# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Conference

March 2, 2016

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 1

In The Matter Of Kansas City
Power & Light Company's
Application For Authorization
To Suspend Payment Of Certain
Solar Rebates)

) File No. ET-2016-0185
)

DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Ms. Pamela S. Gentry, CCR No. 426 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY:
3	MR. ROGER W. STEINER
4	Kansas City Power & Light Company
5	1200 Main - 16th Floor
6	Kansas City, Missouri 64105
7	816. 556. 2314
8	AND
9	MR. JAMES M. FISCHER
10	Fischer & Dority, P.C.
11	101 Madison - Suite 400
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
13	573. 636. 6758
14	
15	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
16	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS
17	MR. ROBERT S. BERLIN
18	Missouri Public Service Commission
19	200 Madison Street
20	P. 0. Box 360
21	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
22	573. 526. 7779
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)					
2	FOR THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:					
3	MR. TIMOTHY OPITZ					
4	Missouri Public Service Commission					
5	P. 0. Box 2230					
6	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102					
7	573. 751. 5324					
8						
9	FOR MISSOURI DIVISION OF ENERGY:					
10	MR. ALEXANDER ANTEL					
11	MISSOURI DIVISION OF ENERGY					
12	P. 0. Box 1157					
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102					
14	573. 751. 3443					
15						
16	FOR RENEW MISSOURI:					
17	MR. ANDREW LINHARES					
18	Staff Attorney					
19	910 East Broadway, Suite 205					
20	Columbia, Missouri 65201					
21	314. 471. 9933					
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE JORDAN: The Commission calls the
3	action in File No. ET-2016-0185, In The Matter Of
4	Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application For
5	Authorization To Suspend Payment Of Certain Solar
6	Rebates. I'm Daniel Jordan, I'm the Regulatory Law
7	Judge assigned to this action.
8	I will begin by taking entries of
9	appearance, starting with the applicant Kansas City
10	Power & Light.
11	MR. STEINER: Judge, let the record
12	reflect the appearance of Roger W. Steiner and James M.
13	Fischer. Our contact information has been provided to
14	the Court Reporter.
15	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
16	And for Staff.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge. Hampton
18	Williams for Staff, also with Bob Berlin; and the
19	information has been provided to the Reporter.
20	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.
21	For the Office of Public Counsel.
22	MR. BERLIN: Thank you, Judge. For the
23	Office of Public Counsel, Tim Opitz, P.O. Box 2230,
24	Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
25	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you.

1	For Intervenors, Missouri Division of					
2	Energy. Well, actually, I take that back. Missouri					
3	Division of Energy has entered an appearance but has					
4	not asked to intervene.					
5	For Division of Energy.					
6	MR. ANTAL: Judge, for the Missouri					
7	Division of Energy, Alexander Antal, P.O. Box 1157,					
8	Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.					
9	And, in response, I don't know if this is					
10	the right time to do it, but in response to your					
11	comment about us not intervening, it was my					
12	understanding that all parties to ET-2014-0071 were not					
13	required to intervene.					
14	JUDGE JORDAN: You could be right. I					
15	don't know what difference it makes. Certainly, not					
16	for our conference today, I don't believe.					
17	MR. ANTAL: Okay.					
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Your participation is					
19	certainly welcome.					
20	And for					
21	MR. ANTAL: Thank you.					
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Renew Missouri.					
23	MR. LINHARES: Thank you, Judge. For					
24	Renew Missouri, Andrew Linhares, Renew Missouri, 910					
25	East Broadway, Suite 205, Columbia, Missouri.					

