Exhibit No.: Issue: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Gas Inventory Anne M. Allee MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony GR-2004-0209 May 24, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ANNE M. ALLEE

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2004-0209

Jefferson City, Missouri May 2004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariffs to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service

Case No. GR-2004-0209

AFFIDAVIT OF ANNE M. ALLEE

| STATE OF MISSOURI |) | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| |) | ss. |
| COUNTY OF COLE |) | |

Anne M. Allee, being of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following rebuttal testimony in question and answer form, consisting of $_4_$ pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following rebuttal testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Inn M. aller

Al Chast





| 1 | | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | OF | |
| 3 | | ANNE M. ALLEE | |
| 4 | | MISSOURI GAS ENERGY | |
| 5 | | CASE NO. GR-2004-0209 | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. | |
| 7 | А. | Anne M. Allee, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. | |
| 8 | Q. | Are you the same Anne M. Allee who has previously filed direct testimony in | |
| 9 | this case? | | |
| 10 | А. | Yes. I filed direct testimony on April 15, 2004. | |
| 11 | Q. | What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony? | |
| 12 | А. | The purpose of my testimony is to respond to Missouri Gas Energy (MGE or | |
| 13 | Company) witness Michael R. Noack's direct testimony regarding gas in inventory. | | |
| 14 | Specifically, I will address the natural gas price used to establish the dollar value of natural | | |
| 15 | gas in inventory. | | |
| 16 | Q. | Describe Mr. Noack's method of calculating the value of inventory. | |
| 17 | А. | Mr. Noack calculates a thirteen-month average of the gas storage volumes for | |
| 18 | December 2002 through December 2003. He then prices the gas in storage at an estimated | | |
| 19 | injection price of \$5.35. | | |
| 20 | Q. | Do you agree with Mr. Noack's calculation of value of gas in inventory? | |
| 21 | А. | I agree that Mr. Noack's calculation is mathematically correct; however, I do | |
| 22 | not agree that \$5.35 is a reasonable injection price. | | |
| 23 | Q. | Why doesn't the Staff agree with the Company's \$5.35 injection price? | |
| | | | |

Rebuttal Testimony of Anne M. Allee

- A. Mr. Noack's price is not based on known and measurable natural gas injection
 prices; rather, it is based on the average New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) futures
 prices for the 2004 storage injection season. The storage injection season generally runs
 from April through October annually.
- 5 Q. Why do you believe MGE's estimated injection price is based upon NYMEX
 6 futures prices?

A. The Company states in its response to Staff Data Request No. 176, "The price
used was the forward looking index price on average for the next injection cycle. The web
site used is: 'http://futures.tradingcharts.com/marketquotes/index.php3?market=1NG'." In
other words, Mr. Noack estimates the price of gas using an average of the natural gas futures
price for April 2004 through October 2004.

- Q. Please continue to explain why Staff doesn't believe the Company's
 recommended \$5.35 injection price should be used to set rates.
- 14 A. The \$5.35 is not based upon a known and measurable price. Generally, 15 NYMEX futures prices have not been a particularly reliable predictor of future natural gas 16 prices. For instance, the June 30, 2000 NYMEX futures settlement price for January 2001 17 delivery was \$4.52; however, the January 2001 first-of-month index for Williams Natural 18 Gas was \$9.98. Similarly, the February 28, 2001 NYMEX futures settlement price for 19 February 2002 delivery was \$5.36; however, the February 2002 first-of-month index for 20 Williams Natural Gas was \$1.90. Both of these examples demonstrate that the actual gas 21 price can ultimately be either significantly above or below the NYMEX futures price.

Traditionally, the Commission has used known and measurable information to set
 rates, and Staff has used known and measurable injection prices to calculate gas utilities'
 storage levels.

MGE's storage injection price of gas has also been extremely volatile. To give an
idea of the volatility during 2001 through 2003 period, MGE's natural gas storage injection
price experienced a monthly low of \$2.90 and a monthly high of \$6.49. The Company's
April through October overall weighted average injection price was \$4.47, \$3.36, and \$5.83
for 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

9 Q. How does the Staff propose to calculate a rate base value for MGE's gas in
10 storage inventory?

A. Staff used a three-year average to level out these peaks and valleys in injection prices. The Company's estimated price of gas has been limited to the April through October 2004 futures price. The Staff doesn't believe that this is a sufficient length of time to capture variations in factors that affect the price of natural gas such as weather and national storage levels, etc. Thus, Staff has used an average of MGE's 2003, 2002 and 2001 storage injection prices.

17

Q. What other problems do you see with the Company's estimated price of gas?

A. The Company is basing its estimated injection price on current natural gas prices. However, the rates being set from this proceeding probably won't go into effect until six months from now and continue through the next couple of years until the next MGE rate case. Gas prices can change drastically in six months. For example, in 2001, MGE's storage injection price was \$5.61 in April and dropped to a price of \$2.90 in September; a decrease in price of \$2.71 in six months.

Rebuttal Testimony of Anne M. Allee

1

Q. How does MGE's proposed higher price of gas in storage impact customers?

2 A. Since MGE purchases the gas injected into storage during the summer months 3 to use in the winter months, rates are set that allow MGE to collect carrying costs on the 4 storage inventory value. By setting rates based upon the larger MGE estimated storage 5 value, the Company would be collecting a higher return. If the cost of injection gas is lower 6 than that estimated by MGE, the customers would continue to pay rates based on the 7 overstated inventory value. The Company would not refund these costs to the customers; 8 MGE would continue earning a return on the higher valued storage inventory until the next 9 rate case.

10

Q. What are some of the factors that may affect the natural gas price?

A. There are several factors that influence the price of gas: oil prices, industrial
 demand, drilling rig counts, national storage levels, weather and electric generation using gas
 fired combustion turbines. The factors affecting natural gas prices are numerous and subject
 to change.

15

Q.

A.

Please summarize your testimony.

A. Even though MGE's price of natural gas has been extremely volatile, the
Company has limited its analysis of natural gas storage injection prices to the summer 2004
futures price. Staff's methodology is based upon known and measurable data over three
years, not just estimates of future gas prices.

- 20
- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 21
- Yes, it does.