:13	14:12
plain 8:2,4	process 13:3	range 8:25	12:14 19:16	residential 14:25
planning 13:8	18:18	rate 15:12,15	22:25 23:11	residents 15:6,7
13:20	program 10:5	16:13	regulation 28:2	resolution 24:13
please 3:11	16:2 18:16	reached 7:21	regulations 9:19	26:18
plus 15:20,21	19:19 20:12	read 21:12	regulatory 1:16	resort 7:13
PO 2:4,8 4:8,18	pronouncing	reading 19:7	3:7 13:5	respond 5:17 6:6
point 12:6 20:18	3:21	real 15:16 21:18	related 4:24	24:18
pointing 23:14	proper 12:22	realistic 25:24	30:9	Respondent
points 9:24	proportion 9:10	really 8:11 22:20	relates 22:19	1:14 2:10
15:17	proposed 25:20	reason 6:15 9:2	relative 30:12	responding 6:19
portion 5:11	25:22	9:3,4,11 14:15	relatively 8:20	response 5:25
position 5:23	prove 10:18	28:5	relief 6:5 7:1	13:15 21:19
6:13 14:9 26:8	provide 19:10	reasons 6:24 8:9	rely 11:16	responses 7:4
possible 8:14	20:16 22:9	recall 15:5 16:6	remain 10:13	rest 24:25
potentially 7:22	23:23,24 26:22	receipt 11:18	remedies 11:3	result 8:5,7
19:24	provided 19:13	20:13	12:7 13:21	Revised 22:8
poverty 17:23	provides 24:24	receive 12:3	remedy 12:21	rider 14:6 16:14
practical 21:7	28:2	18:7 19:5,9,25	13:24	right 5:5 9:16
pre-hearing 1:5	provisions 21:21	21:3 23:20	remember 16:2	11:5,6,22 16:1
30:5,11	PSC 27:25	received 10:3	16:22 27:24,24	16:4,22 17:20
prefile 27:7	public 1:2 2:2,3	17:25 18:17	28:1	22:18,22 25:11
prehearing 3:6	2:6,8 4:16,17	20:11,24 21:9	removed 15:9	25:13 27:23
25:3 29:3	5:21 6:8,18	23:6,25	removing 16:2	28:22
present 8:3	13:19 21:19	receives 22:2	reply 10:17	ROMAN 1:9
Presiding 1:16	22:16 23:15	receiving 17:9	report 6:2 7:8	room 4:1 25:5
pretty 24:13	purpose 6:25	17:12 19:19	8:12	RPR 30:19
prevents 23:8	put 9:8	recipient 22:11	REPORTED	rule 10:24 28:3
primarily 4:21	0	recollection	1:24	ruled 10:20,22
primary 12:9		15:13 20:1	reporter 2:17	rules 6:23 7:12
13:24 14:17	qualifications	recommendati	19:1 30:1,4	9:19 20:13
21:4	14:1	6:4,7	REPORTING	ruling 24:17
probably 9:14	qualifying 14:5	record 3:2 10:7	2:20	25:1,12 26:25
11:24 12:4	17:12	13:17 20:2,23	representative	<u> </u>
probing 11:2	question 10:2	25:5 26:3	4:2,11 16:15	
14:19	14:22 18:2	28:21 29:4,5	representatives	S 3:1
problem 21:2	19:3,16 20:4	recourse 12:22	3:10 4:13	sample 28:6
procedural 4:22	25:7 27:12	reduced 30:7	14:23	Sarah 2:11 3:24

	I	I		
28:18	SMITH 2:12	substantively	28:1	totally 17:25
saying 24:5	social 20:21	6:6	telephone 4:2	TRANSCRIPT
26:13	21:25 22:6,13	suggesting 5:11	telling 18:3	1:4
says 28:4	22:20 23:4	Suite 2:12,21	terms 26:11	Try 16:10
schedule 6:25	24:6,6 27:15	summary 4:23	testifying 28:7	trying 9:1 27:24
24:21 25:20,22	sound 16:4	4:25 5:17 6:8	testimony 15:11	27:24
26:5,11,23	25:24	8:13 9:17	15:14 26:10	turn 12:7
27:6	source 21:4	13:24 24:18	27:7	two 4:12 9:23
scheduling 4:22	South 2:12 3:25	26:7,14,18,25	thank 4:5,20	11:18
5:3	speaking 8:21	supplemented	9:20 13:23	type 22:2
scheme 13:6	species 7:20	10:7 20:19	25:13 27:3	typewriting 30:8
Scheperle 4:13	St 2:16 4:4	supplier 21:24	28:23 29:1	U
secretary 28:5	staff 4:6,7,10,13	22:15	thereto 30:14	