1 JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. 2 As I -- and I don't think -- is -- is 3 there anyone else who wants to enter an appearance 4 today? 5 (No response.) 6 JUDGE JORDAN: I'm not seeing anyone. 7 So, as I've said, we're on the record and 8 the Reporter's transcribing this conference. We're not 9 on the record in the sense of a formal adversarial entry proceeding. I just want to make sure that's 10 11 clear to everyone. The Division has not suspended a 12 tariff and otherwise contested the case. So I'm not 13 planning on taking evidence or anything. The client 14 represented is certainly welcome to listen. 15 The reason we are here in this format is 16 that Staff's recommendation was delayed and that 17 shortened the Commission's time to consider all the 18 parties position in this action, and, so, the Division 19 is convening this conference as an official way to 20 determine whether any dispute remains as to the 21 application and the pending tariff, and I'm going to do 22 that by inquiry of counsel who, I am sure, are familiar 23 of the position of their parties. 24 That's what I want to clarify, and I hope

that this will not be a lengthy encounter. Some of us

25

1	have an agenda to prepare for this afternoon at 1. So						
2	I will try to avoid highly confidential information						
3	that's been included in the filings, and I want to make						
4	I want to emphasize this is your time to talk and						
5	explain your client's positions. It's not just to						
6	listen to the sound of my voice.						
7	I'm going to inquire by laying down some						
8	premises and we'll get counsel's response and can sure						
9	follow up with that if you need to.						
10	So, I'll begin with Renew Missouri, and						
11	ask simply this: Is there any dispute left as far as						
12	Renew Missouri?						
13	MR. LINHARES: Yes. Thank you, Judge.						
14	Renew Missouri doesn't oppose the						
15	Company's application to suspend solar rebates under						
16	the agreement in place that's been referenced in						
17	filings. It is their right to to apply for such,						
18	and we've agreed under a stipulation to not oppose						
19	that.						
20	We simply would ask that the procedure be						
21	followed under the law, the statute in question, I						
22	believe is 393.1030.3.						
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes.						
24	MR. LINHARES: And that procedure						
25	specifies that, for distinct solar rebates, the						

applicant will file and furnish and the Commission will 1 2 approve a calculation of the one percent retail rate 3 impact calculation. 4 JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh. 5 MR. LINHARES: And that hasn't been 6 forthcoming in this case, and we'd ask that that 7 procedure be followed. 8 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. That's -- that's my 9 understanding, I gather, from your filing. MR. LINHARES: 10 Yes. 11 JUDGE JORDAN: And I believe next inquire 12 will be of Kansas City Power & Light. 13 The calculation to which Renew Missouri 14 refers, if I understand Kansas City Power & Light's 15 response to that, it is simply that the specified level 16 which is designated in the stipulation and agreement 17 underlying this tariff. Basically, that is the 18 calculation that Renew Missouri is asking for; is that 19 correct? 20 MR. STEINER: Yeah, that's correct, 21 Judge. We -- we agreed to that, and as a part of that 22 stipulation, we agreed to come in to file and we got 23 close to the 36.5 million. We've done that. All the 24 signatories to that step said they wouldn't oppose

25

that.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

The Staff has filed a Staff recommendation which we -- we support, and we believe that it makes sense to follow that. Of course, in every annual RES filing that is done April 15th, you do another one percent calculation, and that will be done in the upcoming month.

But, right now, we're in the posture, we think, everybody's agreeing that we should suspend the -- the payments of the rebates and that's what we're here to do. And -- but we also support Staff's perspective on the one percent calculation.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Let me inquire into that a little bit further, because you may have some insight into the recommendation that I don't.

It looked to me like the Staff's recommendation was a bit equivocal as to whether Kansas City Power & Light believes it will meet the level.

Kansas City Power & Light still believes it will meet that level?

MR. FISCHER: We'll be filing in April 15th that calculation for the upcoming year. We -- we think that the calculation that's applicable in this case was determined in that previous time period, and we agreed to that stipulation that this would be the -- the cap, 36.5, and we're -- we're abiding by that.

