

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Evidentiary Hearing
June 5, 2012
Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 4

In the Matter of the Third)
Prudence Review of Costs)
Subject to the Commission-) File No. EO-2011-0390
Approved Fuel Adjustment)
Clause of KCP&L Greater)
Missouri Operations Company.)

HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding,
DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

STEPHEN M. STOLL,
COMMISSIONER.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES:

JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law
Fischer & Dority
101 Madison, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 65101
(573)636-6758
jfisherpc@aol.com

ROGER W. STEINER, Corporate Counsel
Kansas City Power & Light
P.O. Box 418679
120 Main - 16th Floor
Kansas City, MO 64141
(816)556-2314
Roger.steiner@kcpl.com
FOR: Kansas City Power & Light.

STUART CONRAD, Attorney at Law (Via Telephone)
Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
3100 Broadway, Suite 209
1209 Penntower Officer Center
Kansas City, MO 64111
(816)753-1122
stucon@fcplaw.com
FOR: SIEUA and AG Processing.

LEWIS R. MILLS, JR., Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 2230
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573)751-4857
FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
and the Public.

KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel
MEGHAN McCLOWRY, Legal Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573)751-3234
FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
Service Commission.

REPORTED BY:
KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES

1 (WHEREUPON, the hearing began at 8:29 a.m.)

2 (STAFF EXHIBIT NOS. 1 THROUGH 3 WERE MARKED
3 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Good morning.
5 It is Tuesday, June 5th, 2012. The Commission has set
6 this time for an evidentiary hearing in the File
7 No. EO-2011-0390, which is captioned as in the matter of
8 the third prudence review of costs subject to the
9 Commission's approved fuel adjustment clause, KCP&L
10 Greater Missouri Operations Company.

11 My name is Harold Stearley, and I'm the
12 Regulatory Law Judge presiding over today's hearing. We
13 will begin by taking entries of appearance, starting with
14 the Commission Staff.

15 MR. THOMPSON: Kevin A. Thompson and
16 Meghan E. McLowry for the Staff of the Missouri Public
17 Service Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
18 Missouri 65102.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
20 For GMO.

21 MR. FISCHER: Let the record reflect the
22 appearance of Roger W. Steiner and James M. Fischer. Our
23 contact information is on the written forms.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
25 Mr. Fischer. For the Office of Public Counsel.

1 MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of the
2 Public Counsel and the public, my name is Lewis Mills. My
3 address is Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City,
4 Missouri 65102.

5 JUDGE STEARLEY: For the Sedalia Industrial
6 Energy Users Association and for AG Processing? Both
7 represented by same counsel. We'll let the record reflect
8 there's been no entry of appearance.

9 For the Commercial Group? And we'll let
10 the record reflect there is no entry of appearance for the
11 Commercial Group. This entity and the Federal Executive
12 Agencies, which I also don't believe anyone's here for,
13 were both made automatic parties to this suit by operation
14 of Commission rules. They have not entered appearances or
15 added any filings in any way to this proceeding.

16 Dogwood Energy, LLC had previously asked to
17 be excused from the hearing, and they were excused.

18 And Mr. Mills, while we're on entries, I
19 noticed that Public Counsel did not file a position
20 statement in this matter. Would you like to tell us what
21 your position is?

22 MR. MILLS: Judge, with respect to this
23 case, when Senate Bill 179 was passed by the Legislature
24 in 2005 authorizing the Commission to approve fuel
25 adjustment clauses, the Public Counsel's office said that

1 we needed three additional FTEs in order to be able to
2 handle the additional work. The Legislature did not see
3 fit to give us those FTEs, and as a result, we typically
4 have not been involved in prudence adjustments with --
5 arising from fuel adjustment clause cases, and the same is
6 the case for this case.

7 So it's my intention to simply enter an
8 appearance and then ask to be excused from the remainder
9 of the proceedings.

10 JUDGE STEARLEY: Very well. Do you want to
11 be excused now or did you want to stay for opening
12 statements?

13 MR. MILLS: I would like to stay and listen
14 to opening statements, but then I plan to excuse myself --

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Very well.

16 MR. MILLS: -- by your leave. Thank you.

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: As per usual, I must
18 advise everyone to please turn off your electronic
19 devices, cell phones, Blackberries, et cetera, which can
20 interfere with our recording and webcasting.

21 Witnesses for today, I know we have the
22 company's witnesses scheduled, which include Dr. C.K. Woo,
23 William Edward Blunk, Scott H. Heidtbrink, Gary L.
24 Clemens, Ryan A. Bresette and Tim Rush. Staff's
25 witnesses -- I don't know if we'll be reaching them today.

1 They're scheduled tentatively tomorrow -- include
2 Charles R. Hyneman, Lena M. Mantle and Dana E. Eaves. Is
3 that correct?

4 MR. THOMPSON: That's correct, Judge, and
5 Staff's witnesses are all present and available, and if we
6 do reach them today, we're fully prepared to go forward
7 with them.

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Are there any
9 preliminary matters we need to take up at this time?

10 (No response.)

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We'll go ahead
12 and proceed to opening statements. The parties have
13 indicated they were going to allow GMO to go first.
14 Mr. Fischer.

15 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge. Good
16 morning. May it please the Commission?

17 My name is Jim Fischer, and Roger Steiner
18 and I will be representing KCPL Greater Missouri
19 Operations Company today. I guess I should welcome
20 Commissioner Stoll back to the Bench. Unfortunately, this
21 is one of the more technical hearings you're going to
22 hear, but welcome to the Commission.

23 COMMISSIONER STOLL: It will be a good
24 learning experience.

25 MR. FISCHER: This case involves a prudence

1 review related to GMO's fuel adjustment clause for the
2 period of June the 1st, 2009 through November 30th of
3 2010. As a result, the Commission's traditional prudence
4 standard, and I'm going to talk about that in a minute,
5 will apply to the issues in this case.

6 On November 28th of 2011, the Staff filed
7 its Staff Report which gave the company a clean bill of
8 health on everything except one area, and that one area
9 involves GMO's longstanding cross hedging program using
10 natural gas futures contracts to hedge the risk associated
11 with its purchases of electricity, what we call spot
12 purchased power.

13 Now, the Staff's proposed disallowance and
14 refund of GMO's hedging costs is based upon the contention
15 that Staff has found GMO was imprudent in its use of
16 natural gas hedges to mitigate risk associated with future
17 purchases in the spot power market. That's on the second
18 page of the Staff's Report.

19 But more specifically, the Staff is
20 contending that the two markets, the purchased power
21 market and the NYMEX natural gas futures markets are not
22 linked sufficiently that a prudent person would use
23 purchases in the natural gas market to prudently offset
24 the risk of price volatility in the spot purchased power
25 market. As GMO's experts are going to tell you today,

1 this Staff position is erroneous and should be rejected by
2 the Commission.

3 Now, in the position statement that was
4 filed by the Staff on May the 25th, Staff counsel raised a
5 new issue that was not addressed in the Staff Report or
6 the Staff's testimony that I can find. Staff's position
7 statement makes the following new allegation: GMO was
8 imprudent in that it relied on an overly rigid market
9 insensitive cross hedging program.

10 There's no competent and substantial
11 evidence in the prefiled testimony that supports this
12 allegation, but the evidence will show that GMO has
13 previously addressed a similar Staff concern regarding
14 Aquila, the company that was previously called -- or was
15 known as GMO. Today we're called GMO. We used to be
16 called Aquila, and then we were acquired by Great Plains
17 Energy, part of the Kansas City Power & Light family.

18 But anyway, the Staff had previously raised
19 a concern regarding Aquila's past hedging program,
20 something that was sometimes called the one-third program,
21 and in that case, the Staff suggested, too, that it was
22 too rigid and too systematic.

23 Now, after the Staff criticized that
24 one-third program, the company modified its program and
25 began utilizing the same program that's used by Kansas

1 City Power & Light Company, a program known as the Kase
2 program. Staff had suggested that KCPL's program was
3 better than the Aquila one-third program because it was
4 ledge rigid and allowed the company to use market trends
5 in its decisions about the timing of placing these hedges.

6 The company specifically adopted this plan
7 and addressed Staff's concerns that the one-third program
8 was overly rigid and market insensitive.

9 The evidence in this case will show that
10 the Kase program that's used now by both Kansas City
11 Power & Light Company and GMO is not rigid and it's not
12 market insensitive as suggested by the Staff's position
13 statement.

14 The Commission has previously held in two
15 Iatan 2 rate cases, which is -- was the GMO and KCPL rate
16 cases of 2010, and in an Atmos PGA case as well as the
17 associated Natural Gas case and some older cases involving
18 the Callaway nuclear power plant and the Wolf Creek
19 nuclear power plant that there's a presumption of prudence
20 related to public utility expenditures, and the Commission
21 courts and the Missouri courts have agreed with that.

22 In particular, the presumption of prudence
23 and the prudence standard has been addressed by the courts
24 in a case known as State Ex rel Associated Natural Gas
25 versus the Public Service Commission, 554 SW 2nd 520.

1 The Commission and the court's decisions
2 have held that when other parties raise a serious doubt as
3 to the prudence of those expenditures, then the public
4 utility has the obligation to come forward and rebut those
5 allegations to meet its burden of proof.

6 Now, under this legal standard, it takes
7 competent and substantial evidence to raise a serious
8 doubt of imprudence to shift the burden back to the
9 utility. Now, this legal requirement is different than
10 merely including a proposed disallowance in testimony
11 without any evidence of imprudence to support it.

12 In this case, the company doesn't believe
13 that the Staff has raised a serious doubt regarding the
14 company's cross hedging program. The Staff has not
15 presented competent and substantial evidence to show that
16 the company's actions were in any way unreasonable or not
17 consistent with accepted industry practices. However, the
18 company will be addressing in quite a bit of detail the
19 allegations of Staff.

20 The company's conduct under the prudence
21 standard should be judged by asking whether the conduct
22 was reasonable at the time under all the circumstances,
23 considering that the company had to solve the problem
24 prospectively rather than reliance on hindsight.

25 Finally, in order to support a

1 disallowance, the Staff or other parties to the case must
2 satisfy a two-pronged test. First, the Staff must
3 demonstrate that GMO had acted imprudently based upon
4 industry standards and the circumstances that occurred at
5 the time the decision was being made. And second, the
6 Staff must provide proof of increased costs that resulted
7 from this imprudent decision.

8 Now, to meet that standard, a party must
9 provide competent and substantial evidence establishing
10 this causal link or what I call a nexus between the
11 alleged imprudent action and the costs incurred. We don't
12 believe Staff has met that burden either.

13 In this proceeding, the company will be
14 presenting the testimony of five witnesses who will
15 address the prudence and the accounting issues raised in
16 the case. The company's outside expert, Dr. C.K. Woo, is
17 an economist and a renowned expert on cross hedging. He
18 will explain the basis for using natural gas futures
19 contracts to hedge the price risk associated with spot
20 purchased power.

21 He's reviewed the level of correlation
22 between the natural gas and the electric prices and will
23 testify that these markets are highly correlated. He will
24 also give his opinion that GMO acted prudently by using
25 this common hedging technique to hedge the price of

1 electricity using natural gas futures.

2 Mr. Ed Blunk, the company's supply planning
3 manager, will explain how the company uses natural gas
4 futures to mitigate the price risk associated with spot
5 purchased power costs and the reasons for doing so. He
6 will testify that these cross hedges meet the tests that
7 are used by the industry for demonstrating that the hedges
8 are what's called highly effective. He will also address
9 the specifics of GMO's cross hedging program during this
10 particular FAC review period.

11 Now, Mr. Scott Heidtbrink, KCPL's chief
12 operating officer, will testify about the history of the
13 company's cross hedging program going back to its
14 initiation in 2004. Mr. Heidtbrink was at Aquila during
15 those years, and he can discuss the history around its
16 initiation.

17 Mr. Gary Clemens was also at Aquila when
18 the cross hedging program began and is personally familiar
19 with discussions with the Staff and other parties in those
20 past Aquila rate cases.

21 Mr. Ryan Bresette, the company's assistant
22 controller, he oversees margin accounting and derivative
23 accounting. He will explain the basis for the accounting
24 related to these hedges and will address specific
25 accounting questions raised by the Staff.

1 Finally, Mr. Tim Rush will address the
2 company's interactions with the Staff over the hedging
3 programs and the issues around those programs, the details
4 around the company's fuel adjustment clause tariffs, and
5 he'll rebut suggestions by the Staff that the hedging
6 costs were not expected to be flowed through the fuel
7 adjustment clause mechanism.

8 I'd like to just briefly highlight some of
9 the major conclusions that will flow from the evidence in
10 this case. The evidence is going to show that first cross
11 hedging spot purchased power with natural gas futures
12 contracts is a widely accepted method of hedging the risk
13 associated with volatile spot purchased power costs.

14 Second, cross hedging has been used by GMO
15 since 2004. Other companies, Ameren, other major
16 companies around the country also use this particular
17 hedging technique.

18 Cross hedging has been taught by the
19 Electric Power Research Institute since the mid 1990s, and
20 numerous Staff, including the witnesses in this case, Dana
21 Eaves and Chuck Hyneman, have attended webinars presented
22 by the PGS Energy Training where this cross hedging
23 technique was explained and taught.

24 The natural gas and electricity markets are
25 highly correlated, and the hedges themselves are

1 considered highly effective judged by the existing energy
2 or industry and accounting standards. Based upon the
3 correlation coefficients for natural gas and electricity
4 prices, Dr. Woo has concluded it is prudent to use cross
5 hedging to effectively manage daily on-peak risk.

6 Dr. Woo testifies that while the spot
7 electricity market is hourly, NYMEX natural gas futures
8 can still be effectively used to cross hedge the daily
9 on-peak electricity price. And as I'll explain in a
10 moment, Staff doesn't seem to recognize this fact, and I
11 think that's one of the fundamental differences and we
12 believe a flaw in the Staff's analysis in this case.

13 Staff has been aware of GMO's cross hedging
14 program since 2005 and has never previously suggested that
15 it was imprudent to use natural gas contracts to hedge the
16 price of electricity.

17 Finally, the evidence is going to show that
18 GMO will stop placing these cross hedges in the future if
19 the Commission believes it should do so. However, it's
20 fundamentally unfair and we believe unlawful for the
21 Commission to order a refund in this case based upon the
22 flawed analysis of the Staff in its hindsight review.

23 Now, there's also a few takeaways from the
24 evidence that I'd like to mention on the accounting issue.
25 First, the evidence will show that GMO has been properly

1 accounting for its hedging costs since the inception of
2 the program.

3 Second, in a previous Commission Order in
4 the Aquila 2007 rate case, the Commission clearly and
5 unequivocally held that prudently incurred hedging costs
6 should be flowed through GMO's fuel adjustment clause
7 mechanism.

8 Third, the evidence will show that GMO has
9 followed the Stipulation & Agreement in various rate cases
10 which was approved by the Commission and under those --
11 under that stipulation the company was directed to book
12 the hedging costs either to FERC Account 547 or FERC
13 Account 555.

14 In this case, the Staff is arguing that
15 it's imprudent for the company to hedge the risks related
16 to purchased power costs using the well-accepted hedging
17 technique which I've called cross hedging. GMO's been
18 using the cross hedging technique going back to 2004, and
19 the Staff personnel have been aware of this use for about
20 seven years.

21 The Staff auditors even attach the
22 company's hedging plan that mention the cross hedging
23 technique in their testimony in the 2005 and the 2007
24 Aquila rate cases.

25 Staff witness Chuck Hyneman has candidly

1 acknowledged that the Staff auditors have been aware for
2 six or seven years that GMO had been using natural gas
3 futures contracts to hedge the risk associated with
4 purchased power costs. But even though there have been
5 four rate cases and two previous GMO FAC prudence reviews
6 since the Staff became aware of the company's use of the
7 cross hedging technique, this is the very first case in
8 which the Staff has alleged that it was imprudent.

9 Now, if the Staff had informed the company
10 of its position that cross hedging was imprudent before
11 the hedges had been placed, it's highly likely that the
12 company would have stopped the practice and simply played
13 the spot market. The company's been attempting to
14 proactively address Staff's various concerns regarding its
15 hedging program over the years, and there's no reason to
16 believe that it would not have addressed Staff's concerns
17 on cross hedging if the Staff had chosen to share them
18 before it filed a Staff Report recommending the
19 disallowance of \$18.8 million.

20 Tim Rush's testimony includes a rather
21 lengthy discussion of how the company has been addressing
22 Staff's concerns regarding GMO's hedging program over the
23 years. In particular, the Commission should review
24 Mr. Rush's schedule, which is TMR-3, which summarizes the
25 numerous activities and interactions between the company

1 and the Staff related to these hedging programs beginning
2 in July 2004. This schedule gives the dates when the
3 Staff raised concerns or criticisms regarding Aquila's
4 hedging programs, the actions that were taken by the
5 company to address those concerns, the rate cases and the
6 fuel adjustment cases that were completed by Staff where
7 the Staff did not mention any concerns about cross
8 hedging, a Stipulation & Agreement to give the Staff
9 updates on its hedging programs as we go along as they
10 change, and Orders of the Commission finding that there
11 was no imprudence in past FAC audits.

12 The company was surprised and disappointed
13 by Staff's report in this case. Frankly, the company
14 feels it was blind sided because the company thought it
15 had been proactively addressing Staff's past concerns
16 about the hedging program over the years.

17 Now, as I'll explain in a moment, this
18 hedging practice is designed to benefit customers, not the
19 company shareholders. If the Commission believes that the
20 company should not be hedging its purchased power costs or
21 if it believes it should not be cross hedging using
22 natural gas futures as the Staff is suggesting, then the
23 company will stop the program. The company has no desire
24 to use a hedging practice that the company believes is
25 inappropriate or otherwise unreasonable.

1 But it's the company's position that it's
2 unfair and unlawful to declare this accepted hedging
3 practice to be imprudent on its face and then order a
4 refund of a substantial amount of money collected under
5 the fuel adjustment clause. This is especially true when
6 the criticisms of the hedging program are based upon the
7 use of hindsight, as the Staff has done in this case.

8 Frankly, the company does not believe that
9 there is any competent and substantial evidence in the
10 record to support the Staff's proposed disallowance. It
11 would, therefore, be unreasonable to adopt the
12 disallowance being recommended by Staff.

13 But during the depositions in this case,
14 the Staff witnesses confirmed that they were not aware of
15 any Missouri Commission decision that had held that it was
16 imprudent for a company to cross hedge its power costs
17 using natural gas futures. In fact, Staff wasn't able to
18 give us any examples that they'd heard of around the
19 country where other public service commissions had held
20 that it was imprudent for an electric company to cross
21 hedge the risk of its purchased power costs using natural
22 gas futures.

23 I've been talking about cross hedging, but
24 what is it? Staff witness Dana Eaves cites the following
25 definition of cross hedging in his testimony from an

1 on-source site, Investopedia.com. He says it's the act of
2 hedging one's position by taking an offsetting position in
3 another good with similar price movements.

4 Now, that same Investopedia source goes on
5 to give an example of cross hedging. Although the two
6 goods are not identical, they're correlated enough to
7 create a hedge position as long as the prices move in the
8 same direction. A good example is cross hedging a crude
9 oil futures contract with a short position in natural gas.
10 Even though these two products are not identical, their
11 price movements are similar enough to use for hedging
12 purposes.

13 Now, this definition suggests that it's
14 possible to cross hedge a position in natural gas with
15 futures contracts in crude oil even though those
16 commodities aren't identical. There are many examples
17 around the markets where you see that futures -- that
18 there is cross hedging going on.

19 Some examples would include hedging jet
20 fuel with oil futures contracts, hedging the price of
21 ethanol with gasoline futures contracts, hedging grain
22 sorghum prices with corn futures contracts, and many other
23 commodities where there's no specific futures market for
24 the hedged commodity but there is a futures market for
25 something that moves in the same direction and is highly

1 correlated with it.

2 Now, GMO purchases approximate 3 and a half
3 million to 3.9 megawatt hours of power to serve its load
4 at a cost of 120 to \$135 million per year. Substantial
5 numbers. Those purchases represent about 40 percent of
6 GMO's energy requirements. As a result, GMO's customers
7 have a significant exposure to this market. If prices go
8 up and they're not hedged, they're exposed.

9 In the case at hand, the company has used
10 natural gas futures contracts to cross hedge the price of
11 purchased power because there's no viable electric futures
12 market for electricity in the Southwest Power Pool region
13 where GMO operates.

14 On this point, the company and the Staff
15 agree. There's no organized market where GMO could go out
16 and buy electric futures on an economic basis. Therefore,
17 another alternative from the company's perspective is to
18 cross hedge with a commodity, in this case natural gas,
19 that has similar price movements.

20 Staff's position seems to be that there is
21 no reasonable method of hedging electric price risk using
22 financial instruments, but instead the only reasonable
23 methods of hedging for electric price risk from the
24 Staff's perspective is to build power plants or to enter
25 into purchased power agreements.

1 Now, both of these alternatives obviously
2 can be very expensive. If the company stopped its hedging
3 program using financial instruments and then simply played
4 the market and bought purchased power on the spot market
5 at the prevailing price at the time, then the company
6 would just simply pass along those purchased power costs
7 to customers through the fuel adjustment clause, whatever
8 those purchased power costs turned out to be at the time
9 of the purchase.

10 The downside of this approach, of course,
11 is for the consumer. There's a downside to the consumer
12 because it's likely there will be more volatile prices
13 that they would have to pay for their electricity costs.

14 Cross hedging spot purchased power with
15 natural gas futures contracts is a widely accepted method
16 of hedging the risk associated with volatile spot
17 purchased power costs. It's been used by GMO. It's been
18 used by Ameren. There are a number of companies that are
19 listed in Mr. Blunk's survey that have used it across the
20 country as it's needed.

21 Now, GMO's sister company, Kansas City
22 Power & Light Company, has more capacity available to
23 serve its native load than GMO and, therefore, KCPL's
24 customers don't have the same risk associated with price
25 spikes of purchased power. Therefore, Kansas City Power &

1 Light Company has not historically used natural gas
2 futures to hedge its price risk.

3 However, both companies, Kansas City
4 Power & Light and GMO, are using the same outside vendor,
5 Kase & Company, to implement their hedging programs. This
6 program helps the company personnel exercise their
7 professional judgment to know when to place various
8 hedges, and it takes away the rigidity and the market
9 insensitivity that had existed in other cost averaging
10 approaches in placing hedges.

11 Now, who teaches this technique? Well, the
12 Electric Power Research Institute has taught this hedging
13 technique since the mid '90s in seminars it presented to
14 its member electric companies. Staff witness Dana Eaves
15 also testified that he participated in a four-hour webinar
16 training session more than four years ago on January 18,
17 2008, which was sponsored by the PGS Energy Training that
18 taught the mechanics of the hedging technique that Staff
19 has declared to be imprudent.

20 PGS Energy Training is an educational
21 organization that specializes in training related to
22 electricity and natural gas markets and industries. Now,
23 over the years at least 55 members of the Commission Staff
24 have attended various PGS Energy Training webinars. Many
25 representatives of companies, natural gas and electric

1 companies, have also attended, including the company's
2 witness Mr. Ed Blunk. He attended the one that Staff
3 witness Eaves also attended, only at a later time.

4 The webinar that Mr. Eaves and other staff
5 attended on that day in 2008 was entitled How to
6 Financially Hedge Natural Gas and Electricity Price Risk.
7 According to Mr. Eaves, the Staff witness who sponsors the
8 disallowance in this case, this PGS Energy webinar is the
9 only formal training course that he'd taken on the topic
10 of electricity and natural gas pricing -- excuse me,
11 hedging.

12 Now, in that webinar there were two
13 sections. There was a 90-minute section that addressed
14 the general topic of electric hedging and natural gas
15 hedging, and then the second session was entitled Hedging
16 Electricity Price Risk with Natural Gas Futures Contract.
17 In fact, if you look at the slides, it's obvious that the
18 second half of this webinar focused almost exclusively on
19 the cross hedging technique that's utilized by GMO to
20 cross hedge electricity price risk using natural gas
21 futures.

22 Now, as I mentioned, Mr. Ed Blunk has
23 attended this webinar at a later time, and he's attached
24 to his surrebuttal testimony the portion of the slide
25 presentation that dealt with cross hedging using natural

1 gas futures contracts.

2 The academics have also talked about this
3 technique. GMO's outside expert, Dr. C.K. Woo, has
4 written 16 articles on the topic of cross hedging and risk
5 management techniques that are used in the electric
6 industry.

7 A financial engineering graduate student, I
8 wasn't sure how to pronounce his name, but from the
9 University of Missouri at Rolla wrote a thesis entitled
10 Formulating Hedging Strategies for Financial Risk
11 Mitigation in Competitive U.S. Electricity Markets that
12 specifically discusses cross hedging using natural gas
13 futures.

14 Now, Dr. Michael Proctor, formerly of the
15 Commission Staff and the most knowledgeable Staff expert
16 on electricity hedging at the time he was with the Staff,
17 according to the deposition testimony of Ms. Mantle, also
18 reviewed the correlations between the electric and natural
19 gas markets.

20 Dr. Proctor's testimony in the 2009 GMO
21 rate case concluded that 87.23 percent of the variation in
22 the SPP electric prices over a five-year period was
23 explained by variations in the natural gas prices, and
24 there was little doubt that the natural gas prices drove
25 electricity prices for most of the hours of the year in

1 the SPP region. That's included in Dr. Proctor's
2 testimony in that case.

3 Now, Mr. Blunk's testimony also includes an
4 informal survey of electric companies that indicates that
5 other companies, including Arizona Public Service, Florida
6 Power & Light Company, Madison Gas & Electric, Mississippi
7 Power, the Southern Company, Portland General and locally
8 Ameren uses cross hedging technique to have electric price
9 risk as it's needed.

10 Mr. Blunk also includes in his testimony
11 tables that shows how natural gas contracts to hedge the
12 electric price risk work. He shows how the gain or the
13 loss on the physical side is offset by the gain or the
14 loss on the futures market.

15 Now, while the jargon in this case and
16 around hedging is rather technical and it's a bit
17 confusing to me as a layman, the mechanics of cross
18 hedging is really not rocket science. Essentially, when
19 you're constructing a hedge for spot purchased power, you
20 perform two transactions, and they're directly and
21 inseparably linked. The transactions could be described
22 as you buy what you sell and you sell what you buy.
23 That's what locks in the price that you want and when you
24 want it.

25 The company needs to physically buy

1 purchased power for its customers. In order to offset the
2 risk of price spikes in electricity, it also enters into
3 natural gas futures contracts for the equivalent, the Btu
4 equivalent of the amount of purchased power that it's
5 going to need to buy. Together these two actions create
6 the hedge. The physical purchase of the spot purchased
7 power, they're actually buying electricity, and entering
8 into the natural gas hedge, the futures contract, for a
9 Btu equivalent of the amount of purchased power that
10 you're going to need to buy.

11 Now, buying the future -- the purchased
12 power is sometimes referred to as the physical side of the
13 hedge transaction. Buying the natural gas futures
14 contract is sometimes referred to as the derivative side
15 of the hedge transaction. At the same time that the
16 company is buying the power in the physical market, it's
17 also buying a natural gas futures contract that it can
18 sell to offset increased prices in electricity.

19 This method provides a hedge or insurance
20 against skyrocketing electric prices. For example, GMO
21 knows in February that it's going to need to buy in August
22 electricity to meet the peak demands of its customers.
23 GMO knows that those electric prices could be very
24 volatile in August, and GMO's concerned that the prices
25 for electricity power in August might be higher than are

1 currently expected.

2 Now, at this point the company has a
3 choice. Either it can attempt to hedge this risk for its
4 customers by substantially -- hedge the risk so to -- to
5 hedge it so we know that the electric prices are going to
6 be kept in a range and they're not going to be volatile,
7 you're hedging the risk that the prices are going to be
8 higher than they expected, or it could just play the
9 market by purchasing spot purchased power at the
10 prevailing price without any kind of a hedge and merely
11 passing along those costs, whatever they turn out to be,
12 through the fuel adjustment clause.

13 Now, during this particular FAC period, GMO
14 chose to enter into a hedge to protect its customers
15 against skyrocketing electric prices. GMO chose this
16 approach based upon its own professional judgment that
17 hedging was a prudent thing to do to protect its
18 customers.

19 Also, the policy statement of the
20 Commission that there's a -- on the natural gas side
21 there's a policy statement that's entitled Natural Gas
22 Price Volatility Mitigation Rule that encourages natural
23 gas companies to hedge. They looked at that, too. And
24 there's also Commission Orders and other signals received
25 from the regulatory community that hedging was expected or

1 certainly strongly encouraged.

2 Now, it's important to note that GMO
3 shareholders do not profit by entering into a hedge.
4 GMO's shareholders don't make money by hedging since the
5 gains and losses in the physical side are largely offset
6 by the gains and losses on the derivative market side.

7 Typically those gains or losses in the
8 derivative market are passed along to consumers just as
9 the gains and losses on the physical market. Therefore,
10 the hedging process does not create profit opportunities
11 for shareholders. Hedges are designed to protect
12 customers and give them insurance against skyrocketing
13 electric prices and not make money for the company or its
14 shareholders.

15 However, GMO and its shareholders will be
16 directly and very adversely affected if the Commission
17 adopts the Staff's position in this case and disallows the
18 losses on the derivative side while ignoring the
19 offsetting gains on the physical side.

20 This is an example I've taken directly out
21 of Mr. Blunk's testimony. You may not be able to read it
22 too well, but you'll find it in his testimony. For sake
23 of an illustration -- and because it's such a technical
24 topic, I'm spending some time on it. I'd like to just go
25 through a very simple illustration of how this works.

1 Let's assume a market implied heat rate of
2 10 MMBtus per megawatt hour of electricity, which is the
3 same as 10 MMBtus of natural gas futures. GMO will be
4 buying the same amount of natural gas futures contracts on
5 an MMBtu basis as it will need in purchased power in
6 August. In this particular illustration, GMO would have
7 been forecasting in February that the price in August
8 would be \$50 per megawatt hour. It would buy an
9 equivalent amount of natural gas futures assuming a
10 forecasted price of 50 megawatt -- of \$50 per megawatt
11 hour.

12 Since it turned out in this example,
13 though, that when we actually got to August the price was
14 \$40 per megawatt hour, less than what had been projected,
15 instead of the \$50, there is a \$10 gain on the physical
16 side of the ledger. However, there is an opposite and
17 offsetting loss of \$10 per MMBtu in the natural gas
18 futures market since the natural gas prices are moving the
19 same direction and are highly correlated and they move in
20 tandem. This is an example of what you may hear referred
21 to as being out of the money in the futures market.

22 So, was it imprudent for the company to
23 have hedged in this illustration since there was a loss in
24 the futures market? The company doesn't think so. The
25 reason for hedging is to mitigate price risk.

1 In that regard, hedging is like insurance.
2 To say a ratepayer is harmed when only looking at the
3 derivative side of the hedge, the loss side, is like
4 saying that you were harmed because you paid a premium for
5 fire insurance on your house and the house did not burn
6 down. Since the house did not burn down, you didn't get a
7 payment from the insurance company. But were you
8 imprudent to buy fire insurance? Were you harmed because
9 you paid the insurance premium but the house did not burn
10 down? The company doesn't think so.

11 The Staff is essentially suggesting by its
12 approach in this case that the house did not turn down
13 during this particular FAC period so they want the premium
14 back. Staff is only looking at the net loss on the
15 futures market or the derivative side of the transaction,
16 but they're not taking into account the house did not burn
17 down, and there was a gain on the physical side compared
18 to the forecasted price.

19 The actual price of spot purchased power
20 was less than had been forecasted, which was a good thing.
21 So the insurance protection of the hedge wasn't needed,
22 but that doesn't mean that it was imprudent to have hedged
23 against the possibility that the price would have exceeded
24 the forecasted level. If the house had burned down or if
25 the electric prices had skyrocketed above the forecasted

1 level, then the customers would be happy there was
2 insurance.

3 Now, using the numbers in this
4 illustration, Staff has taken -- not taken issue with the
5 fact that there was \$10 less than they expected on the
6 natural gas side. Staff has not recommended that the gain
7 be disallowed in any way. In fact, Staff has found that,
8 in the Staff Report, that the physical price that GMO paid
9 for the purchased power was prudent.

10 Now, on the other hand, Staff is arguing
11 that the net losses in the futures market side of the
12 hedge are imprudent. Staff has not recognized that the
13 true impact of the hedge shown on this example or in the
14 real world is the sum of the change in the physical market
15 plus the sum of the change in the futures market. So we
16 had a \$10 gain and a \$10 loss. You net those together,
17 it's zero. They're offsetting changes.

18 The Commission should not make the same
19 mistake, but it should look at both sides of hedge
20 transaction, the physical side and the derivative side.
21 They can't be separated in this analysis.

22 Now, until this case, Staff had never
23 suggested disallowing futures markets impacts either when
24 the futures were in the money or when they were out of the
25 money.

1 So, why did the Staff choose to disallow
2 one side of the transaction in this case when they hadn't
3 in the past? Well, according to the testimony in the
4 depositions, it was the size of the losses in the futures
5 market that caught Mr. Eaves' attention. But as I've
6 explained, there was an equally sizeable corresponding
7 gain in the physical market because the purchased power
8 price was substantially lower than the forecasted price,
9 and the house did not burn down during the FAC period.
10 As a result, the price volatility was mitigated, just as
11 the hedging program was designed to do.

12 Apparently Mr. Eaves viewed the losses on
13 the derivative side of the hedge transaction as reason
14 enough to declare the cost hedging practice to be
15 imprudent.

