Exhibit No.: Issue: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Chapter 100 financing John M. Kiebel II MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony EO-2005-0156 June 13, 2005

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

### **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

OF

## JOHN M. KIEBEL II, CPA, CMA, CIA, CFM

AQUILA, INC.

## CASE NO. EO-2005-0156

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2005

Exhibit No	]
Case No(s). FO-2005-	
Date 9-21-05 Rptr	

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Aquila, Inc., for Authority to Acquire, Sell and Lease Back Three Natural Gas-Fired Combustion Turbine Power Generation Units and Related Improvements to be Installed and Operated in the City of Peculiar, Missouri

Case No. EO-2005-0156

#### AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN M. KIEBEL II, CPA, CMA, CIA, CFM

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )

John M. Kiebel II, CPA, CMA, CIA, CFM, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $_{//}$  pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

ohn M. Kiebel II, CPA, CMA, CIA, CFM

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $10^{14}$  day of June 2005.



Suzillankin

Notary

i			
1	i i		
		· · ·	
	1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
	. 2	OF	
	3	JOHN M. KIEBEL II, CPA, CMA, CIA, CFM	
	4	AQUILA, INC.	
	5	CASE NO. EO-2005-0156	
ł	. 6	Q. Please state your name and business address.	
	7	A. John M. Kiebel II, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360.	
	. 8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
,	9	A. I am employed as a Utility Management Analyst III for the staff of the	
	. 10	Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or PSC).	
,	11	Q. Describe your educational background.	
	12	A. I graduated from the University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, with a Bachelor of	
	13	Business Administration degree in Finance in December 1979. I graduated from Lincoln	
t T	14	University, Jefferson City, Missouri with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration	
	15	degree in Accounting in December 1993. I also graduated from Lincoln with a Masters of	
:	16	Business Administration degree in December 1998.	
	17	I have passed four professional certification examinations. I passed the Uniform	
	18	Certified Public Accountant (CPA) examination in May 1988, the Certified Management	,
	19	Accountant (CMA) examination in June 1992, the Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)	
•	20	examination in November 1994, and the Certified Financial Manager (CFM) examination in	
	21	June 1999. I am a licensed CPA in Missouri.	
ı.	22	Q. Describe your professional background.	
ı			
ł.			
		l , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

A. I worked for the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency in Sioux City,
 Iowa, from January 1980 through February 1982. I was an assistant national bank examiner,
 participating in the examination of approximately 40 national banks in northwest Iowa and
 northeast Nebraska. The examinations focused on a review of the banks' capital structure,
 quality of assets, overall opinion of management, adequacy of earnings, and the composition
 of the banks' liquidity.

I worked for Central Bancompany, Incorporated, in Jefferson City, Missouri, from
February 1982 through July 1984. I was an assistant internal auditor for a large Missouri
privately held multi-bank holding company. My responsibilities included performing
operational audits in the banks' major balance sheet classifications. I also assisted the firm of
Peat Marwick (now KPMG) in its annual audit of the holding company's financial
statements.

I worked as an adjunct instructor for State Fair Community College (SFCC) in
Jefferson City, Missouri, from January 2000 through December 2003. I taught Principles of
Financial Accounting for seven semesters, and served on the SFCC Accounting Advisory
Committee for two years. I also taught Principles of Managerial Accounting for one
semester at Lincoln during 2002.

I worked as a subcontractor for American College Testing (ACT), Incorporated, in
Iowa City, Iowa, from January 2002 through December 2004. I wrote and reviewed
examination questions for three of the four sections of the computerized CPA examination
test bank being developed by ACT and the American Institute of Certified Public
Accountants (AICPA).