We're -- we're close to reaching that 1 2 cap, and we want to be able to pull the trigger to that 3 so we don't go over that. 4 JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Looking at the 5 statute, it seems to look at the filing of this tariff 6 in terms of a calendar year. So, you believe you will 7 reach that limit at the specified level this calendar 8 year? 9 MR. STEINER: Well, we believe we reached 10 it based on the analysis that was done last case, and 11 then the upcoming -- this current year will actually be 12 filed in April, April 15th of this year. We haven't 13 filed -- I guess we have a data request for and the 14 filings that were made in a previous case looked at the 15 one percent at that time, and we think that's still 16 appl i cabl e. 17 JUDGE JORDAN: Let me ask -- let me 18 inquire of Staff, then, because, as I said, the 19 recommendation has some language in it that I don't 20 want it to be a distraction. 21 It's clear to me that Staff is 22 recommending the approval of the tariff, the three 23 tariff sheets that are pending in this action. And 24 I'll -- I'll direct you to page 3 of 6 of the 25 recommendation that is -- or the memorandum that is

1 attached to the recommendation.
2 And Looking at tha

And looking at that first full paragraph, which, I think, in it's second sentence sums up my understanding of KCPL's position, which is that KCP&L expects payments to continue through 2016 and be completed by the end of the year. I think that's the the standard that the statute sets forth for us.

Two sentences, in the next sentence, the second part of that sentence, Staff says, It may take KCP&L over a year to complete payments totaling the stipulated solar rebate cap.

So, I mean, it sounds like maybe they won't reach that this calendar year? Is that what the Staff is saying?

MR. WILLIAMS: First, I should mention for the record as well, included in the room are Dan Beck, Robin Kliethermes, and Claire Eubanks who prepared Staff's memo. And, so, if I -- I am making incorrect representations, please holler and let me know and we can -- we can drag you up here and direct it, clarify anything that I'm about to say.

But, with that said, it's my -- our report identifies is that, with the application through DOR's case appeals provided that pending applications, once processed, would meet this cap. And we understand

1	that there is an application and approval process.
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh.
3	MR. WILLIAMS: And, also, just through
4	the installation process itself, it takes a little bit
5	of time to coordinate installations and everything
6	el se.
7	So, with that in mind, we believe that,
8	at the time of the application's filed, they will hit
9	this cap. So, we're we're comfortable that this
10	meets, you know, the statutes required that the solar
11	rebates through pending applications have been met and
12	will be paid out.
13	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. In this calendar
14	year?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. That's very
17	helpful, and I appreciate that clarification.
18	I think that's all the inquiry that I had
19	in mind. Does anyone feel like they need to to add
20	to that while we're all in this in this room
21	gathered together?
22	(I ndi cati ng. )
23	Mr. Li nhares?
24	MR. LINHARES: Yes. Thank you, Judge.
25	I would just add to this discussion of

the one percent we had at the beginning which is our primary interest in the case, I would agree with KCP&L that we -- we agreed in a previous tariff case that the specified amount would -- would trigger KCPL's application to suspend. I have no disagreement with that.

I would simply repeat our request that the Commission -- that yourself, your Honor -- order the company to comply with what is clearly in the law. That calculation that we're concerned with here shouldn't be a calculation of a specified cap amount. That's simply counting the number of rebate dollars that have been paid.

What we're concerned with is the calculation that's required by law. It's very specific. It's even more spelled out, and in the Commission's rule, it has to do with calculating two different renewable scenarios, and it has never been attempted by the company. In each one of these April filings, we have never seen that calculation ever attempted to-date. That's five years.

And we're simply asking that that be ordered by the Commission once and for all. We have the April 15th deadline of this year coming up where the company states they're going to make that filing,

1	but we have not seen that been filed to-date.						
2	So, in the abundance of caution and to						
3	help the whole process of implementing this law, we						
4	would ask the Commission seriously consider and order						
5	ordering the company to file that calculation so it can						
6	be reviewed by industry professionals, advocates, and						
7	the like.						
8	JUDGE JORDAN: I think I understand your						
9	argument there.						
10	MR. LINHARES: Yes.						
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Does anyone want to						
12	respond to that or add anything?						
13	MR. STEINER: Well, I would just						
14	reassert, I guess, that it's clear from our stipulation						
15	that all of us signed that and I'll just read from						
16	page 4, The signatories will agree they will not object						
17	to an application that will design to cease payments						
18	beyond a specified level which is 36.5. That's what						
19	we're relying on.						
20	MR. LINHARES: And, of course, Judge,						
21	I'll reiterate I have no objection to that						
22	cl ari fi cati on.						
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you for that						
24	cl ari fi cati on.						
25	Anything else before we go off the						