16 When we asked during the depositions if the
17 Staff wanted the company to quit cross hedging, Lena
18 Mantle's answer was yes, because the cross hedging was
19 considered by Staff to be imprudent. From her personal
20 perspective, the company should have built or purchased
21 more power plants or entered into additional purchased
22 power agreements. She does not believe the company should
23 be using natural gas futures contracts or other financial
24 instruments to hedge spot electricity prices. Staff
25 apparently does not believe it's prudent to use financial

1 instruments at all to hedge the price of electricity.

2 Now, later in the deposition Ms. Mantle did
3 clarify that it was really GMO's management decision on
4 whether to hedge or not, and Staff just didn't like the
5 use of natural gas futures for hedging. She admitted,
6 however, that there's not an organized market in the SPP
7 region where GMO operates that would permit GMO to hedge
8 using electric futures contracts.

9 Staff didn't suggest either any alternative
10 that could be used, any alternative financial instruments
11 or financial tools that could be used for hedging its spot
12 purchased power risk. Staff is just arguing that the
13 company shouldn't have done what it did in this particular
14 case.

15 Now, Staff is the taking the position that
16 the purchased power market and the NYMEX natural gas
17 futures markets are not sufficiently linked to allow cross
18 hedging. Certainly GMO disagrees with this point, and I
19 suspect that every PGS Energy Training and the various
20 scholars that teach the mechanics of this technique would
21 also disagree.

22 While this is a rather technical subject,
23 Dr. Woo can answer your questions, I hope, about cross
24 hedging and why it's reasonable for electric companies to
25 do it, and I would certainly encourage you to ask him

1 questions about it if you have questions because it's a
2 hard topic to understand.

3 And also, Mr. Ed Blunk, he actually
4 oversees the company's hedging operation. He can answer
5 questions about what the company actually does and why it
6 does it. These company witnesses will demonstrate that
7 the natural gas and electricity markets are highly
8 correlated, and the hedges themselves are highly
9 effective, a term of art, when judged by existing industry
10 and accounting standards.

11 Let's look at a couple tests for hedge
12 effectiveness. There are two tests that are used by the
13 industry and by the accountants to determine if hedges are
14 considered highly effective. Both are rather technical.
15 The first one is called the R squared test, and the second
16 one is called the dollar offset method.

17 The first test, the R squared test is based
18 upon a review of the correlation of the data on the
19 prices. This test looks at how closely two data sets in
20 the same direction or are correlated. The evidence will
21 show that the electric industry, that the accounting
22 profession, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and
23 the Staff of the Security and Exchange Commission consider
24 an R squared of around .8 indicative that the daily
25 on-peak electricity and natural gas prices are highly

1 correlated, and these markets are sufficiently linked so
2 that cross hedging would be considered highly effective.

3 Now, Mr. Blunk used the data on electric
4 and natural gas prices that was contained in the Staff's
5 work papers for the 12 months preceding the FAC audit
6 review to determine the correlation coefficients between
7 SPP's electric prices and the NYMEX natural gas settlement
8 price. This would be the approximate timeframe in which
9 the company's decision-makers would be deciding whether to
10 hedge or not.

11 For this period, there was a correlation
12 coefficient of .9411 between SPP electric prices and the
13 NYMEX natural gas settlement prices. Now, that equates to
14 an R squared, you basically square that number to come up
15 with an R squared of .89, which means in layman's terms
16 that 89 percent of the changes in the electricity prices
17 were explained by changes in the natural gas prices during
18 that particular period.

19 Now, as I mentioned, the R squared of .8
20 is considered by the company, the FASB and the SEC staff
21 to be highly effective.

22 Dr. Woo's analysis shows that for December
23 2007 through May 2009, the 18-month period immediately
24 before the 18-month review period for the FAC case, the
25 coefficients of correlation between the daily on-peak

1 price at GMO's interface with Ameren and the daily natural
2 gas price at Henry Hub was .824, and the correlation
3 coefficient is .853 at GMO's interface with the Associated
4 Electric Cooperative interface.

5 Now, these are the two places that GMO
6 primarily transacts when it purchases for purchased power.
7 This data are indicative that daily natural gas prices and
8 electric prices are highly correlated in Missouri. That's
9 the takeaway.

10 Now, according to the FASB Opinion No. 133,
11 application of a correlation analysis for purposes of
12 establishing the effectiveness of a hedge requires the
13 derivatives and the hedged item exhibit a correlation
14 coefficient of at least .90, which is an R squared greater
15 than or equal to .80 with respect to price fluctuation in
16 order for the hedge to be classified as highly effective.

17 If hedges are highly effective, then
18 there's certain accounting treatment that goes along that,
19 and Mr. Ryan Bresette will explain to you, and he does in
20 his testimony, the alternatives on that.

21 Now, the second method, the dollar offset
22 method, under that method the change in value of the
23 derivative is compared to the change in value of the
24 hedged item. Hedges that yield a ratio within the range
25 of 80 to 120 percent are deemed highly effective.

1 As our experts will testify, GMO's use of
2 natural gas futures contracts to hedge the spot purchased
3 power cost meets the test for effective hedges during this
4 FAC review period. Schedule WEB-9, which is attached to
5 Mr. Blunk's surrebuttal, I believe, or direct, shows that
6 for the audit review period the estimated physical market
7 change in the value for on-peak electricity was
8 109.6 percent of the actual change in value of the natural
9 gas cross hedges.

10 Now, what does that mean? It means that,
11 by accounting standards, GMO's natural gas cross hedges
12 for on-peak electricity were, in hindsight, considered
13 highly effective. That is, the hedges did what they were
14 supposed to do. The electricity price movement was offset
15 by a similar movement in the price of natural gas.

16 Staff witness Eaves' own analysis contained
17 in his rebuttal testimony at page 15 compares SPP electric
18 prices with the NYMEX natural gas settlement prices for
19 February 2007 through August of 2011. Now, while he
20 disagrees that the markets are highly correlated, he does
21 state on page 15 at lines 9 and 10, and I'll just quote
22 it, Staff would call this relationship as having a strong
23 positive association for the data set in the analysis
24 period.

25 Mr. Eaves also states that for February --

1 for the period February 2007 through October 2011, the
2 data has a correlation coefficient of .8941. Now, this
3 correlation coefficient would satisfy the industry
4 standards for determining that hedges are effective.

5 Well, if Staff agrees that the SPP prices
6 and the NYMEX natural gas prices have a strong positive
7 association and they have a correlation of .8941, why are
8 we trying this case before the Commission?

9 Well, as I understand the position of
10 Mr. Eaves, he would not be comfortable using cross hedging
11 techniques unless the correlation was almost perfect all
12 of the time. At page 102 of his deposition Mr. Eaves
13 states, and I'll quote, I think with the dollars at risk
14 here, with what we're talking about, that correlation
15 should almost be perfect all of the time and that's what I
16 would be comfortable with.

17 So Mr. Eaves is not comfortable with
18 anything short of a perfect correlation of the data, even
19 though that's not the standard used by the electric
20 industry, the accounting profession or the SEC. But based
21 upon this subjective comfort level, he's proposed a refund
22 initially in the Staff Report of \$18.8 million.

23 Now, at the time that Mr. Eaves proposed
24 this \$18.8 million disallowance in the Staff Report, the
25 evidence will show that he had not even performed a

1 correlation analysis to determine how closely linked the
2 electric and natural gas prices were. He did not have any
3 textbook, article, treatise or public utility commission
4 decision that found that it was imprudent to use natural
5 gas futures to hedge the price of spot purchased power.
6 The only formal training course that he had taken
7 suggested just the opposite, that an electric company can
8 use natural gas futures to hedge the electric price risk.

9 According to Mr. Eaves' testimony in his
10 deposition, he didn't attach any correlation analysis to
11 support his position that the SPP prices and the NYMEX
12 natural gas prices were not sufficiently linked because
13 he'd not done that analysis at that time. He conducted
14 his analysis after he filed the Staff Report and before he
15 filed his rebuttal testimony about four months later.

16 All he had done at the time he filed the
17 Staff Report was reviewed the SPP website where he said
18 there were some charts and graphs. These charts and
19 graphs were not included in his work papers or attached to
20 the Staff Report or the Staff testimony in this case.

21 Sometimes a picture is worth a thousand
22 words they say, but I have a feeling it's worth a million
23 correlation coefficients. I'd like to hand out just one
24 page of Mr. Eaves' testimony that has a picture. This
25 comes from page 15 of Mr. Eaves' rebuttal testimony where

1 he includes a chart of the SPP electric prices and the gas
2 settlements from February 2007 through August 2011.

3 Now, just by eyeballing that chart -- the
4 blue and the red lines are electric prices and gas prices.
5 Just by eyeballing the chart, I believe you can see how
6 closely the electric and natural gas prices move. This is
7 especially true if you focus on the period of the FAC
8 audit period of June 2009 through November 2010 and for
9 the audit period itself. So just before the audit period
10 and actually during the audit period, you can see how
11 closely those lines go together.

12 Now, contrary to Mr. Eaves' conclusion,
13 Dr. Woo's first point in his surrebuttal testimony is,
14 while the spot electricity market is hourly, NYMEX natural
15 gas futures can be used to cross hedge the daily on-peak
16 price. Dr. Woo presents his analysis in his surrebuttal
17 testimony that shows that natural gas prices are highly
18 correlated with hourly on-peak electric prices when a
19 reasonable time period is used for that analysis.

20 Based upon these correlations, he concluded
21 it's prudent to use cross hedging to effectively manage
22 daily on-peak price risk.

23 Mr. Eaves' analysis fails to recognize that
24 every futures market settles less frequently than the
25 physical market it hedges. The logical extension of the

1 Staff's argument is that all hedging programs for all
2 commodities across the markets would be imprudent since
3 all futures contracts settle less frequently than the
4 physical market they're hedging. This seems to be the
5 fundamental concern that he has, that you're using a
6 monthly settlement contract to hedge an hourly price.

7 But in reality, all futures markets settle
8 less frequently than the physical market that it hedges.
9 Therefore, the Staff's criticism isn't realistic or
10 appropriate given the way the futures market works in the
11 real world.

12 Unfortunately, this case is being tried, I
13 think, because Staff apparently doesn't understand how
14 it's possible to use a natural gas futures contract that
15 is settled on a monthly basis to hedge an electricity
16 price that may change more frequently. And with all due
17 respect for the Staff, this is a fundamental
18 misunderstanding, and I think if you want to ask questions
19 about the fundamental point, this is one of them.

20 Now, just to reiterate, Dr. Woo testifies
21 that while the spot electricity market is hourly, the
22 NYMEX natural gas futures still can be used to cross hedge
23 the daily on-peak electricity price. And if you have
24 questions on that, please ask Mr. Blunk, too. He's all
25 familiar with that as well. It's a critical point to

1 understand. Mr. Blunk can describe for you how the
2 company also uses other tools, swaps or options, tools in
3 the physical market to manage the price risk associated
4 with daily or intra-month changes in electricity prices.

5 Okay. Let's move to a different topic, and
6 we're about done, the accounting issues. If the
7 Commission finds that it's prudent to use cross hedging to
8 effectively manage daily on-peak price risk, which is the
9 fundamental issue in this case as Dr. Woo has testified,
10 and that hedging costs should be passed through the FAC as
11 the Commission has already ruled in past orders, then that
12 should resolve the case. That's the fundamental issue in
13 this case.

14 However, Staff has also suggested that the
15 company accounted for the costs of the electric hedging
16 program in the wrong FERC account. As I understand the
17 position of the Staff, Staff is taking the position that
18 the hedge costs associated with natural gas futures
19 contracts that were part of the cross hedging program
20 should be accounted for in Account 555, the purchased
21 power account, rather than Account 547, the natural gas
22 account.

23 Staff also states that Staff never intended
24 hedging costs placed in Account 555 to be passed through
25 the fuel adjustment clause anyway. So, therefore, any

1 hedging costs that would be put into the Account 555 would
2 not be recoverable through the FAC mechanism.

3 Now, the company strongly believes that the
4 Commission should reject this analysis and this position.
5 Previous Commission Orders have made it crystal clear that
6 prudently incurred hedging costs should be flowed through
7 the fuel adjustment clause.

8 For example, in the Commission's Order
9 Clarifying Report and Order issued on May 22nd, 2007 in
10 Case No. ER-2007-0004, which was Aquila's 2007 rate case,
11 the Commission clearly stated on page 1, under the
12 Stipulation & Agreement, prudently incurred hedging costs
13 will flow through the fuel adjustment clause.

14 Judge, I don't know whether I need to do
15 this, but I guess because this is such an important piece,
16 I'd like to ask the Commission to take administrative
17 notice of that May 22nd, 2007 Order Clarifying Report and
18 Order in Case ER-2007-0004.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Commission will take
20 administrative notice of that. And, Mr. Fischer, why
21 don't you obtain a certified copy of that Order from the
22 Commission's data center and offer it as an exhibit as
23 well.

24 MR. FISCHER: I will do that. Thank you.
25 In the Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement in Aquila's

1 2005 rate case, Case No. ER-2005-0436, the signatory
2 parties agreed for accounting and ratemaking purposes that
3 hedged settlements, both positive and negative, will be
4 considered part of the fuel costs and purchased power
5 costs recorded in FERC Account 547 or Account 555 when the
6 hedging settlement is settled.

7 The company has followed the terms of this
8 Stipulation & Agreement. The stipulation required the
9 company to record the settlement costs in Account 547 or
10 555 when the hedges were settled and requires the company
11 to maintain separate accounts for those costs.

12 The company followed that requirement. The
13 company accounted for the natural gas hedge costs
14 associated with cross hedging in Account 547 because, as
15 Mr. Rush testifies in his surrebuttal, at the time the
16 hedges actually settle, the determination of whether the
17 company will generate using the natural gas associated
18 with those futures contracts or whether it will purchase
19 power on the spot market, at that point in time it hasn't
20 been decided. And so since that determination hasn't been
21 made, it's clear that it's still a natural gas settlement
22 and it's put in the natural gas account. Therefore, all
23 hedge settlements are actually natural gas settlement
24 costs and are recorded in 547, the natural gas account.

25 But from the company's perspective, it

1 should not matter whether it's Account 547 or 555 that we
2 put the hedge -- costs associated with that cross hedging
3 program into. Both accounts include entries that are
4 related to hedging, and all prudently incurred hedging
5 costs are supposed to be flowed through the fuel
6 adjustment clause as noted by the Commission's Order
7 Clarifying Report and Order in that 2005 Aquila case and
8 agreed to by the parties in the next -- in the Aquila 2005
9 stipulation.

10 The company's been recording its costs
11 associated with the cross hedging program in 547 since the
12 2005 rate case. The company witness Ryan Bresette and Ed
13 Blunk will explain the appropriateness of the accounting
14 practice.

15 The Staff auditors have been aware that GMO
16 was hedging the purchased power with natural gas hedges,
17 and the Staff never questioned the accounting of these
18 hedges until this case. In fact, until this case, the
19 company had no indication from Staff that it disagreed
20 that the hedged settlement costs should be passed through
21 the fuel adjustment clause mechanism.

22 Now, if the Commission determines that it
23 would rather have the hedge costs associated with the
24 cross hedging program booked in Account 555 rather than
25 547, then the Order should clearly say so, and the company

1 will book those costs in the preferred account in the
2 future. However, it would be unreasonable and we believe
3 unlawful for the Commission to disallow these prudently
4 incurred costs on the ground that they were placed in the
5 wrong bucket.

6 In conclusion, Staff is arguing that GMO
7 was imprudent to use natural gas futures to hedge
8 electricity price risk, and because the company recorded
9 those hedge costs in a natural gas account, they should be
10 disallowed, too.

11 Now, as I understand it, their revised
12 recommendation is for disallowance of \$14.8 million based
13 on an analysis after our filing of our testimony. Staff
14 says it knows of no formalized -- excuse me -- no formal
15 organized market that allows for spot purchased power to
16 be hedged which would aid GMO in mitigating the risk
17 associated with buying spot purchased power.

18 Consequently, GMO employed a common
19 practice that we've been talking about, cross hedging, to
20 protect customers from the risk of skyrocketing prices.
21 When Staff concludes that purchasing natural gas futures
22 to mitigate risk associated with purchase of spot
23 purchased power is somehow imprudent, what they're really
24 saying is that GMO was imprudent for trying to protect its
25 customers from the risk of skyrocketing power prices.

1 Staff witness Eaves claims that the crux of
2 his position is that GMO was imprudent in this case
3 because of his analysis of the correlation between
4 electricity prices and natural gas prices are essentially
5 zero. That contradicts the findings of the company's
6 experts and other respected agencies like the Southwest
7 Power Pool, the New York Independent System Operator, the
8 Electricity Reliability Council of Texas, the Midwest
9 Independent Transmission System Operator, which is called
10 MISO, the ISO in New England, the California Independent
11 System Operator, Staff's own testimony in prior cases, and
12 industry's common knowledge.

13 Now, in an effort to deny GMO's recovery of
14 prudently incurred costs, Staff has also constructed an
15 accounting theory which contradicts the company's
16 long-established accounting practice, its understanding of
17 the FERC and the FASB accounting standards, and expression
18 of intent in multiple Stipulations & Agreements. Staff
19 argues that GMO should be penalized \$14.8 million or .9
20 million because it disagrees with how the company
21 accounted for its hedging costs.

22 My last slide. This is an important slide,
23 Judge. Staff has failed to demonstrate any ratepayer
24 harm. This is Figure 1 from Mr. Blunk's direct, and it
25 clearly shows that GMO's hedging program was successful in

1 containing GMO's fuel and purchased power costs and
2 protecting GMO's customers from power spikes. This table
3 includes the cost of fuel, the cost of purchased power and
4 the hedge costs. Clearly if you look at that graph during
5 the review period, the total cost was actually declining.
6 Now, it's difficult to conclude that these costs were in
7 any way unreasonable or imprudent.

8 I've gone on a long time. I appreciate
9 your patience very much. It's a technical topic, and I
10 hope you'll ask my technical experts your questions, but
11 I'd be happy to answer your questions as well. Thank you
12 very much.

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Fischer.
14 Commissioner Stoll, did you have any questions for
15 Mr. Fischer before he sits down?

16 COMMISSIONER STOLL: Not at this time.
17 Thank you.

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Opening
19 statement from Staff, Mr. Thompson.

20 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. May it
21 please the Commission?

22 On behalf of Staff, I'd like to welcome
23 Commissioner Stoll back to the Bench. We're happy to see
24 you there. We hope you'll enjoy this hearing and many to
25 follow.

1 COMMISSIONER STOLL: Thank you.

2 MR. THOMPSON: Like Mr. Fischer, I'll do my
3 best to make this topic as accessible as I can.

4 Mr. Fischer talked for a long time and he had a lot to
5 say, and it's always a difficult thing consequently to
6 follow Mr. Fischer in giving an opening statement because,
7 frankly, one wonders if the audience's patience has been
8 completely exhausted by that time.

9 This case is about hedging. To be more
10 specific, this case is the third prudence review of the
11 fuel adjustment clause of KCP&L Greater Missouri
12 Operations Company, an entity that we will refer to as
13 GMO. It is the company once known as Aquila, and before
14 that known as UtiliCorp United, and acquired by Great
15 Plains Energy in a transaction approved by this
16 Commission. That transaction closed just about four years
17 ago in July of 2008.

18 We would not be here had Staff not
19 recommended that a portion of GMO's hedging costs be
20 disallowed as imprudent and refunded to the ratepayers.
21 That portion amounts to nearly \$15 million. I think
22 Mr. Fischer said 14.9 million.

23 As I said, this case is about hedging.
24 Hedging is a risk reduction strategy. It is similar to
25 buying insurance. The hedger, like the purchaser of

1 insurance, accepts a small loss to avoid or mitigate the
2 possibility of a larger loss. You pay your home
3 insurance, as Mr. Fischer pointed out, your fire
4 insurance, accepting the cost of the premium, a loss, to
5 mitigate the possibility of a larger loss if your house
6 should catch on fire.

7 We all understand that. We all understand
8 that that's a reasonable thing to do. But do you pay
9 \$14.9 million for your fire insurance?

10 In this case, GMO purchased natural gas
11 futures and options as a hedge against upwards volatility
12 in on-peak spot market purchased power prices. Staff's
13 position is that GMO's conduct was imprudent and that the
14 costs of the hedging, which have already been flowed
15 through the fuel adjustment clause and onto bills of GMO's
16 customers, must be refunded to those customers.

17 GMO, of course, is an investor-owned
18 electric utility headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri,
19 regulated by this Commission. GMO has some 300,000
20 customers, including 273,000 residential customers, 38,000
21 commercial customers, and some 500 industrial, municipal
22 and other customers.

23 To serve them, GMO owns 2,000 megawatts,
24 somewhat over 2,000 megawatts of generating capacity, of
25 which something over 1,000 megawatts is coal-fired

1 capacity, close to 1,100 megawatts is natural gas fired
2 combustion turbine capacity, and 63 megawatts is oil-fired
3 combustion turbine capacity. In a recent year, GMO used
4 its capacity to produce over 6 million megawatt hours of
5 electricity to serve its customers.

6 However, this large amount of power was
7 insufficient to meet the requirements of GMO's customers.
8 Using the figures that we heard from Mr. Fischer in his
9 opening, GMO purchased 3.5 million to 3.9 million megawatt
10 hours of power at a cost of 120 to 135 million. That is
11 in addition to the power that it made itself with its own
12 generation capacity. As Mr. Fischer said, this
13 constituted 40 percent of GMO's energy requirements to
14 serve its customers. We must agree that spot market
15 purchased power consequently is a big item for GMO.

16 This case, as Mr. Fischer pointed out, is a
17 prudence case. Prudence reviews at an interval no greater
18 than 18 months are required by the statute that legalized
19 fuel adjustment clauses in Missouri. We've heard it
20 referred to already as, I think, Senate Bill 1979, as I
21 recall. Is that the right number? 179. Excuse me.

22 Our Supreme Court held in 1979 -- that's
23 where that number came from -- that fuel adjustment
24 clauses were not lawful in Missouri. And, in fact, GMO's
25 fuel adjustment clause was the very first one to be

1 approved by this Commission after the law was changed.

2 A prudence review is the quid pro quo for
3 access to that fuel adjustment clause mechanism. It is
4 required by law. It is part of the structure put in place
5 by the Missouri Legislature. And the fact of regular
6 prudence reviews was accepted by GMO when it asked the
7 Commission to put a fuel adjustment clause in place for
8 it.

9 What is a fuel adjustment clause? Well,
10 it's a mechanism by which changing fuel costs are passed
11 on to a utility's customers quickly outside of a general
12 rate case. A general rate case is an 11-month-long
13 proceeding that involves a lot of people and costs a lot
14 of money, and it is a slow way of getting changes in the
15 operating and financial conditions of a utility into
16 rates.

17 That slowness is referred to as regulatory
18 lag. Regulatory lag is the interval that passes between a
19 change in the utility's revenue requirement and the
20 reflection of that change in the customers' bills. If a
21 price goes up for a utility, it needs more money, and yet
22 it's going to take 11 months of a general rate case before
23 that price that has gone up for the utility, that rising
24 cost, is then reflected in higher rates for customers.

25 The utility is still required to provide

1 services to those customers through that 11-month lag
2 period, and that money will never be recovered because
3 rates, of course, are always prospective. Always
4 prospective. They don't look backwards.

5 So a fuel adjustment clause is an important
6 thing for a utility company because mitigation of
7 regulatory lag is an important thing for a utility
8 company. And so in order to get this lag mitigating
9 device, this fuel adjustment clause, GMO accepted the
10 requirement of regular prudence reviews. We're here for
11 the third of those prudence reviews.

12 What is prudence? The dictionary tells us
13 that it is shrewdness in the management of one's affairs,
14 that it is caution and circumspection in the face of risk
15 or danger.

16 In this case, the decisions made by GMO's
17 management are scrutinized in the context of what was
18 known or what should have been known at the time those
19 decisions were made. The Commission will determine
20 whether GMO's management was appropriately cautious in the
21 face of risk and danger and was appropriately shrewd in
22 the management of its affairs.

23 I think an important point to remember here
24 is that the money that GMO spent on hedging was not GMO's
25 money. It was the customers' money. Sure, it came out of

1 GMO's bank account, but then it flowed back in through the
2 fuel adjustment clause. As I said a moment ago, the costs
3 of this hedging program for this review period have
4 already been recovered from the customers. The customers
5 have already paid that \$15 million. That's why we're
6 talking about a refund. The money has already gone from
7 the customers' pockets and back in GMO's bank account. So
8 this prudence review is pretty much after the fact you
9 might say.

10 In a recent case that also involved GMO, as
11 an example, this Commission determined that the company
12 was not prudent, that it did not shrewdly manage its
13 affairs because it overhedged natural gas that it used to
14 generate steam, thereby increasing rather than reducing
15 price volatility. That case is AG Processing versus GMO,
16 Case No. HC-2010-0235, Report and Order issued on
17 September 28, 2011, a recent case.

18 Prudence cases are difficult from Staff's
19 point of view because Staff bears the initial burden of
20 making a showing of imprudence or improvidence. The
21 Commission accords the utility a presumption of prudence
22 that the challenger must overcome by making a threshold
23 showing sufficient to raise an implication that the
24 company has not acted prudently. Only if Staff makes that
25 initial showing successfully does the burden of proof

1 shift to the company to show that its conduct was, in
2 fact, prudent.

3 In the steam complaint case that I referred
4 to a moment ago, for example, AG Processing overcame that
5 presumption of prudence by showing that for the years
6 under consideration GMO had forecast natural gas usage far
7 in excess of the actual amounts it used to produce steam,
8 with the result that GMO hedged a great deal more gas than
9 it needed.

10 The leading Commission case in this area of
11 prudence is reported at 27 Public Service Commission New
12 Service 183. That case is in the matter of the Union
13 Electric Company. Issued on March 29, 1985, it concerned
14 the construction of the Callaway nuclear plant by Union
15 Electric Company, now known to us as Ameren Missouri.

16 At page 193 of that decision the Commission
17 stated, quote, the existence of \$2 billion in cost
18 overruns raises doubts as to prudence in this case. The
19 very magnitude of the cost overruns was sufficient to
20 rebut the presumption of prudence.

21 In the present case, it is Staff's position
22 that the existence of \$14.9 million of hedging losses over
23 an 18-month period raises doubts as to prudence. The
24 magnitude of the hedging costs, like the magnitude of the
25 cost overruns at Callaway, raises the initial showing of

1 improvidence sufficient to rebut the presumption or
2 prudence.

3 In this case, Staff points to a number of
4 areas where Staff believes GMO has never managed its
5 affairs shrewdly, where Staff believes GMO has not been
6 cautious in the face of risk. These are GMO's lack of
7 sufficient efficient generation capacity of its own and
8 its resulting over-reliance on spot market purchased
9 power, the misleading accounting practices that you've
10 heard from Mr. Fischer, its use of cross hedging with
11 financial instruments based on natural gas to mitigate
12 purchased power price risk, and passing hedging costs to
13 ratepayers through its fuel adjustment clause contrary to
14 the controlling tariff language.

15 Staff has long taken the position that GMO
16 lacks sufficient capacity of its own to serve its native
17 load, and that it is consequently overly reliant on
18 purchased power. Staff witness Lena Mantle says as much
19 in her testimony in this case.

20 In responding to Staff's DR 58, Mr. W.
21 Edward Blunk, a GMO witness, stated, quote, GMO is heavily
22 reliant on purchased power to serve its load. In 2010 GMO
23 purchased more power than KCP&L and Union Electric
24 combined, about twice as many megawatt hours as Empire
25 District Electric Company, end quote.

1 It is noteworthy in this respect that GMO
2 did not add any capacity at all to its fleet between 1981
3 and 2005, a period of nearly 25 years. Now, it is true,
4 GMO does own capacity that it does not generally operate,
5 and that's because the capacity in question is inefficient
6 and it costs too much to run in the current market, and
7 GMO can obtain power more cheaply on the spot market,
8 which is what it has, in fact, been doing. That unused
9 capacity amounts to about 95 megawatts.

10 However, it is Staff's position that GMO
11 needs not just more capacity but more efficient capacity,
12 efficient capacity that can produce power at a low cost
13 per kilowatt hour.

14 Because GMO is overly reliant on purchased
15 power, GMO is particularly exposed to on-peak spot market
16 purchased power price volatility. In response to Staff
17 DR 58, GMO's witness Edward Blunk again states, quote, GMO
18 has a significant exposure to the volatility of the power
19 market, close quote.

20 In that same response, Mr. Blunk notes that
21 KCP&L, Union Electric and Empire combined supply only
22 about 7 percent of their total energy requirements with
23 purchased power. We've heard Mr. Fischer tell us that for
24 GMO the figure is 40 percent, 40 percent compared to
25 7 percent.

1 GMO's overexposure to spot market purchased
2 power price volatility constitutes Staff's first charge of
3 imprudence in this case. That exposure would not exist
4 but for GMO's lack of adequate efficient generation
5 resources of its own.

6 GMO hedges not only its purchased power
7 price risk but also the natural gas that it uses to fuel a
8 portion of its generation fleet. I note that Staff has
9 not raised any question whatsoever about the cost of the
10 hedging for the natural gas fuel, which is a modest amount
11 by comparison to the amount spent to hedge the on-peak
12 spot market purchased power price volatility.

13 But GMO accounts for both types of hedging
14 in the same account, an account intended for fuel costs,
15 not purchased power costs. You heard Mr. Fischer explain
16 that. Why is Staff concerned with that? Why does Staff
17 care? Because it misrepresents the cost of purchased
18 power. The cost of purchased power includes the money
19 spent to hedge price risk for purchased power.
20 Consequently, those costs need to be booked to the
21 purchased power account, FERC Account 555, not the fuel
22 account, 547.

23 GMO cross hedges its purchased power price
24 risk. A cross hedge, as you've heard from Mr. Fischer, is
25 a risk mitigation device in which the risk in one sort of

1 investment is hedged by a position in another. In this
2 case, GMO hedges on-peak spot market purchased power risk
3 by investments in natural gas. The theory is that if
4 purchased power prices rise on the spot market, natural
5 gas prices will rise, too, so that when GMO liquidates its
6 natural gas position, the gain realized from that
7 transaction will mitigate the increased cost paid for the
8 purchased power.

9 The effectiveness of this hedging strategy
10 is totally dependent on the degree of correlation between
11 natural gas prices and the on-peak spot market purchased
12 power price. And you heard Mr. Fischer pay a great deal
13 of attention to exactly that point.

14 It is Staff's position that there are many
15 factors that influence the spot market price of
16 electricity and that this sort of hedging is inappropriate
17 when viewed in the context of Staff's long, ongoing
18 concern about GMO's lack of efficient capacity and
19 overexposure to spot market price volatility.

20 We might change our insurance example a
21 little bit away from the fire insurance, you pay an amount
22 every year for fire insurance. Your house doesn't burn
23 down. You've lost the money you paid on the premium, but
24 you've mitigated the possibility of a catastrophic
25 financial disaster, the burning down of your house, right?

1 Okay. But what GMO is doing is slightly
2 different. It says if GMO has a house with no roof, and
3 what they've done is they've paid somebody to come out
4 whenever it looks like it's going to rain to throw a
5 tarpaulin over the house. Staff believes that, instead of
6 that, GMO needs to put a new roof on the house. GMO needs
7 to build or buy efficient generating capacity. Instead of
8 playing games and running risks, unnecessary risks on the
9 spot market, GMO needs to put a new roof on its house by
10 building adequate efficient generating capacity. That has
11 been Staff's position with respect to this company,
12 whatever you might call it, for many years.

13 It is important to note that Staff is not
14 opposed to hedging. A well-designed and thoughtfully
15 implemented hedging program is a good and prudent thing,
16 just like that fire insurance on your house. Such a
17 hedging program certainly does not always result in
18 positive gains. In fact, it cannot. It cannot.

19 But remember, with fire insurance you're
20 paying a small premium to mitigate a much larger loss. In
21 this case, GMO has spent \$15 million over 18 months to
22 mitigate a risk that it has itself equated at about
23 \$40 million. That's a lot to pay. It's Staff's opinion
24 that this insurance is too costly by far.

25 In 2005 GMO implemented a hedging program

1 referred to as the one-third program. You've heard
2 Mr. Fischer mention that. Under that program, one-third
3 of GMO's expected power requirement was hedged with
4 natural gas futures contracts to lock in a price. Another
5 third was hedged with options to cap the price, and the
6 remaining third was not hedged at all but floated with the
7 market. GMO's purchased power requirements were forecast
8 annually, July of every year I believe, and an equal
9 portion of the requirement then was hedged every month.

10 The program was purposefully market
11 insensitive. It was not a gamble in the sense of playing
12 the market to find financial returns. It was implemented
13 regardless and without any concern with respect to what
14 the market was doing at any given time.

15 Staff criticized that program as being
16 overly rigid, and as a result, in 2007 a Stipulation &
17 Agreement was executed in which GMO agreed to forego
18 recovery of 11 and a half million dollars it had lost in
19 its hedging program in 2006 in exchange for immunity from
20 prudence review of all hedge positions that existed as of
21 May 27, 2007.

22 Some of those hedge positions were
23 liquidated in the review period in this case, and that
24 accounts for the movement of Staff's recommendation from
25 the original 18 million to the 14.9 million that staff

1 agrees is at issue now. What was removed and thereby
2 reduced that amount by 3 million was the costs of hedges
3 that Staff had agreed would not be subject to prudence
4 review in that Stipulation & Agreement.