1	I worked for Liberty Tax Service in Jefferson City, Missouri, from January 2005
2	through April 2005. I prepared electronic Federal and State income tax returns for individual
3	and business clients for the 2004 income tax season.
4	I have been working for the Commission's Management Services Department (MSD)
5	since August 1984, assessing management controls and operational efficiencies. I transferred
6	to the Accounting Department in January 1996, and transferred back to MSD in
7	January 1998. The MSD was renamed in February 2000 to the Engineering and
8	Management Services Department (EMSD).
9	In addition to my present duties in EMSD, I am currently assisting the Commission's
10	Financial Analysis Department on a temporary basis, helping to determine the appropriate
11	cost of capital.
12	Q. What has been the nature of your duties while in the employ of the
13	Commission?
14	A. I have conducted and jointly participated in numerous docketed and
15	undocketed cases over the past 20-plus years. I have participated in several operational
16	reviews of utility companies, including many focused reviews of utility customer service
17	practices.
18	Q. Describe your current position with the PSC staff.
19	A. I was transferred from the EMSD to the Financial Analysis Department on a
20	temporary full-time basis in April 2004. The transfer occurred as a result of the Manager of
21	Financial Analysis being deployed in the war against Iraq. The Manager was originally
22	scheduled to be deployed for one year, but recently was scheduled to be deployed for a
23	second year.

1	Q. Have you we	rked in Financial Analysis Department before?
2	A. Yes. I work	ed in Financial Analysis for approximately four months in 1998
3	when another employee of t	he department was on an extended leave.
4	Q. Have you file	ed testimony in other cases before the Commission?
5	A. Yes. Please	refer to Schedule 1 for a list of these cases.
6	Q. What is the p	urpose of your rebuttal testimony in this case?
7	A. The purpose	of my rebuttal testimony is to recommend to the Commission
8	that Aquila, Inc. (Aquila), s	subject to certain conditions, be granted permission to enter into
9	the proposed transaction v	with the City of Peculiar, Missouri (Peculiar) related to the
10	construction of an electric p	ower generation station in an unincorporated area of Cass County
11	located near Peculiar by n	naking payments to Peculiar in lieu of property tax payments
12	through tax-advantaged Cha	pter 100 revenue bonds.
13	Q. Under what s	tandard are you evaluating this proposed transaction?
14	A. The standard	of not detrimental to the public interest.
15	Q. Are there oth	er Staff witnesses in this case?
16	A. Yes. Staff v	vitnesses Cary G. Featherstone and Philip K. Williams provide
17	information regarding other	aspects of Aquila's application in their rebuttal testimony. My
18	testimony focuses on the fin	ancing aspects of Chapter 100.
19	Q. What is Chap	ter 100?
20	A. Chapter 100	refers to the Missouri economic development laws found in
21	Chapter 100 of the Missouri	Revised Statutes (RSMo). This financing option was created by
22	the Missouri Legislature dur	ing the late 1990s to allow entities, including public utilities, the
23	opportunity to make capit	al investments by entering into contractual agreements with
	)	

political subdivisions such as municipalities so that the political subdivision owns legal title
 to the property and the entities make payments to the political subdivision in lieu of property
 taxes. It appears to be the lowest cost financing option for Aquila and its ratepayers.

4

13

Q. What sections of Chapter 100 deal with economic development?

5 According to a July 2004 presentation Aquila made to school districts, А. 6 Sections 100.000 through 100.200 grant political subdivisions (typically counties and cities) 7 the right to offer economic incentives, without size restrictions. Section 100.250 through 100.297 created the Missouri Development Finance Board (Finance Board) within the 8 9 Department of Economic Development. Sections 100.300 through 100.620 grant each city the right to create a Planned Industrial Expansion Authority. Sections 100.700 through 10 100.850 grant additional authority to the Finance Board to provide economic development 11 12 incentives to large-scale businesses, with some size restrictions.

Q. Has this financing option been used by Missouri utilities before?

A. Yes. Aquila used this financing mechanism to construct the Aries I project in
2001. That plant is now owned by Calpine. AmerenUE used this financing mechanism to
construct its Bowling Green power plant.

Q. Has a financing case, using this option ever been presented to the Commission
for approval before?

A. Yes. The Commission considered and approved the option for AmerenUE's
Bowling Green power plant in Case No. EO-2003-0035.

