

Exhibit No.:  
Issues: Electric Vehicle and Electric Vehicle  
Charging Station Market  
Development; Internal Combustion  
Engine Refueling Market History;  
Utility Involvement with Electric  
Vehicle Charging Station  
Deployment  
Witness: Parker Tinsley  
Sponsoring Party: Missouri Department of Economic  
Development – Division of Energy  
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony  
Case No.: ET-2016-0246

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI**

**CASE NO. ET-2016-0246**

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**PARKER J. TINSLEY**

**ON**

**BEHALF OF**

**MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

**DIVISION OF ENERGY**

Jefferson City, Missouri  
November 29<sup>th</sup>, 2016



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY ..... 2

III. ELECTRIC VEHICLE MARKET DEVELOPMENT ..... 2

    A. INFRASTRUCTURE NECESSITIES ..... 2

    B. UTILITY INVOLVEMENT..... 6

V. CONCLUSIONS..... 8

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Parker J Tinsley. My business address is 301 West High Street, Suite 720,  
4 PO Box 1766, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

5 **Q. Please describe your educational background and employment experience.**

6 A. In 2016, I graduated from the Truman School of Public Affairs at the University of  
7 Missouri in Columbia with a Master of Public Affairs and a Graduate Certificate in the  
8 Study of Organizational Change. While there, I was a graduate assistant for the Office of  
9 the Vice Provost of Undergraduate Studies. In my role as a graduate assistant, I was part  
10 of a small team of professionals in charge of a campus-wide initiative to adopt, develop,  
11 and refine a comprehensive educational technology suite in order to improve student  
12 success and retention for the University. Prior to my graduate studies and graduate  
13 assistant appointment, I was employed by the University Of Missouri School Of Health  
14 Professions, Office of Student Services, where I served in an administrative capacity as  
15 well as a department liaison.

16 As a Planner II with the Division of Energy, I have worked on and participated in  
17 discussions regarding various energy-related matters, including national and regional  
18 energy stakeholder meetings, program collaboratives, legislative proposals, State Senate  
19 Committee hearings, Federal Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (“FAST”) Act  
20 register nominations, ongoing Volkswagen settlement discussions, and numerous electric  
21 vehicle and charging station webinars.

22 **Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission**  
23 **(“PSC” or “Commission”) on behalf of DE or any other party?**

1 A. No.

2 **Q. What materials have you reviewed prior to submitting this testimony?**

3 A. I have reviewed Ameren Missouri's filings for case ET-2016-0246, including the initial  
4 and revised Electric Vehicle Charging Pilot tariff and Application for Approval. I have  
5 also reviewed comments from parties to the case, data requests covering topics such as  
6 marketing and customer safety, as well as direct testimony from the case. Participation in  
7 the National Association of State Energy Officials Transportation Committee's various  
8 webinars and discussions have also provided insight into the topic into electrifying  
9 transportation. Additionally, as has been cited throughout this document, I have reviewed  
10 numerous reports that have examined electric vehicle ("EV") charging station ("EVCS")  
11 development in multiple regions across the U.S.

12 **II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY**

13 **Q. What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?**

14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the electric vehicle ("EV")  
15 market, describe the current landscape of Electric Vehicle Charging Station ("EVCS")  
16 infrastructure, examine utility involvement in infrastructure development and  
17 deployment, and a review of internal combustion engine ("ICE") refueling market  
18 development to highlight avoidable issues such as market delay. My testimony also  
19 serves to complement the filings of Martin Hyman ("Mr. Hyman"), wherein public policy  
20 considerations are addressed.

21 **III. ELECTRIC VEHICLE MARKET DEVELOPMENT**

22 **A. INFRASTRUCTURE NECESSITIES**

1 **Q. What indicators suggest that EV and EVCS infrastructure development should be**  
2 **supported?**

3 A. EVs are not a fad; since 2008, cumulative sales of EVs and plug-in hybrid electric  
4 vehicles (“PHEVs”) in the United States have exceeded 530,000 vehicles with almost  
5 120,000 being purchased between January 2016 and October 2016 alone.<sup>1</sup> With over half  
6 a million EVs on the road, it would be easy to assume that there are numerous charging  
7 stations to allow EV owners to charge anywhere. However, this is not the case:  
8 throughout the U.S., there are fewer than 15,000 charging stations<sup>2</sup>, primarily located  
9 within city-centers. This lack of availability can be a highly limiting factor for any EV  
10 driver planning on long distance travel.

