

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of)	
Kansas City Power & Light Company's)	File No. ET-2016-0185
Application for Authorization To Suspend)	Tracking No. JE-2016-0184
Payment of Certain Solar Rebates)	

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

Issue Date: February 16, 2016

Effective Date: February 16, 2016

The Commission is granting the *Application to Intervene of Renew Missouri* (“motion”). Kansas City Power & Light Company (“KCP&L”) filed the pending application¹ and tariff² seeking to cease payments of solar rebates under the Missouri Renewable Energy Standard.³ Earth Island Institute d/b/a Renew Missouri (“Renew Missouri”) filed the motion,⁴ and a response in opposition⁵ to the application and tariff, and KCP&L filed a response in opposition to the motion.⁶

The motion is subject to the following standard:

The commission may grant a motion to intervene . . . if—

(A) The proposed intervenor . . . has an interest which is different from that of the general public and which may be adversely affected by a final order arising from the case; or

(B) Granting the proposed intervention would serve the public interest. [⁷]

¹ Electronic Filing Information System (“EFIS”) No. 1 (January 18, 2016) *Application for Authority to Suspend Payment of Solar Rebates*. EFIS citations refer to this file no. ET-2016-0185, except as otherwise noted.

² EFIS No. 2 (January 18, 2016).

³ Section 393.1025 to 393.1030, RSMo.

⁴ EFIS No. 6 (February 1, 2016).

⁵ EFIS No. 6 (February 1, 2016) *Renew Missouri's Response to KCP&L's Application to Suspend Payment of Solar Rebates*.

⁶ EFIS No. 9 (February 11, 2016) *Kansas City Power & Light Company's Response In Opposition to Renew Missouri's Application to Intervene*.

⁷ 4 CSR 240-2.075(3).

Renew Missouri alleges, and KCP&L does not deny, that Renew Missouri has a unique familiarity with the law and policy governing the application and tariff. Intervention will serve the public interest by developing the grounds on which the Commission will make its decision. Therefore, the Commission will grant the motion.

KCP&L asks the Commission to deny the motion because Renew Missouri's opposition to the application and tariff is contrary to a settlement, signed by Renew Missouri, in an underlying case.⁸ A Commission order⁹ incorporated that settlement. But whether Renew Missouri is violating its agreement, or even the Commission's order, is not the standard for deciding the motion. The Commission need not determine those issues or their consequences. As to those matters, the Commission will reserve ruling.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. The *Application to Intervene of Renew Missouri* is granted.
2. This order shall be effective when issued.



BY THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Morris L. Woodruff".

Morris L. Woodruff
Secretary

Daniel Jordan, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant
to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 16th day of February, 2016.

⁸ File No. ET-2014-0071, *In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application for Authorization to Suspend Payment of Certain Solar Rebate Tariffs*, EFIS No. 42 (October 3, 2016) *Non-Unanimous Stipulation And Agreement*.

⁹ File No. ET-2014-0071, EFIS No. 50 (October 30, 2013) *Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement*.