

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.

In the Matter of KCP&L Great Missouri Operations )  
Company's Submission of its 2013 Renewable ) **File No. EO-2014-0290**  
Energy Standard Compliance Report )

**NOTICE REGARDING 2013 RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD  
COMPLIANCE REPORT**

Issue Date: August 27, 2014

On April 15, 2013, KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO") filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") its 2013 Annual Renewable Energy Standard Compliance Report ("Report") pursuant to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-20.100. The Commission's rule requires the Staff of the Commission to review the utility's compliance report and to file a report identifying any deficiencies.<sup>1</sup>

On June 30, 2014, Staff filed its report stating that it did not find any deficiencies in the GMO Report. The Commission's rule also allows the Office of the Public Counsel and other interested persons or entities to file comments regarding GMO's Report.<sup>2</sup> The Missouri Division of Energy filed comments stating that it has certified all renewable energy generation facilities referred to in the Report. Earth Island Institute d/b/a Renew Missouri filed comments alleging that GMO failed to adequately explain the amount of solar rebate payments made to KCP&L Solar and how compliance for 2013 was under the 1% cap and

---

<sup>1</sup> 4 CSR 240-20.100(7)(D).

<sup>2</sup> 4 CSR 240-20.100(7)(E).

that GMO unlawfully acquired solar renewable energy credits from sources outside of Missouri and denied solar rebate applications during 2013. The Missouri Solar Energy Industries Association filed comments disagreeing with how GMO calculated its retail rate impact and the results of those calculations.

The Commission's regulation does not specify what, if any, action the Commission is to take regarding GMO's Report and any alleged deficiencies in that Report, except to allow the Commission to "establish a procedural schedule if necessary".<sup>3</sup> After considering the submitted comments, the Commission concludes that no further order from the Commission is appropriate at this time.

If the organizations that submitted comments, or anyone else, want to further pursue their contention that GMO has failed to comply with the requirements of the renewable energy standard statute or the Commission's implementing regulations, they may do so by filing a complaint pursuant to Section 4 CSR 240-20.100(8)(A) and the statutes and regulations governing complaints before the Commission.



**BY THE COMMISSION**

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Morris L. Woodruff".

Morris L. Woodruff  
Secretary

R. Kenney, Chm., Stoll, W. Kenney,  
Hall, and Rupp, CC., concur.

Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

---

<sup>3</sup> 4 CSR 240-20.100(7)(F).