11 Increased support of EVCS development and EV adoption could save Missouri  
12 drivers money: the 14 year-compound annual growth rate (“CAGR”) for gasoline and  
13 diesel fuel prices is 7.5%, while the price of electricity has risen at only 2.5% over the  
14 same period,<sup>3</sup> thus limiting EV drivers’ exposure to price volatility. In addition, there are  
15 fewer parts to service on an EV, and vehicle service intervals are longer because of a lack  
16 of combustion related components such as oil, an alternator, engine belts, and engine  
17 coolant.

18 As evidenced by the passage of Missouri’s Alternative Fuel Infrastructure Tax  
19 Credit, which aids in increasing availability of EVCS by decreasing the cost of

---

<sup>1</sup> Jeff Cobb, *California Celebrates One Quarter Million Plug-in Cars Sold*, HybridCars,  
<http://www.hybridcars.com/california-celebrates-one-quarter-million-plug-in-cars-sold/>

<sup>2</sup> Alternative Fuels Data Center, *Electric Vehicle Charging Station Locations*, U.S. Department of Energy,  
[http://www.afdc.energy.gov/fuels/electricity\\_locations.html](http://www.afdc.energy.gov/fuels/electricity_locations.html)

<sup>3</sup> Edison Electric Institute, *Transportation Electrification” Utility Fleets Leading the Charge*, June 2014,  
[http://www.eei.org/issuesandpolicy/electrictransportation/fleetvehicles/documents/eei\\_utilityfleetsleadingthecharge.pdf](http://www.eei.org/issuesandpolicy/electrictransportation/fleetvehicles/documents/eei_utilityfleetsleadingthecharge.pdf)

1 infrastructure investments through January 1, 2018,<sup>4</sup> it is state policy to encourage EVCS  
2 development and EV adoption. Based upon the previous and following information,  
3 encouraging utility involvement in the development of EVCSs addresses the immediate  
4 need for assuring EVCS availability to EV drivers over long distances, as well as  
5 preparing for a future grid that recognizes the growing market share of EVs. Furthermore,  
6 reliance on the private market to develop EVCSs has proven to be a slower and riskier  
7 endeavor<sup>5</sup>, much like the initial development of the internal combustion engine (“ICE”)  
8 refueling market.

9 **Q. Is EVCS availability a limiting factor?**

10 A. No matter the fuel source, the unavailability of refueling stations can shift the market  
11 away from adopting new vehicle technologies. While the differences between private  
12 fueling stations and private charging stations are abundant, there is an important parallel  
13 between the two: gasoline and ICE vehicle innovations made these “conventional”  
14 vehicles cheaper to own and operate, but range and refueling gaps limited their early  
15 adoption; similarly, EVs are becoming more affordable while also achieving greater  
16 ranges, but the lack of charging infrastructure is a barrier to adoption<sup>6</sup>. Modern EVs have  
17 moved past their prototype stages during the early 2000s, sparking a new market of  
18 automobile vehicle sales that demands refueling infrastructure much different than  
19 traditional gas stations can offer. Early adopters of EVs, whether purely electric or plug-

---

<sup>4</sup> Missouri Division of Energy, *Missouri Alternative Fuel Infrastructure Tax Credit*, Missouri Department of Economic Development, <https://energy.mo.gov/energy/communities/assistance-programs/missouri-alternative-fuel-infrastructure-tax-credit>

<sup>5</sup> Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, *The Role of Clean Energy Banks in Increasing Private Investment in Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure*, November 2014, <http://www.c2es.org/docUploads/cebs-and-ev-charging-december-2014.pdf>

<sup>6</sup> Dr. Matthew Slavin, *Drivers and Barriers to Electric Vehicle Adoption*, EVWorld, <http://evworld.com/article.cfm?storyid=2076>

1 in hybrids, have to carefully plan out any long-distance travels around where EVCSs are  
2 available, much like the early adopters of ICE vehicles searching for gasoline.