5 In 2007, GMO turned to Kase & Company to
6 design a new hedging strategy. And I might point out that
7 we've heard Mr. Fischer talk about what did Staff know and
8 when did Staff know it. And certainly before 2007 Staff
9 was very well aware that GMO was engaging in cross hedging
10 of its on-peak spot purchased power risk. Staff knew
11 about it and Staff didn't like it.

12 When the Kase & Company hedging program was
13 brought in in 2007, Staff was not aware that the hedging
14 of the on-peak purchased power risk continued under that
15 program. Staff was not aware that the purchased power
16 hedging continued when the new hedging program was put
17 into place.

18 The Kase hedging strategy was implemented
19 in October of 2007. It relies upon proprietary software,
20 Easy Hedge and Hedgemaster. As much as two-thirds of
21 GMO's forecast requirements may be hedged under the two
22 programs.

23 Hedgemaster is a statistical program that
24 places defensive hedges when prices move into a high zone
25 and takes advantages of opportunities when prices move

1 into a low zone and does nothing at all when prices remain
2 in a neutral zone in between.

3 Easy Hedge, on the other hand, places
4 hedges based on business cycles, analysis of business
5 cycles, and Easy Hedge tends to hedge whatever amount has
6 been committed to it. I gather that the proportion of
7 requirements that are hedged under the two programs
8 changes from time to time. It's not one-third
9 Hedgemaster, one-third Easy Hedge, but it changes from
10 time to time.

11 Easy Hedge, like Hedgemaster, also acts to
12 take advantage of opportunities offered by low prices.
13 That's an important consideration because natural gas
14 prices collapsed after mid 2008, from nearly \$13.66 per
15 MMBtu in I believe July of 2008 to \$2.50 by August of
16 2009, a precipitous and rapid price decrease.

17 The hedge positions that resulted from the
18 losses under review in this case, as far as I know, as far
19 as I can tell, were all taken after March 27, 2007, and
20 they were all driven, as far as I can tell, by the Kase &
21 Company hedging program, not by the old one-third program.

22 That program, I believe, responded to the
23 collapse of natural gas prices by taking advantage of what
24 appeared to be opportunities presented by precipitously
25 falling prices, but these were not opportunities because

1 the prices never went back up. They haven't gone back up
2 yet. They were not opportunities. They were instead an
3 opportunity to lose a lot of money, which is what
4 happened.

5 Once again, GMO's hedging program even
6 under the Kase & Company strategy has turned out to be
7 overly rigid and unthoughtfully implemented. The program
8 did not protect ratepayers from upward price volatility
9 but, in fact, significantly increased the price paid for
10 spot market purchased power. We believe the only
11 conclusion you can reach is that the program was
12 imprudent.

13 Finally, Staff points out that GMO's fuel
14 adjustment clause tariff nowhere states that hedging costs
15 for on-peak spot market purchased power shall be flowed
16 back through the fuel adjustment clause to the ratepayers.
17 Under the filed tariff doctrine, the tariff language is
18 the law. It is binding on the company. It is binding
19 ratepayers. It is binding on the Commission. It is the
20 law.

21 Let me say that in the process of preparing
22 this case and conducting discovery and getting this case
23 ready to bring to you today, there has been only
24 cooperation between the Staff and the company. We took
25 depositions about two weeks ago in Kansas City and were

1 afforded every courtesy by the company and its employees,
2 for which we are very grateful. And they took depositions
3 here sometime before that, and we made every effort to
4 provide every courtesy as well.

5 I want to make it clear that there is no
6 animus, there is no secret agenda, there is no reason that
7 this case is here other than the fact that it is a
8 statutorily required prudence review and Staff believes
9 that the amount of the hedging costs in and of themselves
10 show that there has been imprudence, and upon inquiring
11 further, more closely, Staff has found several areas of
12 concern which I have just outlined to you.

13 I can tell you that both the Staff and the
14 company eagerly await the Commission's decision and
15 guidance. We want to know what you think about these
16 issues, both for the Staff and for the company for future
17 behavior. The company wants to know if it should continue
18 to engage in these hedges or if it should change its
19 activities in some respect. The Staff wants to know what
20 you think about these areas of concern that we've pointed
21 out, are they okay, should we forget about them, or are
22 they something that need to be addressed?

23 Thank you very much for your attention.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.

25 Before you sit down, Commissioner Stoll, do you have any

1 questions for Mr. Thompson?

2 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No, not at this time.

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.

4 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

5 JUDGE STEARLEY: It appears we have no
6 other party to give an opening. We've been going for not
7 quite two hours yet, but why don't we go ahead and take
8 about a ten-minute intermission. We'll come back and
9 begin with witness testimony.

10 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

11 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 8, 9 AND 10 WERE MARKED
12 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: We are back on the record.

14 MR. FISCHER: Judge, over the break I was
15 able to obtain an order -- or a certified copy of the
16 Order Clarifying Report and Order in the Aquila case, Case
17 No. ER-2007-0004, and I've given a copy to the court
18 reporter, and I'd ask that it be admitted into the record.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Are there any
20 objections to the admission of that exhibit?

21 MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: It shall be admitted and
23 received into the record.

24 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 10 WAS RECEIVED INTO
25 EVIDENCE.)

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Fischer, you may call
2 your first witness.

3 MR. FISCHER: Yes. GMO would call Dr. C.K.
4 Woo, please, to the witness stand.

5 (Witness sworn.)

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. Please be
7 seated. And you may proceed, Mr. Fischer.

8 C.K. WOO testified as follows:

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

10 Q. Please state your name and business address
11 for the record.

12 A. My name is C.K. Woo, W-o-o. The address is
13 101 Montgomery Street, M-o-n-t-g-o-m-e-r-y, Suite 1600,
14 San Francisco, California. The zip code is 94104.

15 Q. Are you the same C.K. Woo that caused to be
16 filed in this particular proceeding direct testimony that
17 has been for your information marked as Exhibit No. 8 and
18 surrebuttal testimony which has been marked as Exhibit
19 No. 9?

20 A. Yes, I am.

21 Q. Do you have any corrections or changes that
22 you need to make to that testimony?

23 A. No, I do not.

24 Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are
25 contained in those written documents today, would your

1 **answers be the same?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. And are they truthful and accurate to the**
4 **best of your knowledge and belief?**

5 A. Yes, they are.

6 MR. FISCHER: Judge, at this time I would
7 move for the admission of GMO Exhibit No. 8 and GMO
8 Exhibit No. 9, and tender the witness for
9 cross-examination.

10 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objection to the
11 admissions of Exhibits 8 and 9?

12 MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: They shall be received
14 Aden admitted into the record.

15 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 8 AND 9 WERE RECEIVED
16 INTO EVIDENCE.)

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: And cross-examination.

18 MR. THOMPSON: No questions from Staff.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: No questions. Any
20 questions from the Bench? Commissioner Stoll, any
21 questions for this witness?

22 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, Mr. Woo, you've made
24 record time on your testimony. I appreciate your coming.
25 There are no cross-examination questions for you.

1 Consequently, Mr. Fischer, there will be no redirect.

2 MR. FISCHER: Unfortunately, Judge.

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Woo, you may step
4 down.

5 THE WITNESS: Am I excused?

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: You are excused as a
7 witness.

8 THE WITNESS: That's got to be record time
9 for me. I did not know that I can be that fast.

10 MR. FISCHER: Judge, he can be excused if
11 he needs to be; is that correct?

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: He can be finally excused.

13 MR. FISCHER: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Woo.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Fischer,
18 you may call your second witness.

19 MR. FISCHER: Judge, at this time we'd call
20 to the stand William Edward Blunk.

21 (Witness sworn.)

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, you may be
23 seated. And, Mr. Fischer, you may proceed.

24 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 1NP AND HC, AND 2NP AND
25 HC WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

1 WILLIAM EDWARD BLUNK testified as follows:

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

3 Q. Please state your name and address for the
4 record.

5 A. My name is William Edward Blunk, and my
6 business address is 1200 Main Street, Kansas City,
7 Missouri.

8 Q. And who are you employed by?

9 A. Kansas City Power & Light Company.

10 Q. Are you the same Edward Blunk that caused
11 to be filed in this proceeding certain direct and
12 surrebuttal testimony?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. I believe for your information the
15 testimony on your direct has been marked as Exhibit
16 No. 1NP, and your surrebuttal testimony has been marked as
17 Exhibit No. 2, highly confidential and NP versions. Do
18 you have any -- I'm sorry. You also have an HC version on
19 the Exhibit 1, the direct.

20 Do you have any corrections that need to be
21 made or changes that need to be made that haven't already
22 been included in those documents?

23 A. I have a couple of corrections to my direct
24 testimony.

25 Q. Okay. Would you go through those at this

1 **time?**

2 A. On page 9, line 2 should read, power risk
3 been included in GMO's cost of service, question. Line 3
4 should read, answer: In Case No. ER hyphen. And line 4,
5 I would strike the word as.

6 And on page 18, at line 25, the open paren,
7 No. 1, close paren, should be replaced with the word
8 thousand, and the word hours should be plural.

9 **Q. Any other changes you need to make?**

10 A. No. That's it.

11 **Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are**
12 **contained in Exhibits 1 and 2, would your answers be the**
13 **same today?**

14 A. Yes.

15 **Q. And are they accurate and, to the best of**
16 **your knowledge and belief, correct?**

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. FISCHER: Judge, then I would move for
19 the admission of Exhibits 1 and 2, the NP and the HC
20 versions, and tender the witness for cross.

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
22 admission of Exhibit 1 and 2 for GMO?

23 MR. THOMPSON: No objections.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: They shall be admitted and
25 received into evidence.

1 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 1 AND 2 WERE RECEIVED
2 INTO EVIDENCE.)

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: And, Mr. Thompson,
4 cross-examination?

5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. With your
6 leave, I'll cross from here --

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's quite fine.

8 MR. THOMPSON: -- rather than from the
9 podium. Thank you.

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

11 Q. Nice to see you again, Mr. Blunk.

12 A. Mr. Thompson.

13 MR. THOMPSON: I have an exhibit I'd like
14 to have marked. And this is Schedule TMR-3 from the
15 testimony of Tim Rush, I think the surrebuttal.

16 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 4 WAS MARKED FOR
17 IDENTIFICATION.)

18 BY MR. THOMPSON:

19 Q. Okay. Mr. Blunk, have you ever seen this
20 document before?

21 A. Briefly, yes.

22 Q. Briefly. Okay. Do you have any reason to
23 doubt whether or not it's accurate or truthful?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay. If you would take a look at page 1

1 of 2, in the very first column there are dates. Do you
2 see that?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And if you look down, oh, a little bit
5 below the middle of the page there's one cell that has the
6 date October of '07. Do you see that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I wonder if you could read the entry in the
9 second column opposite the date October '07.

10 A. Implemented Kase hedging program.

11 Q. Now, do you have any reason to doubt that
12 the Kase hedging program was implemented in October of
13 2007?

14 A. It would have been in that time period.
15 The program was developed in June/July '07. I don't
16 remember the date of the first hedge.

17 Q. Okay. So you don't remember the date of
18 the first hedge, so you have no reason to question the
19 date here; is that correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Another exhibit, if I
22 may approach.

23 (STAFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 5 WAS MARKED FOR
24 IDENTIFICATION.)

25 MR. THOMPSON: And this is Schedule WEB-5

1 which is out of Mr. Blunk's testimony.

2 BY MR. THOMPSON:

3 Q. Mr. Blunk, have you seen this document
4 before?

5 A. Yes, I have.

6 Q. Now, was this attached to your direct or
7 your surrebuttal?

8 A. This was attached to my direct.

9 Q. Okay. And as far as you know, it's
10 accurate and correct?

11 A. There was a version -- my original filing
12 had an error, and we filed a correction.

13 Q. What was that error?

14 A. I don't remember exactly. There were a
15 couple of numbers that didn't tie up.

16 Q. I don't mean to put you on the spot. It's
17 just this is the version I plan to use, and I want to know
18 what part of it should not be relied on. Well, there's
19 several columns on this page; isn't that correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And would you agree with me that the column
22 headed F is a compilation month by month of those hedge
23 transactions which are excluded from consideration by
24 virtue of the immunity afforded by the Stipulation &
25 Agreement?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. And so the transactions which are at
3 issue here would then appear in column G; isn't that
4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And if you know, are any of those numbers
7 inaccurate or wrong?

8 A. No. To the best of my knowledge, those are
9 correct.

10 Q. Okay. So am I correct in understanding
11 that all of those transactions were placed under the Kase
12 hedging strategy?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. None of them were placed under the
15 one-third strategy?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. In fact, the ones that were removed by
18 virtue of the stipulation, those are the last remaining
19 hedge transactions placed by the one-third strategy; isn't
20 that correct?

21 A. That is correct.

22 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'd like to offer
23 Staff Exhibits 4 and 5 at this time.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
25 offering of Staff Exhibits No. 4 and 5?

1 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I would just note that
2 is a highly confidential document, and I would request
3 that it be maintained that. I don't have -- I don't have
4 an objection. I think we did update it with a correct
5 version that was attached in the testimony, but I think
6 for purposes of cross, it's fine.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: It will remain highly
8 confidential, and these two exhibits will be received and
9 are admitted into the record.

10 (STAFF'S EXHIBIT NOS. 4 AND 5 WERE RECEIVED
11 INTO EVIDENCE.)

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: And I'm going to count on
13 the parties to inform me if the testimony starts getting
14 into highly confidential matters so that we can go
15 in-camera, and it will also be the parties' responsibility
16 to clear members out of the gallery if they should not be
17 hearing highly confidential information.

18 MR. FISCHER: Judge, on that topic, I would
19 just note that in the original Staff Report, the original
20 disallowance of 18.8 million or .9 million was declared --
21 was marked as highly confidential. The company does not
22 consider that to be highly confidential at this time, nor
23 does it consider the current Staff disallowance of
24 14.9 million to be a confidential number.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Very good.

1 Thank you. You may proceed, Mr. Thompson.

2 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

3 BY MR. THOMPSON:

4 Q. Now, Mr. Blunk, you have testified in the
5 past on the volatility of the natural gas market; isn't
6 that correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And, in fact, you've testified as to the
9 volatility of the natural gas market in Case No.
10 HC-2010-0235, did you not?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. If I may approach?

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 Q. Let me show you a document, Mr. Blunk, that
16 I printed out from the Commission's EFIS system last
17 night. Do you recognize that document?

18 A. It appears to be my direct testimony from
19 Case HC-2010-0235.

20 Q. And is that, in fact, the Ag Processing
21 versus GMO complaint that I referred to in my opening
22 statement?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And what was the date of that testimony, if
25 you see that date anywhere?

1 A. October 22, 2010.

2 Q. Now, if you look at the document I handed
3 you, there's a flag on one page. I think it's page 24.
4 Do you see that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And there's a paragraph that starts at
7 line 7. I think it's marked with ink. Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Could I ask you to read that paragraph,
10 please?

11 A. Natural gas in December 2004 was about
12 \$6.83 per MMBtu. In December 2005 it reached a peak of
13 \$15 and 300 -- 378 per MMBtu. Then it dropped to
14 \$4.12 per MMBtu in September 2006. These moves
15 represented a price spike of 125 percent followed by a
16 decline of 73 percent. By July 2008, natural gas had
17 returned to \$13.58, but then dropped 82 percent to \$2.508,
18 a price level that the markets had not seen since March
19 2002. In the first nine months of 2010, the price for
20 natural gas has ranged from \$3.651 to 6.009.

21 Q. Thank you very much.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Thompson, when you're
23 at the table, if you could please use your microphone.

24 MR. THOMPSON: I will. Pardon me, Judge.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's all right.

1 MR. THOMPSON: If I could recapture that
2 from you. Thank you, sir.

3 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 6 WAS MARKED FOR
4 IDENTIFICATION.)

5 BY MR. THOMPSON:

6 Q. Now, Mr. Blunk, this is another schedule
7 from your testimony. Perhaps you recognize it.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And this is your Schedule WEB-12?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And do you recall, was this attached to
12 your direct testimony or to your surrebuttal?

13 A. This is attached to my surrebuttal.

14 Q. Okay. And am I correct in understanding
15 this graph to show the trend of natural gas prices between
16 January of 2007 -- or excuse me. Well, is that January of
17 2007?

18 A. That is January 2007.

19 Q. And going until April of 2012?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. So that would encompass the review
22 period that we're here to talk about, would it not?

23 A. Yes, it would.

24 Q. And it would also encompass the period when
25 those transactions were placed, would it not?

1 A. Yes, it would.

2 Q. Okay. I have yet another version of this
3 for you.

4 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 7 WAS MARKED FOR
5 IDENTIFICATION.)

6 BY MR. THOMPSON:

7 Q. Now, Mr. Blunk, this is your Schedule
8 WEB-12 that I've taken the liberty of drawing on to show
9 the recovery period. Do you see that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Or what purports to be the recovery period.
12 And I've also drawn a line that purports to show the date
13 March 27, 2007. Do you see that?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. So assuming for purposes of
16 cross-examination, if you would, that I've managed to put
17 those lines at the correct spots --

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. -- would you agree with me that we have,
20 therefore, designated the volatility of the natural gas
21 market during first the period when the transactions at
22 issue in this case were placed, the hedges, and also the
23 review period itself?

24 A. Volatility is typically measured using
25 daily prices, and the Schedule WEB-12 represents monthly

1 settlement prices.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. That would be a difference between this and
4 the testimony you had me read out of HC-2010-0235.

5 Q. Okay. But this chart minus the additions
6 I've put on it is, in fact, your chart, is it not?

7 A. Oh, that's true.

8 Q. Is it inaccurate in some way?

9 A. No, it's not inaccurate.

10 Q. Okay. And monthly settlement prices, do
11 they have some relationship to daily settlement prices?

12 A. These would represent as a monthly
13 settlement price, it is the average of the last 30 minutes
14 of trading on the closing date for each contract. So it
15 represents 30 minutes of trading each month.

16 Q. Okay. Now, in the excerpt that I had you
17 read, you described a precipitous decline in natural gas
18 prices starting in 2008; is that not correct?

19 A. That's what I recall, yes.

20 Q. Okay. And this figure, as well as Staff
21 Exhibit 6, which it's based on, also shows that; isn't
22 that correct?

23 A. Staff Exhibit 6 is?

24 Q. Is your chart without my drawing on it.

25 A. Oh, yes.

1 Q. You agree that they show that decline?

2 A. Yes. They both show a decline from mid '08
3 through about mid '09.

4 Q. Okay. And that was during the period when
5 the hedges that we're concerned with here were being
6 placed; isn't that correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And isn't it true that the Hedgemaster
9 software is designed to take advantage of opportunities
10 indicated by falling prices?

11 A. Yes. Actually, the correct reference to
12 the program is Hedge Model.

13 Q. Hedge Model. I'm sorry.

14 A. But yes, it does take advantage of falling
15 prices.

16 Q. Okay. And isn't it true that Easy Hedge
17 does as well?

18 A. They both do, yes.

19 Q. Okay. Now, in your direct testimony on
20 page 26, you indicate that under the Kase hedging
21 strategy, one-third of the volume is not hedged at all?

22 A. That is true.

23 Q. And that's similar, is it not, to the prior
24 one-third program?

25 A. Yes. That third is left unhedged because,

1 in addition to price risk, we have volume risk, and that
2 is the primary means we deal with volume risk is by
3 leaving that one-third unhedged.

4 Q. And so the volume, how is that determined?

5 A. Volume?

6 Q. In other words, the volume that you
7 require, your predicted requirements, how is that
8 determined?

9 A. We project the requirement using the
10 company's Midas model to look at how much we're expecting
11 load to be, and based on our projection for fuel
12 requirements and then also our projection for on-peak
13 purchased power requirements, we use the market implied
14 heat rate on the on-peak purchased power requirements,
15 convert that to MMBtu equivalent and sum those two numbers
16 and it creates a total volume, natural gas volume that we
17 will hedge.

18 Q. Okay. And that's revisited regularly, is
19 it not?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. In fact, is that revisited on a daily
22 basis?

23 A. Not daily, but we do revise those
24 projections monthly.

25 Q. Okay. Now, up to two-thirds of the

1 projected volume is hedged using either Easy Hedge or
2 Hedge Model or a combination of the two; isn't that
3 correct?

4 A. Yes, up to two-thirds.

5 Q. But it's not always two-thirds?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And how is that determined?

8 A. Both Hedge Model and Easy Hedge are market
9 sensitive, and they will only trigger based on how the
10 market is moving. So I'll use Hedge Model because it's a
11 little easier to describe. Hedge Model essentially
12 defines three different pricing zones, when prices are
13 high, when prices are normal, and prices are very low.
14 And as you move through those pricing zones, it will
15 trigger various actions.

16 For example, in this part where there is a
17 decline as we're looking at the prices are trending down,
18 it will take a very small bite. It will take just a few
19 percentages of the requirements are purchased on a day.
20 You'll wait. Then it will take another bite. You'll
21 wait. You take another little bite, and then you wait
22 another month, because if prices are trending down, you
23 want to let them go down as long as they can.

24 And once it reaches a point where it looks
25 like the market has kind of found a new normal on the low,

1 it might not place any hedges for a while because it's
2 just basically waiting. In fact, on the way down, the
3 most you would place of that two-thirds, the most you'd
4 place would be maybe half, maybe. It depends how long it
5 takes it to get down.

6 Q. Okay. And does the percentage that is
7 hedged under Hedge Model and the percentage hedged under
8 Easy Hedge, does that change from time to time?

9 A. The split between Hedge Model and Easy
10 Hedge is an 80/20 split. The two models will trigger
11 differently. So if you look at the total volume that's
12 actually hedged under the programs, that might vary. But
13 we have decided as just a matter of strategy that of the
14 projected volume, 80 percent is committed to Hedge Model
15 and 20 percent is committed to Easy Hedge.

16 Q. And those two softwares are proprietary to
17 Kase & Company; is that correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Now, on page 27 of your direct testimony,
20 you talk about the merger of the GMO and KCP&L hedging
21 programs. Do you see that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you indicate that volume drivers
24 particular to each company or peculiar to each company
25 were maintained. What are those volume drivers?

1 A. The main difference is that KCPL does not
2 have the purchased power price risk that GMO does, so KCPL
3 does not hedge purchased power. It does not take the
4 purchased power that it -- it does not convert it into
5 natural gas. GMO on the other hand does, and so the
6 purchased power risk is included in GMO hedge volume.

7 Q. Take a look at page 17 of your direct.
8 Now, you were present for the opening statements by
9 Mr. Fischer and myself; is that correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And Mr. Fischer stated that the cross
12 hedging of on-peak spot market purchased power price risk
13 is done entirely to protect ratepayers; isn't that
14 correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. If you would, read the question that starts
17 on line 13 of page 17 of your direct testimony.

18 A. Why does GMO cross hedge spot electricity
19 price risk with natural gas futures and options?

20 Q. And the answer that starts on line 15, just
21 the first sentence.

22 A. The simple answer is liquidity.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. Now, this is referring to a case --

25 Q. That's sufficient. Thank you.

1 MR. FISCHER: Counsel, what page was that
2 reference to?

3 MR. THOMPSON: Page 17 of direct testimony.

4 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

5 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 8 WAS MARKED FOR
6 IDENTIFICATION.)

7 BY MR. THOMPSON:

8 Q. Mr. Blunk, this is also out of your
9 testimony. Your testimony has been a useful companion.

10 A. Thank you.

11 Q. This is your Schedule WEB-18. Do you see
12 that?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. But it is, in fact -- or it is also, I
15 should say, Staff's DR 0058; isn't that correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And this was a DR propounded to you by
18 Staff that you responded to; is that correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. I wonder if you'd take a look at
21 question No. 1 and the response thereto, the very last
22 sentence of that response, I wonder if you could read
23 that.

24 A. If yes, please describe GMO's knowledge of
25 these activities.

1 Q. Okay. Maybe I'm not making myself -- do
2 you see your response, there's a paragraph labeled 1?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. And under the bold paragraph there's
5 a paragraph in normal typeface and a sentence that begins
6 with the phrase "in other words".

7 A. You're referring to the last sentence of
8 the answer?

9 Q. That's the one.

10 A. In addition to --

11 MR. THOMPSON: Well, if I may approach?

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.

13 BY MR. THOMPSON:

14 Q. I can point it. I apologize. That one
15 right there (indicating).

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. I wonder if you can read that sentence.

18 A. In other words, while GMO lost \$1.80 per
19 megawatt hour power purchase in 2008, AIC lost \$18.15 per
20 megawatt hour.

21 Q. Am I correct in understanding that hedge
22 costs added \$1.80 to the price of every megawatt of power
23 that GMO purchased in 2010? Is that what that sentence
24 means?

25 A. That I think is correct.

1 Q. Okay. If you look down at the very bottom
2 of that first page, there's an answer headed three. Do
3 you see that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Why does GMO hedge its purchased power?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. I wonder if you could read the first
8 sentence or the first two sentences of the response on the
9 following page?

10 A. GMO purchases 3.5 million to 3.9 million
11 megawatt hours of power to serve its load at a cost of
12 120 to \$135 million per year.

13 Q. Okay. And the next sentence?

14 A. Those purchases represent about 40 percent
15 of GMO's energy requirements.

16 Q. Would you agree with me that that
17 represents a significant exposure to the volatility of the
18 power market?

19 A. Yes, it does.

20 MR. THOMPSON: At this time I'd like to
21 move the admission of Staff Exhibits 6, 7 and 8.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
23 admission of Staff Exhibits 6, 7 and 8?

24 MR. FISCHER: No objection.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, they are

1 admitted and received into the record.

2 (STAFF EXHIBIT NOS. 6, 7 AND 8 WERE
3 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)

4 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 9HC WAS MARKED FOR
5 IDENTIFICATION.)

6 BY MR. THOMPSON:

7 Q. Now, this is a highly confidential exhibit
8 that did not come from your testimony, but rather was
9 prepared by Lena Mantle of the Staff. I wonder if you've
10 seen that document before?

11 A. Is this the same as the schedule in
12 Ms. Mantle's testimony?

13 Q. Yes, it is.

14 A. I have seen it.

15 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to doubt
16 the accuracy of the figures depicted on that schedule?

17 A. No.

18 MR. THOMPSON: I would move the admission
19 of Staff Exhibit 9.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
21 admission of Staff Exhibit No. 9?

22 MR. FISCHER: No objection.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be
24 admitted and received into the record.

25 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 9 WAS RECEIVED INTO

1 EVIDENCE.)

2 MR. THOMPSON: And I have no further
3 questions for Mr. Blunk. Thank you.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any questions from the
5 Bench for this witness, Commissioner Stoll?

6 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Blunk, I have a
8 couple.

9 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE STEARLEY:

10 Q. If you could explain a little bit more for
11 all of us non-accountants, with regard to the hedge
12 settlements, if I'm understanding correctly, GMO is
13 linking a futures derivative transaction with a physical
14 transaction?

15 A. Yes. That is basically how you construct a
16 hedge.

17 Q. That's how you construct a hedge. And how
18 often do these settle then?

19 A. The hedges themselves would settle -- well,
20 the futures contract is going to settle monthly. You buy
21 into it. So, for example, for August of 2009, we had
22 39 -- or 32 different purchases, but it had one day of
23 settlement. So that one day of settlement is when we
24 close out the positions.

25 Q. And when you close it out, are there

1 **different ways it can be closed out?**

2 A. Yes. You can close out at any time you
3 want basically after you made the purchase, you can
4 liquidate the position any time after that. When we have
5 a change in volume, we will close out early. In fact, you
6 see some of that showing up in some of my schedules where
7 I refer to positions that were closed early. That's what
8 I'm referring to is that we were adjusting for our volume
9 changes, so we closed those early.

10 But typically since we are a hedger, our
11 intent is to protect that future price. So, for example,
12 again referring to August 2009, if we placed a hedge in
13 July 2008, we would hold that hedge until we get right up
14 against August, and then we would get out of the position
15 with the idea we're trying to protect August 2009.

16 **Q. How does that protect the August 2009**
17 **price?**

18 A. The way it would work is, again using the
19 example that I was just working on, you would buy a
20 futures contract in this example in July 2008, and right
21 at the end of July 2009, the August 2009 futures
22 contract -- I know that sounds a little confusing, but the
23 August delivery month is what it's referring to. So it's
24 saying for gas that would be delivered in August 2009,
25 that contract would expire three business days before you

1 have to start shipping gas under it.

2 So we would buy in July 2008. We would
3 sell out of that futures position right at the end of
4 August. In essence, at that time we would have a gain or
5 a loss, basically a bucket of money, and that bucket of
6 money then would protect us for whatever happened in the
7 cash market, be it the price went up or down. They would
8 offset each other.

9 Q. So how is the bucket of money used in the
10 settlement?

11 A. When you settle the hedge?

12 Q. Uh-huh.

13 A. When you settle the hedge, that's where you
14 accumulate what I'm referring to as a bucket of the money.
15 So the settlement is, you have purchased the futures and
16 you sell it, and the sale price you get, that difference
17 between the two is your settlement.

18 Q. So that could be potential gain or loss?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Are there times when you execute the
21 futures contract and buy gas at that price that you
22 mentioned?

23 A. You could. We do not because futures gas
24 is delivered in Erath, Louisiana, Henry Hub, and for us to
25 transport gas from Henry Hub to the Kansas City areas, it

1 can be done. It's just it's a lot easier to buy gas
2 locally. And so we don't take physical delivery.

3 Q. Okay. So hedge is used solely to protect
4 the natural gas price of where you're buying it here
5 locally, the local distributor?

6 A. Yes.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Thank you. Any
8 other questions from the Bench?

9 (No response.)

10 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any recross
11 based on questions from the Bench?

12 MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. Oh, I'm sorry.

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: We'll get to redirect in
14 just a few minutes.

15 MR. THOMPSON: No recross.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Now you may redirect.

17 MR. FISCHER: Okay. Thank you, Judge. I
18 jumped ahead here.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

20 Q. Let's go to Judge Stearley's questions
21 there at the end and make sure I understand what you're
22 saying. He was asking how often you settle. Do you
23 recall that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Would you explain whether you wait 'til the

1 monthly settlement date to deal with these natural gas
2 futures contracts?

3 A. Generally we do.

4 Q. Okay. Would you explain that -- how that
5 process works and how that -- because you're dealing with
6 an hourly electric price, how that would be important?

7 A. Well, generally the settlement is the sale
8 price of the contract. The purchase price varies
9 continuously throughout the day and across the whole time
10 that the contract's been traded. So the purchase price of
11 August 2009 gas has been varying for almost seven years
12 continuously. So the purchase price is whenever you
13 bought it at -- whatever price you bought it at. It
14 varies.

15 The settlement price is the point at which
16 the NYMEX brings to a close that futures contract, and if
17 you will, it's essentially a touch point of where the
18 futures market and the cash market come together. They
19 essentially have to converge at some point for the whole
20 thing to work. And that settlement price is that point of
21 convergence where futures and cash come together, and
22 that's why it is a single price.

23 It's used a lot of times in analysis, but
24 it is the average of the last 30 minutes of trading on the
25 exchange for that contract.

1 Q. But the electric prices vary hourly
2 throughout the month; is that right?

3 A. Yes, they do.

4 Q. Well, how does a monthly natural gas
5 settlement help you hedge the hourly prices?

6 A. Well, it comes back to, one, you've got
7 multiple pieces happening. One as I was referring to, on
8 the purchase side your price is moving on gas just like
9 the electricity price is moving. It's moving all the
10 time.

11 The settlement, what you're looking for
12 when you make a hedge is you've bought a futures, you've
13 sold a futures, and the gain or loss from that is what I'm
14 referring to as this bucket of money. That bucket of
15 money is then used to offset whatever was happening in the
16 cash or the physical market.

17 So if I can go to my Schedule WEB-9, I
18 think it's a little easier to talk from a picture. On
19 Schedule WEB-9, which is part of my surrebuttal, I
20 illustrate how this works with real numbers. And what you
21 see in essentially the cell that I call B1, which is under
22 the column labeled physical market, you see where it's
23 showing that GMO needed 982,000 megawatt hours. It needed
24 that for August. Well, that's what it needs on average.
25 And then to offset that need, it went out to the futures

1 market and bought 793 contracts of natural gas. So those
2 two volumes are essentially equivalent, 982,000 megawatt
3 hours versus 793 contracts. We had a need. We bought a
4 futures contract.

5 Then it comes time when we actually really
6 do need that electricity, so we go out and first we would
7 sell the futures contract. That happens right at the end
8 of the month before. And you can see on the column that I
9 labeled C under futures market, it says sell. That's
10 saying we sold 793 contracts at a value of \$4.34, and we
11 have a loss of \$14 million, which this is roughly
12 equivalent to the 14.8 that everybody's been referring to.

13 On the physical market side, you see that
14 we come in, we buy electricity, but we're buying at a
15 price much lower than what we thought we were going to
16 have to pay. So we experienced a real gain of
17 \$12.8 million. That's how they work. They sync up with
18 each other.

19 Q. So is that a real world example of what I
20 was talking about in the opening where the gains and
21 losses offset each other?

22 A. Yes. These are real GMO numbers. They
23 don't exactly sync to the 14.8 because I took out some of
24 the more complicated hedges, but this is what we're
25 looking at. It's showing how the offset and how you buy

1 one, sell the other, and then you reverse it.

2 Q. Would that roughly equate to the \$1.80 that
3 Mr. Thompson was referring to per megawatt hour or not?

4 A. Well, if you only looked at the futures
5 side, you get to the \$1.80 or something like that, but if
6 you recognize both sides of the hedge, there is really no
7 adjustment.

8 Q. Well, did you do an analysis of that \$1.80
9 effectively and whether that was a reasonable cost for the
10 insurance that you were buying to cover the risk of the
11 electric price spikes?