Section 22:8	6:2,10 7:5,7,9	supposed 11:10	things 18:11	ultimately 13:6
see 9:2,4 10:23	7:22 8:8 9:10	sure 13:16 24:13	25:15 27:5	unambiguous
21:13 23:13	9:17,21 11:16	25:18 26:21	think 7:6 8:18	10:23 14:9
26:12	12:7,7 13:8,22	system 17:14	9:9,16 12:5	underlying
seeing 24:10	16:15,22 18:13	24:4	13:5,6,11	14:14
seek 13:8,20	21:14 25:14,21	T	14:22 15:5	undermines
14:12 16:24	28:23,23		16:5 17:23	13:5
seeking 11:3	staff's 6:7 8:12	take 7:3 18:13	18:8,23 19:21	understand 11:4
13:24	10:17 19:4	21:18	20:22 24:7	18:22 23:13
SENIOR 1:16	Stahlman 4:14	taken 30:5,6	25:2 27:4,10	26:22
sent 6:20	started 16:3	talking 8:24	27:23 28:17	understanding
September 10:8	state 1:1 9:15	18:23 22:18	thorough 28:24	13:18
serves 6:25	20:22 22:7,9	27:19	thousand 12:2	understands
SERVICE 1:2	stated 14:21	tariff 5:23 6:14	16:25 17:1,4	27:5
2:6,8	statutes 9:19	7:8,12,19 8:17	thousand-dollar	undiscovered
services 2:15	22:9	10:10,13,19	8:23	10:13
20:21 22:1,6	statutory 7:13	12:11,13,23	thousands 9:14	unilaterally
22:13,21 23:4	step 24:1	13:4,9 14:2,8,9	TIGER 2:20	12:13
24:6,6 27:16	stipulation	14:11,13,13,15	Tim 4:18	Union 1:13 3:5
set 11:9 18:16	14:15	16:4 19:10	time 4:24 5:16	unsure 10:12
sheet 14:2	Street 2:4,8,12	21:12 24:9	6:11,12,22	use 10:18 11:17
sheets 12:23	3:25	tariff's 7:14	8:17,19 9:8,12	utilities 22:20
short 14:11	stuff 26:19	12:21	10:20,20 11:11	utility 19:13
show 7:25 8:6	subject 5:1	tariffs 10:23	24:17 25:8	21:17 22:5
showing 20:20	submit 27:17	13:17	times 16:21 17:4	23:22
23:25	28:4	Tatro 2:14 4:3,3	TIMOTHY 2:3	utility's 23:7
side 21:23 22:7	submits 24:5	16:11 17:8,20	today 4:11 18:5	V
signatory 14:16	subpoena 27:13	18:23 25:17	25:20,23	verify 21:7,8
simply 14:10	27:17,20 28:1	26:21 27:3	tomorrow 25:23	volume 1:8 16:9
situation 11:8	28:6	28:17,25	top 16:23 21:13	volume 1.8 10.9 vs 1:12 3:5
23:18	subpoenas 28:4	tecum 27:20	28:2,16	vo 1.12 J.J
			l	I

W	years 10:21	536 27:25 28:10	
		573.443.3141	
waiver 24:5	Z	2:13	
want 6:21 11:1,2	zeros 17:5	573.751.5324	
11:4 13:16	ZINAIDA 1:10	2:5	
14:19 18:13		573.751.8517	
27:6,7,13,21	0	2:9	
wants 5:19,19 9:15	07 12:4	573.999.2662	
wasn't 8:11 16:6	1	2:23	
wash t 8.11 10.0 way 8:15 12:3			
21:6,7,8	1 1:8 25:15	6	
we'll 3:9,12 8:25	1.2 15:1,2,3	6 1:6	
26:24	10:09 3:2	63103 2:16 4:4	
we're 3:6 4:20	10:41 29:5 111 2:12,21 3:25	65102 2:4 4:9,19	
8:24 9:1 10:12	111 2:12,21 3:25 120 16:21 17:4	65102-0360 2:9	
13:24 16:17,20	120 10.21 17.4 1385(T) 1:24	65201 2:13 3:25	
22:18 26:3	2:19 30:4	65203 2:22	
28:21	14th 10:8		
website 28:7	1901 2:15 4:3		
Wendy 2:14 4:3	1501 2:15 4:5 1st 5:24 10:5		
16:6	150 5.24 10.5		
Wendy's 28:11	2		
went 3:2 29:5	200 2:4,8,12		
wife 3:19	2015 1:6 5:24		
William 2:7 4:8	16:3		
Williams 2:7 4:7	208.120 22:8		
4:8,12 9:23	23:7		
10:1 12:9	2230 2:4 4:18		
13:10 17:1	27th 16:16		
18:15,22,25			
19:6,11,15	3		
20:3,9 25:18	3 15:9,18 19:16		
25:25	19:22		
words 22:13	30 16:21		
work 25:20	30,000 15:20,24		
worked 24:4	17:5,18		
written 24:5	310 2:21		
X	360 2:8 4:8		
<u> </u>	4		
Y	4 16:21		
Yeah 15:25 17:1			
17:8 18:15	5		
28:15	5 8:25 12:1		
20.10			