3 **Q. Do you see parallels between the fueling barriers faced by internal combustion**  
4 **engines and EVs?**

5 A. Yes. The history of ICE development is evidence of the delay in EV development that  
6 may result from limited availability of fueling stations.

7 During the late 1800s, the U.S. began to experience a technological boom that  
8 resulted in the ICE experiencing many engineering refinements, leading to a model of  
9 vehicle that could be driven by any person. By 1896, the Duryea Moto Wagon Company  
10 began selling standardized ICE vehicles to the American masses<sup>7</sup>, with other companies  
11 following suit shortly thereafter. During the late 1890s, as ICE vehicle adoption  
12 increased, the need for easily attainable fuels also increased. Much like today's EVs,  
13 early adopters of ICE vehicles needed to plan their travels with great care, as finding  
14 gasoline was not guaranteed. Some pharmacies would have a side-business selling  
15 gasoline where the shopkeeper would fill a bucket and then funnel it into a vehicle, some  
16 large cities would have a gasoline depot which would be reserved for wholesalers, and  
17 some distributors simply had horse-drawn fuel tanks that sold gasoline to commercial  
18 customers.<sup>8</sup> These methods of providing fuel to customers were not reliable, safe, or  
19 efficient.

20 It was not until the St. Louis World's Fair in 1905 that the modern idea of a filling  
21 station became national news, followed closely by another filling station in Seattle in

---

<sup>7</sup> National Association of Convenience Stores, *The History of Fuels Retailing*, 2013 NACS Retail Fuels Report, [http://www.nacsonline.com/yourbusiness/fuelsreports/gasprices\\_2013/pages/100plusyearsgasolineretailing.aspx](http://www.nacsonline.com/yourbusiness/fuelsreports/gasprices_2013/pages/100plusyearsgasolineretailing.aspx)

<sup>8</sup> Dr. Martin Melosi, *The Automobile Shapes the City*, *Automobile in American Life and Society*, [http://www.autolife.umd.umich.edu/Environment/E\\_Casestudy/E\\_casestudy8.htm](http://www.autolife.umd.umich.edu/Environment/E_Casestudy/E_casestudy8.htm)



1 1907;<sup>9</sup> however, these first “stations” still necessitated that shopkeepers fill a multi-gallon  
2 canister to then fill a customer’s tank. By 1908, when Ford’s Model T was introduced,  
3 there were already over 300,000 vehicles on the road in the U.S.<sup>10</sup> with relatively little  
4 infrastructure development. It was not until 1913, five years after the Model T caused  
5 ICE vehicle adoption to increase dramatically, that the first proper drive-up filling station  
6 (Gulf Refining Company) opened in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania;<sup>11</sup> Standard Oil of  
7 California followed in 1914 by opening over 30 franchised filling stations. It took the  
8 market nearly two decades to settle on a recognizable, standardized form of gasoline  
9 stations.

10 **Q. Where does the private market tend to install new infrastructure?**

11 A. Typically, the private market pushes services towards the highest utilization centers, such  
12 as densely populated city centers and metropolises, leaving the more suburban and rural  
13 populations to wait years, if not decades, before those same services, such as rural  
14 electrification, cell phone towers, and DSL internet, come to their town. Instead of  
15 waiting years for an unregulated market to answer the needs of growing EV automobile  
16 market share, investor-owned utilities (“IOUs”) are poised to provide EVCSs to urban,  
17 suburban, and rural markets in a way that best assures that consumers’ needs are met in a  
18 responsive, reliable, and safe fashion. Market uncertainty, access to low-risk capital, and  
19 understanding of the changing grid are all factors that suggest that regulated utilities  
20 would be one of the prime actors in developing EVCS infrastructure.

21 **B. UTILITY INVOLVEMENT**

---

<sup>9</sup> National Association of Convenience Stores, *The History of Fuels Retailing*, 2013 NACS Retail Fuels Report, [http://www.nacsonline.com/yourbusiness/fuelsreports/gasprices\\_2013/pages/100plusyearsgasolineretailing.aspx](http://www.nacsonline.com/yourbusiness/fuelsreports/gasprices_2013/pages/100plusyearsgasolineretailing.aspx)

<sup>10</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>11</sup> *Ibid.*

1 **Q. Why should utilities be involved with EVCS development?**

2 A. While development of a widespread third-party private market for developing EVCS and  
3 selling electricity to EV drivers is not impossible, such development will face the barrier  
4 of large upfront capital requirements and will not provide certainty that infrastructure will  
5 be deployed in all areas where there is a need for it.