12 A. I did. But if you'd like to go back to the
13 one schedule that Mr. Thompson gave me from Ms. Mantle's
14 testimony, that would be an easy place just to even
15 eyeball it without even going into my own testimony of
16 schedule where it's --

17 Q. That's Schedule 9, I believe.

18 A. This one (indicating).

19 Q. Yes.

20 A. In the lower right-hand corner you'll see
21 it refers to total purchases and it says total purchases,
22 the dollar cost was on average \$26.86. Well, \$1.80 of
23 \$26 is less than 10 percent. And where I live, sales tax
24 is almost 9 percent. So what's a reasonable amount to pay
25 for this insurance? Industry rule of thumb, as long as

1 you're less than 30 percent, you've done well. We've done
2 very well.

3 Q. At the time of settlement, has the company
4 decided whether it will purchase power in the following
5 month or whether it will generate electricity?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Okay. I'd like to go to Exhibit No. 7 that
8 the Staff put in front of you that had the NYMEX natural
9 gas contract settlement price chart or graph. Do you have
10 that?

11 A. Yes. Is that the one labeled Schedule
12 WEB-12?

13 Q. Yes. Mr. Blunk, where on that chart did
14 Katrina happen?

15 A. Katrina was in '05, wasn't she? I don't
16 remember exactly.

17 Q. What happened in August of 2008 where the
18 spike began, if you know?

19 A. I'm sorry. I'm not remembering the event.

20 Q. Okay. Do you recall if the Commission's
21 natural gas price volatility mitigation rule was adopted
22 after the Katrina event?

23 A. Well, the Commission issued a joint report
24 that was following, I believe it was Katrina and Rita, and
25 that was -- the report came out in 2006 because they have

1 a picture of it on the front cover.

2 Q. Do such events affect electricity or
3 natural gas prices?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. In what way?

6 A. Well, for example, the hurricanes, they led
7 to a spike in the price of natural gas, and since natural
8 gas is the primary on the margin fuel, if your primary on
9 the margin fuel is going up, then the market price for
10 electricity is going to follow it. And natural gas is
11 always the cause, a primary cause for what's driving the
12 price of electricity in Southwest Power Pool.

13 Q. Would you expect that if Katrina hit the
14 natural gas fields in New Orleans, that that would affect
15 your margin on electricity cost?

16 A. Oh, it definitely would. It definitely
17 would, because it would so impact the price for natural
18 gas that it's going to in turn, since that is primary --
19 the fuel for the on-peak power in Southwest Power Pool,
20 it's going to drive up the price for electricity.

21 Q. Did you expect Katrina to hit New Orleans?

22 A. Well, not before 2005.

23 Q. Do you expect the hurricane to hit
24 New Orleans this year?

25 A. I don't personally, no.

1 Q. Do you think it's wise to have some
2 insurance in case electricity prices would spike for some
3 reason?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. You were asked a question about your direct
6 testimony on page 17, and you were asked to read into the
7 record, I think, the first sentence regarding simply to
8 liquidate or liquidity?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Would you explain what that reference
11 relates to?

12 A. Putting it in context, this is testimony
13 from Case No. ER-2007-0004, and it is testimony referring
14 to why GMO would choose to use cross hedges as opposed to
15 trying to use an electric forward contract to hedge price
16 risk. It's also worth noting that that testimony was in a
17 case that first introduced GMO's fuel clause.

18 But liquidity is the reason why you would
19 choose to cross hedge instead of just signing a contract
20 with another electricity provider. If you just sign a
21 contract with another electricity provider, you'd still
22 get price insurance, but getting out of that contract
23 should your volume change, you're going to have to sell,
24 shall we say, at a loss because that other person, they
25 don't -- it's not a good secondary market. So you have to

1 pay a consequence to get out of the contract.

2 Q. Would you turn to the next page of your
3 testimony that continues to discuss that topic.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Are there other reasons listed there that
6 would suggest that using natural gas futures contracts
7 rather than these other financial tools makes good sense?

8 A. Yes, there are. I mean, liquidity is a
9 very big one. The natural gas market, it trades a factor
10 of, I don't know for sure, like 30 times the actual volume
11 of gas. It's very liquid. You can easily get in. You
12 can get out. Basically no penalty for doing that. There
13 is credit party risk. If I signed or if the company
14 signed a bilateral contract with another counter party,
15 we'd have to worry about their credit risk.

16 The futures exchange, when you purchase a
17 futures contract, your counter party is technically the
18 exchange itself or the NYMEX. The NYMEX is guaranteed by
19 the clearing members, and the clearing members include a
20 large number of very financially strong institutions,
21 large banks, large players in the industry. They have and
22 have maintained very high credit ratings.

23 Another value is simply the volume you can
24 deal in. If I use NYMEX futures, we can take a very small
25 bite. For example, one contract is the equivalent of

1 nearly 1,000 hours of electricity. And if we did a
2 bilateral contract, I probably couldn't fine tune it.

3 Maybe take a larger quantity or lesser
4 quantity. Again, if we had to adjust the volumes, which
5 we do have to adjust volumes from time to time, making
6 that adjustment if it's a contract, a bilateral contract,
7 as the buyer, I'm essentially going to pay a price to make
8 the change. On the futures exchange, I mean, the broker
9 fee in this stuff is insignificant, so there's no penalty.

10 Q. If you're going to use financial
11 instruments to hedge your electricity price risk, do you
12 know of any better financial instrument than the natural
13 gas futures NYMEX?

14 A. No, not for what we're dealing with.

15 Q. Does any of this discussion that you have
16 here on page 17 or 18 suggest that the company isn't
17 hedging to protect customers?

18 A. The purpose of our hedging program really
19 is to protect customers. The fuel clause, the customer is
20 the one that bears the energy market risk. So all the
21 hedging is for the benefit of the customer. There is no
22 benefit to the company of any of this hedging. There is
23 no benefit to the company.

24 Q. So you're indifferent whether you -- if the
25 Commission says don't cross hedge anymore, what would be

1 **the company's response?**

2 A. We would probably stop hedging, hedging
3 altogether. There's no -- the company has no benefit from
4 employing this hedging program. It is strictly for the
5 benefit of the customer.

6 **Q. Does the company -- does Kansas City**
7 **Power & Light Company, to your knowledge, hedge in Kansas?**

8 A. No. We do not hedge in Kansas because in
9 Kansas KCPL has a fuel clause. Again, when there's a fuel
10 clause in place, the hedging is for the benefit of the
11 customer. There is no benefit to the company for a hedge
12 program. There's no motive, no benefit, no reason to do
13 it.

14 **Q. But again, do yo know if Katrina's going to**
15 **hit again this year?**

16 A. No, I do not if Katrina or Rita's going to
17 hit.

18 **Q. Mr. Thompson asked you a number of**
19 **questions about the Kase program and the Hedge Model and**
20 **Easy Hedge. Do you recall those?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. Would you explain to Commissioner Stoll and**
23 **Judge Stearley just how this Kase program works, in**
24 **layman's terms?**

25 A. Yes. Probably the easiest thing to do is

1 talk from a picture again. I guess this is Schedule 9, my
2 graph. It's a little easier to see from the picture. In
3 general, what the Kase hedge program is doing is it is
4 creating a moving average. Is there a way I can draw,
5 draw a picture?

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, we could use the
7 ELMO. Have you got some paper perhaps he could draw on
8 and display it up there?

9 MR. FISCHER: Mr. Blunk, if you'd go to the
10 machine over here, we'll give you a piece of paper, and I
11 believe the Judge in his magic can project it onto the
12 wall.

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: We'll see about that.

14 MR. FISCHER: Or if you want to put that on
15 there and draw on that, that will work, too.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay.

17 MR. FISCHER: Judge, can you see this from
18 your vantage point?

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: I can. It's a little
20 gray, but we can see it.

21 BY MR. FISCHER:

22 Q. Go ahead, Mr. Blunk. Please explain your
23 answer.

24 A. You've seen this chart. You've seen the
25 line which it was essentially the market price for gas,

1 and while these are monthly prices, we assume these are
2 daily because we track this daily.

3 What I've drawn, if you will, I've drawn
4 kind of a thick line that kind of follows more like the
5 moving average. So the middle line, which kind of goes
6 through the middle, from the Kase hedge program we would
7 consider something about where the prices are more or less
8 at as a normal price.

9 If it's just moving a little bit today or
10 tomorrow, you might not do anything. But if a price gets
11 outside of a range, so, for example, it would cross this
12 line, we'd say prices are running away. We need to have
13 done something to protect ourselves. Under the Kase hedge
14 program, it would say you probably should buy a few caps,
15 unless you don't need gas in that period. It's really
16 looking out and saying prices that spike like that, that
17 spike is only going to last six, maybe nine months. And
18 if it's not going to come in and affect you, don't do
19 anything. Just ride it out.

20 On the other hand, we would come in and
21 this is saying, oh, well, that's probably a very
22 opportunistic price. We want to buy into that. We want
23 to take a little bite, buy into it, take another bite, buy
24 into it and see if prices go down and continue to ride
25 them down.

1 So if you think of it as a high price zone,
2 a low price zone and a middle zone, in the middle zone
3 where it's just kind of like normal day-to-day stuff, you
4 might not place any hedges because it does cost money to
5 place a hedge. But if it's going high, you're going to do
6 things to protect yourself. If it's going low, you're
7 going to take advantage of that opportunity and you're
8 going to ride it down.

9 **Q. Mr. Blunk, is the Kase program then market**
10 **insensitive?**

11 A. No. It's very market sensitive. It is
12 giving a lot of consideration to the market. In fact, the
13 Kase program -- well, going back to the joint report of --
14 you might not have a copy of it, but in 2006 there was a
15 report done by -- it's called the Joint Report on Natural
16 Gas Market Conditions, PGA Rates, Customer Bills and
17 Hedging Efforts of Missouri's Natural Gas Local
18 Distribution Companies.

19 I referred to it in my testimony. That
20 report identified things that it thought were important in
21 a hedging program. One of them was that it needed to give
22 consideration and have flexibility to react to markets,
23 and the Kase hedge program does that. In fact, of the
24 various bullets that that report identifies, the Kase
25 program lines up best of any program that I know of.

1 **Q. Do you still exercise professional judgment**
2 **even though you have Kase?**

3 A. Yes, we do. Those lines that I drew, those
4 are based on statistics, and they tell us this might be a
5 good time to trigger. Just because we have a trigger
6 doesn't mean we'll go out and place a hedge.

7 We are in constant consultation with Kase,
8 who is the provider of the program, and every time we have
9 a trigger, we talk to them, because that -- the triggers
10 are statistical. I mean, it's kind of like any kind of
11 test, you might get a false reading. So we discuss with
12 them their interpretation and is that consistent with what
13 we know about the market based on things we read in the
14 marketplace and what we're knowing about the market.

15 **Q. Are you trying to outguess the market?**

16 A. If I could truly outguess the market, I
17 might not have to be in this seat.

18 **Q. When prices for natural gas plummet like**
19 **they did during this period, what do you typically expect**
20 **would happen with electricity spot prices?**

21 A. It will follow the gas prices down.

22 **Q. Is that a bad thing for consumers?**

23 A. Oh, no. Oh, no. In fact, that's what we
24 saw and I showed in my Schedule WEB-9 was that the price
25 of gas came down on the futures side, the price of

1 electricity came down on the cash or physical market side.

2 Q. If Katrina or Rita or something like that
3 had hit during this period, would you have expected
4 natural gas prices to have fallen?

5 A. Oh, no. No. If a major hurricane had gone
6 through -- gone through the gas-producing region of the
7 Gulf like Katrina and Rita did, it would have driven gas
8 prices up.

9 Q. From the shareholder perspective, assuming
10 that you have an FAC in place, do you care if a Katrina
11 hits?

12 A. As a share -- well, from the company's
13 perspective, its risk goes through the fuel clause, so no.
14 As a ratepayer, I'm a GMO ratepayer, I do care.

15 Q. You care very much?

16 A. I do.

17 Q. Okay. Talking about the Kase program, how
18 does Kase differ from a cost averaging program perhaps
19 like a one-third program?

20 A. Under the one-third program, and that's
21 probably -- the market neutrality portion of the one-third
22 program versus Kase, under that market neutrality piece,
23 what Aquila was doing was -- and I'll exaggerate to
24 illustrate the point. I don't know the exact pieces of
25 it. But it's like as if on the second Tuesday of every

1 month they bought 1/12 of whatever the requirement was,
2 and whatever the market was, they triggered on that, which
3 is why Mr. Hyneman referred to it as a very rigid program,
4 and it was. The way that was implemented, if it was the
5 second Tuesday of the month, you did what you had to buy.

6 Under the Kase program, it doesn't give
7 consideration to that. It's looking at what's happening
8 in the marketplace? Are prices trending up or are they
9 trending down? And depending which way they're going
10 affects what acts you will take. It will affect the level
11 of action you will take.

12 So the two are very different in that the,
13 what we've referred to as the one-third program being
14 market neutral is very rigid, very, very locked in, but
15 Kase is not.

16 **Q. Would you explain under the Kase program**
17 **when you would typically make decisions on when to hedge**
18 **or whether to hedge and how that would work?**

19 **A.** The Kase hedge program as we're employing
20 it looks out up to three years, which again is consistent
21 with what the recommendations in the joint report said.
22 The joint report said you should look out three years or
23 more. We're looking out three years.

24 Most of our hedges are not placed three
25 years in advance. Only if -- you remember I showed when

1 the prices are low, it's in the low price zone, and when
2 they are really low prices, then we'll look out three
3 years and we'll place them. If they're high prices, we
4 don't want to lock into those for a long time.

5 So on average, it looks like that Kase
6 triggers -- and this is simple average over what's
7 happened. It's not a way to read the rules, but just on
8 average, based on what's happened, we tend to place hedges
9 about 11 to 12 months out. That's an average.

10 Q. Could you build a power plant in 11 or 12
11 years, a coal plant like Iatan 2?

12 A. 11 or 12 years?

13 Q. I mean 11 or 12 months?

14 A. No. No, we could not build a coal-fired
15 power plant in 11 months.

16 Q. Are you likely to be able to secure
17 significant amounts of capacity for 11 months out?

18 A. You couldn't construct it that quick, no.

19 Q. If the company had built generation,
20 natural gas or otherwise as suggested by Mr. Thompson,
21 would the company continue to hedge as it does today?

22 A. Yes, and I discussed that in my prefiled
23 testimony. If the company had built gas-fired generation
24 or, as Ms. Mantle kind of implies, purchased Aries, which
25 is now known as Dogwood, we would have employed the same

1 hedges. We probably would have had the exact same hedge
2 volume. We would have used Kase. We would have had
3 exactly the same hedge adjustment. It would look just
4 exactly the same to the ratepayer, except for the cost of
5 capital, which cost of capital does not go through the
6 fuel clause.

7 Q. And that's because that's a natural gas
8 fired plant?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. When you make the decision to hedge
11 your expected purchased power, do you know at that point
12 what your generation fleet is and do you have any control
13 about what that generation fleet, how that's going to
14 change in 12 months?

15 A. No. I don't have control over as I make
16 the hedge program, no.

17 Q. So as a decision-maker, under the
18 circumstances that you know at the time, you know what the
19 generation is, what your capabilities are?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And what your spot purchased power
22 requirements are likely to be?

23 A. Yes. We have projections of those things.
24 We know what our capacity is, our fleet is, and we
25 projected what we think are fuel requirement is and what

1 we think we will then supplement it with out-of-the-market
2 purchases are.

3 Q. Going back to your Schedule WEB-9 that you
4 referred to earlier, the real life example of what the
5 physical market gain was and what the futures market loss
6 was.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. There is under that table a dollar offset
9 ratio of 109.6 percent?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What does that show?

12 A. That 109.6 percent is dividing the
13 14 million by the 12.8 million. And what that is showing
14 is how well did the actual hedges that were placed in the
15 futures market, how well did they project the risk that we
16 had in the physical market. And at 109.6 percent, that
17 suggests this is a very good hedge. The guidelines
18 established consistent with a variety of parties, and
19 we've referenced several of them, implement -- well, not
20 implementation, but accounting firms and applying FASB's
21 rules, commodity futures trade exchange, they are all
22 suggesting that anything between an 80 percent and either
23 120 or 125 percent represents a good hedge, and that fits
24 very nicely inside that bound.

25 Q. But isn't that a hindsight review? You

1 **knew what happened now?**

2 A. Oh, yes, this is hindsight. We know
3 exactly what happened at this point.

4 Q. **When you put in these hedges, did you know**
5 **what was going to happen?**

6 A. No, we did not know. We had to rely on
7 historical correlation analysis.

8 Q. **That's what you relied on, correct?**

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. **When natural gas prices are falling like**
11 **they did in this case, would you expect to have some**
12 **losses in the hedging program or not?**

13 A. If you're only looking at the derivative
14 side, yes.

15 Q. **Is that necessarily a bad thing from your**
16 **perspective?**

17 A. No. It just simply could be indicating the
18 hedge worked as designed, because when you put a hedge in
19 place, you are essentially saying I'm going to lock into a
20 price, and the way you lock into a market that you cannot
21 control or you can't get a contract for is you go to the
22 futures market, and the two are moving in parallel. So
23 all the gains I have on one side will offset the losses on
24 the other or all the losses on the other will offset the
25 gains. The issue is, at the end of the day, you're coming

1 to essentially a net zero.

2 Q. Well, if natural gas prices are going the
3 other way, would you expect -- and they're skyrocketing,
4 like apparently they did in October of '08 and then again
5 in October of 2010 --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- what would you expect electric prices to
8 be doing?

9 A. They also would skyrocket.

10 Q. Now, on the derivative side, what would you
11 expect in your hedging program?

12 A. The natural gas futures contracts would
13 have a significant gain. In other words, we'd make a lot
14 of money there. That would fill my little bucket of money
15 that I keep referring to, and I can then use that bucket
16 of money to offset what's happened on the cash or the
17 physical market for electricity. I've got all this, if
18 you will, this insurance proceeds to help pay for this now
19 higher price electricity.

20 Q. Well, since you had all those gains, is
21 that a good thing?

22 A. I don't know if you'd say it's good or bad.
23 It's -- you need to take the two, and the two of them wash
24 each other out.

25 Q. So the company's indifferent, is that what

1 you're saying?

2 A. Yes. Doesn't matter to the company.

3 Q. The Staff seems to suggest -- well, strike
4 that.

5 Gas prices that are the low level today,
6 the \$2, \$3 range, would you still recommend the Commission
7 continue to hedge or not?

8 A. Oh, yes. Yeah. The Kase program is going
9 to help us exercise how we do that, but we're looking at
10 historically low gas prices, and as a buyer, I'm looking
11 if I want to lock something in, I want to lock in low
12 prices. So this is really an optimal time to be hedging.
13 I would want to continue the program that would allow us
14 to lock in these lower prices, and how far we can carry
15 them in the future remains to be seen, but this is -- this
16 is a good time to be hedging, good time to be placing
17 hedges, which is consistent with how our program works.
18 Identifies these low prices and we'd be placing hedges.

19 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think that's all I
20 have. Thank you very much.

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. That concludes
22 redirect. You may step down, and thank you for your
23 testimony, Mr. Blunk.

24 We are at about 11:35. Do the parties wish
25 to start the next witness testimony or do you want to

1 break for lunch at this time?

2 MR. FISCHER: We can do it either way.

3 Whatever your preference, Judge.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Do you know how much cross
5 you might have for Mr. Heidtbrink?

6 MR. THOMPSON: Very little.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Let's go ahead and call
8 him, then.

9 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 5 WAS MARKED FOR
10 IDENTIFICATION.)

11 SCOTT H. HEIDTBRINK testified as follows:

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER:

13 Q. Please state your name for the record.

14 A. Scott Heidtbrink.

15 Q. Where are you employed?

16 A. Kansas City Power & Light.

17 Q. Did you cause to be filed direct testimony
18 which I believe has been marked, premarked as Exhibit 5,
19 GMO Exhibit 5 in this case?

20 A. I did.

21 Q. Do you have any corrections to that
22 testimony?

23 A. Just a couple. At the time my position was
24 senior vice president of supply, and as of June 1st it's
25 the company's executive vice president and chief operating

1 officer.

2 And then on page 3, line 4, where it says,
3 it has been part of GMO's retail cost of service, instead
4 I would say, it has been recorded above the line since
5 2005.

6 Q. If I were to ask you the questions that are
7 contained in Exhibit 5, would your answers be the same
8 today?

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. STEINER: I would move for the
11 admission of GMO Exhibit 5 and tender the witness for
12 cross-examination.

13 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
14 admission of Exhibit No. 5 for GMO?

15 MR. THOMPSON: No objections.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. It shall be
17 admitted and received into the record.

18 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 5 WAS RECEIVED INTO
19 EVIDENCE.)

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may conduct your
21 cross-examination.

22 MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank you.

23 MR. THOMPSON: That was very quick.

24 Questions from the Bench, Commissioner Stoll?

25 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: I have no questions.

2 There will be no recross. And I'm presuming, Mr. Fischer,
3 no redirect.

4 MR. FISCHER: No.

5 MR. STEINER: That's correct.

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may step down,
7 Mr. Heidtbrink.

8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: I appreciate your
10 testimony.

11 Mr. Thompson, I would ask the same question
12 regarding Mr. Clemens, do you anticipate a lengthy
13 cross-examination of him?

14 MS. McLOWRY: It isn't lengthy. We can go
15 ahead.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Let's go ahead and call
17 Mr. Clemens to the stand.

18 (Witness sworn.)

19 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 4 WAS MARKED FOR
20 IDENTIFICATION.)

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may be seated. And
22 you may proceed, Mr. Fischer.

23 GARY L. CLEMENS testified as follows:

24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER:

25 Q. Please state your name for the record.

1 A. Gary L. Clemens.

2 Q. And by whom are you employed?

3 A. I'm self employed, utility consultant.

4 Q. Did you cause to be filed what's been
5 premarked as GMO Exhibit 4 in this proceeding?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Which is your surrebuttal testimony?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Mr. Clemens, do you have any corrections to
10 that surrebuttal testimony?

11 A. Yes, one correction. On page 3, line 16,
12 says in the middle of the sentence \$2.55 per kilowatt
13 hour. That should say \$2.55 per MCF. That's the only
14 correction.

15 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
16 that are contained in GMO Exhibit No. 4, would your
17 answers be the same today?

18 A. Yes.

19 MR. STEINER: I would like to move for
20 admission of GMO Exhibit No. 4 and tender the witness for
21 cross.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any objections
23 to the admission of GMO Exhibit No. 4?

24 MS. McLOWRY: No objection.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be

1 received and admitted into the record.

2 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 4 WAS RECEIVED INTO
3 EVIDENCE.)

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Cross-examination by
5 Staff.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. McLOWRY:

7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Clemens.

8 A. Good morning.

9 Q. In 2004 when Aquila was developing its
10 hedging program, it looked at different alternatives;
11 that's true?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And you were working at Aquila at the time?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What was your position at Aquila?

16 A. I was director of staff -- of regulatory
17 services.

18 Q. And you were involved in looking at
19 different hedging alternatives at that time?

20 A. I was not involved in looking at those
21 alternatives.

22 Q. Do you know whether your superiors when
23 they were looking at those alternatives, whether they
24 looked at different types of commodities?

25 A. No, I do not.

1 Q. Mr. Clemens, you gave a deposition in this
2 case last month, didn't you?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Do you have a copy of that deposition with
5 you?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Can you please turn to page 9 of that
8 deposition? Prior to that deposition being taken, you
9 were sworn in by the court reporter; that's true?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. And you took that same oath today?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And you had an opportunity to review this
14 deposition?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. I'm going to read from your
17 deposition. Starting at line 9 I asked, did you consider
18 hedging with any other commodities? You said, answer: I
19 was involved in those decision -- in those
20 decision-making, what they decided to use, but there are
21 other alternatives, but that was the one that was chosen.

22 Question: Do you know who would have been
23 in charge of deciding this?

24 Answer: Most likely it was Andy Fortee out
25 of -- that would have been one of the decision-makers on

1 that. He was in the risk fuels department.

2 Question: Okay. Do you know if at that
3 time Aquila considered building generation as a hedge for
4 purchased power?

5 Answer: They looked at all alternatives.

6 Okay. Did I read that correctly?

7 A. Correct. There was -- I did provide an
8 errata sheet to the Commission. On page 9, 11, it said I
9 was not involved in that decision. The word not was left
10 out. That was in my errata sheet.

11 Q. Okay. Would you agree that from 1981
12 through the time South Harper was built in 2005, Aquila
13 did not build any generation?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Did at that time, between 1981 and 2005,
16 Aquila have a philosophy not to build regulated
17 generation?

18 A. Aquila chose to go purchased power
19 contracts. We had an opportunity to go into a long-term
20 contract with NPPD, Nebraska Power, which provided very
21 low capacity cost for Aquila in place of building a power
22 plant.

23 Q. So there was a philosophy not to build
24 regulated generation?

25 A. That was the decision made.

1 Q. Do you have a copy of the 2005
2 Stipulation & Agreement in Case No. ER-2005-0436?

3 A. No.

4 MS. McLOWRY: May I approach the witness?

5 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.

6 MS. McLOWRY: Here's a copy of the Order
7 and the Stipulation & Agreement's attached.

8 MR. STEINER: Do you have an extra copy?

9 MS. McLOWRY: I actually don't.

10 BY MS. McLOWRY:

11 Q. On that Order it states the effective date
12 is March 1st, 2006; is that correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you involved in drafting the
15 Stipulation & Agreement?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. I want to point your attention to
18 paragraph 17 on page 10. That paragraph's entitled
19 Accounting Authority Order; is that correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. And that paragraph reads, the signatory
22 parties agree, for accounting and ratemaking purposes,
23 that hedge settlements, both positive and negative, and
24 related costs, e.g., option premiums, interest on margin
25 accounts and carrying costs on option premiums, directly

1 related to natural gas generation and on-peak purchased
 2 power transactions under a formal Aquila Networks-MPS
 3 hedging plan will be considered part of the fuel cost and
 4 purchased power costs recorded in FERC Account 547 or
 5 Account 555 when the hedge arrangement is settled. These
 6 hedging costs will continue to be recorded on a mark to
 7 market basis, as required by Financial Accounting Standard
 8 No. 133, with an offsetting regulatory asset FERC
 9 Account 182.3 or regulatory liability FERC Account 245
 10 entry that recognizes the change in the timing of value
 11 recognition under Financial Accounting Standard No. 71.
 12 Aquila agrees there will be no rate base treatment
 13 afforded to hedging expenditures recorded on the mark to
 14 market basis. Aquila agrees to maintain separate
 15 accounting in Accounts 547 and 555 to track the hedging
 16 transaction expenditures recorded under this agreement. I

17 Did I read that correctly?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Did Aquila draft this language?

20 A. We did.

21 Q. And FERC Account 547 is the account
 22 representing fuel; is that true?

23 A. One of the accounts that's required for
 24 fuel. 501 is also for fuel, but it's coal.

25 Q. And FERC Account 555 represents purchased

1 power?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. So you agree that hedge settlement for
4 hedges designed to mitigate price exposure for natural gas
5 generation by GMO would be recorded in Account 547?

6 A. Could be, yes.

7 Q. Mr. Clemens, would you agree with me that
8 Aquila did not engage in purchased power hedging in any
9 other regulated jurisdiction from 2004 until the merger?

10 MR. STEINER: Objection. Lack of
11 foundation.

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Counsel?

13 MS. McLOWRY: I'll just go ahead and lay a
14 foundation.

15 BY MS. McLOWRY:

16 Q. Mr. Clemens, in your position at Aquila,
17 would you be aware of purchased power hedging in other
18 regulated jurisdictions that Aquila did?

19 A. I was in charge of the Missouri operations
20 only. We had other people in charge of our Kansas and
21 Colorado operations, and I don't know the details of those
22 other jurisdictions.

23 MS. McLOWRY: I have no further questions.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any questions
25 from the Bench for this witness? Commissioner Stoll? I

1 have no questions, so there will be no recross. Redirect,
2 Mr. Steiner?

3 MR. STEINER: Briefly.

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER:

5 Q. Mr. Clemens, you were asked by counsel
6 whether purchased power costs should be booked to
7 Account 555, and I believe your answer was it could be; is
8 that correct?

9 A. I thought she said 547.

10 Q. Okay. And that was your answer, it could
11 be?

12 A. 547 -- fuel costs would always go to 547.
13 Any gas costs go to 547, and coal would go to 501.

14 Q. I believe her question was related to
15 hedges for purchased power costs, could those be booked in
16 either 547 or 555?

17 A. They would be booked in 547 because it's a
18 cost of natural gas. That's the gas that's purchased.
19 There is a time when you would actually maybe purchase
20 a -- I think there's instance where you may go out and
21 purchase electricity, like if you could go out in the
22 market and get electricity and actually buy kilowatt
23 hours, you would charge it to 555.

24 But in all of our cases, we've always
25 bought natural gas as a cross hedge. That would always go

1 to, in our case, 547.

2 MR. STEINER: Thank you.

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. You may be
4 excused. Thank you very much for your testimony.

5 All right. Are you anticipating a lot of
6 cross for Mr. Bresette?

7 MS. McLOWRY: Yes. It will be more
8 lengthy.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Why don't we
10 go ahead and break at this juncture for lunch, and we will
11 return and go back on the record about 1:20.

12 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

13 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 3 WAS MARKED FOR
14 IDENTIFICATION.)

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: We are back on the record
16 and continuing with witness examination. Mr. Fischer,
17 Mr. Steiner, if you'd please call your next witness.

18 MR. FISCHER: The company would call Ryan
19 Bresette to the stand.

20 (Witness sworn.)

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Please be seated. And you
22 may proceed.

23 RYAN BRESETTE testified as follows:

24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

25 Q. Please state your name and address for the

1 **record.**

2 A. Ryan Bresette, 1200 Main Street,
3 Kansas City, Missouri.

4 Q. Are you the same Ryan Bresette that caused
5 to be filed in this case certain surrebuttal testimony
6 that's been marked as Exhibit 3, an HC version and an NP
7 version?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you have any changes or corrections that
10 you need to make in that testimony?

11 A. No, I do not.

12 Q. If I were to ask you the questions
13 contained in that document, would your answers today be
14 the same?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And are they accurate and correct to the
17 best of your knowledge and belief?

18 A. Yes, they are.

19 MR. FISCHER: Judge, then I would move for
20 the admission of Exhibit 3HC and 3NP and tender the
21 witness for cross.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Are there any
23 objections to the admission of Exhibit No. 3 from GMO?

24 MS. McLOWRY: No objections.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be

1 received and admitted into the record.

2 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 3NP AND HC WAS RECEIVED
3 INTO EVIDENCE.)

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: And you may proceed with
5 cross-examination, Mr. Thompson, or Ms. McLowry.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. McLOWRY:

7 Q. Mr. Bresette, you're the assistant
8 controller for KCP&L; that's correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And in your position as the assistant
11 controller, you're responsible for corporate accounting,
12 forecasting and budgeting and margin accounting which
13 includes hedging the company's transaction derivative; is
14 that correct?

15 A. Yes, it is.

16 Q. In your position as assistant controller,
17 are you familiar with financial reports of KCP&L?

18 A. Yes, I am.

19 Q. How about financial reports of its holding
20 company, GPE?

21 A. Yes, I am.

22 Q. Are you familiar with GPE's 10Q quarterly
23 report that was filed on May 3rd, 2012?

24 A. Yes, I am.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Counsel, I don't mean to

1 interrupt. We have had an issue raised with regard to
2 Mr. Conrad trying to enter an appearance today by phone,
3 and I just received a message from him with a phone
4 number. If I were to pass our phone out, if you could
5 place it somewhere out there and perhaps call this number
6 and we can bring him into the proceeding.

7 Mr. Conrad, can you hear me?

8 MR. CONRAD: I can. Thank you, Judge.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Sorry if there
10 was some confusion earlier.

11 MR. CONRAD: Well, it's probably all
12 engendered on my side because I guess I brought probably
13 what was an old number. Obviously I got the music.

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: We actually didn't have a
15 call-in number for the evidentiary hearing today. And I'm
16 assuming you're wanting to enter an appearance.

17 MR. CONRAD: I would like to if that's
18 still possible. I know you've gotten past perhaps the
19 third or fourth witness.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: We've gotten -- right. We
21 have gotten through four of GMO's witnesses at this point,
22 and we are starting testimony with Mr. Bresette.

23 MR. CONRAD: And, Judge, we did not have
24 questions, so that probably makes it easy.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: It certainly does. And

1 let's go ahead and take your entry, and I'm assuming
2 you're entering your appearance for SIEUA and Ag
3 Processing?

4 MR. CONRAD: That is correct, sir, and that
5 would just be Stew Conrad at Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson,
6 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209, K.C., Missouri 64111. And
7 obviously either at a later time or something else I can
8 give a sheet to the reporter.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: That would be fine. I had
10 one clarifying question for you, Mr. Conrad.

11 MR. CONRAD: Please.

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: SIEUA was made party to
13 this case by virtue of the Commission's rules making it an
14 automatic party?

15 MR. CONRAD: Yes, sir.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: That association was
17 composed of a number of entities back in the ER-2007-0004
18 case, and I just wanted to confirm, I'm assuming it's the
19 same membership today as it was back --

20 MR. CONRAD: Judge, as far as I know, that
21 is correct. I would have to -- to be honest with you, I
22 would have to go back and look at the 0004 case and see
23 who we had. I think I did that at one earlier time and it
24 looked like it was essentially the same. At least one of
25 the parties has changed the name, and that is

1 Hayes-Lemmerz is now Maxom, M-a-x-o-m, Wheel. As far as I
2 know, it's the same company.

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. At a point of your
4 convenience, if you'd just file something for the
5 record --

6 MR. CONRAD: I will certainly do that, sir.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: -- we'll have that
8 clarified. And with that, you have entered your
9 appearance, and you've stated you didn't have any
10 questions for the prior four witnesses?