This is

That being

record? 1 2 MR. ANTAL: Judge Jordan? 3 JUDGE JORDAN: Yes. 4 MR. ANTAL: This is Alex Antal. 5 more of a procedural matter than it is a substantive 6 issue with the application, but I do want to bring it 7 up on the record that, in the Commission's notice and 8 order setting dates for filing, it did state, and I 9 quote. The application also includes motion to waive 10 the need for any motion to intervene as to the parties 11 in File No. ET-2014-0071. The Commission later said 12 that they would grant that motion, that all parties in 13 that prior case were going to be deemed inter--14 intervenors. JUDGE JORDAN: 15 Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 16 MR. ANTAL: Division of Energy was party 17 to that prior case. 18 JUDGE JORDAN: Uh-huh. 19 MR. ANTAL: However, I'm confusing with 20 The Division of Energy did not have the data center. 21 access to the highly confidential version of Staff 22 report until just a couple of hours ago. 23 said, I'm not aware, to the best of my knowledge, that

24

25

the Division of Energy has any concerns with Staff's

recommendations or with the company's application.

### CERTIFICATE

I, Pamela S. Gentry, Certified Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court Reporting, LLC, do hereby certify that I was personally present at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time and place.

Pamela S. Gentry, CSR, CCR

	4.4.6.01.77.15	1 4 22	0 ( 10 01 0 (	1610
A	4:4 6:21 7:15	4:22	2:6,12,21 3:6	16:12
abiding 9:25	11:23 12:1	best 15:23	3:13 4:4,9,24	confidential 7:2
<b>able</b> 10:2	13:5 14:17	<b>beyond</b> 14:18	5:8 8:12,14	15:21
above-entitled	15:6,9,25	<b>bit</b> 9:13,16 12:4	9:17,18	confusing 15:19
17:6	application's	<b>Bob</b> 4:18	Claire 11:17	consider 6:17
abundance 14:2	12:8	<b>Box</b> 2:20 3:5,12	clarification	14:4
access 15:21	applications	4:23 5:7	12:17 14:22,24	<b>Cont'd</b> 3:1
action 4:3,7 6:18	11:24 12:11	bring 15:6	clarify 6:24	contact 4:13
10:23	<b>apply</b> 7:17	<b>bringing</b> 16:5	11:21	contested 6:12
add 12:19,25	appreciate	<b>Broadway</b> 3:19	clarifying 16:3	continue 11:5
14:12	12:17 16:5	5:25	<b>clear</b> 6:11 10:21	convening 6:19