6 The Center for Strategic and International Studies states that IOUs have a strong  
7 case for involvement in EVCS deployment, as IOUs have access to low-cost capital  
8 (typically backed by ratepayers), and the development of EVCS infrastructure can help  
9 assure investors that there is a current and future demand for electricity services.  
10 Additionally, these capital investments are regulated by state commissions, which can  
11 help guide deployment and make sure that these deployments are in the best interest of  
12 the public.<sup>12</sup>

13 **Q. How can short-term deployment of EVCS be managed for long-term growth?**

14 A. Some argue that IOUs are the only players that can develop EVCS infrastructure at, "... a  
15 scale necessary for meaningful deployment on an immediate time scale,"<sup>13</sup> suggesting  
16 that IOUs are integral in bridging the infrastructural gaps during the early stages of EVCS  
17 deployment. Utilities also have the greatest knowledge as to what demands EV charging  
18 would place on the grid (including supply requirements and load), and early utility  
19 involvement would bring expertise as to how the grid can be optimized for EV drivers  
20 and other customers.<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> Michelle Melton, *Utility Involvement in Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure: California at the Vanguard*, Center for Strategic and International Studies, <https://www.csis.org/analysis/utility-involvement-electric-vehicle-charging-infrastructure-california-vanguard>

<sup>13</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>14</sup> *Ibid.*

1           Another case for IOU involvement with EVCS development relates to the  
2 recovery of system costs. A study conducted by the Center for Strategic and International  
3 Studies has found that under multiple scenarios, increases in revenue from EV charging,  
4 “... exceed marginal costs to deliver electricity to the customer...”<sup>15</sup> As examined in  
5 greater detail in Mr. Hyman’s testimony, greater EV adoption will bring about a large,  
6 long-term opportunity for load-growth which has the potential to result in downward  
7 pressure on rates for all customers<sup>16</sup>.

8           IOUs are uniquely qualified to evaluate how the addition of hundreds, if not  
9 thousands, of EVs to a service area would impact peak demand and system costs. They  
10 also have the ability to send price signals to customers to charge or use electricity for  
11 other purposes at preferred times with time-of-use (“TOU”) rates. Getting EV drivers to  
12 charge their cars and use electricity for other purposes during off-peak times can benefit  
13 the utility and its customers, allowing customers to charge at lower rates during off-peak  
14 periods and enhancing cost recovery for IOUs while avoiding additional investment in  
15 new grid or generation capacity.<sup>17</sup> Additionally, EVs assist with grid modernization and  
16 adding renewables to a generation portfolio: EVCSs can be programmed to change price  
17 points when there is excess generation for the grid from wind or solar sources, effectively  
18 directing it to where it is useable.<sup>18</sup>

## 19 **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

### 20 **Q. Please summarize your conclusions and the positions of DE.**

---

<sup>15</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>16</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>17</sup> Patty Monahan, Dan Adler, *The Transportation Grid: How Utilities can Drive the Future of Transport*, UtilityDive, July 20, 2015, <http://www.utilitydive.com/news/the-transportation-grid-how-utilities-can-drive-the-future-of-transport/402562/>

<sup>18</sup> *Ibid.*

1 A. The EV and EVCS market, seemingly still in its infancy, is gaining ground in the U.S. As  
2 metropolitan areas appear to be the EV and EVCS testing grounds for the private market,  
3 suburban, rural, and long-distance travel areas are not being addressed. IOUs have a  
4 prime opportunity and the necessary strength to provide EVCS services in a meaningful,  
5 strategic method that can ameliorate short-term anxieties while paving a way for future  
6 private deployment. Unlike the ICE refueling market that took almost two decades to  
7 develop, utility involvement can accelerate EVCS development and deployment to bridge  
8 the gaps between our current landscape and the future of EV charging.

9 **Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony in this case?**

10 A. Yes.