11 MR. CONRAD: Did not.

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. And we will
13 continue. There's been one question asked by Staff in
14 cross-examination of Mr. Bresette, and we'll continue with
15 cross-examination then at this time.

16 BY MS. McLOWRY:

17 Q. I believe we finished with establishing
18 that you know what a 10Q report is and you're familiar
19 with it?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you that 10Q.

22 MS. McLOWRY: May I approach the witness?

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.

24 BY MS. McLOWRY:

25 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to

1 page 37.

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. And that's entitled No. 13, derivative
4 instruments; is that correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. I want to direct your attention to the last
7 sentence in the first paragraph that reads, changes in the
8 fair value of derivative instruments are recognized
9 currently in net income unless specific hedge accounting
10 criteria are met, except GMO utility operations hedges
11 that are recorded to a regulatory asset or liability
12 consistent with MPSC regulatory orders as discussed below.
13 Did I read that correctly?

14 A. Yes, you did.

15 Q. Is that statement referencing the 2005
16 Stipulation & Agreement that's been discussed today?

17 A. Yes. It's referenced on that same page in
18 the last paragraph. It says in, I think it's the third to
19 last sentence, in connection with GMO's 2005 Missouri
20 electric rate case.

21 Q. Is that the same Stipulation & Agreement
22 that you discussed in your deposition as not being
23 pointblank clear?

24 A. That is the same Stipulation & Agreement.

25 MS. McLOWRY: And I apologize, but I have

1 no further questions.

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Conrad,
3 would you have any cross-examination for this witness? Is
4 Mr. Conrad still present?

5 MR. CONRAD: No, sir. I had to cut off
6 mute. I'm sorry.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's quite all right. I
8 wanted to make sure we hadn't lost you after we finally
9 got you in here.

10 Questions from the Bench. Commissioner
11 Stoll? There's no questions from the Bench, so there will
12 be no recross. Redirect.

13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

14 Q. Mr. Bresette, counsel for the Staff asked
15 about the 10Q statement and the statement that you had
16 regarding derivative instruments and accounting there?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Why would you put something like that in
19 the 10Q?

20 A. The purpose of the statement that's in the
21 10Q is to show transparency to our -- in the SEC document,
22 to show exactly how GMO hedges and records those financial
23 instruments.

24 Q. Has that always been the practice of GMO
25 since you've been at the company, to be transparent in

1 **that regard?**

2 A. Yes, since 2008 with the merger, it is.

3 **Q. And counsel asked you about the 2005**
4 **Stipulation & Agreement. Do you recall that?**

5 A. Yes, I do.

6 **Q. She referenced that perhaps you made a**
7 **statement that it wasn't pointblank clear. What would you**
8 **mean by that?**

9 A. The context of that discussion during the
10 deposition was in regards to the company's interpretation
11 of Staff's recommendation on how to account for the
12 hedging gains and losses, and our interpretation of
13 Staff's recommendation is that we would split the hedge
14 between FERC Account 447 and 555. And the comment I made
15 at the time was that the Order was not pointblank clear on
16 how to account for futures contracts in the Order, and so
17 the company would look to the US of A in that regard on
18 how to account for those.

19 **Q. Based on the US of A and that stipulation,**
20 **you believe your accounting is reasonable?**

21 A. Absolutely.

22 MR. FISCHER: I have no further questions.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: I did have one question of
24 clarification, I guess.

25 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE STEARLEY:

1 Q. You had made reference, Mr. Bresette, in a
2 number of places in your testimony to Account 447, and I
3 just wanted to make sure, because I'm not an accountant,
4 that those were all correct and you weren't referring to
5 547 which has been a matter of dispute in this case?

6 A. Yes. The references I make to 447 are in
7 regards to when we sell the derivative back, and that's
8 where the revenue for that transaction would go under
9 Staff's recommendation.

10 Q. I just wanted to be -- make sure I was
11 clear on that.

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any other questions from
13 any of the parties based on my question of clarification?

14 MS. McLOWRY: I have one.

15 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. McLOWRY:

16 Q. 447 is known as the sale for resale
17 account; is that right?

18 A. Subject to check, I believe the FERC
19 description is wholesale revenue.

20 MS. McLOWRY: Okay. No further questions.

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any redirect on that,
22 Mr. Fischer?

23 MR. FISCHER: No.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Thank very much for
25 your testimony.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: You are excused.

3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: GMO may call its next
5 witness.

6 MR. FISCHER: GMO calls Tim Rush to the
7 stand.

8 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 6, 7NP AND 7HC WERE
9 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

10 (Witness sworn.)

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you very much. You
12 may be seated, and you may proceed.

13 TIM RUSH testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

15 Q. Please state your name and address for the
16 record.

17 A. Tim Rush, 1200 Main Street, Kansas City,
18 Missouri.

19 Q. Are you the same Tim Rush that caused to be
20 filed in this case certain direct testimony and
21 surrebuttal testimony that have been marked as GMO
22 Exhibit 6, direct, and GMO Exhibit 7HC and NP, the
23 surrebuttal?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you have any changes or corrections you

1 **need to make to those pieces of testimony?**

2 A. I do have one change. On page 5, on
3 line 10, I use the statement Account 430.17, and that
4 should read 417.1.

5 **Q. Is that in your direct or your surrebuttal?**

6 A. I'm sorry. It is in my direct. My direct
7 testimony, on page 5, line 10, 430.17 should read 417.1.

8 **Q. Any other changes or corrections?**

9 A. No.

10 **Q. If I were to ask you the questions**
11 **contained in those pieces of testimony today, would your**
12 **answers be the same?**

13 A. They would.

14 **Q. And are they true and accurate to the best**
15 **of your knowledge and belief?**

16 A. Yes, they are.

17 MR. FISCHER: Judge, with that I would move
18 for the admission of GMO Exhibit 6 and GMO Exhibit 7HC and
19 NP and tender the witness for cross.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any objections
21 to the admission of GMO's Exhibits 6 and 7?

22 MS. McLOWRY: No objection.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. They shall be
24 received and admitted into the record.

25 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 6, 7HC AND 7NP WERE

1 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Cross-examination.

3 Mr. Conrad, do you have any cross-examination for this
4 witness? Give Mr. Conrad just a moment to unmute.

5 All right. Staff, you may proceed with
6 your cross-examination.

7 MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank you,
8 Judge.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Rush, I've got maybe
10 one or two for you.

11 THE WITNESS: All righty.

12 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE STEARLEY:

13 Q. I believe there's been reference to GMO's
14 FACs having gone through four different accounting periods
15 at this point and two prudence reviews; is that correct?

16 A. We've had two prudence reviews, and
17 previously we've had four six-month periods that are
18 included in that review. We've also had four rate cases
19 during that period of time that would cover this period.

20 Q. Okay. In those prior adjustment periods,
21 because if I understand correctly we're looking at
22 accumulation periods 5, 6 and 7 --

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. -- for this?

25 But in accumulation periods 1 through 4,

1 **did the cross hedging produce gains or losses?**

2 A. It had both in those situations. I believe
3 in the first period we had an accumulation of
4 approximately 7 million of losses, which included both
5 cross hedging and hedging associated with the fuel burnt
6 in the generation units. And then in the periods 3 and 4,
7 I believe we showed a slight gain. That would have been
8 in the combination of those two areas.

9 **Q. In those four periods, would you have**
10 **experienced a net loss or net gain?**

11 A. As I was saying, we would have a net loss
12 in the first two review periods and a net gain in the
13 second two review periods.

14 **Q. Would you know the numbers of those off the**
15 **top of your head?**

16 A. The split between what was in the purchased
17 power versus what was in the generation side or the total?

18 **Q. The total.**

19 A. I believe it's in the stipulation of the
20 facts that were provided to you, and I believe it was
21 \$7 million in the first two periods of loss, and it may
22 have been 2 million gain. I don't remember that.

23 **Q. I believe that's correct, and I appreciate**
24 **you directing me to that.**

25 A. Okay.

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's all I have. Any
2 questions, Commissioner Stoll?

3 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Recross based
5 on questions from the Bench?

6 MR. THOMPSON: None from Staff. Thank you.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any redirect, Mr. Fischer?

8 MR. FISCHER: Yes.

9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

10 Q. Mr. Rush, you mentioned in answer to
11 Judge Stearley's questions that there have been two
12 prudence reviews and that you had losses in one and gains
13 in the other. Is that what you were saying?

14 A. I did say that, yes.

15 Q. In any of those prudence reviews, did the
16 Staff allege that cross hedging using natural gas futures
17 was on its face imprudent?

18 A. No, they did not.

19 Q. In any of those cases, did Staff suggest to
20 you informally that they thought it was imprudent?

21 A. No, they did not.

22 Q. And you mentioned there were four rate
23 cases?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Did Staff in any of those four rate cases

1 suggest to the company that they believed it was imprudent
2 to cross hedge on its face?

3 A. No, they did not. Let me clarify. I was
4 not involved with the first two rate cases. They were
5 when it was Aquila, and it had not been acquired by GPE at
6 that point. But in the two I was personally involved
7 with, they did not.

8 Q. In answer to the Judge's questions, you
9 mentioned that there were some gains and there were some
10 losses. Would that be expected in a hedging program over
11 time?

12 A. Yes, it would.

13 MR. FISCHER: I have no other questions.

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you very
15 much, Mr. Rush. You may be excused. I believe that
16 concludes all of GMO's witnesses. Staff, you may call
17 your first witness.

18 MR. THOMPSON: Staff calls Charles R
19 Hyneman.

20 (Witness sworn.)

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may be seated. And
22 you may proceed.

23 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

24 CHARLES R. HYNEMAN testified as follows:

25 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

1 Q. State your name, please.

2 A. Charles R. Hyneman.

3 Q. And how are you employed, Mr. Hyneman?

4 A. I am a regulatory auditor with the Missouri
5 Public Service Commission.

6 Q. Mr. Hyneman, did you prepare or cause to be
7 prepared the testimony that has been marked as Staff
8 Exhibit 3?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And do you have any corrections or changes
11 to that testimony?

12 A. No.

13 Q. If I asked you those questions today, would
14 your answers be the same?

15 A. Yes, they would.

16 Q. And those answers are true and correct to
17 the best of your knowledge and belief?

18 A. Yes, they are.

19 MR. THOMPSON: At this time I would move
20 for the admission of Staff Exhibit 3, and I tender
21 Mr. Hyneman for cross-examination.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
23 admission of Staff Exhibit No. 3?

24 MR. FISCHER: No objection.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be

1 received and admitted into the record.

2 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 3 WAS RECEIVED INTO
3 EVIDENCE.)

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: And we have
5 cross-examination.

6 MR. FISCHER: No questions.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: No questions, Mr. Fischer?

8 MR. FISCHER: No questions.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Is Mr. Conrad
10 still on the line?

11 (No response.)

12 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Very well.

13 Mr. Hyneman, I have a couple questions.

14 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE STEARLEY:

15 Q. I believe in your testimony you've
16 testified you were one of the auditors working on the 2005
17 Aquila rate case --

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. -- is that correct?

20 A. I was the Staff's primary auditor for
21 Aquila's hedging program in that case.

22 Q. For the hedging programs in specific?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And that is the case ER-2005-0436?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And that is the case that resulted with
2 that Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement containing
3 paragraph 17, which was the Accounting Authority Order?

4 A. Right. It was Aquila request for an
5 accounting authority order to record its hedging costs was
6 included in the Stipulation & Agreement to that case.

7 Q. And there's apparently a dispute amongst
8 the parties as to what that language allows in terms of
9 accounting between Accounts 547 and 555; is that correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And I believe in your testimony you've
12 alleged it's your belief that GMO is violating the
13 language of that Accounting Authority Order in the manner
14 in which they've done their accounting; is that correct?

15 A. That's correct. The language in the
16 Accounting Authority Order is clear, that there's two
17 types of hedging they do, one for on-system generation.
18 They buy the natural gas and they burn it in their plants,
19 and that's fuel, and that's to be recorded to 547. The
20 other hedging they do for purchased power, which is
21 Account 55, should be charged to Account 55, and that's
22 exactly spelled out in this stipulation language.

23 Q. You believe it's expressly in there?

24 A. It's clear. And in my testimony at
25 page 23, I underlined the exact statements that makes it

1 very clear that they're required to charge purchased power
2 hedges to Account 555.

3 Q. All right. As us non-accountants try to
4 wrap our heads around these accounting measures, let me
5 try to summarize a statement and you tell me if this is
6 Staff's position or the company's position.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Did the signatories to this Nonunanimous
9 Stipulation & Agreement agree that Aquila, which is now
10 GMO, should be permitted to match its natural gas and
11 purchased power hedging transaction settlements and
12 associated hedging costs with the cost of fuel for
13 accounting and ratemaking purposes?

14 A. Yes, and if I can give the background to
15 that to explain that.

16 Q. Is that -- my question is, is that Staff's
17 position or is that the company's position?

18 A. Well, this language allowed them to bring
19 the recording of their hedging transactions. They used to
20 record it below the line because it was designed for their
21 shareholders. So they brought it above the line, but they
22 needed language from the Commission on how to do that. In
23 this case, this is the language that was proposed and
24 ordered by the Commission.

25 Q. I understand. But did that -- did the

1 parties agree that Aquila, which is now GMO, should be
2 permitted to match the natural gas and purchased power
3 hedging transaction settlements and associated hedging
4 costs with the cost of fuel for accounting and ratemaking
5 purposes?

6 A. That statement on its face is not correct.
7 It requires additional language. To match it with the
8 fuel and the purchased power, that would make it a correct
9 statement.

10 Q. Okay. And I guess I don't see anything in
11 the Accounting Authority Order expressly stating that
12 there's a split between purchased power hedging costs and
13 just natural gas cost for fuel --

14 A. Right.

15 Q. -- hedging costs.

16 A. If I may, and I'll pick up right after the
17 parenthesis premium says, directly related to natural gas
18 generation and on-peak purchased power transactions, and
19 then you drop below a few lines it says, FERC Account 547,
20 which is fuel, which matches with the natural gas
21 generation, then Account 555, which is purchased power,
22 which matches with the purchased power transactions. They
23 sync up there respectively with the language.

24 And then if you look at the last sentence,
25 it says, Aquila agreed to maintain separate accounting in

1 547 and 555 and track the hedge settlements. It didn't do
2 any tracking or record any hedge settlements in 555. So
3 that was a clear violation of that sentence, that
4 requirement.

5 Q. Are you saying that the stipulation doesn't
6 allow them to record the hedging settlement transactions
7 and costs from purchased power as fuel costs?

8 A. Absolutely not. Purchased power is not a
9 fuel cost. It's separate and distinct. To put hedging
10 for purchased power in a fuel cost account would be to
11 distort the amount that they charge to fuel. It would
12 understate purchased power and overstate fuel. It's bad
13 accounting.

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Thompson, if you'd
15 help me out here. Come forward. I am going to give you a
16 document where I got that language, which is Staff's
17 Suggestions in Support of the Nonunanimous Stipulation &
18 Agreement from ER-2005-0436, and I'd like you to get a
19 certified copy of that document from that filing from that
20 case and file it in this matter as an exhibit.

21 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Is this a
22 Commission's exhibit?

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: You can call it what you
24 will.

25 MR. THOMPSON: All right, Judge. Thank

1 you. I asked Mr. Hyneman to explain the statement which
2 has been included in the Staff's suggestions in support of
3 this. If you'd rather Mr. Fischer offer it as a GMO
4 exhibit, I can have him do that as well.

5 MR. FISCHER: I'd be happy to help the
6 Judge on that. We'd offer that at this time.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: If you would get a
8 certified copy of that from the Commission's data center.
9 We'll label that GMO's Exhibit No. 11.

10 MR. FISCHER: Judge, could you clarify what
11 the number was or the date of the document?

12 MR. THOMPSON: I'll let you have it.

13 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, sir.

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Fischer, I believe I
15 don't have -- maybe I wrote it on there. I thought I had
16 the EFIS docket entry number on there, but I may be wrong.
17 But I'll trust you can find that.

18 MR. FISCHER: We can find it. Judge, the
19 data center can help as well.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Thompson, I would
21 require your assistance again. I'm going to have you hand
22 Mr. Hyneman this document.

23 BY JUDGE STEARLEY:

24 Q. And again, we're trying to get to the
25 clarity of what the language in that AAO was supposed to

1 mean. Mr. Hyneman, there was the Stipulation or the
2 Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement in ER-2005-0436, and
3 that was filed. The Commission held an on-the-record
4 preparation in that case. Did you recall providing
5 testimony in that on-the-record presentation?

6 A. I do not recall.

7 Q. If you'd please identify the document that
8 I gave you.

9 A. Yes. It's a transcript of proceedings,
10 on-the-record presentation, February 9, 2006, for Case
11 No. ER-2005-0436, and that's the Aquila 2005 rate case.

12 Q. And who were the other auditors that worked
13 on that case, do you recall?

14 A. I'm aware that Mr. Cary Featherstone worked
15 on the case, but I don't recall any others.

16 Q. And from what I have read in here, you did
17 not provide testimony?

18 A. In that case?

19 Q. At this hearing, at the hearing of the --

20 A. I do not recall.

21 Q. And I do not see where you had in here. If
22 you look through and see your name, you can tell me. But
23 I'll direct your attention to page 48 of the transcript,
24 and on line 14 through 18, tell me which witness was
25 called.

1 A. I believe that's Mr. Schallenberg.

2 Q. And was he sworn?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. All right. And if you will now jump ahead
5 to page 126, and if you'll glance over lines 1 through 15,
6 the Commission recalled Mr. Schallenberg; is that correct?

7 A. Yes, it is.

8 Q. Okay. And they reminded him he was under
9 oath; is that correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. I know there's a lot of questioning and
12 junk in here, so I'm trying to get to the page in question
13 and make sure we have the proper witness identified. If
14 you will please turn to page 148, and at the top of that
15 page you'll see questions are being asked by
16 Commissioner Gaw; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And the first question you can see that
19 he's questioning Mr. Schallenberg still; is that correct?
20 I think you'll see that in lines 2 and 3.

21 A. Yes, that's correct.

22 Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're
23 identifying the proper person because it just gives Q and
24 A for questions and answers.

25 So if you will please flip over to

1 page 149, starting at line 3, if you will please start
2 reading beginning with that question and then the answer
3 that follow.

4 A. Question: And I'm going to have to
5 apologize that I have to cut this short here. One other
6 thing. Please explain to me what the Accounting Authority
7 Order does in this case.

8 Is this the mark to market piece?

9 Q. And please identify if that's an answer or
10 question.

11 A. The answer was: Is this the mark to market
12 piece?

13 Then the question: Yes, I think it is.
14 It's in section 17 of the stip, page 10. It is the mark
15 to market.

16 Answer: What that does there is an -- in
17 accounting terms it's called FASB 133. If you buy a
18 financial instrument related to a commodity, between the
19 time you buy it and the time it closes or settles you are
20 required to adjust it on your books as to its market value
21 unless it is tied to a physical transaction. In fact, we
22 call FASB 133, it's related to speculation. You're buying
23 financial instruments without having physical transaction,
24 just hoping that the thing will settle in the money or you
25 sell it will while it's in the money. So you're just

1 speculating on a financial transaction without any
2 physical control.

3 Our utilities, and that would include not
4 only electric utilities but natural gas, under risk
5 management that I'm aware of they tie the financial
6 transaction to the physical transaction. So that if
7 they've agreed to buy a certain amount of gas at a certain
8 time, they have gone and gotten the financial traction to
9 hedge, to hedge that to a price certain. Not that the
10 physical price will fluctuate, but when you look at that
11 and combine it with the financial transaction, it will
12 result in a price that's fixed.

13 By making that connection, and that's what
14 this portion of the stipulation is, they do not have to
15 adjust their books based on the market value fluctuations
16 of that financial instrument. And so it was designed to,
17 one, allow Aquila to use what's called FASB 171, which is
18 a regulatory one, so they no longer have to do mark to
19 market, and it also makes the connection between the
20 physical transaction and the financial transaction for
21 fuel expense more definite. So it can actually be booked
22 as fuel expense.

23 Q. Stop right there. Now, is that consistent
24 with Staff's position as to whether or not these hedging
25 costs can be booked as fuel expense?

1 A. I believe Mr. Schallenberg gave example.
2 Part of it is fuel expense. I don't think he said
3 all-inclusive. I mean, I don't think he addressed the
4 purchased power piece. I don't know if he was aware that
5 Aquila included the purchased power hedges.

6 Q. Okay. Now, if you will drop to line 25 on
7 the bottom there of page 150, and please start reading
8 again.

9 A. Now, the practice that's reflected in this
10 agreement is consistent with the practice that's taking
11 place in our other utilities. It's just that their
12 external auditors have not insisted on language in a
13 Commission order to the same extent that Aquila has a
14 requirement.

15 Q. Please continue.

16 A. Question: Is that because of the -- that
17 the external auditor has that particular requirement of
18 all the utilities it serves, if you know, or is it because
19 we're dealing with Aquila itself? Is it attributable to
20 Aquila or attributable to the external auditor that this
21 is being made?

22 Q. Please continue.

23 A. Answer: It's attributable to the external
24 auditor. Now, whether the external auditor would have a
25 different opinion if it's a different company --

1 Question: Yes.

2 Answer: I don't know that.

3 Question: That's what I'm asking.

4 Answer: But I will tell you we get
5 different issues from the same external auditor for the
6 same company case after case -- I mean, from different
7 cases. So I can't tell you whether it's an Aquila
8 financial condition issue or it's just the individual
9 auditor.

10 Question: Okay.

11 Answer: But I also want to point out,
12 though, this agreement has the benefit of tying the
13 financial instrument as fuel expense so -- and without
14 that, they would actually book the financial instrument in
15 another account, and you would be susceptible to the
16 argument that the financial instrument and the gain will
17 be separated from fuel expense.

18 Q. And that's the end of the questioning
19 there, isn't it?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. The next statement is, thank you,
22 Mr. Fischer, from Commissioner Gaw. I had you read that
23 because I'm trying to and the Commission's trying to
24 figure out the language in that AAO and the difference in
25 interpretations from the parties, and that's why I asked

1 you if the language is consistent with Staff's position.

2 A. Well --

3 Q. Mr. Schallenberg at the end there seemed to
4 be talking if you didn't tie the expenses of the hedging
5 together with fuel costs, then you could not flow the
6 gains through as fuel costs. Is that a correct summation
7 of what he's saying?

8 A. Yes, and he's saying a lot of times
9 auditors tie fuel and purchased power together in an audit
10 area. No other utility in Missouri does hedging like
11 this, derivative hedging for purchased power. I believe
12 no other utility in Missouri has ever done hedge
13 accounting for purchased power the way Aquila does. So
14 I'm sure Mr. Schallenberg was saying that most utilities
15 engage in hedging transactions with natural gas for
16 natural gas generation as KCPL does. So whether he
17 specifically did include purchase power, the stipulation
18 did and it's clear. I don't know why Mr. Schallenberg
19 didn't.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Very good.
21 Any other questions from the Bench?

22 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER STOLL:

23 Q. So when you say that they -- I forget
24 exactly how you said it -- but they buy that for the gas
25 to be used by the company rather than using it to be sold

1 **at some point in the future?**

2 A. Yes. Most utilities when they do a hedging
3 transaction for natural gas, they buy a financial
4 instrument, like a NYMEX contract, to protect the
5 volatility of the prices. So if prices go up, they'll
6 have a hedging gain in the financial market, which they
7 can take that cash to offset the increase in price. And
8 they charge that, when they hedge for natural gas, they
9 charge it to the fuel account to reduce the fuel account.
10 And that's what utilities do.

11 **Q. Because they're actually using that to**
12 **produce the electricity?**

13 A. Yes. Yeah. They're using fuel to produce
14 electricity to generate for their customers and
15 potentially for some off-system sales.

16 COMMISSIONER STOLL: Okay. Thank you.

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Hyneman.

18 Recross based on questions from the Bench?

19 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

20 **Q. Mr. Hyneman, have you ever audited Ameren?**

21 A. No.

22 **Q. The Judge asked you regarding your view**
23 **about violations of the stip on accounting. Even if GMO**
24 **recorded the purchased power hedging gains and losses in a**
25 **different account than what the Staff thought it should be**

1 recorded in, does that make the hedging program itself
2 necessarily imprudent?

3 A. It's not related to the hedging program
4 itself.

5 Q. Is it necessarily imprudent, the hedging
6 program, just because it's recorded in a different
7 account?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Would you agree that all prudently incurred
10 hedging costs should be recovered by the company?

11 A. Again, you -- and I think we went through
12 this in the deposition.

13 Q. We did.

14 A. Okay. Aquila initiated the hedging the
15 program below the line.

16 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'm just asking a
17 simple question. The question is, would you agree that
18 all prudently incurred hedging costs should be recovered
19 by the company.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's a yes or no answer.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I was trying to be
22 consistent with my deposition. Assuming it -- yes, above
23 the line, it is.

24 BY MR. FISCHER:

25 Q. And in addition to the Stipulation &

1 Agreement that the judge asked you about, the company
2 would also be required to file -- or to follow Generally
3 Accepted Accounting Standards and various accounting
4 standard codifications; is that right?

5 A. Yes. This Accounting Authority Order is
6 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

7 Q. Prior to March 21st of 2012, the date I
8 think you filed your rebuttal testimony, had you recently
9 reviewed the accounting standards codification topic 815
10 on derivatives in hedging?

11 A. I reviewed FAS 133, which I believe has
12 been translated into the new ASC number.

13 Q. And that accounting standard codification
14 addresses how the preparers of financial statements are
15 required to record the changes in fair value in the
16 financial instruments on their financial statements; is
17 that your understanding?

18 A. Yeah, for KCPL. For GMO, they would have
19 the Accounting Authority Order under FAS 171, which would
20 guide how they would record it on their financial records.

21 Q. When was the first time that you reviewed
22 ACS topic 815 on derivatives in hedging?

23 A. I think it was promulgated in 1998. I may
24 have looked at it over the years.

25 Q. When was the last time you remember

1 reviewing it?

2 A. Probably today.

3 Q. So prior to the time you filed your
4 rebuttal testimony, you had not recently reviewed that
5 topic?

6 A. I think Mr. Bresette brought the FAS 133
7 issue into this case. I think upon reading his testimony
8 is when I reviewed it again, because FAS 133 really
9 doesn't apply to this case.

10 Q. Is that the first time you reviewed ACS
11 topic 815, after you read Mr. Bresette's testimony?

12 A. Again, I reviewed FAS 133, which I believe
13 is the same document as the ASC 815 you referred to, but
14 I'm not sure.

15 Q. You don't remember reviewing that
16 particular document, topic 815?

17 A. No. I remember reviewing FAS 133.

18 Q. Is it correct that you did not consider ACS
19 topic 815 when you made your recommendation in this case
20 since you hadn't reviewed that particular standard prior
21 to Mr. Bresette's testimony?

22 A. That standard does not apply to this case.
23 Aquila's requirement for accounting purposes under FAS
24 171, which is GAAP, is spelled out in the Stipulation &
25 Agreement, the Accounting Authority Order, not under

1 FAS 133, and that is --

2 Q. My question to you, Mr. Hyneman, is is it
3 correct that you did not consider ACS topic 815 when you
4 made your recommendation in this case?

5 MR. THOMPSON: Objection. Asked and
6 answered.

7 MR. FISCHER: Judge, he never answered the
8 question.

9 JUDGE STEARLEY: I didn't hear it.

10 MR. THOMPSON: He stated that it was not
11 applicable.

12 MR. FISCHER: That's not the question,
13 Judge.

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: It's a yes or no question,
15 counsel. I believe Mr. Hyneman can answer with a yes or
16 no.

17 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the
18 question?

19 BY MR. FISCHER:

20 Q. Yes, sir. Is it correct that you did not
21 consider ACS topic 815 when you made your recommendation
22 in this case since you had not reviewed that accounting
23 standard prior to the filing of Mr. Bresette's testimony?

24 A. Yes.

25 MR. FISCHER: That's all I have, Judge.

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. And,
2 Mr. Fischer, if you would also please get a certified copy
3 of the transcript from the on-the-record February 9th,
4 2006 in ER-2005-0436, and offer that as an exhibit.

5 MR. FISCHER: Okay.

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: This will be Exhibit
7 No. 12 for GMO.

8 MR. FISCHER: What page numbers was that,
9 Judge?

10 JUDGE STEARLEY: You can just file the
11 entire copy, but the language I was reading from I believe
12 came from pages -- or that I had Mr. Hyneman read from,
13 pages 148 through 152, and I believe that is EFIS docket
14 entry 264 in that case.

15 MR. FISCHER: Could we late file that?

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes, you may, by next
17 Friday.

18 MR. FISCHER: That's February the 9th, is
19 that the date?

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's correct.

21 MR. FISCHER: Yes, we can do that.

22 THE WITNESS: That would be the date of the
23 transcript. I believe the date of filing was
24 February 27th.

25 MR. FISCHER: That's the 2007 Aquila rate

1 case?

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's the 2005.

3 MR. FISCHER: 2005.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: ER-2005-0436.

5 MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Recross is
7 finished. Redirect?

8 MR. THOMPSON: For the record, Judge,
9 Staff's going to object to those two exhibits as violative
10 of the parol evidence rule.

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: And, counselor, if you
12 will flush out your objection a little bit for me.

13 MR. THOMPSON: Certainly. The Commission
14 is faced with interpreting a Stipulation & Agreement,
15 which is of the nature of a contract, and parol evidence
16 is not admissible to go to the intention of the parties of
17 a contract. You must base the interpretation upon the
18 language within the four corners of the instrument.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, Mr. Thompson, I
20 believe to me the language in the AAO was made in dispute
21 by the parties here, and it also appears that this is the
22 relevant case in which the AAO was ordered. So you're
23 telling me the documents which are relevant to that are
24 not admissible because they're not within the four corners
25 of the stipulation, that's your objection?

1 MR. THOMPSON: That is the objection.

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Your response,
3 Mr. Fischer?

4 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think you can take
5 into account the admissions of the Staff that will be
6 contrary to their position in this case in previous sworn
7 testimony before this Commission that is on record here at
8 the Commission. I would certainly urge you to do that. I
9 would suggest that it's admissible, if nothing else, to
10 the weight of the evidence, not the admissibility.

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: Is the rule of parol
12 evidence a technical rule of evidence or fundamental rule
13 of evidence, Mr. Thompson?

14 MR. THOMPSON: Frankly, I don't know.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, the Commission's not
16 bound by the technical rules of evidence. When
17 Mr. Fischer late files these exhibits, you can file a
18 written objection at that time, and you can try and find
19 some case law for me as to why that would fall under the
20 technical rules of evidence or the fundamental rules of
21 evidence versus the technical rules of evidence.
22 Technical rules do not apply here. And, Mr. Fischer, GMO
23 will be allowed to respond to that, of course.

24 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any other objections?

1 MR. THOMPSON: No other objections for me.

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: I'll reserve a ruling on
3 those exhibits until they're filed and I see the written
4 objections as briefed by counsel. Any redirect,
5 Mr. Thompson?

6 MR. THOMPSON: No redirect. Thank you.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Mr. Hyneman,
8 thank you very much for your testimony, and you are
9 excused. And you may call your next witness.

10 MR. THOMPSON: Staff calls Lena M. Mantle.

11 MR. FISCHER: Judge, can we take about a
12 five-minute break just before I start cross here to get
13 myself organized a little bit?

14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Certainly. You want to go
15 ahead and take it now? We'll go ahead and take a
16 five-minute or ten-minute break at this time.

17 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Ms. Mantle, I
19 need to swear you in.

20 (Witness sworn.)

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may
22 proceed.

23 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

24 LENA M. MANTLE testified as follows:

25 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

1 Q. State your name, please.

2 A. Lena M. Mantle.

3 Q. And how are you employed?

4 A. I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service
5 Commission.

6 Q. And are you the same Lena Mantle that
7 prepared or caused to be prepared the documents marked as
8 Staff Exhibit 2HC and NP?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And do you have any changes or corrections
11 to those documents?

12 A. Yes, I do. And it is to the first page of
13 my Schedule LMM-1. I had not updated my credentials for
14 the changes in the energy department's name to energy unit
15 and the fact that operations division then became a
16 department and there's a new division. So I have prepared
17 a new LMM-1-1 that gives the correct unit, department and
18 division.

19 While I was updating it, what is filed in
20 my testimony says that I'm actively involved in updating
21 the Chapter 22 rules. Since those have been revised, I
22 removed that sentence since I was updating the credentials
23 anyway. That would be the only change.

24 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, I don't know if
25 you want to mark this as a separate exhibit, and if so, I

1 don't know what number we're up to.

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: I believe we can
3 substitute this as long as the parties have no objections.

4 MR. FISCHER: No objection.

5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

6 BY MR. THOMPSON:

7 Q. With that correction in mind, if I asked
8 you the same questions today, would your answers be the
9 same?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And as far as you know, would those answers
12 be true and correct to the best of your knowledge and
13 belief?

14 A. Yes.

15 MR. THOMPSON: At this time I would offer
16 Staff Exhibit 2HC and NP with the new schedule LMM-1, and
17 tender Ms. Mantle for cross-examination.

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any objections
19 to the admission of Staff Exhibit 2?

20 MR. FISCHER: No objection.

21 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be
22 received and admitted to the record.