adversarial 6:9	approval 10:22		14:14	coordinate 12:5
advocates 14:6	12:1	-	clearly 13:9	<b>correct</b> 8:19,20
afternoon 7:1	approve 8:2	C 4:1 17:1,1	client 6:13	17:9
agenda 7:1	<b>April</b> 9:4,20	calculating	client's 7:5	<b>counsel</b> 3:2 4:21
ago 15:22	10:12,12 13:19	13:17	16:13	4:23 6:22
<b>agree</b> 13:2 14:16	13:24	calculation 8:2,3	<b>close</b> 8:23 10:1	counsel's 7:8
agreed 7:18 8:21	argument 14:9	8:13,18 9:5,11	Columbia 3:20	Counselor 16:2
8:22 9:24 13:3	articulate 16:13	9:21,22 13:10	5:25	counting 13:12
agreeing 9:8	asked 5:4	13:11,15,20	<b>come</b> 8:22	couple 15:22
agreement 7:16	asking 8:18	14:5	comfortable	<b>course</b> 9:3 14:20
8:16	13:22	calendar 10:6,7	12:9	Court 1:25 4:14
<b>Alex</b> 15:4	assigned 4:7	11:13 12:13	<b>coming</b> 13:24	17:4
Alexander 3:10	attached 11:1	calls 4:2	comment 5:11	<b>CSR</b> 17:15
5:7	attempted 13:19	cap 9:25 10:2	Commission 1:2	current 10:11
amount 13:4,11	13:21	11:11,25 12:9	2:15,18 3:4 4:2	
analysis 10:10	Attorney 3:18	13:11	8:1 13:8,23	<u>D</u>
Andrew 3:17	Authorization	caption 17:7	14:4 15:11	<b>D</b> 4:1
5:24	1:13 4:5	case 6:12 8:6	Commission's	<b>Dan</b> 11:16
annual 9:4	available 16:8	9:23 10:10,14	6:17 13:17	<b>Daniel</b> 1:20 4:6
<b>Antal</b> 5:6,7,17	avoid 7:2	11:24 13:2,3	15:7	data 10:13 15:20
5:21 15:2,4,4	aware 15:23	15:13,17 16:9	company 2:2,4	dates 15:8
15:16,19		cause 17:6	13:9,19,25	deadline 13:24
<b>ANTEL</b> 3:10	B	caution 14:2	14:5	<b>deemed</b> 15:13
appeals 11:24	<b>back</b> 5:2	<b>CCR</b> 1:24 17:15	company's 1:12	delayed 6:16
appearance 4:9	<b>based</b> 10:10	cease 14:17	4:4 7:15 15:25	design 14:17
4:12 5:3 6:3	Basically 8:17	center 15:20	complete 11:10	designated 8:16
APPEARAN	<b>Beck</b> 11:17	<b>Certain</b> 1:13 4:5	completed 11:6	determine 6:20
2:1 3:1	beginning 13:1	certainly 5:15	comply 13:9	<b>determined</b> 9:23
applicable 9:22	<b>believe</b> 5:16 7:22	5:19 6:14	concerned 13:10	difference 5:15
10:16	8:11 9:2 10:6,9	Certified 17:3	13:14	different 13:18
applicant 4:9	12:7	certify 17:5	concerns 15:24	direct 10:24
8:1	<b>believes</b> 9:17,18	cetera 16:5	conference 1:6	11:20
application 1:13	<b>Berlin</b> 2:17 4:18	<b>City</b> 1:8,12 2:2,4	5:16 6:8,19	disagreement
иррисанон 1.13				