21 Q. What is Peculiar's role in this financing with Aquila?

A. Peculiar has authority under Section 100.010 through 100.200 to issue and sell
revenue bonds for the purpose of paying all or part of the cost of purchasing, constructing or

1	improving ar	ny project to be leased to a private person or corporation for industrial
2	development	purposes.
3	Q.	What does Aquila gain from using this financing vehicle?
4	A	It obtains tax abatement.
5	Q.	How will tax abatement financing work in this case?
6	· A.	This tax-abated financing will be effected through:
7 8		• a trust indenture, pursuant to which Peculiar will issue Chapter 100 revenue bonds;
9 10		• a lease agreement, pursuant to which Peculiar will lease the project to Aquila;
11 12 13		• the Agreement, pursuant to which Aquila will make 'payment in lieu of taxes' (PILOT) payments to Peculiar while Peculiar owns the project and purchases the Chapter 100 revenue bonds, and
14 15 16		• a deed of trust, pursuant to which Peculiar will encumber the project and favor Aquila as security for the Chapter 100 revenue bonds and the PILOT payments to Peculiar.
17	Q.	Is Aquila requesting anything else in this Application?
18	А.	Yes. Aquila is requesting authorization to cause the project's assets to be
19	pledged and c	conveyed to the trustee under the indenture as security for the benefit of the
20	bondholders.	The specific and additional related elements of relief being requested by
21	Aquila are set	forth in the prayer of the Application
22	Q.	What is the total amount of the payments that Aquila will be making to
23	Peculiar over 1	the course of the Agreement?
24	А.	Aquila will pay Peculiar approximately \$7.3 million through December 31,
25	2035. Accord	ing to Aquila, 100% of the PILOT payments will stay in Cass County.

1	Aquila will make two payments during 2005. The first payment of \$214,455 is				
2	scheduled to be made when the transaction closes this summer. The second payment of				
3	\$241,832 is scheduled to be made on or around September 1, 2005. Subsequent annual				
4	payments of \$241,832 are scheduled to be made on each December 31 from 2006 through				
5	2031. Smaller annual payments are scheduled to be made from 2032 through 2035.				
6	Q. Can you quantify the benefit to Aquila from this arrangement?				
7	A. Yes. According to Aquila, the PILOT payments are sufficiently lower than				
8	the property taxes it would otherwise pay. Aquila expects the PILOT payments to generate a				
9	net saving of \$14 million to \$17 million over the expected 30-year life of the revenue bonds.				
10	Q. How will the transaction be structured?				
11	A. The transaction will be structured through a capital lease arrangement with				
12	Aquila controlling the property and, as long as Peculiar owns the project, the property will be				
13	exempt from property taxes by any applicable taxing authority.				
14	Q. What portion of the value of its assets assessed by the state does Aquila				
15	believe would be assigned to the Cass County school system for school tax purposes?				
16	A. Aquila believes that Cass County would receive 9.4% of the value assigned by				
17	the Missouri State Tax Commission (Tax Commission).				
18	Q. Does the Tax Commission concur with Aquila's stated position?				
19	A. Yes.				
20	Q. Please explain.				
21	A. According to the Tax Commission's July 13, 2004 letter to Aquila, Aquila				
22	"correctly characterizes the assessment of the distributable property of electric power				

1	companies.	The property of such companies is categorized either as distributable property,	
2	which is ass	essed by the state, or as local property, assessed by the county assessor."	
3	Q.	Are there any entities that could potentially be adversely affected by Aquila's	
4	use of Chapt	ter 100 financing?	
5	А.	Yes. The Superintendent of the East Lynne School District has alleged a	
6	potential los	s of more than \$500,000 in property tax revenues that would be paid to that	
7	district if Aq	uila were to use conventional financing rather than Chapter 100 financing.	
8	Q.	Has the Tax Commission addressed this concern?	
9	А.	Yes. The Tax Commission stated in a July 2004 letter to Aquila that Aquila's	
10	interpretation	n of the availability of Chapter 100 financing is correct and that the interpretation	
11	of the local school district is incorrect.		
12	In the	at letter, the Tax Commission states that:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	- - - - -	"the major components of power plants are distributed property. The State Tax Commission assessed this property, and certifies the value to the county based upon the proportion of pole miles within the political subdivision to the total pole miles over the electric utility's service territoryIn 2004, Cass County has about 1,058 pole miles of the 11,228 pole miles in the Aquila system, or about 9.4% of the allocation of the assessed value of Aquila's distributable property."	
20	Q.	Do you know how the Tax Commission determines what tax revenues to	
21	distribute to	schools in Missouri?	
22	А.	Yes. According to the Tax Commission letter:	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		"The disbursement to schools differs slightly in that a county wide average tax rate is determined and applied to the certified value and distributed to the schools within the county based upon the number of children (membership) in each schoolHowever, the assessed value to which this rate is applied is the assessed value of the <u>distributable</u> property of Aquilacertified by the State Tax Commission based upon the proportion of pole milesTaxes on <u>local</u> property are figured using the same rate and disbursement method as other property."	