23 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 2 WAS RECEIVED INTO
24 EVIDENCE.)

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Cross-examination. Is

1 Mr. Conrad still on the phone? Did we lose him at some
2 point?

3 MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Conrad, are you there?
4 I don't know, your Honor. No response.

5 JUDGE STEARLEY: He has not e-mailed me
6 back. He may just have his phone muted. GMO, you can
7 proceed with cross-examination.

8 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

10 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Mantle.

11 A. Good afternoon.

12 Q. Do you happen to have a copy of your
13 rebuttal testimony and the deposition that we took in this
14 case?

15 A. Yes, I do.

16 Q. Okay. I'd like to begin on your rebuttal
17 testimony at page 1, line 17 through 18, and I believe you
18 may have just updated this, but you're the -- you're
19 currently the manager of the energy unit of the tariff
20 safety and economics analysis department of the regulatory
21 review division, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. And that -- that energy resource
24 analysis group is a part of your department, right?

25 A. It is a section within the energy unit.

1 Q. Okay. And I believe it was the persons in
2 that particular unit that completed the GMO FAC review in
3 this case and filed the Staff Report?

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. Okay. So those persons would be Dana
6 Ives -- Eaves. I'm sorry. I have Darren Ives over here.
7 Dana Eaves, Leon Bender, Matthew Barnes and David Roos,
8 correct?

9 A. I believe that's who did the report, yes.

10 Q. Would you describe for the Commissioner and
11 the Judge your role in this FAC case, just briefly?

12 A. The gentlemen that you listed did a review,
13 a prudence review of information provided to them through
14 data requests, monthly submissions, quarterly submissions,
15 FAC submissions, their own data responses and information
16 from rate cases. Together they compiled a prudence
17 review. They took the results of that prudence review to
18 management, which included me and Natelle Dietrich,
19 Cherlyn Voss. I believe there was an attorney there. I
20 don't know if it was Mr. Thompson or Nathan Williams, and
21 most likely Bob Schallenberg. And we reviewed that
22 prudence review and okayed it, that it could be Staff's
23 prudence review in that case or in this case.

24 Once it became evident that we were likely
25 to have testimony filed, because of my background, my

1 history in resource planning with the Commission and
2 reviewing the resource plans of not only Aquila but
3 Empire, Ameren Missouri and Kansas City Power & Light
4 Company, and also the background that I have with the FAC
5 having written the rules and been a part of every FAC
6 tariff written and every FAC tariff filing made, it was
7 determined that I should write testimony that you find in
8 my rebuttal testimony.

9 Q. Okay. Very good.

10 A. I remember that in your deposition you told
11 me that your role was an oversight of the work, it wasn't
12 to get into the details?

13 A. That is correct.

14 Q. Okay. And in this case there's one
15 disallowance being proposed by the Staff related to GMO's
16 cross hedging program where GMO has for several years been
17 cross hedging using natural gas futures. Is that your
18 understanding?

19 A. There's a disallowance of the cross hedging
20 for purchased power in this prudence review. How long
21 that's been going on really is irrelevant to this prudence
22 review because we looked at this time period and whether
23 or not that was prudent for this time period.

24 Q. Okay. And as I understand it, Mr. Eaves is
25 the sponsoring witness for the disallowance. You're not

1 the sponsoring witness, right?

2 A. Yes, he is the witness for the
3 disallowance.

4 Q. And as I recall from your testimony in the
5 deposition, the adjustment was initially recommended and
6 developed by Mr. Eaves; is that right?

7 A. With help from Mr. Hyneman,
8 Mr. Featherstone and the other gentlemen that contributed
9 to the report. I don't know how much influence they had
10 or not, but he was the lead for that.

11 Q. Didn't you tell me in the deposition that
12 this adjustment was initially Mr. Eaves' idea?

13 A. I believe it was, yes.

14 Q. And did you rely on Mr. Eaves' professional
15 judgment when you approved the recommended disallowance
16 associated with the cross hedging program?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, did you personally evaluate GMO's
19 hedging program or specifically review the hedges that
20 were placed by GMO in this case?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Did you make any independent judgment about
23 the issue of whether it was prudent or not to use natural
24 gas hedges associated with spot purchased power in this
25 case?

1 A. I did go back and review the tariff to see
2 what was in the tariff as far as what was allowed to flow
3 through the FAC. That I did do in detail, but otherwise,
4 no, I did not.

5 Q. Okay. And I believe you told me you've
6 never testified regarding an electric company's hedging
7 program in any other proceeding; is that right?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. And I believe you said you hadn't attended
10 the PGS Energy webinar entitled How to Financially Hedge
11 Natural Gas or Electricity Price Risk either, right?

12 A. No, I did not attend that.

13 Q. Now, would you just describe for the
14 Commissioner and for the Judge how you view the role of
15 the Staff in an FAC audit?

16 A. In an FAC audit, we task our employees to
17 look at the costs and revenues that are to flow through
18 the FAC for prudence. Basically, you can think of it as a
19 grid divided up into four sections. If it's prudent and
20 it's prudence on one axis and cost on the other, if it's
21 prudent but it still costs a lot, that's still allowed.
22 If it is imprudent and it doesn't harm the customers, then
23 we don't bring that up either. That's not what the
24 purpose is.

25 But it's when it causes harm, it's the

1 quadrant where it's imprudent and causes harm to the
2 customers, that's how we find -- that's what we find to be
3 imprudent.

4 Q. Would you agree that the Staff is supposed
5 to be a neutral party in a case to provide a fair and
6 equitable solution for inclusion of costs in the FAC
7 cause?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And from your perspective, is the role of
10 the Commission Staff to be fair, objective and un biased
11 in an FAC audit?

12 A. As it is in all of our work.

13 Q. Is the role of the Commission to be a
14 strong and aggressive protector of the ratepayer
15 interests?

16 A. No, it is not.

17 Q. From your perspective, is the role of the
18 Commission Staff to present a case that's intended to keep
19 the rates as low as possible for the consumers?

20 A. No, it is not.

21 Q. From your perspective, is it the role of
22 the Commission Staff to make recommendations in an FAC
23 audit that are designed to keep the public utility
24 financially whole, or just financially healthy? Let's
25 just -- let's leave it there, financially healthy.

1 A. I think in a prudence audit we are to find
2 whether something is prudent or not. Now, sometimes we
3 may find something that's imprudent that cause -- could
4 cause financial harm, but I believe our task is the
5 imprudence or the prudence, not keeping the utility from
6 any financial harm.

7 Q. Your role is to balance the interests, just
8 like it is in other cases, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. In balancing the interests of the consumers
11 and the public utility shareholders, do you try to follow
12 the policies of the Commission through the Commission's
13 previous orders?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Let's look at the Staff Report if you've
16 got that in front of you.

17 A. I don't have it.

18 Q. You don't have that. Okay. Let me just
19 ask you some questions. I think you'll be able to answer
20 them based on your deposition.

21 We talked about the prudence standard a
22 little bit, remember the discussion in the deposition, and
23 it's addressed in the Staff Report?

24 A. I believe I tried to give a summary of that
25 just a few minutes ago, if that's what you're talking

1 about, yes.

2 Q. Is this the standard that Staff believes
3 should be applied to the Commission or by the Commission
4 in this proceeding to determine if GMO's actions were
5 imprudent in this case?

6 A. I'm sorry. Could you ask that again?

7 Q. The prudence standard that's mentioned in
8 the Staff Report, is that the standard that the Commission
9 should apply to GMO in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. From your perspective, and not as a lawyer
12 but as the head of the energy unit, would you agree that
13 GMO's costs are presumed to be prudent?

14 A. I believe I heard that earlier from my
15 attorneys, so I will have to agree.

16 Q. All right. That sounds good. And the
17 company's conduct should be judged by asking whether the
18 conduct was reasonable at the time under all the
19 circumstances considering the company had to solve the
20 problem prospectively rather than in reliance on
21 hindsight?

22 A. Yes, based on the information that we can
23 gather.

24 Q. And under that prudence standard, the
25 Commission must determine how a reasonable person would

1 have performed the tasks that confronted the company under
2 the circumstances that confronted them at the time the
3 decision was being made?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Now, do you believe that a reasonable
6 person at GMO under the circumstances of this case would
7 not have attempted to hedge the company's risks related to
8 volatile spot purchased power costs?

9 A. I don't know what a reasonable -- I don't
10 know a reasonable person at GMO different from a
11 reasonable person anywhere else, I don't know what that
12 distinction is.

13 Q. Well, let me ask it this way. Do you
14 believe that a reasonable person at GMO, under the
15 circumstances of this case, would have attempted to play
16 the market and not hedge the company's risk associated
17 with spot purchased power?

18 A. The question is, do I believe that a
19 reasonable person would not have cross hedged purchased
20 power with natural gas?

21 Q. And just played the market, played the spot
22 market.

23 A. Yes, that's correct. I believe that.

24 Q. You believe that -- okay. Let me ask it
25 again. You believe that a reasonable person, under the

1 circumstances of this case, would not have cross hedged
2 and would have instead played the spot -- played the
3 market, right?

4 A. I believe a reasonable person in the
5 position that they were in would have said what is the
6 least expensive resource to meet our customers' need? If
7 that was the spot market, it's the spot market. If it's
8 generation, it's generation. I don't believe a reasonable
9 person would have said let's add some additional loss or
10 gain according to financial hedges in the natural gas
11 market.

12 Q. So you believe that a reasonable person at
13 GMO, under the circumstances of this case, would have
14 chosen to play the market?

15 A. No. They would not have chosen to buy
16 natural gas futures in an effort to offset purchased power
17 spot market prices.

18 Q. What alternative would you recommend other
19 than generation and purchased power agreements?

20 A. I don't know that any other's needed.

21 Q. Is there any one available that you're
22 aware of?

23 A. Not that I can think of right here and now,
24 no.

25 Q. Okay. So the alternative is really to

1 either -- you're suggesting we shouldn't hedge, so the
2 alternative is to basically buy spot purchased power and
3 flow it through the FAC?

4 A. That's what all you -- the other utilities
5 do. Now, I mean, GMO does buy more spot market than the
6 other utilities, but that's because of the position of
7 their generation, that the other utilities aren't in a
8 position where they need so much spot. They don't off --
9 they don't hedge their spot market purchases with natural
10 gas futures or derivatives.

11 Q. Is it correct to conclude that Staff is not
12 making a recommendation that the Commission find that a
13 reasonable person at GMO would have refrained from
14 attempting to hedge the risk associated with purchased
15 power using natural gas futures?

16 A. That's another confusing question.

17 Q. It is.

18 A. Can we try to break that down?

19 Q. Is Staff recommending that the Commission
20 find that a reasonable person wouldn't have used cross
21 hedging?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. That's the ultimate recommendation of
24 Staff?

25 A. Yes. Not in these circumstances. There's

1 circumstances cross hedging may be -- well, may be useful
2 for. We're not saying cross hedging is bad as a total
3 idea.

4 Q. That's what I'm asking. Is it bad as a
5 total idea?

6 A. It's a tool that can be used and should be
7 used appropriately, just as you would not use a hammer to
8 break apart a board if you wanted a straight cut. You'd
9 use a saw. It's the appropriate tool in the appropriate
10 place. We do not believe this was the appropriate tool in
11 this place.

12 Q. Is it correct that you believe a reasonable
13 person could have attempted to mitigate the risk of a
14 volatile spot purchased power if he had a reasonable
15 method of doing so?

16 A. He could have, yes.

17 Q. And as I understand your testimony, you
18 personally do not believe that it's imprudent on its face
19 for GMO to have attempted to mitigate the risk of a
20 volatile spot purchased power price, right?

21 A. I do not believe that it was imprudent for
22 Aquila to attempt to mitigate the volatility, no.

23 Q. Well, assuming it was reasonable to attempt
24 to mitigate the risk of a volatile spot purchased power
25 price using some reasonable method to do that, what should

1 GMO have done instead of using natural gas futures as a
2 method of cross hedging that risk?

3 A. I don't know that there is. They could
4 have built generation back when they needed generation.
5 That would have been the hedge that the other utilities
6 use.

7 Q. I believe you told me in the deposition
8 that that's not the basis for the Staff's recommendation
9 in this case, right?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Do you personally believe that the company
12 in the future should stop its efforts to hedge the price
13 of spot purchased power?

14 A. Personally, yes.

15 Q. Is Staff recommending to the Commission
16 that it order the company to refrain from using cross
17 hedging as a method of mitigating its risk associated with
18 purchased power prices?

19 A. Staff is recommending that the Commission
20 find what GMO did during this time period imprudent, and
21 GMO can make the management decisions as to whether or not
22 it continues or stops.

23 Q. If the Commission does adopt the Staff's
24 recommendation in this case and disallows, what is it,
25 \$14.9 million now, would you expect the company would be

1 inclined to stop its cross hedging program?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. If we assume for purposes of this question
4 that the natural gas prices are strongly positively
5 associated with spot electricity prices, do you believe
6 that it would be possible to cross hedge the risk
7 associated with spot purchased power by using natural gas
8 futures?

9 A. It is possible.

10 Q. Now, as I understand again your role,
11 you're not holding yourself out as an expert in cross
12 hedging in this case, right?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. That's being left entirely to Mr. Eaves?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Who on Staff would you consider to be the
17 most knowledgeable person about electricity price hedging?

18 A. At this time I believe it would be
19 Mr. Eaves.

20 Q. Okay. Let's turn to your rebuttal
21 testimony in the current case, page 1, lines 22 through
22 25. You state there, on pages 5, 7 and 11 of his direct
23 testimony, KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company
24 witness Dr. C.K. Woo states that procurement risk may be
25 mitigated via generation ownership; is that right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And then you go on to state, Staff agrees
3 with Dr. Woo that generation ownership mitigates
4 procurement cost risks. Staff has consistently urged all
5 the Missouri investor-owned utilities, including GMO,
6 formerly Aquila, to acquire more generation rather than
7 purchasing energy either through purchased power contracts
8 or the spot market for electricity. Is that generally
9 right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Is another way of saying that that the
12 Staff has urged companies to put steel in the ground
13 rather than relying on purchased power contracts or spot
14 purchase --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. -- for electricity?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, then on page 2 of your rebuttal, you
19 state at lines 1 and 2, my testimony explains why GMO
20 relies so heavily on spot market electricity; is that
21 right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you agree that GMO does rely more
24 heavily on spot market electricity than other electric
25 companies in Missouri, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And that means, doesn't it, that customers
3 are more at risk depending on what the prices of
4 electricity do in the spot market?

5 A. Since there is an FAC, yes, they are.

6 Q. And is the fact that GMO relies on spot
7 market electricity the reason Staff is proposing to
8 disallow its hedging costs associated with the spot
9 purchased power in this case?

10 A. No, it is not.

11 Q. Is the fact that GMO relies so heavily from
12 Staff's perspective on spot market electricity the reason
13 that Staff is proposing to disallow the hedging costs in
14 this case?

15 A. No, it is not.

16 Q. Is Staff's prudence adjustment based upon
17 Staff's concern that GMO has relied too heavily on spot
18 market electricity?

19 A. I don't -- I don't believe Staff has ever
20 said that they relied too heavily on. The Staff position
21 is, if it is the least cost and reliable source of energy
22 is a spot market, then GMO or Ameren Missouri or Empire
23 District or Kansas City Power & Light should purchase the
24 energy either on the spot market or through purchased
25 power agreements. That's the Staff's position.

1 Q. I doubt anyone in the industry would you
2 disagree with you. Wouldn't you think that's true.

3 A. I hope they wouldn't.

4 Q. But for purposes of this case, your
5 disallowance is not based upon the fact that GMO relies
6 more heavily on spot purchased power, right?

7 A. No, it is not.

8 Q. Does the Staff believe that it's imprudent
9 for GMO to rely upon spot purchased power during the FAC
10 audit period?

11 A. No. It was the most prudent method for
12 them to meet their customers' need, the least expensive
13 way, because their own generation was much more expensive.

14 Q. And Staff hasn't made any disallowance
15 because they didn't use the least expensive option, right?

16 A. If we did, it would increase the fuel cost
17 to the customers, increasing what they would have had to
18 pay through the FAC.

19 Q. Does the Staff believe that it was
20 imprudent for GMO to rely on spot purchased power rather
21 than putting additional steel in the ground today?

22 A. It is Staff's position that they should
23 have put steel in the ground back in 2000 when the Aries
24 plant was built.

25 Q. But that's not the basis for the

1 disallowance, correct?

2 A. No, it is not.

3 Q. Okay. Is Staff proposing to disallow the
4 hedging costs related to its spot purchased power in this
5 case because they didn't buy the Aries plant in the year
6 2000?

7 A. No.

8 Q. And you don't believe that the company is
9 relying too much on spot purchased power and the company
10 needs to penalize the company for that, right?

11 A. That is not the reason for the adjustment.
12 Given the resources that GMO has, that's what we deal with
13 during this time period.

14 Q. Now, I believe you say that basically on
15 page 6, don't you, on your rebuttal testimony, beginning
16 on line 14, where you're -- you're not suggesting that GMO
17 should have met its power needs by using generating plants
18 it owned instead of buying so much spot market
19 electricity; is that right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And then on page 6, line 17, you say -- you
22 state, absent some offsetting consideration, GMO should
23 use the least cost electricity to serve its customers. If
24 it had not, it's very likely Staff would have alleged that
25 GMO was imprudent. Is that right?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. So to get to the bottom line, the Staff's
3 view is not that we -- that the company's done anything
4 imprudently by purchasing power under the circumstances of
5 this case?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And the Staff is not suggesting that it's
8 always imprudent to try to cross hedge that electric power
9 risk, right?

10 A. Staff believes cross hedging is a tool that
11 should be used prudently, and in this case it was not used
12 prudently.

13 Q. Now, in the Staff Report, I believe the
14 Staff recommended the Commission order the company to
15 refund \$18.8 million or so; is that right?

16 A. It was 18 million. I don't know what after
17 the decimal point.

18 Q. And Staff -- has Staff modified based on,
19 as I understand the opening statement, your position on
20 the amount of the disallowance and refund after you
21 reviewed the company's testimony in this case?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Is it correct that the number you're
24 recommending now is 14.9 million?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Did Staff make a mistake in your original
2 position when you recommended an \$18.8 million
3 disallowance?

4 A. Staff was not fully aware of the
5 Stipulation & Agreement in the prior rate case, I think it
6 was the 2005 rate case. Mr. Eaves had not worked in that
7 rate case, but he did get together with the auditors in
8 that rate case and agree that now the number should be
9 \$14.9 million.

10 Q. Okay. That was after you looked at what
11 the company had suggested and made an evaluation about
12 that, right?

13 A. I don't know the exact sequence, but we did
14 look at, you know, what was told to us, and we did talk
15 with Mr. Hyneman and the other auditors to make sure that
16 we got the right amount.

17 Q. In the Staff's position statement, under
18 the first two issues, the Staff states, GMO was imprudent
19 in that it relied on an overly rigid market insensitive
20 cross hedging strategy resulting in the loss of
21 \$14.9 million during the review period. Is that your
22 understanding?

23 A. That's how I remember.

24 Q. Does your prefiled testimony in this case
25 assert that GMO's hedging strategy was overly rigid?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Does your prefiled testimony in this case
3 assert that GMO's hedging strategy was market insensitive?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Do you know of any prefiled Staff testimony
6 that includes an assertion that GMO's current hedging
7 program is overly rigid or market insensitive?

8 A. I don't recall any, but Mr. Hyneman and
9 Mr. Eaves had quite a few pages of testimony. I really
10 can't say.

11 Q. Would you have reviewed that testimony?

12 A. Yes. I don't -- I don't remember
13 specifically that, but that doesn't mean that there
14 couldn't be something in there that would support that.

15 Q. But you're not aware of it?

16 A. I'm not aware of it.

17 Q. Ms. Mantle, is it correct that you
18 personally know of no formalized organized market that
19 allows for the purchase of electric futures in the SPP
20 market area?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And by that statement do you mean that
23 Staff knows of no formalized market that allows spot
24 purchased power to be hedged using on-peak purchased power
25 futures contracts?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. Well, from your perspective, since there is
3 no organized market for electric futures contracts, are
4 there alternative methods of hedging electric price risk
5 other than building generation or entering into PPAs?

6 A. No.

7 Q. So is it correct to conclude that there's
8 no financial instruments from your perspective that can be
9 used to hedge this risk, right?

10 A. That is correct.

11 Q. Even though I know you haven't attended the
12 seminars on how you hedge -- how you can use natural gas
13 hedges to do that, right?

14 A. I believe that can be one. Whether that's
15 the prudent thing to do or not is another question.

16 Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to get to. If
17 you don't think it's prudent to use natural gas futures
18 contracts to hedge and there's no other financial
19 instrument that you can do it with but you still want the
20 company to consider hedging, I'm asking what should they
21 have done in this circumstance? Should they have built
22 another power plant?

23 A. The time to build the power plant was
24 before these accumulation periods occurred. That is --
25 that is their hedge. That is the other utility's hedges

1 in the state of Missouri, either that or purchased power,
2 long-term purchased power agreements, which GMO does have.

3 Q. And so when Mr. Blunk made his decision
4 about whether to hedge or not, he knew what his generation
5 fleet was. He knew how long it would take to build a
6 generation plant. At that point in time, what should he
7 have done?

8 A. He should not have crossed hedged with
9 natural gas futures. He should have -- if it was cheaper
10 to purchase spot market energy than it was to generate,
11 then he should have purchased spot market energy.

12 Q. He should have just played the spot market
13 and passed it through under the fuel adjustment clause,
14 right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you know of any Missouri Public Service
17 Commission decision where the Commission has found that
18 it's imprudent on its face to use natural gas futures to
19 hedge this electric risk?

20 A. I'm not aware that it's ever come up
21 before.

22 Q. Okay. Would you agree that if the
23 Commission adopts the Staff's position in this case, it
24 will be the first time that the Commission has disallowed
25 an electric company's cross hedging costs associated with

1 on-peak spot purchases?

2 A. Because it's never been brought before them
3 before, yes, it would be the first time.

4 Q. Do you know of any other Public Service
5 Commission decision anywhere else where they've done that?

6 A. Where they've done?

7 Q. Where they have found that it was imprudent
8 on its face to use natural gas futures to hedge the risk
9 associated with spot purchased power costs?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you believe that GMO should have as a
12 goal the hedging of the risk of volatile spot purchased
13 power costs using financial instruments at all?

14 A. No, not -- it's the ratepayers' money that
15 they're using now. It's not their shareholders back when
16 they didn't have an FAC. Now it's the ratepayers' money
17 that they are doing this with.

18 Q. So again, to get to the bottom line, it's
19 your perspective that GMO would be better off not to hedge
20 but instead just play the spot market if that's the
21 cheapest way to go as far as buying from other
22 utilities --

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. -- right?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. I'd like to show you the Nonunanimous
2 Stipulation & Agreement in Case No. ER-2009-0090, which
3 was the last GMO rate case.

4 A. It wasn't the last GMO. It was the one
5 before that.

6 Q. You're right. You're right.

7 MR. FISCHER: May I approach the witness?

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.

9 BY MR. FISCHER:

10 Q. Would you turn to page 10 of the
11 stipulation where it indicates in paragraph 18C, to aid in
12 FAC tariff prudence and true-up reviews, GMO shall submit
13 to Staff the following, and then I believe it lists 11
14 bullet points containing information to be submitted to
15 Staff. Do you see that?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. If you turn to page 11, the fourth bullet
18 point on the page indicates that GMO is to provide Staff a
19 copy of each and every GMO hedging policy that is in
20 effect for Staff to retain; is that right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And then the next bullet says, within 30
23 days of any change in a GMO hedging policy, a copy of the
24 changed hedging policy.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. These provisions were intended to
2 keep Staff apprised of changes of the GMO hedging plans;
3 is that right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And are you aware that the company invited
6 members of the Staff to participate in discussions with a
7 firm known as Kase & Company that was revising the
8 company's hedging plans back in 2007?

9 A. I've been made aware of that by
10 Mr. Hyneman, yes.

11 Q. Okay. And prior to that case, has Staff
12 ever recommended a disallowance based upon the fact that
13 Aquila or GMO was using natural gas futures to hedge the
14 risk associated with electric purchased power costs?

15 A. Not to my knowledge.

16 Q. To your knowledge, prior to this case, has
17 Staff ever expressed to the company that it opposed the
18 use of natural gas futures to hedge the price of
19 electricity?

20 A. It's my understanding we weren't aware of
21 the magnitude of it, and that partly goes to Mr. Hyneman's
22 testimony in that it was all in Account 547. We didn't
23 have a split out between what was hedged for fuel and what
24 was hedged for purchased power agreements. So we were
25 aware that it might happen. We weren't aware of the --

1 that GMO was actually doing it.

2 Q. Okay. Well, the answer to the question
3 then is that you're not aware that -- of any case where
4 the Staff had particularly expressed its opposition --

5 A. That's --

6 Q. -- to the company, right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. No one on your staff has ever told you that
9 Staff was opposed to the use of natural gas futures to
10 hedge the price of electricity prior to this case; is that
11 right?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. But you're aware of the testimony in this
14 case that indicates that Aquila and GMO have been using
15 the hedging technique since 2004, right?

16 A. That is your testimony, yes.

17 Q. And you're also aware of the testimony that
18 in some years the company's been in the money and in other
19 years it's been out of the money in this hedging program,
20 right?

21 A. That was the testimony, yes.

22 Q. Now, if you had known whenever the hedging
23 program was in the money that GMO was using this
24 technique, do you think Staff would have raised a concern
25 at that point that it was imprudent to use this technique

1 to hedge the electric price risk?

2 A. I don't know.

3 Q. I think in the deposition you may have
4 indicated "I hope we would have."

5 A. That's correct. I hope we would be
6 consistent, whether it would be a gain or a loss.

7 Q. And I think Mr. Hyneman in this case has
8 testified that he was aware since 2005, the Aquila rate
9 case, that this practice was going on. Is that your
10 recollection?

11 A. I'm --

12 Q. I can point you to his testimony, but do
13 you recall that he said that?

14 A. I know at different points he was aware of
15 cross hedging going on.

16 Q. Well, why didn't Staff inform the company
17 of their concerns regarding this hedging policy in the
18 last seven years?

19 A. Staff was not aware of the mag-- or aware
20 of how much off-system sales was being hedged by -- and we
21 still don't -- I still don't know prior to this prudence
22 period how much was actually hedged. The hedging policy,
23 I went back and looked into EFIS, that you supplied in
24 response to this Stip & Agreement, I believe it was in
25 January of 2011, I -- I did a search on it for spot market

1 energy, and it said nothing about hedging for spot market
2 energy. All of the hedges were put into Account 547.
3 There was no way for us to tell whether or not it was for
4 natural gas, the plants that were actually being used.

5 What brought it to our attention was when
6 Dana was doing his prudence audit, he saw that there were
7 \$22 million in hedging losses, and with a number that big,
8 he decided that he needed to look into it. Now, that gets
9 to our four quadrant thing, and if it's -- if it does no
10 harm to the customers and it's imprudent, then that's
11 different.

12 So the magnitude of it, the fact that Staff
13 was -- like I say, even the hedging policy that you
14 provided in response to that bullet point didn't say
15 anything about hedging for spot market energy.

16 Q. I believe in your deposition you testified
17 that you understood that Mr. Hyneman and Mr. Featherstone
18 were aware of the company's cross hedging; is that right?

19 A. I believe they were aware that there was
20 some going on. The magnitude or amount, I don't know.

21 Q. Okay. And you've indicated it's not the
22 losses themselves that are the concern, right?

23 A. That gets to our four quadrants again. If
24 it's imprudent and it does no harm to the customer, then
25 hopefully Staff would say it was imprudent but we're not

1 going to -- we're just going to tell you it's imprudent so
2 you don't continue to do it.

3 Q. And you understand there's another side to
4 that hedge transaction where there was an offsetting gain,
5 right?

6 A. No, I do not understand that.

7 Q. And that may be a fundamental difference.

8 A. Is that what you -- are you referring to
9 Mr. Blunk's surrebuttal testimony where he showed the gain
10 and the loss?

11 Q. I'm referring to that among other things,
12 but yes.

13 A. When I looked at that, the gain that was
14 shown was because GMO forecasted the price in August to be
15 50, but it was actually 40. So the gain was 10, but the
16 gain was never realized. The customers never saw a gain
17 of \$10.

18 Q. Did you pass through the \$50 through the
19 fuel adjustment clause even though it was only 40?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Okay. So they did see a gain, right?

22 A. No.

23 Q. They did see the \$40?

24 A. They saw the \$40, but it was not a gain.
25 You could have made that number 65. You could have made

1 that number 100. If you made that number 100, your gain
2 would have been \$60. Boy, you're doing a real good job.

3 Q. I believe you also indicated that you
4 didn't believe anybody in your group that reviews the FAC
5 prudence was aware of this practice?

6 A. That's my understanding, yes.

7 Q. Did you ask the group that performs the FAC
8 prudence audit if they were aware of the company's
9 practice in past cases?

10 A. I talked with Dana Eaves, and he said they
11 weren't. I took him at his word.

12 Q. Okay. Did you ask Matt Barnes, who was a
13 member of the team on this case, whether he was aware of
14 that?

15 A. No, I did not.

16 Q. Is it possible that Mr. Barnes would have
17 been aware of the longstanding cross hedging program?

18 A. I did not talk to him. So assuming, yes,
19 he could have known that.

20 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'd like to have an
21 exhibit marked.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: No. 13.

23 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 13 WAS MARKED FOR
24 IDENTIFICATION.)

25 BY MR. FISCHER:

1 Q. Ms. Mantle, does this DR appear to be a DR
2 from this case, DR No. 29?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Does this DR appear to be requesting
5 information by month by unit for the past 18 months ending
6 November 21st, 2010 relating to natural gas commodity
7 prices, the cost of hedges and other information related
8 to natural gas volumes?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Would you flip to the second page of the
11 exhibit and take a look at the table. In the third column
12 there's a series of highly confidential numbers that
13 appear to have the total for generation and purchased
14 power hedges, including the hedge plan and the Kase plan;
15 is that right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Would that third column indicate to you
18 that it includes the hedge costs for both generation and
19 for purchased power hedges?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Does staff sometimes --

22 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'd ask for the
23 admission of Exhibit -- whatever the number was.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: GMO No. 13.

25 MR. FISCHER: 13.

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
2 admission of GMO's Exhibit No. 13?

3 MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be
5 received and admitted into the record.

6 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 13 WAS RECEIVED INTO
7 EVIDENCE.)

8 MR. FISCHER: I'd like have another exhibit
9 marked.

10 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 14 WAS MARKED FOR
11 IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

12 BY MR. FISCHER:

13 Q. Does this exhibit, Ms. Mantle, appear to be
14 DR No. 29 in Case No. EO-2010-0167?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Was that GMO's last FAC review period case?

17 A. Probably. I don't know for sure.

18 Q. Does this DR appear to be requesting
19 similar information for that audit period as the DR 29
20 that we just talked about in the current case?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Would you flip over to the second page of
23 the exhibit and review the column entitled total for
24 generation and purchased power. Does this column appear
25 to include hedging costs for both generation and purchased

1 power hedges?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Now, would you flip back to the question
4 itself and take a look at the sentence, the next to the
5 last sentence in the question. All of GMO's natural gas
6 hedges include the hedges that GMO would allocate to
7 purchased power. Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Would that indicate perhaps that the
10 drafter of this data request was aware that some of the
11 natural gas hedges would be allocated to GMO for purchased
12 power hedging?

13 A. Yes.

14 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'd move for admission
15 of DR 29 in this case, No. 14.

16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes. Any objections to
17 the admission of GMO Exhibit No. 14?

18 MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be
20 received and admitted into the record.

21 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 14 WAS RECEIVED INTO
22 EVIDENCE.)

23 MR. FISCHER: I'd like to have another
24 exhibit marked.

25 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 15 WAS MARKED FOR

1 IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

2 BY MR. FISCHER:

3 Q. Ms. Mantle, does this appear to be
4 DR No. 30 in Case No. EO-2009-0115?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And does that -- do you think that's GMO's
7 first prudence review case?

8 A. The timing seems about right, yes.

9 Q. All right. Does this DR appear to be
10 requesting similar information for that audit period as
11 the two 29s that we just looked at in this case and the
12 previous prudence review case?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Would you please flip over to the second
15 page of the exhibit and look at the column entitled total
16 for generation and purchased power. Does this column
17 appear to include the hedging costs for both generation
18 and purchased power hedges?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And then if you flip back to the question
21 itself, isn't there a sentence that's next to the last
22 sentence on the question that states, all of GMO's natural
23 gas hedges include the hedges that GMO would allocate to
24 purchased power. Do you see that?

25 A. Where's that again?

1 Q. That's again the next to the last sentence
2 on the question. Same place it was on the other exhibit.

3 A. All of the --

4 Q. All of Aquila's natural gas hedges include
5 the hedges that Aquila would allocate to purchased power.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Now, at the top of the page it indicates
8 that this is a response to Barnes, Matthew
9 interrogatories. Do you see that reference?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Would that indicate to you that Matt Barnes
12 had requested this information?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Since Mr. Barnes is asking for GMO's
15 natural gas hedges that are allocated to purchased power,
16 would that suggest to you that at least Mr. Barnes would
17 have been aware at some level that GMO uses natural gas
18 hedges for hedging its purchased power?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'd move for the
21 admission of Exhibit 15.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any objections to the
23 admission of GMO Exhibit No. 15?

24 (No response.)

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be

1 received and admitted into the record.

2 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 15 WAS RECEIVED INTO
3 EVIDENCE.)