13:5	<b>feel</b> 12:19		issue 15:6 16:4	<del></del>
discussion 12:25	file 1:12 4:3 8:1		188UC 13.0 10.4	L 10.10
dispute 6:20	8:22 14:5	<b>Hampton</b> 2:16	J	language 10:19
7:11	15:11	4:17	James 2:9 4:12	law 1:20 4:6
<b>distinct</b> 7:25	<b>filed</b> 9:1 10:12	hearing 16:7,8	Jefferson 1:8	7:21 13:9,15
distraction	10:13 12:8	16:11	2:12,21 3:6,13	14:3
10:20	14:1	help 14:3	4:24 5:8	laying 7:7
<b>Division</b> 3:9,11	filing 8:9 9:4,20	helpful 12:17	Jordan 1:20 4:2	left 7:11
5:1,3,5,7 6:11	10:5 13:25	highly 7:2 15:21	4:6,15,20,25	lengthy 6:25
	15:8	hit 12:8	5:14,18,22 6:1	level 8:15 9:17
6:18 15:16,20 15:24		<b>holler</b> 11:19	6:6 7:23 8:4,8	9:19 10:7
dollars 13:12	<b>filings</b> 7:3,17 10:14 13:20	Honor 13:8	8:11 9:12 10:4	14:18
DOR's 11:24	firm 17:4	hope 6:24	10:17 12:2,13	<b>Light</b> 1:12 2:2,4
		hours 15:22	12:16 14:8,11	4:4,10 8:12
<b>Dority</b> 2:10	first 11:2,15	T	14:23 15:2,3	9:17,18
<b>drag</b> 11:20	<b>Fischer</b> 2:9,10 4:13 9:20		15:15,18 16:2	<b>Light's</b> 8:14
		identifies 11:23	Judge 1:20 4:2,7	<b>limit</b> 10:7
E 4:1,1 17:1,1	five 13:21	impact 8:3	4:11,15,17,20	Linhares 3:17
East 3:19 5:25	Floor 2:5	implementing	4:22,25 5:6,14	5:23,24 7:13
emphasize 7:4	<b>follow</b> 7:9 9:3	14:3	5:18,22,23 6:1	7:24 8:5,10
encounter 6:25	followed 7:21	included 7:3	6:6 7:13,23 8:4	12:23,24 14:10
<b>Energy</b> 3:9,11	8:7	11:16	8:8,11,21 9:12	14:20
5:2,3,5,7 15:16	foregoing 17:9	includes 15:9	10:4,17 12:2	<b>listen</b> 6:14 7:6
15:20,24	formal 6:9	incorrect 11:19	12:13,16,24	<b>little</b> 9:13 12:4
enter 6:3	format 6:15	Indicating 12:22	14:8,11,20,23	<b>LLC</b> 1:25 17:4
enter 0.3	forth 11:7 17:7	industry 14:6	15:2,3,15,18	look 10:5
entries 4:8	forthcoming 8:6	information	16:2	looked 9:15
entry 6:10	<b>full</b> 11:2 17:9	4:13,19 7:2	10.2	10:14
equivocal 9:16	furnish 8:1	inquire 7:7 8:11	K	looking 10:4
et 16:4	further 9:13	9:12 10:18	Kansas 1:12 2:2	11:2
ET-2014-0071	G	inquiry 6:22	2:4,6 4:4,9	<b>lunch</b> 16:8
5:12 15:11	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 4:1	12:18	8:12,14 9:16	
ET-2016-0185	gather 8:9	insight 9:14	9:18	
1:12 4:3	gathered 12:21	installation 12:4 installations	<b>KCP&amp;L</b> 11:4,10	M 2:9 4:12 Madison 2:11
<b>Eubanks</b> 11:17	Gentry 1:24	12:5	13:2	2:19
everybody's 9:8	17:3,15	inter 15:13	<b>KCPL's</b> 11:4	Main 2:5
evidence 6:13	<b>go</b> 10:3 14:25	inter 13:13 interest 13:2	13:4	
expects 11:5	16:14	interest 13.2 intervene 5:4,13	Kliethermes	making 11:18 March 1:7
explain 7:5	going 6:21 7:7	15:10	11:17	matter 1:12 4:3
	13:25 15:13	intervening 5:11	know 5:9,15	15:5
<b>F</b>	grant 15:12	intervening 5:11	11:20 12:10	mean 11:12
<b>F</b> 17:1	guess 10:13	15:14	knowledge	
familiar 6:22	14:14	intervention	15:23	meet 9:17,18 11:25
<b>far</b> 7:11		16:4	<b>known</b> 16:1	meets 12:10
		10.4		meets 12:10
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