The letter continues:

1

2

3

À

5

6

7

8

9

"Therefore, the value of the plant built in Cass County, once completed, will be distributed proportionately throughout company's system based upon pole miles. The various taxing entities apply their levies to the value certified for their jurisdiction, with the exception of schools, which apply their average levy to the value certified to the county for that purpose. The value certified to the county to which the average levy is applied is based upon the proportion of that county's pole miles to the total pole miles of the system."

Q. Should Aquila use the Chapter 100 financing option regardless of the local
school district receiving less tax revenue than it would if Aquila owned the property rather
than the City of Peculiar?

- A. Yes. It appears that Aquila has selected the lowest cost financing option
  available. Aquila has a fiduciary responsibility to its shareholders and an obligation to its
  customers to utilize low cost financing whenever it is practical.
- Q. Do you believe that the Commission should consider the potential lost school
  district tax revenue in determining the outcome of this application?
- 18 A.
- 19 Q. Why?

No.

- A. The legislation was established about a decade ago to encourage investments
  of this type. If the school district or the Commission believes that local school districts are
  irreparably harmed, either could seek redress from the Missouri legislature to change the law.
  This is at least the third time that such a financing mechanism has been used to help
  investor-owned utilities in Missouri try to encourage infrastructure requirements with a low
  cost financing option.
- 26 Q. Is the school district part of the public that the Commission is charged with27 protecting?

1	A. Yes. However, the school district is just one of many constituencies that the
. 2	Commission must protect during the course of this proceeding. If the Commission were to
· 4	Commission must protect during the course of this protecting. If the Commission were to
. 3	deny the Application as proposed by Aquila, ratepayers would be unfairly subsidizing the
4	local school district through unnecessarily higher rates as well as the current level of property
5	tax.
6	Q. Please summarize your testimony.
7	A. Aquila has made a prudent business decision to seek Chapter 100 financing in
8	this Application. It is my recommendation that the Commission approve this aspect of
9	Aquila's application under the not detrimental to the public interest standard, subject to the
10	following conditions that are similar to the conditions ordered by the Commission in the
11	Bowling Green case to AmerenUE (Case No. EO-2005-0035):
12	• Aquila continues to record the land and improvements (combustion turbines)
13	that are the subject of this transaction as a regulatory assets on the books of
14	the MPS division similar to other utility property that Aquila owns.
15	• Aquila records the investment described above in accordance with the
16	Uniform System of Accounts as adopted by the Commission for record
17	keeping purposes.
18	• Aquila depreciates the combustion turbines at the annual rate of 4.00%, which
19	reflects a 25-year useful life. This is the present depreciation rate for Account
20	No. 344 (Other Production Plant – Generators).
21	• Aquila records each PILOT payment to operating expense during the 30-year
22	term of the loan as each PILOT payment is made.
23	• Aquila must seek Commission approval before it transfers any of the rights it
24	will hold pursuant to the lease where such rights are necessary or useful in the
-	

provision of regulated utility service, including the right to purchase the facility at the end of the lease.

• The Commission's Order should reflect that no ratemaking determination is being made by the Commission in this proceeding.

5 6

1

2

3

4

Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

Q.

# CASE PARTICIPATION

# JOHN M. KIEBEL, II

Date Filed	Issues	Case Number	Exhibit	Case Name
9/20/2004	Customer Service	ER-2004-0570	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
06/21/2000	Customer Service	EM-2000-369	Rebuttal	UtiliCorp United Inc. / Empire District Electric Company
03/13/1998	Automated Meter Reading	GR-98-140	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company
09/05/1997	Payroll Taxes; Pension; Payroll; Post Retirement Benefits	GR-97-393	Direct	Union Electric Company
02/13/1997	Cash Working Capital; Property Taxes; Miscellaneous Taxes	ER-97-81	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
06/05/1990	Management Duplication	ER-90-101	Direct	Missouri Public Service
03/22/1990	Management Efficiency/Service Line Replacement Program	GR-90-50	Direct	Kansas Power & Light Company of Topeka, Kansas
08/12/1989	Affiliated Companies	TC-89-14	Direct	Southwestern Bell Telephone Company