4 BY MR. FISCHER:

5 Q. Let's change gears, Ms. Mantle. Let's talk
6 about the Commission's natural gas price volatility rule.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Better leave that alone. I'd like to hand
9 you a copy of that joint report that came out of that
10 effort just for purposes of the cross. I don't need to
11 make it an exhibit. It's too many pages.

12 MR. FISCHER: I'd also like to, though,
13 make an exhibit of the actual rule itself, Judge. I'd
14 like to have another exhibit marked.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Be No. 16.

16 (GMO EXHIBIT NO. 16 WAS MARKED FOR
17 IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

18 BY MR. FISCHER:

19 Q. Let's talk about the natural gas price
20 volatility rule itself first. Would you explain for
21 Commissioner Stoll the purpose of that rule? He probably
22 doesn't know about it.

23 A. Back in, I believe it was around 2000 when
24 natural gas prices started spiking, we had a lot of our
25 natural gas heating utilities who were coming in for large

1 adjustments to the purchased gas adjustment. The
2 Commission asked Staff to look into the practices for --
3 of the natural gas companies, and I believe that's what
4 this joint report was from. I did not work in the natural
5 gas area when that was done. I believe Warren Wood led
6 that effort on the Staff's behalf. And I believe this
7 rule was part of what came out of that.

8 It's my understanding the Commission did
9 not want to specifically tell the utilities how to hedge
10 or how to make its natural gas prices less volatile, but
11 it wanted to provide some guidelines for the utilities to
12 follow.

13 Q. Would you agree, though, they wanted to
14 encourage the LDCs to do some hedging?

15 A. They wanted the utilities to find ways to
16 mitigate volatility.

17 Q. Let's look at the purpose clause itself of
18 the rule where it says purpose. This rule represents a
19 statement of Commission policy that natural gas local
20 distribution companies should undertake diversified
21 natural gas purchasing activities as a part of a prudent
22 effort to mitigate upward natural gas price volatility and
23 secure adequate natural gas supplies to their customers.
24 Is that right?

25 A. That is what it says.

1 Q. Then if we go to subsection A there of the
2 rule, as a part of a prudent planning effort to secure
3 adequate natural gas supplies for their customers, natural
4 gas utilities should structure their portfolios of
5 contracts with various supply and pricing provisions in an
6 effort to mitigate upward natural gas price spikes and
7 provide a level of stability of delivered natural gas
8 prices. Is that right?

9 A. That is 1A.

10 Q. That's 1A, yes. Do you see that? Did I
11 get that right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you agree with the Commission's
14 statement of policy in that section?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you believe that as a part of a prudent
17 planning process by -- to secure adequate supplies of
18 electricity, electricity companies like GMO should
19 structure their portfolios of purchased power contracts
20 with various supply and pricing provisions in an effort to
21 mitigate upward electricity price spikes and provide a
22 level of stability to -- of delivered electricity prices?

23 A. Can you ask that question again?

24 Q. I can. I was just trying to substitute
25 basically electric for gas. Do you believe that as a part

1 of a prudent planning effort to secure adequate supplies
2 of electricity, electric companies like GMO should
3 structure their portfolios of purchased power contracts
4 with various supply and pricing provisions in an effort to
5 mitigate upward electricity price spikes and provide a
6 level of stability of delivered electricity prices?

7 A. I believe the electric utilities should
8 take efforts to mitigate price spikes through purchased
9 power, long-term PPAs, generation as the other three
10 investor-owned utilities have done. GMO is in a unique
11 spot because it does purchase so much on spot. I don't
12 think the Commission is making a statement here as to what
13 an electric utility should do that has to
14 purchase -- that purchases much of its energy requirements
15 on the spot market.

16 Q. Well, I guess I'm asking you, do you think
17 that the same kind of policy that applies to LDCs should
18 also apply to electric companies?

19 A. LDCs are different than electric companies.

20 Q. So your answer is no?

21 A. Give me the question again. I'll make sure
22 I get the answer right.

23 Q. Shouldn't there be a policy or shouldn't
24 there -- from your perspective, isn't it reasonable for --
25 if the LDCs are expected and encouraged to hedge their

1 risk, isn't it also reasonable that electric companies
2 would be looking at that option?

3 A. Yes, they should prudently hedge their
4 risk.

5 Q. And hedging for natural gas LDCs can
6 sometimes result in consumers paying more for natural gas
7 than if the LDC hadn't hedged, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Even though those hedging techniques
10 resulted in a higher cost to the consumer, the higher
11 costs are not necessarily considered imprudent on their
12 face; is that right?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And just because a natural gas company's
15 hedging program results in being out of the money, that
16 doesn't mean the company was imprudent for trying to hedge
17 those costs, right?

18 A. Any more than dis-- we don't disallow the
19 hedging costs for natural gas plants, the supply to
20 electric natural gas plants or oil plants or even I think
21 there's a little bit of coal hedging done. The Staff does
22 not -- even in this case, we allowed hedging for the
23 natural gas that was used by the natural gas plants. So
24 Staff is not against hedging for electric utilities.

25 Q. But you are against it for purchased power,

1 **right?**

2 A. We're against using cross hedging using
3 natural gas futures for spot market -- to offset spot
4 market pricing.

5 Q. As I understand your testimony in the
6 deposition, it was the magnitude of the losses and what --
7 what brought Staff's attention to this issue?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. But you only know those losses after the
10 fact, right?

11 A. We only do, yes.

12 Q. Staff doesn't have any evidence that at the
13 time GMO decided to continue their hedging program by
14 using natural gas futures, that the company expected to
15 incur losses, right?

16 A. I believe if you look at the responses to
17 some of these DRs, especially the one from this case, you
18 can see that the hedging losses just kept increasing and
19 increasing, always negative. I do believe somebody at GMO
20 should have been looking at that and making a decision
21 whether or not to continue, whether at the beginning of
22 these accumulation periods. I don't know if they stopped
23 in the middle, whether we'd be making this imprudence
24 adjustment.

25 Q. Would you turn to page 62 of your

1 deposition?

2 A. Sure.

3 Q. 63, line 13. I asked you the question,
4 Staff doesn't have any evidence, do they, that at the time
5 they decided to continue their program of using natural
6 gas futures to hedge electricity prices, that the company
7 expected to have between 18 and \$20 million in losses?
8 And what was your answer?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Is that still your answer?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. The company expected that the hedging
13 program would produce results that were within a
14 reasonable range; is that right?

15 A. I don't know what they expected.

16 Q. Okay. Would you look at page 63, line 18.
17 You were asked, they expected that this would be a good
18 program and would -- well, a reasonable person would enter
19 into a program that they thought would produce results
20 that were within a reasonable range, right?

21 A. Yes, a reasonable person would.

22 Q. And your answer was yes?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So that doesn't -- it doesn't matter what
25 the losses are under the Staff's theory, it's the method

1 **that's unreasonable as I understand your testimony, right?**

2 A. The method is unreasonable. We did not --
3 it came to our attention through the magnitude of the
4 losses. I don't know if we would be having this
5 discussion if the losses were a lot smaller. I don't
6 know.

7 Q. Or if there were gains?

8 A. Or if there were gains. I don't know
9 whether we would or not. Obviously from prior prudence
10 reviews, we didn't.

11 Q. You've never in the past disallowed hedging
12 losses for any company in the state, right?

13 A. This is the only company that I know that
14 has hedging losses for spot market.

15 Q. Has the Staff, to your knowledge, ever
16 disallowed hedging losses for any company?

17 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. Well, I will
18 say, there is, what was it, ER-2005-0436. Is that the
19 case where we came to a stip and agreement where there
20 would be \$11 million disallowed and --

21 Q. There was a stipulation in that case,
22 right?

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Not a Commission decision?

25 A. Not a Commission -- well, the Commission

1 approved the Stip & Agreement.

2 Q. But as far as cross hedging goes, there's
3 never been a case where the Commission Staff has
4 recommended for GMO or anybody else that those cross
5 hedging costs be disallowed, even if there were -- even if
6 there were losses, right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Now, looking at the B section of the rule,
9 1B, in making this planning effort, natural gas utilities
10 should consider the use of a broad array of pricing
11 structures, mechanisms and instruments, including but not
12 limited to those items described in 2A through 2GH. If
13 you go down to 2A and 2H, there are a number of things,
14 including fixed price contracts, call options, collars,
15 outsourcing agency agreements, futures contracts,
16 financial swaps and options from over-the-counter markets.
17 It also mentions other tools utilized in the market for
18 cost-effective management, price and/or usage volatility,
19 right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Would you agree that the Commission's
22 natural gas price volatility rule is intended at least in
23 part to encourage natural gas LDCs to hedge their risk to
24 mitigate spikes in their natural gas costs?

25 A. It's intended to encourage them to mitigate

1 spikes, whether that's through hedging or any of those
2 things listed in A through H.

3 Q. And many of those things are related to
4 hedging, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you agree with the Commission that
7 natural gas LDCs should consider the use of futures
8 contracts to mitigate price volatility?

9 A. I don't have enough information. I don't
10 feel qualified to say that.

11 Q. So you just don't have an opinion about
12 that?

13 A. When you're talking about futures
14 contracts, are you talking about contracting for future
15 provision of natural gas to the utility?

16 Q. No. I'm really talking about NYMEX futures
17 contracts like what we're talking about in this case.

18 A. Okay. Then now what was the question
19 again?

20 Q. Do you agree with the Commission that the
21 natural gas LDCs should consider the use of futures
22 contracts to mitigate price volatility?

23 A. If it's done prudently, yes.

24 Q. Do you also agree with the Commission that
25 natural gas LDCs should consider the use of other tools

1 utilized in the market for cost-effective management of
2 price volatility?

3 A. Prudent use of those tools, yes.

4 Q. Now, hedging is really principally designed
5 to mitigate the adverse impacts on customers, right?

6 A. Yes. Well, for GMO, yes, because they
7 absorb very little of the fuel cost.

8 Q. To your knowledge, has the Staff ever
9 suggested the Commission should repeal the natural gas
10 price volatility rule?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Would the Staff be concerned if a natural
13 gas LDC decided not to hedge its natural gas costs and
14 simply played the spot market?

15 A. We do have small gas utilities that do
16 that, that do not hedge.

17 Q. Is that of concern to the Staff?

18 A. Looking at the size of the utility or the
19 LDC and the size of the contracts that they would have to
20 purchase, it would be imprudent for them to enter into a
21 contract where they would have to take more gas than they
22 could use. So again, prudent use of these instruments.

23 Q. For a larger LDC, do you have a concern if
24 they don't hedge?

25 A. I have a concern if they don't look at

1 hedging and determine whether or not it's the appropriate
2 thing to do at that time, the prudent thing to do at the
3 time.

4 Q. Do you believe that electric companies
5 should consider the use of tools utilized in the market to
6 mitigate price volatility in its spot purchased power
7 costs?

8 A. Prudent use of tools, yes.

9 Q. From your perspective, is there a reason
10 why natural gas companies should be encourage to hedge
11 natural gas costs but electric companies should be
12 discouraged from using tools available in the marketplace
13 to mitigate price volatility in the purchased power cost
14 market?

15 A. There are a couple differences. So I guess
16 my answer is, you know --

17 Q. The answer is yes?

18 A. The LDC delivers the commodity that the
19 customer wants. An electric utility does not deliver coal
20 or natural gas to the customer. The customer gets
21 electricity.

22 Q. And it produces that electricity?

23 A. Yes, it does, and it makes choices between
24 the time the fuel's bought to the electricity's delivered
25 on how to get it there and get it there most cost

1 effectively.

2 And the other difference is there are
3 these -- there's a natural gas market. There's all these
4 natural gas financial instruments. There are not those
5 same financial instruments available for the electric spot
6 market.

7 Q. I guess I'm asking, do you believe that
8 electric companies should be encouraged to use whatever
9 hedging tools are available win the marketplace to try to
10 control those price spikes for consumers?

11 A. If it's prudent to use those, yes.

12 Q. Okay. Well, what do you mean by that, if
13 it's prudent? You add that on a lot of things. What --
14 if you don't -- if we're not talking about building power
15 plants and we're not talking about purchased power
16 agreements, we set those two aside, what is it that the
17 company should be doing that is prudent to try to manage
18 that price risk?

19 A. With the electric utilities, I don't know
20 that there is anything.

21 Q. Well, wouldn't you agree that hedging
22 insurance, if you want to call it that, costs money, but
23 there can be offsetting benefits to the consumer for
24 hedging the price risk?

25 A. There could be, yes.

1 Q. And one of those benefits would be the
2 reduction in the volatility of the cost of the product,
3 natural gas or electricity, right?

4 A. That is, yes.

5 Q. And just because you're out of the money
6 doesn't mean the company was imprudent in trying to hedge
7 those costs, right?

8 A. Now, you went from asking me if they could
9 to whether or not it was prudent; is that correct?

10 Q. I don't know if I did or not.

11 MR. FISCHER: Kellene, could you read the
12 question back?

13 THE REPORTER: "Question: And just because
14 you're out of the money doesn't mean the company was
15 imprudent in trying to hedge those costs, right?"

16 THE WITNESS: Not necessarily, no.

17 BY MR. FISCHER:

18 Q. On page 7 of your rebuttal testimony, you
19 discuss that joint report that I had in front of you on
20 the natural gas market conditions, PGA rates, customer
21 bills and hedging effects of the Missouri natural gas
22 local distribution companies. Do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. I believe the Staff was a party to that
25 investigation and supported that joint report; is that

1 right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And I believe at the time you filed your
4 testimony, you said you hadn't completed your review of
5 that report. Have you had a chance to look at it a little
6 more carefully?

7 A. No.

8 Q. No. Okay. I'd like to ask you whether you
9 agree with a statement on page 3 of the joint report, and
10 it's down in the last paragraph. It's the third sentence
11 where it says, hedging strategies that obtain price
12 certainty in lieu of price variability may not result in
13 the lowest costs. Do you agree with that statement?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do you agree with the next statement? If a
16 utility sets an objective to achieve the lowest delivered
17 cost to its consumers, and if market prices stay at or
18 increase from current levels, then the lower the
19 percentage of market price exposure the better. If market
20 prices drop significantly, the opposite will be true. Do
21 you agree with that?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. Okay. Then do you agree with the next
24 sentence? If a utility has targeted its hedging strategy
25 at limiting exposure to market price spikes, the

1 appropriate level of hedging for that utility will depend
2 on its perception of forecasted market price trends and
3 the benefits, costs and risks of relative hedging
4 mechanisms.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. You agree with that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Would you flip over to page 5 of that joint
9 report. In the first bullet point under that
10 recommendation No. 1, the joint report indicates that the
11 parties were recommending that the Commission consider
12 modifying the natural gas price volatility mitigation rule
13 to clarify that the provisions of this rule are mandatory
14 unless a waiver or variance has been granted. Do you see
15 that?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Would you conclude that the parties to this
18 docket were recommending the Commission clarify the
19 natural gas hedging program should be considered a
20 requirement or even mandatory?

21 A. At that time, yes.

22 Q. Does Staff have a different view of that
23 now?

24 A. I don't think Staff has really thought
25 about or come together to try and make a decision on that

1 now.

2 Q. So at that time, that's what your view was
3 and you don't know that it's changed?

4 A. I don't know that it's changed. I don't
5 know that it's stayed the same.

6 Q. Who makes that decision?

7 A. That would be Cherlyn Voss, Natelle
8 Dietrich, Bob Schallenberg, Kevin Thompson.

9 Q. Well, would you -- maybe I asked you this,
10 but would you conclude that at least at that time the
11 parties were recommending that that policy be considered a
12 requirement or mandatory?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And, in fact, if you go to the third bullet
15 point on page 5, it indicates that the parties were
16 suggesting that there should be, as I quoted here, minimum
17 boundaries for hedging programs unless good cause is shown
18 for any deviation from these minimums, parentheses open,
19 e.g., no less than 65 percent of the upcoming normal
20 winter supply shall be hedged against market exposure by
21 no later than October 1st each year, parentheses closed.
22 Do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Why do you believe that the joint report so
25 strongly advocated hedging for natural gas LDCs?

1 A. I don't know.

2 Q. Who benefits from the LDCs hedging?

3 A. The LDC and the customer.

4 Q. How does the LDC benefit?

5 A. If the customers are happy, it's better off
6 for the LDC.

7 Q. Okay. That's fair. That's fair. So you
8 want happy customers. They doesn't like volatility in
9 their prices for their utility service, right?

10 A. That's right.

11 Q. But the company's shareholders, since they
12 have a PGA, don't benefit by their hedging programs; is
13 that right?

14 A. That's right.

15 Q. So it's really LDCs are hedging to benefit
16 their customers and to have happy customers, right? They
17 benefit by that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Do you believe that LDCs should be required
20 to hedge their natural gas costs but electric companies
21 like GMO should be discharged or prohibited from hedging
22 their risk associated with purchased power costs?

23 A. I don't believe electric -- or LDCs are
24 required to hedge.

25 Q. Okay. So it's their choice?

1 A. It's their choice. And I would say the
2 same for the electric utilities, and then a prudence
3 determination can be made as to whether that was a prudent
4 decision or not.

5 Q. But the Commission has clearly encouraged
6 natural gas utilities to hedge their natural gas prices,
7 right?

8 A. Well, they backed off from what was in this
9 joint recommendation because they didn't go as far as what
10 is in this report. So the Commission obviously felt that
11 it did not want to establish these guidelines for the
12 requirements for the LDCs.

13 Q. Do you believe there are policy reasons why
14 it is not appropriate for the Commissioners to encourage
15 electric companies to hedge their electric costs using
16 financial instruments?

17 A. It is a management decision at the utility,
18 and the Commission or the Staff is not to manage the
19 utilities.

20 Q. I'll really asking about the commissioners.
21 Is the Commission -- do you believe there are policy
22 reasons why the Commission itself shouldn't be pronouncing
23 some policy guidance to companies on whether they should
24 hedge or not?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. There are policy reasons why they shouldn't
2 provide that guidance?

3 A. Why they shouldn't provide guidance or
4 requirements?

5 Q. Let's go with guidance to start with.

6 A. In my almost 29 years here at the
7 Commission, I've seen a reluctance of the Commissioners to
8 make some definite statements. It varies with the
9 Commissioners themselves and the other Commissioners, you
10 know, and there's a lot of legal determinations in there.
11 I'm not an attorney. So all that blends together. I'm --
12 I don't know that I really can say what the policy
13 decision of the Commissioners should be.

14 Q. Well, from the Staff's perspective, is it
15 better to Monday morning quarterback rather than give some
16 input to the utilities up front on what their hedging
17 program should be?

18 A. I know there's quite a few staff that would
19 like to give the utilities up-front information, tell them
20 how to do things, but that is not our role.

21 Q. On the LDC side, though, don't you invite
22 the LDCs to come in every year, or at least they perhaps
23 want to, to get that kind of guidance from the Commission
24 Staff?

25 A. They come in and provide to us what their

1 current strategy is, what it looks like, their PGA is
2 going to look like for the upcoming year, and they do
3 invite comments. As to what they do with those comments,
4 that's their -- that's up to those utilities, those LDCs.

5 Q. And doesn't the Staff file a staff
6 recommendation in those PGA cases where it's not uncommon
7 at all for them to make suggestions about their hedging
8 programs?

9 A. I would believe that's probably the ACA
10 cases, not the PGA cases. Basically, PGA, we just look at
11 it and see did they do the calculations right, because we
12 have to turn those around within ten days.

13 Q. I stand corrected. I think they are the
14 ACA filings. That's when you do your prudence review of
15 LDCs, right?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And in those cases you do provide guidance
18 or at least suggestions or criticisms, whatever you'd like
19 to call it, raise concerns about LDCs' hedging programs?

20 A. I haven't looked at those in great detail.
21 They're not under my -- they're not in the energy
22 department. They're in a -- or the energy unit or even
23 the department that I'm in. It's a different group, and
24 so I've got enough on my hands. I haven't taken that on,
25 too. So my answer to the question is, I don't know.

1 Q. Is Staff opposed to recovery of any
2 prudently incurred hedging costs associated with purchased
3 power?

4 A. Any prudently recovered? No, we are not.

5 Q. Is Staff opposed to recovery of any
6 prudently incurred hedging costs associated with purchased
7 power through GMO's FAC?

8 A. No, we would not.

9 Q. Ms. Mantle, if you were in the shoes of GMO
10 under the circumstances of this case, you're trying to
11 make a decision about cross hedging, do we do it, do we
12 not do it, we know what our generation fleet is and we
13 know what the purchased power options are out there, PPAs,
14 at that point in time, is it Staff's position that they
15 should not have used financial instruments but said just
16 play the spot purchased power market and passed whatever
17 those costs are on to consumers?

18 A. If GMO believes that it's done a good job
19 of resource planning, that is the least cost way to meet
20 it's customers' needs. So the cross hedging is not
21 necessary because it's least cost without the cross
22 hedging, so I don't know they should be doing the cross
23 hedging.

24 Q. But the hedging's not designed to bring the
25 lowest cost to the consumer, right? It's designed to

1 **reduce volatility.**

2 A. The resource planning is to bring the least
3 cost resources to the utility -- or to the customers.

4 Q. And I don't disagree with you, but I'm
5 asking about the hedging program. Isn't that designed to
6 reduce volatility? It doesn't mean that you're going to
7 get the cheapest price.

8 A. No, it does not. But in this case, we
9 believe that the amount that was done and the cross
10 hedging was imprudent.

11 Q. The amount that was done was because the
12 losses were high, right?

13 A. I don't know if it's the -- what exactly
14 caused the losses to be high, whether it was the amount
15 done or the difference between the price, when they had
16 to -- you know, the margin difference. I don't know
17 exactly what caused that amount to be so high.

18 Q. But we don't know what those losses are
19 until after the fact, right?

20 A. No, but you do have an idea of where the
21 market's going and where natural gas prices are going.

22 Q. Is that the -- is that the issue, that you
23 saw falling natural gas prices so, therefore, you think
24 Mr. Blunk shouldn't have tried to hedge? Is that what
25 it's all about?

1 A. I don't know if that's what it's all about,
2 but that's part of what Staff is saying is that, well, for
3 one thing, we couldn't find an analysis of the pol-- of
4 why you were hedging, of why you chose this. Dana, I
5 believe, asked in DRs for reasons. We didn't see any kind
6 of analysis that showed us that it was a good thing to do.

7 Q. But if the prices had been going up, then
8 Staff's view would have been different?

9 A. It very possibly could have been.

10 Q. And you only know whether prices are going
11 up or going down after the fact, don't you?

12 A. You have some idea of whether they're going
13 to go up or they're going to go down. I think you guys
14 currently use the Midas model to try to figure out what's
15 going to happen to purchased power prices. You model
16 those.

17 Q. They work very hard to try to figure it out
18 to make sure that they have the best policies in place.

19 A. As Mr. Blunk said, if his crystal ball was
20 right, he wouldn't be here today.

21 Q. Well, in the next FAC case, if the prices
22 are going up or if we have another Katrina or if we have
23 an unusual spike in natural gas prices, are the company --
24 is the company going to face the opposite position if they
25 quit hedging that, well, they should have hedged, gee

1 **whiz, they knew the price was going up?**

2 A. Not if they're under -- not if it's my
3 decision.

4 **Q. What is the standard?**

5 A. What is the standard?

6 **Q. What is the standard for prudence here?**

7 A. The standard for prudence is --

8 MR. THOMPSON: I think that was asked,
9 Judge, earlier in the cross-examination. So I would
10 object, asked and answered. As I recall, you went through
11 the standard in the report, Mr. Fischer, and asked her if
12 that was the standard applicable.

13 MR. FISCHER: I did go through the prudence
14 standard. I'm asking really how you implement that, I
15 guess is a better way to put it. What should the company
16 do, is the question.

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Since he's rephrased --

18 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

19 THE WITNESS: What should the company do?
20 The company should take into consideration the results of
21 this case and decide how it goes forward, or even now,
22 until that time, because we know the Commission sometimes
23 takes a little while to make decisions, they can make
24 their decisions based on what they know now.

25 BY MR. FISCHER:

1 Q. So Staff will be okay if the company
2 decides, based upon this case, that it should no longer
3 cross hedge and no longer try to protect consumers from
4 price volatility risk, right?

5 A. Again, cross hedging is an appropriate tool
6 for some things, but we do not believe it was appropriate
7 here. So I cannot say forever and ever that cross hedging
8 should not ever be used, but as it was used during this
9 time period, that is correct.

10 Q. When should cross hedging be used?

11 A. I don't know. I would be with Mr. Blunk if
12 his crystal ball worked well. If I knew that, then I
13 probably wouldn't be working at the Commission either.

14 Q. Okay. So it was imprudent for them to
15 hedge in this case, even though they've been doing it for
16 seven years, and the fact that there were losses, that's
17 how you determined what the cost is of that imprudent
18 decision; is that what you're saying?

19 A. We can't ask for more than the losses. So,
20 I mean, and it was the magnitude of the losses that
21 brought it to our attention.

22 Q. And you're not considering the fact that
23 natural gas prices and electricity prices were plummeting
24 on the other side and there was a benefit to consumers of
25 having those lower costs on that side of the market,

1 **right?**

2 A. But the customers did not receive all the
3 benefit because of the hedging losses.

4 **Q. They got lower prices for purchased power.**
5 **That's what they got, right?**

6 A. They got lower prices than if the hedging
7 had remained the -- hedging losses had remained the same
8 and the purchased power prices were higher, yes.

9 **Q. So what you're saying is if the company had**
10 **known that prices were going to be falling, it would have**
11 **been better for the consumer not to have had the hedging**
12 **insurance because we knew the prices were going to come**
13 **down and there wouldn't be a need, the house wasn't going**
14 **to burn down, and if we knew that, we wouldn't buy the**
15 **insurance, right?**

16 A. That's right. If your house -- you know
17 your house isn't going to burn, you wouldn't buy home
18 insurance.

19 **Q. Is there going to be a hurricane this fall?**

20 A. Most likely, yes, somewhere.

21 MR. FISCHER: I appreciate your patience, I
22 appreciate your candid answers, and I think I'm done.

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Questions from
24 the Bench. Any questions, Commissioner Stoll?

25 COMMISSIONER STOLL: No. I believe

1 Mr. Fischer got to my questions towards the end of his
2 questioning.

3 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. There will be
4 no recross based on questions from the Bench. Redirect.

5 MR. THOMPSON: We would request a short
6 recess.

7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Very good. Let's take a
8 ten-minute recess.

9 (A BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

10 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think I failed to
11 move for the admission of the exhibits that I had marked.
12 There might have been a couple I missed. I would move for
13 the admission of 13, 14, 15 and 16 if they haven't been
14 admitted.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: We've already taken up a
16 couple of those, but I lost track myself. Are there any
17 objections to GMO's Exhibits 13 through 16?

18 MR. THOMPSON: No objection.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, they shall
20 be received and admitted into the record.

21 (GMO EXHIBIT NOS. 13 THROUGH 16 WERE
22 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)

23 JUDGE STEARLEY: We'll pick up again with
24 redirect on Ms. Mantle, and Ms. Mantle, I will remind you
25 that you're still under oath.

1 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

3 Q. Ms. Mantle, you were asked some questions
4 about the people that you supervised. Do you recall that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you indicated that you supervise a unit
7 that includes Dana Eaves, Leon Bender, Matt Barnes and
8 David Roos; is that correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. To your knowledge, are these the people who
11 worked on the Staff Report in this case?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And do you -- do you know everything that
14 Leon Bender knows?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you know everything that David Roos
17 knows?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Matt Barnes?

20 A. No.

21 Q. So is it possible that Matt Barnes knew
22 about the cross hedging of purchased power but that that
23 information was not generally known within the group of
24 employees with which he worked?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. In fact, it wasn't known to you, was it?

2 A. No, it was not.

3 Q. Now, you were asked some questions about
4 what a reasonable person at GMO would do. Do you recall
5 those questions?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Would a reasonable person have GMO's level
8 of exposure to spot market price volatility?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Now, you indicated that Staff's expert on
11 electricity price hedging is Mr. Eaves; is that correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And I think you testified that you relied
14 on Mr. Eaves' professional judgment with respect to the
15 recommended disallowance in this case?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Have you heard anything here that would
18 cause you to change your mind about that?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Now, there was -- a lot has been heard
21 today about the number of prudence reviews that have
22 occurred on GMO's FAC; isn't that correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. In fact, this is the third one?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And there have been several intervening
2 rate cases, too; isn't that correct?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. Now, would you agree with me that a utility
5 company such as GMO makes a great many decisions every day
6 that it operates?

7 A. Yes, a multiple of decisions.

8 Q. Is it possible for Staff to review every
9 single one of those decisions?

10 A. No.

11 Q. In fact, would you agree with me that it's
12 only in a case where something brings a decision to
13 Staff's attention that review occurs?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In this case, that was the magnitude of the
16 hedging losses; isn't that right?

17 A. That is correct.

18 Q. Now, there has been talk today about a
19 webinar where cross hedging evidently was taught. Did you
20 hear that?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you said you did not attend, right?

23 A. That is correct.

24 Q. But some of your employees may have?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Including Mr. Eaves?

2 A. I believe Mr. Eaves did, yes.

3 Q. Does the fact that such a webinar exists,
4 does that make cross hedging a prudent activity by an
5 electric company with respect to its on-peak spot market
6 purchased power price risk?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Now, there was talk about Staff's role. Do
9 you recall that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And you agreed that Staff's role is to be
12 fair and neutral; is that correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Do you believe Staff has been fair and
15 neutral in this case?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. If, in fact, GMO has been cross hedging
18 purchased power spot market price risk for the past seven
19 years or more, as seems to be the case, do you believe
20 that that means that Staff should be precluded from
21 bringing it up now?

22 A. No, I do not.

23 Q. Do you believe that Staff's role in a
24 prudence review of this sort is to bring those things that
25 Staff has concerns about to the attention of the

1 Commission?

2 A. When it finds them, yes.

3 Q. And is that what Staff is doing now?

4 A. Yes, it is.

5 Q. Should the Commission decide that GMO's
6 activities have been prudent, will Staff be, as far as you
7 know, content with that result?

8 A. Unless we find something that was not
9 presented to the Commission that would maybe perhaps
10 change their mind, that is the position that Staff will
11 stick with.

12 Q. So Staff would be guided by the
13 Commission's decision?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Unless further information came to light?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. If you have an opinion on this question,
18 why does no other Missouri utility hedge purchased power
19 price risk?

20 MR. FISCHER: Objection. Calls for
21 speculation, facts not in evidence, I believe.

22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Thompson?

23 MR. THOMPSON: I'll withdraw the question.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.

25 BY MR. THOMPSON:

1 Q. If you know, has Staff ever taken the
2 position before the Commission that GMO is imprudent for
3 not building or acquiring more efficient regulated
4 generation capacity in Missouri?

5 A. Yes, they have.

6 Q. If you know, did Staff recommend in 2005
7 that all of GMO's hedging losses be disallowed? And I'm
8 referring to the 2005 rate case.

9 A. I believe that was the rate case where,
10 like, 11.3 million was dis-- or was agreed to not be
11 passed through.

12 Q. How about 2007, do you know if Staff made
13 any recommendation or took a position with respect to
14 hedging losses?

15 A. No, I don't know.

16 Q. Does GMO's hedging method actually fix a
17 spot market purchased power price?

18 A. No, it does not. If it loses money, it
19 actually increases by an increment that amount. It
20 doesn't fix the price, the spot market price. It may
21 offset a portion of it, but it's not ever fixed.

22 Q. Do you agree that it's a management
23 decision as to whether or not to hedge?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Do you believe that management decisions of

1 that nature should be subject to prudence review, reviews
2 of prudence?

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. THOMPSON: I have no further questions.
5 Thank you, Judge.

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: Ms. Mantle, thank you for
7 your testimony. You are excused.

8 Staff, you may call your last witness.

9 MR. THOMPSON: Staff calls Dana Eaves.

10 (Witness sworn.)

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may be seated.

12 DANA EAVES testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON:

14 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Eaves. Would you state
15 your name, please.

16 A. My name is Dana Eaves.

17 Q. And how are you employed?

18 A. I'm employed with the Missouri Public
19 Service Commission as a utility regulatory auditor.

20 Q. Are you the same Dana Eaves that prepared
21 or caused to be prepared the documents that have been
22 marked as Staff's Exhibit 1HC and NP?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Do you have any corrections or changes to
25 that testimony?

1 A. I have one correction to the Staff's report
2 on page 10. It's not line numbered by line, but it's the
3 first line, second to the last word where it says option,
4 I'd like to change that to future.

5 Q. Do you have any other changes or
6 corrections?

7 A. No.

8 Q. With that correction in mind, if I asked
9 you those questions today, would your answers be the same?

10 A. Yes, they would.

11 Q. And would -- are they true and correct to
12 the best of your knowledge and belief?

13 A. Yes.

14 MR. THOMPSON: At this time I'd offer
15 Staff's Exhibit 1HC and NP and I tender the witness for
16 cross-examination.

17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Do I have any objection to
18 the admission of Staff Exhibit No. 1?

19 MR. CONRAD: None.

20 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall be
21 received and admitted into the record.

22 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 1HC AND NP WAS RECEIVED
23 INTO EVIDENCE.)

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Thompson, for clarity,
25 I would like -- I know it's attached to Mr. Eaves'

1 testimony. I would like you to offer a copy of Staff's
2 report as a separate exhibit.

3 MR. THOMPSON: Will do, Judge.

4 JUDGE STEARLEY: And that will be Exhibit
5 No. 10 for Staff.

6 MR. THOMPSON: I only have one copy. Can I
7 offer it now or would you prefer me to late file?

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: You can offer it now if
9 you wish. Any objections to Staff Exhibit No. 10?