momo 11,10	onnoso 7:14 19	nositions 7:5	reached 10:0	ronregentations
memo 11:18 memorandum	oppose 7:14,18 8:24	<b>positions</b> 7:5 16:13	reached 10:9	representations 11:19
10:25	order 13:8 14:4		reaching 10:1 read 14:15	
mention 11:15	15:8	<b>posture</b> 9:7 <b>Power</b> 1:12 2:2	read 14:15 reason 6:15	represented 6:14
met 12:11	ordered 13:23	2:4 4:4,10 8:12	reassert 14:14	request 10:13
million 8:23	ordering 14:5	8:14 9:17,18	rebate 11:11	13:7
mind 12:7,19	P	premises 7:8	13:12	required 5:13
<b>Missouri</b> 1:1,8	P 4:1	prepare 7:1	rebates 1:14 4:6	12:10 13:15
2:6,12,15,18	<b>P.C</b> 2:10	prepared 11:18	7:15,25 9:9	<b>RES</b> 9:4
2:21 3:4,6,9,11	<b>P.O</b> 2:20 3:5,12	16:13	12:11	respond 14:12
3:13,16,20	4:23 5:7	present 17:5	recommendati	response 5:9,10
4:24 5:1,2,6,8	page 10:24	Presiding 1:20	6:16 9:2,14,16	6:5 7:8 8:15
5:22,24,24,25	14:16	previous 9:23	10:19,25 11:1	retail 8:2
7:10,12,14	· -	10:14 13:3	recommendati	reviewed 14:6
8:13,18	<b>paid</b> 12:12 13:13 <b>Pamela</b> 1:24	primary 13:2	15:25	right 5:10,14
month 9:6		<b>prior</b> 15:13,17	recommending	7:17 9:7 10:4
morning 16:12	17:3,15	procedural 15:5	10:22	<b>ROBERT</b> 2:17
<b>motion</b> 15:9,10	paragraph 11:2	procedure 7:20	record 4:11 6:7	<b>Robin</b> 11:17
15:12	part 8:21 11:9	7:24 8:7	6:9 11:16 15:1	<b>Roger</b> 2:3 4:12
	participation	proceeding 6:10	15:7 16:3,14	room 11:16
N	5:18	proceedings 1:4	16:15	12:20 16:8
N 4:1	parties 5:12 6:18	17:6,8	referenced 7:16	<b>rule</b> 13:17
need 7:9 12:19	6:23 15:10,12	process 12:1,4	refers 8:14	
15:10	16:9	14:3	reflect 4:12	S
never 13:18,20	<b>party</b> 15:16	processed 11:25	Regulatory 1:20	<b>S</b> 1:24 2:17 4:1
nonintervention	Payment 1:13	professionals	4:6	17:3,15
16:4	4:5	14:6	reiterate 14:21	saying 11:14
notes 17:10	payments 9:9	provided 4:13	relying 14:19	says 11:9
notice 15:7	11:5,10 14:17	4:19 11:24	remains 6:20	scenarios 13:18
<b>number</b> 13:12	pending 6:21	<b>Public</b> 1:2 2:15	<b>Renew</b> 3:16 5:22	<b>second</b> 11:3,9
	10:23 11:24	2:18 3:2,4 4:21	5:24,24 7:10	seeing 6:6
0	12:11	4:23	7:12,14 8:13	seen 13:20 14:1
O 4:1	<b>percent</b> 8:2 9:5	<b>pull</b> 10:2	8:18	<b>SENIOR</b> 1:20
object 14:16	9:11 10:15	purpose 16:9	renewable 13:18	sense 6:9 9:3
objection 14:21	13:1	putting 16:3	repeat 13:7	sentence 11:3,8
<b>Office</b> 3:2 4:21	period 9:23		<b>report</b> 11:23	11:9
4:23	personally 17:5	Q	15:22	sentences 11:8
official 6:19	perspective 9:11	question 7:21	REPORTED	seriously 14:4
Okay 5:17 8:8	<b>place</b> 7:16 17:7	quote 15:9	1:24	<b>Service</b> 1:2 2:15
9:12 12:13	17:11		Reporter 4:14	2:18 3:4
16:7	planning 6:13	<u>R</u>	4:19 17:4	set 17:7
once 11:25	<b>please</b> 11:19	<b>R</b> 4:1 17:1	Reporter's 6:8	sets 11:7
13:23	<b>position</b> 6:18,23	rate 8:2	Reporting 1:25	setting 15:8
<b>Opitz</b> 3:3 4:23	11:4	reach 10:7 11:13	17:4	sheet 17:7

	I	I	I	I
sheets 10:23	Street 2:19	transcript 1:4	12:3,15	5
shortened 6:17	substantive 15:5	17:10	wouldn't 8:24	573.526.7779
Shorthand 17:3	<b>Suite</b> 2:11 3:19	<b>trigger</b> 10:2 13:4		2:22
signatories 8:24	5:25	<b>true</b> 17:9	X	573.636.6758
14:16	<b>sums</b> 11:3	<b>try</b> 7:2	Y	2:13
signed 14:15	<b>support</b> 9:2,10	<b>two</b> 11:8 13:17		573.751.3443
<b>simply</b> 7:11,20	<b>sure</b> 6:10,22 7:8		Yeah 8:20	3:14
8:15 13:7,12	suspend 1:13	U	year 9:21 10:6,8	573.751.5324
13:22	4:5 7:15 9:8	<b>Uh-huh</b> 8:4 12:2	10:11,12 11:6	3:7
solar 1:14 4:5	13:5	15:15,15,18	11:10,13 12:14	
7:15,25 11:11	suspended 6:11	underlying 8:17	13:24	6
12:10		understand 8:14	years 13:21	<b>6</b> 10:24
sound 7:6	T	11:25 14:8	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>64105</b> 2:6
<b>sounds</b> 11:12	<b>T</b> 17:1,1	understanding		<b>65101</b> 2:12,21
specific 13:16	take 5:2 11:9	5:12 8:9 11:4	0	<b>65102</b> 3:6,13
specified 8:15	takes 12:4	<b>upcoming</b> 9:6		4:24 5:8
10:7 13:4,11	talk 7:4	9:21 10:11	1	<b>65201</b> 3:20
14:18	tariff 6:12,21	<b>use</b> 16:9	<b>1</b> 1:9 7:1	
specifies 7:25	8:17 10:5,22	<b>V</b>	<b>101</b> 2:11	7
spelled 13:16	10:23 13:3		<b>1157</b> 3:12 5:7	
<b>Staff</b> 2:15 3:18	terms 10:6	version 15:21	<b>1200</b> 2:5	8
4:16,18 9:1,1	thank 4:15,17	voice 7:6	<b>15th</b> 9:4,21	816.556.2314
10:18,21 11:9	4:20,22,25	Volume 1:9	10:12 13:24	2:7
11:14 15:21	5:21,23 6:1	$\mathbf{W}$	<b>16th</b> 2:5	9
<b>Staff's</b> 6:16 9:10	7:13 12:16,24	${\mathbf{W}}$ 2:3 4:12		<b>910</b> 3:19 5:24
9:15 11:18	14:23 16:2,11	waive 15:9	2	<b>910</b> 3.19 3.24
15:24	Thanks 16:5	want 6:10,24 7:3	<b>2</b> 1:7	
standard 11:7	thereof 17:7	7:4 10:2,20	<b>200</b> 2:19	
starting 4:9	think 6:2 9:8,22	14:11 15:6	<b>2016</b> 1:7 11:5	
state 1:1 15:8	10:15 11:3,6	16:9	<b>205</b> 3:19 5:25	
<b>states</b> 13:25	12:18 14:8	wanted 16:1	<b>2230</b> 3:5 4:23	
<b>statute</b> 7:21 10:5	16:8	wants 6:3	3	
11:7	three 10:22	way 6:19	-	
statutes 12:10	<b>Tiger</b> 1:25 17:4	we'll 7:8 9:20	3 10:24	
<b>Steiner</b> 2:3 4:11	<b>Tim</b> 4:23	we're 6:7,8 9:7,9	314.471.9933	
4:12 8:20 10:9	time 5:10 6:17	9:25,25 10:1,1	3:21	
14:13	7:4 9:23 10:15	12:9,9,20	<b>36.5</b> 8:23 9:25	
Stenotype 17:8	12:5,8 17:6,10	13:10,14,22	14:18	
17:10	<b>TIMOTHY</b> 3:3	14:19	360 2:20	
step 8:24	to-date 13:21	we've 7:18 8:23	<b>393.1030.3</b> 7:22	
stipulated 11:11	14:1	welcome 5:19	4	
stipulation 7:18	today 5:16 6:4	6:14	<b>4</b> 14:16	
8:16,22 9:24	totaling 11:10	Williams 2:16	<b>400</b> 2:11	
14:14	transcribing 6:8	4:17,18 11:15	<b>426</b> 1:24	
		7.17,10 11.13	74U 1.44	

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662