10 MR. CONRAD: No.

11 JUDGE STEARLEY: It shall be received and
12 entered into evidence.

13 (STAFF EXHIBIT NO. 10 WAS RECEIVED INTO
14 EVIDENCE.)

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Conrad, do you have
16 any cross-examination for this witness?

17 MR. CONRAD: I do not, sir. Thank you.

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Fischer,
19 cross-examination?

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:

21 Q. Good evening, Mr. Eaves.

22 A. Good evening.

23 Q. Do you happen to have a copy of your direct
24 rebuttal testimony and also a copy of your deposition in
25 this case?

1 A. I don't have a copy of my deposition with
2 me.

3 Q. Okay. I'll give you a copy. We may need
4 it. We may not.

5 As I understand the Staff's prudence
6 review, the report, on page 2, Staff has found GMO was
7 imprudent in its use of natural gas hedges to mitigate the
8 risk associated with its future purchases in the spot
9 market, right?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And in the next sentence I believe you
12 indicate originally that Staff recommends the Commission
13 order GMO to refund an amount of \$18,755,865, plus
14 interest at the company's short-term borrowing rate
15 through the time the refund is made in the context of the
16 cost adjustment factor filing No. 8; is that right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, I do also understand that you modified
19 your position on the number; is that right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And for the record, what is the
22 disallowance and refund that Staff's supporting in this
23 case?

24 A. Approximately 14.9 million.

25 Q. And this is the only disallowance that

1 you're recommending in this case, right?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And you're the sponsoring witness of that
4 disallowance?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. Just for the record, I think
7 Ms. Mantle explained the difference between the original
8 position and the 14.9, but could you briefly for the
9 record explain why you changed your position on that?

10 A. Sure. Be happy to. When I initially
11 proposed this adjustment amount, I was working off
12 Mr. Blunk's, I believe it was at that time WEB-5, the
13 spreadsheet, and that spreadsheet summarized the various
14 transactions that was associated with the natural gas
15 portion of the hedging as well as the purchased power
16 portion of the hedging, and it made no mention of
17 contracts that were under a Stipulation & Agreement that
18 should not have been looked at, or I've heard some
19 different terms used, but couldn't be considered for a
20 prudency review.

21 Q. There was an agreement back in the previous
22 rate case where, after a certain date, those hedges
23 wouldn't be considered for a prudence review in
24 consideration for the fact that they settled the case,
25 right?

1 A. That's correct. And I just didn't connect
2 the dots.

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. It wasn't clear and evident right before me
5 that that had happened.

6 Q. Now, on page 13 of the Staff Report, near
7 the bottom of the page, under No. 5 there, the conclusion
8 section.

9 A. I'm sorry. What page again?

10 Q. Page 13.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. There's a paragraph 5, conclusion. There
13 you state, Staff found GMO's hedging activities related to
14 natural gas used for electric generation to be in
15 compliance with GMO's natural gas price hedge plan; is
16 that correct?

17 A. I'm not seeing it. I'm sorry. My page 13
18 may be different than yours. You said this was the Staff
19 Report, page 13?

20 Q. I may be --

21 MR. THOMPSON: It's Schedule DEE-2-15.

22 MR. FISCHER: Yeah. That's right. It's
23 Schedule DEE-1-15, which I believe is the Staff Report.

24 MR. THOMPSON: 1 is the NP. 2 is the HC.
25 Just in case that makes a difference.

1 MR. FISCHER: All right. I appreciate
2 that.

3 BY MR. FISCHER:

4 Q. I'm really just looking at the last
5 paragraph. Do you see that? It's page 13 of the report,
6 but it's Schedule DEE-1-15.

7 A. Yes, I've got it.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. No. 5, conclusion?

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. Yes, I'm there.

12 Q. There it says, the Staff found GMO's
13 hedging activities related to natural gas used for
14 electric generation to be in compliance with GMO's natural
15 gas price hedge, right?

16 A. That's what it says, yes.

17 Q. Is it correct to conclude that you found
18 that GMO's hedging activities related to using natural gas
19 prices for the purpose of hedging electric generation,
20 that was consistent with and in compliance with the GMO
21 hedging plan, right?

22 A. That's a very traditional method, hedging
23 method. I don't have any problems with that particular
24 plan, and I don't feel that GMO has stepped outside the
25 bounds of their policy.

1 Q. And you found that it was in compliance
2 with the plan that it had when it started the process,
3 right? Isn't that what it says?

4 A. And I think what I was -- what I'm
5 referring to when I talk about the hedge plan, and that's
6 really the risk management plan that they have. They have
7 a document entitled, I think it's KCP&L GMO's risk
8 management plan. Sometimes it's referred to RMP.

9 Q. That was -- that's in Empire, isn't it,
10 RMP?

11 A. Possibly. But whatever the title, it's a
12 risk management plan.

13 Q. That plan was the one that I think
14 Mr. Hyneman may have attached to his testimony in 2005 and
15 2007, right?

16 A. Possibly, and there's -- there's other
17 filings of it. It's the risk management plan.

18 Q. And it refers to both natural gas hedging
19 for purposes of generation and also for purposes of
20 hedging purchased power risk, right?

21 A. I believe that particular section, and I
22 don't have the plan in front of me, but it does make the
23 statement that they will use natural gas to hedge
24 purchased power, no more, no less than that statement, as
25 far as I recall. That's it.

1 Q. And as far as you know, GMO filed its
2 hedging plan, right, both on natural gas for generation
3 and for purchased power?

4 A. If their plan was to hedge natural gas
5 futures contracts with purchased power, I think they've
6 followed that plan, if that's a plan. It's a statement.
7 If the statement's a plan, then they followed it.

8 Q. Okay. Now, I think probably the record is
9 clear what cross hedging is by now, but you do define
10 cross hedging, and I guess in your answer to one of the
11 DRs, you define cross hedging as the act of hedging one's
12 position by taking an offsetting position in another good
13 with similar price movements; is that right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And I believe your answer cited an online
16 source, Investopedia.com, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And then if you look at that source, there
19 was also an example of cross hedging, and it said that
20 although the goods are not identical, they're correlated
21 enough to create a hedge position as long as the prices
22 move in the same direction. A good example is cross
23 hedging a crude oil futures contract with a short position
24 in natural gas. Even though those two -- these two
25 products are not identical, their price movements are

1 similar enough to use for hedging purposes. You agree
2 with that, right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Now, does this suggest to you that it's
5 possible to cross hedge crude oil futures contracts with a
6 short position in natural gas even though the commodities
7 are not identical?

8 A. It certainly is possible to do.

9 Q. And does that suggest to you that crude oil
10 futures and natural gas commodities have similar price
11 movements that are similar enough for hedging purposes?

12 A. That's what the theory tells me, yes.

13 Q. If you were going to cross hedge the risk
14 of spot purchased power with another commodity using
15 financial instruments, how would you go about doing it?

16 A. First I think I'd have to -- in fact, I
17 know I would have to have a study or some type of
18 analysis, some type of report, whether I contracted it out
19 or did it in-house. When I say do it in-house, that would
20 be the utility do it or they could hire a contractor to
21 fill that obligation of that study.

22 Q. Somebody like Kase & Company?

23 A. I'm not sure what Kase & Company would do.
24 I know for this particular case they have a hedging model
25 that they support and they offer to the company, and it's

1 a statistical model that helps the company.

2 Q. Okay. I think I interrupted you. So you'd
3 go to somebody like -- somebody on the outside?

4 A. A consultant that specializes in, what am I
5 supposed to do? I have various risks. What are the least
6 cost options? What are some of my options? I know we've
7 talked a lot about building. We've talked about fixed
8 price contracts. We've talked about cross hedging, what
9 GMO is currently doing.

10 I'd like to see what demand response would
11 do. I'd like to see what energy efficiency would do. I
12 would like to see -- I don't know. I mean, I'm not in
13 that position to do, but I know what I would do and what I
14 would expect to do --

15 Q. Okay. What --

16 A. -- if I was in management. I would have
17 some type of a report, the report -- that way I would have
18 a foundation to make some decisions on.

19 Q. Okay. So you have a report.

20 A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. It suggests that you should be doing some
22 hedging using financial instruments. What's the next
23 step? What would you do then?

24 A. I think the -- again, I still have to use
25 some decision-making in that process, what I would do and

1 based on the results of the report. Say it came back and
2 it said, hey, look, you really -- you really have a build
3 option here. It may take -- it may take -- what does it
4 take to build a power plant? It may take five years to
5 build.

6 Q. Let's set aside the --

7 A. I'm going to have to consider all my
8 various options on that. Can I get regulatory approval?
9 Can I get financing? You know, I think there's a lot of
10 decisions involved, especially when you're talking about
11 this level dollars that are at risk.

12 Q. And that option of building, like you say,
13 is a five-year option, right? You can't -- you're not
14 going to do anything to help consumers and mitigate their
15 volatility of electric price risk during the next year if
16 you're talking about building an Iatan 2, right?

17 A. No, but hopefully my horizon is more than
18 11 months. It may not solve this 11-month or this
19 12-month problem, but it may solve -- in three years it
20 may solve. And so that would tell me, hey, now I need to
21 do something else. Do I need to cross hedge, and when I
22 cross hedge, should I fix the price? Should I get in with
23 a marketer and fix the price or should I let the price
24 float in the market and then subject myself to that risk
25 as well? I don't know. I mean --

1 Q. Again, the question was, if you're going to
2 cross hedge the risk of spot purchased power with another
3 commodity using financial instruments, what would you do?

4 A. There's -- other instruments you could use
5 would be options, which are puts and calls.

6 Q. Is that what Staff's recommending in this
7 case?

8 A. Staff has not recommended using puts and
9 calls. I don't know what would be -- I haven't done that
10 analysis, so I couldn't recommend something.

11 Q. So you're suggesting that what they did was
12 imprudent, but you don't have a suggestion what they
13 should have done, right?

14 A. That's correct. That's my role.

15 Q. Well, if we assume for purposes of this
16 question that natural gas prices are positively -- are
17 strongly positively correlated with spot electricity
18 prices, do you believe it would be possible to cross hedge
19 the risks associated with the spot purchased power by
20 using natural gas futures contracts?

21 A. That's the problem I have is that I don't
22 believe that's the only -- that's the only price driver.
23 Even though they may be highly correlated or strongly,
24 have a strong positive correlation, whatever we want to
25 term that, you know, I really don't care. Say they're

1 highly correlated. I still don't feel, and I think my
2 testimony points out, that natural gas price is not the
3 only driver in spot prices, and we see that.

4 Q. I guess --

5 A. We've clearly demonstrated that.

6 Q. I'm asking you, if they're strongly
7 positively correlated, do you believe it's possible to
8 cross hedge the risks associated with spot purchased power
9 using natural gas futures?

10 A. I do, and the best way to do that would be
11 fixed price on the other side, not let the price float in
12 the market.

13 Q. And by that you mean building a power
14 plant --

15 A. No.

16 Q. -- or how do you fix that?

17 A. No. I enter into an agreement with a
18 generator to fix a price based on natural gas. I'm going
19 to assume the risk. Okay. Again, we've kind of got away
20 from what we're doing here, but we're trying to mitigate
21 the risk.

22 Q. Is Staff's disallowance in this case based
23 upon the fact that they didn't go out and find a generator
24 to fix the price with?

25 A. I don't know that it's solely based on

1 that, but it's based on my feelings that there is other
2 price drivers, okay, significant price drivers in the cost
3 of energy prices other than natural gas, and that the tool
4 that GMO's used, the NYMEX futures contracts, were not the
5 best tool to use in the manner that they used it. It
6 could be a good tool, but I think Lena said, you know,
7 you're cutting boards with a hammer. It's not the best
8 tool.

9 **Q. Is Staff's disallowance based upon the fact**
10 **that they didn't go out and fix a price with a generator?**

11 A. It's not based on that. I think if you're
12 asking me what are possible solutions, that would be a
13 solution. If they would have done that, would the losses
14 that GMO incurred, would they still be there? I don't
15 know.

16 **Q. And so you don't know what the cost to**
17 **compare those options, what they did was cross hedge, you**
18 **don't know what it would have been if they'd gone out and**
19 **fixed the price with a generator, right?**

20 A. No. I wish I -- I wish I had that
21 information. I mean, it would have been -- in my position
22 as an auditor, it would have been a wonderful document to
23 have in the beginning, to say this is the reason GMO did
24 what they did.

25 **Q. So you can't quantify for the Commission**

1 what the cost was of the decision for GMO to have cross
2 hedged, which it did, versus going out and fixing a price
3 with some generator?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. I can only tell you what the cost was.

7 Q. What else should they have done?

8 A. I don't know. I think it would be --
9 you're putting me in a position where you want me to make
10 decisions for the company, and I'm not able to do that.

11 Q. No. I --

12 A. I don't have -- I don't have any supporting
13 documents that I would be able to base my decision on.

14 Q. I'm asking what the Staff believes the
15 prudent decision would have been.

16 A. Could have been prudent not to cross hedge
17 at all.

18 Q. So that's what you think the prudent
19 decision would have been, not to do the insurance, not to
20 try to mitigate against skyrocketing electric prices?

21 A. I don't know that energy prices have
22 skyrocketed. I don't know. I don't --

23 Q. With hindsight, I'd agree with you,
24 Mr. Eaves. They haven't. They've actually gone down.
25 The slides show that during the review period, even with

1 the hedging costs, they went down, which is a good thing.

2 A. Was that a direct effect by GMO hedging
3 with NYMEX futures prices? And I don't believe so. I
4 believe -- I believe what you see, the trending down of
5 energy prices had other factors, other drivers that caused
6 that to happen.

7 Q. Well, what should the company have done?
8 In other words, what's the other option besides financial
9 future -- financial instruments using natural gas futures
10 contracts? The one that you mentioned was contracting
11 with the generator. Is there a third option they should
12 have done?

13 A. Could do nothing.

14 Q. And is that what Staff believes would be
15 the prudent decision in this case?

16 A. It could have been a prudent decision.

17 Q. I'm asking whether it was a prudent
18 decision from your perspective.

19 A. I can't give you an answer to that. I
20 don't know.

21 Q. Okay. So we don't know what the cost would
22 be comparing what the company actually did with what you
23 believe was a prudent decision because you don't know what
24 a prudent decision was?

25 A. My recommendation is, is that the decision

1 that the company made was not prudent. I don't know.
2 They could have made a myriad of other decisions. Some of
3 those might have been prudent. Some of those might not
4 have been prudent. I don't know because they didn't make
5 them. The only decision that they made was to cross hedge
6 energy prices with a NYMEX future contract and allowing
7 that price on the other side, the energy price to float in
8 the market and be subjected to market pricing.

9 In my -- in my analysis, my review, I've
10 just never made the connection between how GMO's actions
11 cross hedging has mitigated any risk at all on the energy
12 side, the way that they've done it.

13 **Q. If Katrina occurred during that period and**
14 **prices had gone to \$15 on the natural gas side and they**
15 **went out of sight on electric, would that have been a**
16 **prudent decision then?**

17 A. I don't think so. I think -- because what
18 would be the -- what would be the price driver for the
19 increase in energy cost, it would have been Katrina. You
20 could have had still an oversupply of gas. Maybe gas went
21 to 15. You can still have an oversupply of energy.
22 Energy prices didn't necessarily follow.

23 **Q. And that goes to whether those two markets**
24 **are correlated or not; isn't that the key?**

25 A. That is a big key with -- with the decision

1 that was made at the time that GMO made, are those markets
2 sufficiently correlated and does it take into account all
3 the price drivers involved in energy price and was that a
4 prudent thing to do?

5 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the PGS Energy
6 training seminar which you went to, webinar entitled How
7 to Financially Hedge Natural Gas and Electricity Price
8 Risk.

9 A. Sure.

10 Q. Did the PGS Energy training webinar include
11 a discussion a how hedging electricity price risk with
12 natural gas futures, how you do that?

13 A. Yeah. There's -- as I recall in reading
14 through the handout just recently, there's really two
15 portions of that webinar. One was working basically using
16 NYMEX futures contracts, same on both, but then using a
17 marketer to fix a price on a contract or letting the price
18 of energy just float on the spot market. That was the two
19 different, very distinct sections, as I recall, and
20 looking through the handout, that's -- my recollection of
21 that is correct.

22 Q. Do you consider PGS Energy training to be a
23 reputable source of information about hedging electricity
24 and natural gas?

25 A. They provide a lot of informational

1 webinars and training on various issues, whether it's
2 transmission or hedging. They have a wide variety of
3 programs that they offer.

4 Q. And I think a lot of Staff folks have gone
5 to those over the years. I believe you gave me
6 information there was 55 or so that attended on one of the
7 exhibits, right?

8 A. That's correct. They're low cost. That's
9 one of the reasons we're able to do it.

10 Q. And wouldn't you agree that that training
11 webinar did teach someone at a very high level perhaps, as
12 you suggested in the deposition, how to do cross hedging
13 using natural gas futures?

14 A. They showed the methodology to do it. They
15 don't -- they don't go in any depth. I'm trying to even
16 recall if they were talking about the type of utilities
17 that it might benefit. You know, GMO is a regulated
18 integrated utility. There's other utilities out there
19 that operate in different environments that this may be a
20 better fit for. I don't know. I don't know if we've
21 gotten -- we went into that level of discussion or not.

22 Q. Since your deposition, have you
23 familiarized yourself with the CME Group?

24 A. No.

25 Q. So you didn't go back to check to see if

1 they own the NYMEX?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Or that they own the Chicago Mercantile
4 Exchange and the Chicago Board of Trade?

5 A. No, I did not.

6 Q. Did you include in any of your work papers
7 any publications or articles or treatises that address the
8 topic of cross hedging?

9 A. I might have. I don't recall. I just
10 don't remember.

11 Q. Would you turn to your deposition at
12 page 22, line 19.

13 A. I'm there. I answered in my deposition I
14 did not to that question that you asked.

15 Q. Would you list any publications, articles
16 or treatises that you reviewed in preparation for your
17 testimony in this case that dealt with the topic of cross
18 hedging?

19 A. Did I attach?

20 Q. No. Did you review any? Would you list
21 the ones you did review?

22 A. I did review. I don't know that I made a
23 list of any.

24 Q. So none of those were attached to your work
25 papers?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Or your testimony?

3 A. No.

4 Q. At the time of the deposition, I believe
5 you couldn't recall any. Do you recall some today?

6 A. I don't recall any specific titles, and I
7 didn't go back and try and do a review and list titles for
8 you, no.

9 Q. Do you remember any textbooks or particular
10 journals that you looked at that included those kinds of
11 articles?

12 A. I just can't give you any specific. I'm
13 really bad with names on books and things like that. I
14 just can't do it.

15 Q. In your mind, can you see an article that
16 you remember reviewing?

17 A. I know I reviewed some of Dr. Woo's
18 articles and things.

19 Q. Some of those 16 --

20 A. Some of those. I mean, I reviewed some of
21 those, but that was much, much later on in the process. I
22 mean, that's the closest I can get to you. I've reviewed
23 a lot. There's a couple of textbooks. One's -- some
24 economic books and things like that, but no specific
25 titles. It's just general information.

1 Q. Samuelson or what was it that you reviewed?

2 A. I'm not going to be able tell you. I can't
3 tell you.

4 Q. So you can't really remember what you
5 reviewed.

6 A. No, not specific titles.

7 Q. Other than some of those articles from
8 Dr. Woo?

9 A. I can't even tell you which articles I
10 reviewed from him. I re-- as a course of business, I
11 would have reviewed the articles that he sent in a DR.

12 Q. Some of those 16 that we sent to you?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. And that would have been after you filed
15 your recommendation in the Staff Report and after you
16 filled the testimony recommending an \$18.8 million refund,
17 right?

18 A. Yeah. It would have been -- on those
19 Dr. Woo documents, those have been after the fact, sure.

20 Q. And you didn't include any of those
21 publications in your work papers?

22 A. No. There -- you know, there are data
23 requests. They're available in this case. I'm not going
24 to attach all data requests to the --

25 Q. And they're not in evidence?

1 A. That I don't know.

2 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any publications
3 of the Missouri Public Service Commission on the topic of
4 hedging?

5 A. The one, the document that was referred to
6 earlier today, I reviewed that.

7 Q. The joint report on natural gas hedging
8 that encourages natural gas companies to hedge?

9 A. For local gas distribution companies.

10 Q. As a part of your undergraduate education,
11 did you have any specific courses that taught you how to
12 cross hedge commodities such as natural gas or
13 electricity?

14 A. I don't believe so. There might have been
15 something as just general information in some accounting
16 class or some micro or macroeconomic class or statistics
17 class or something.

18 Q. It's probably not a topic that would
19 generally be talked about in statistics 101, right?

20 A. Probably not. At that as well, I don't
21 know if cross hedging was as popular back then as it is
22 now.

23 Q. It is popular now, right?

24 A. There's various -- there's active markets
25 in cross hedging, yes.

1 Q. And a lot of different commodity markets?

2 A. You can almost cross hedge anything, I
3 think.

4 Q. But not electricity and natural gas?

5 A. You can do it.

6 Q. I think during your deposition you also
7 told me that you talked to Dave Sommerer on the general
8 topic of natural gas hedging for gas companies, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. With the exception of Dave Sommerer, you
11 had not had any specific discussions about natural gas
12 hedging, electricity price risk hedging or cross hedging
13 or correlation hedging with any other members of the
14 Staff; isn't that what you told me?

15 A. I think that's what I told you, yes.

16 Q. Now, that webinar that you attended, it was
17 a four-hour webinar, and the second section was dealing
18 with how to use natural gas futures to hedge the price of
19 electricity, right?

20 A. I think both sections were around how to
21 use that model to hedge energy price with NYMEX futures
22 contracts. One was fixing a price on the side. The other
23 was letting it float out in the market. That's how I
24 recall it.

25 Q. But that title of that second session,

1 wasn't it that it was basically how to hedge electric
2 price risk with natural gas futures?

3 A. Okay. I have session 2.

4 Q. What's the title of that? I may have
5 incorrectly stated it.

6 A. The title of session 2, How to Financially
7 Hedge Natural Gas and Electricity Price Risk. That's the
8 title.

9 Q. Okay. And then go to the next -- the
10 fifth -- the fifth slide in. Doesn't that say or isn't
11 there one around that point that says how to hedge
12 electricity price using NYMEX natural gas futures,
13 something to that effect?

14 A. The 5 and 6 slides, No. 5, No. 6 slides,
15 the heading on No. 5 is Hedging Basis Risk with a Basis
16 Swap. The No. 6 title is Hedging Basis Risk with a Basis
17 Swap.

18 Q. Okay. Let's go back to that a little bit
19 later.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. With the exception of that course, that
22 webinar that you took, haven't you told me in the
23 deposition that you didn't participate in any other formal
24 seminars or webinars or undergraduate or graduate courses
25 that dealt with the topics of natural gas hedging or

1 electric price hedging?

2 A. That's what I said in my deposition, yes.

3 Q. And I think I asked you if you were going
4 to recommend a textbook to the Commission on hedging so
5 they understood this cross hedging a little bit more, what
6 would you recommend? Do you have anything that comes to
7 mind today? At the time I don't think you did.

8 A. No. I didn't -- I didn't attempt to bring
9 a list of titles to educate the Commissioners, no.

10 Q. And you haven't published any white papers
11 or other articles on the topic of natural gas or electric
12 price hedging, right?

13 A. Not yet.

14 Q. I hope -- I'll read it when you do.
15 Have you previously testified regarding
16 cross hedging electricity using natural gas futures
17 contracts?

18 A. No.

19 Q. I believe that Ms. Mantle indicated that
20 Staff's proposed disallowance in this case is really your
21 responsibility, it was your idea; is that right?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Do you believe you're probably the most
24 knowledgeable person on Staff about cross hedging today?

25 A. I'm not sure. We have -- we have some

1 people on Staff that are very knowledgeable. They may
2 have -- but in my apartment, Dr. Kang, he may have a
3 degree, he may have a doctor's degree in economics. I
4 don't know what his specialty is, if he knows about cross
5 hedging. He may have more knowledge. I mate to single
6 myself out just because I haven't done analysis of who
7 knows what.

8 Q. Sure.

9 A. But for the purposes of this case, I
10 probably know more about the specifics in this case than
11 any other Staff person does.

12 Q. Okay. You're the primary person that's
13 responsible for reviewing the hedging activities in this
14 case, right?

15 A. The buck stops here, yes.

16 Q. Okay. In the Empire prudency cases that we
17 talked about in your deposition, Case No. EO-2010-255 and
18 ER-2008-0093, those were the prudency cases on Empire that
19 you participated in, right? Do you recall that?

20 A. I'm sorry. You're going to have to -- you
21 lost me in the numbers.

22 Q. Let's don't talk about the numbers.

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. You were involved with two prudency reviews
25 of Empire, right?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Okay. And in those prudency reviews,
3 didn't you find that Empire had complied with their
4 hedging plan?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And in those cases you found that Empire
7 followed its hedging plan, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. It's also correct that you recommended no
10 disallowances in those Empire cases relating to hedging
11 activities, right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Now, you also were involved in a couple of
14 Ameren cases, right?

15 A. I believe one Ameren case.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. Well, I take that back. There has been a
18 subsequent, so yes, two.

19 Q. And you reviewed whether Ameren followed
20 its hedging plan in those two cases?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Did you find that Ameren had followed the
23 hedging plan in those cases?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Is it correct that in the Ameren prudency

1 cases, you did not recommend a disallowance related to the
2 company's hedging activities?

3 A. There was a disallowance recommended, but
4 not associated with hedging activities.

5 Q. That's the one that got recently reversed;
6 is that right?

7 A. I'm not sure what it's done in the circuit
8 court. I know it went to circuit court, but I don't know
9 what it's done.

10 Q. Okay. Well, until this case, is it correct
11 that you personally have never specifically proposed any
12 disallowance in a prudence review related to an electric
13 company's financial hedges or hedging activities?

14 A. Associated with a prudency review, I
15 believe that's correct, yes.

16 Q. In any rate case?

17 A. I'm going to have to say no. I just can't
18 recall. Seems that there was something about hedging, but
19 I can't recall. I'm going to say no.

20 Q. Well, okay. Let me make sure the record is
21 clear. You're saying that you can't recall that you ever
22 specifically recommended a disallowance related to
23 financial hedges or hedging activities?

24 A. I think it's getting late, and so --

25 Q. I agree.

1 A. -- yes. I think how you presented that is
2 correct, yes.

3 Q. Okay. Do you know if Staff has reviewed
4 GMO or Aquila's hedging plans in previous cases?

5 A. They should have. The report would tell us
6 whether or not they did and at what level they reviewed
7 those.

8 Q. I believe in your deposition I asked you
9 about the 2005 and the 2007 Aquila rate cases, and you
10 indicated, I believe, that Aquila's hedging programs were
11 reviewed in those cases.

12 A. They were or were not?

13 Q. They were. Do you recall that?

14 A. I don't recall saying it, sitting here
15 today without having the reports in front of me. How
16 those reports are broken up, they're broken up by section.
17 Different witnesses are responsible for the different
18 sections.

19 Q. Would you turn to page 41 of your
20 deposition, line No. 1. I guess you need to go back to
21 page 40 where the question is asked, would it be likely to
22 have been the 2005 and 2007 rate cases of Aquila? And I
23 guess you go back another question or two where it says,
24 on line 18, do you know if Staff has reviewed GMO's or
25 Aquila's hedging plans in previous rate cases? And then

1 we go --

2 A. And I answer yes.

3 Q. Yeah.

4 A. And again, thinking about it today, some
5 time has elapsed, I would assume they would have. Again,
6 I wasn't on those cases. And I know I've reviewed the
7 prior reports, but to get to that level of specificity
8 sitting here today, I -- I don't know.

9 Q. So in your preparation for this case, you
10 really didn't go back and review what Staff might have
11 said about Aquila or GMO's cross -- or hedging plans in
12 the past?

13 A. I would have read the reports, but being
14 able to recite exactly what that report says, I -- my
15 assumption is that they looked at the hedging strategies.
16 Now, at what level they looked at, did they understand
17 what they were looking at, I didn't go back and ask the
18 auditors, say, hey --

19 Q. And that's fair. In those cases, did Staff
20 specifically allege that GMO's cross hedging activities
21 related to the use of natural gas futures contracts were
22 imprudent?

23 A. I know that there wasn't any
24 recommendations by Staff for disallowance, for an
25 allowance for those, so if that answers the question.

1 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Fischer, before you go
2 on with another question, I'm going to interrupt here
3 briefly. I'm not sure how much longer you have for cross
4 and then with redirect.

5 MR. FISCHER: I've got a little, Judge.

6 JUDGE STEARLEY: And I don't mind going
7 late and finishing up if it means we're not going to be
8 back here tomorrow, but there may be other people who have
9 to make arrangements for child care or something of this
10 nature. So I wanted to stop for a moment and just check
11 with everyone.

12 MR. FISCHER: I'm happy to go forward, but
13 I think given the amount of material I need to cover, it's
14 going to be a while.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay.

16 MR. FISCHER: And the company's certainly
17 willing to come back tomorrow. We are scheduled anyway.

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: What is the parties'
19 preference in general? Staff?

20 MR. THOMPSON: Staff is ready to do
21 whatever is required. Staff will stay late tonight to
22 complete or Staff will come back tomorrow and complete
23 then, whatever the preference of the Commission and the
24 majority of the people here.

25 JUDGE STEARLEY: In terms of your cross,

1 Mr. Fischer, just a ballpark time?

2 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I might be able to cut
3 it down if we take a break tonight. So right now there's
4 substantial amount of cross.

5 COMMISSIONER STOLL: Would it be of any
6 advantage to reconvene tomorrow when other Commissioners
7 would be here?

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: It may be. I don't want
9 to cut down on any of the --

10 MR. FISCHER: We're ahead of schedule. I
11 would recommend, I guess, if it was up to me, to take a
12 break and let everybody clear their heads a little bit.
13 Maybe I can cut the cross a little bit, and if we have
14 some other Commissioners, that would be terrific, too.

15 JUDGE STEARLEY: A ten-minute break or are
16 you referring to a break until tomorrow morning?

17 MR. FISCHER: No. I'm talking about a
18 break 'til tomorrow.

19 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Is this a good
20 stopping point or did you have a couple more questions you
21 want --

22 MR. FISCHER: Yes, this is fine. It's
23 five o'clock, and it's a good stopping point.

24 JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, let's go ahead and
25 take a recess at this point and we'll reconvene tomorrow

1 morning then at 8:30. All right. And when we come back,
2 Mr. Eaves, you will still be under oath and we'll pick up
3 where we left off.

4 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I note it's an agenda
5 day tomorrow, too. If the Commission prefers to take a
6 break for the agenda, we can stay around until the
7 Commissioners are available, too.

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: And I can find out in the
9 morning just who's going to be here, because I know at
10 least one I believe is out traveling. We could resume,
11 and I'm not sure how long agenda's going to go. I
12 understand there may be a presentation up there tomorrow
13 as well. So we may just want to go ahead and start at
14 8:30.

15 MR. FISCHER: Whatever is your preference.
16 I just wanted to indicate we want to accommodate the
17 Commissioners any way we can.

18 JUDGE STEARLEY: That's fine. And with
19 regard to Exhibits 11 and 12, which I have reserved the
20 ruling on and had asked for some further information from
21 Staff, I think I will go ahead and take those up tomorrow.
22 That was regarding two exhibits on Staff's filing and
23 transcript in ER --

24 MR. THOMPSON: Right. You said you wanted
25 Staff to give a written submission. Do you want that

1 tomorrow?

2 JUDGE STEARLEY: No. I think I'll make a
3 ruling based on what I already know regarding the parol
4 evidence rule objection. If you have any other objections
5 at that time to those documents, you can raise them then
6 and take it up --

7 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.

8 JUDGE STEARLEY: -- at the conclusion of
9 the hearing on some other housekeeping matters.

10 All right. We'll stand in recess until
11 tomorrow morning at 8:30.

12 (WHEREUPON, the hearing recessed at
13 5:00 p.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

Page 296

1	I N D E X	
	Opening Statement by Mr. Fischer	27
2	Opening Statement by Mr. Thompson	69
	GMO'S EVIDENCE:	
3	C.K. WOO	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Fischer	88
4	WILLIAM EDWARD BLUNK	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Fischer	91
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thompson	93
	Questions by Judge Stearley	112
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Fischer	115
	SCOTT H. HEIDTBRINK	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Steiner	138
	GARY L. CLEMENS	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Steiner	140
	Cross-Examination by Ms. McLowry	142
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Steiner	148
	RYAN BRESETTE	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Fischer	149
	Cross-Examination by Ms. McLowry	151
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Fischer	156
	Questions by Judge Stearley	157
12	Recross-Examination by Ms. McLowry	158
	TIM RUSH	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Fischer	159
14	Questions by Judge Stearley	161
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Fischer	163
16	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
17	CHARLES HYNEMAN	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	164
19	Questions by Judge Stearley	166
20	Questions by Commissioner Stoll	178
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Fischer	179
22	LENA M. MANTLE	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	187
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Fischer	190
25	Redirect Examination by Mr. Thompson	254

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

Page 297

1 DANA EAVES

2 Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson

260

3 Cross-Examination by Mr. Fischer

262

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	EXHIBITS INDEX		
	GMO'S EXHIBITS		
2		MARKED	RECEIVED
	EXHIBIT NO. 1NP/1HC		
3	Direct Testimony of Wm. Edward Blunk	90	93
	EXHIBIT NO. 2NP/2HC		
4	Surrebuttal Testimony of Wm. Edward Blunk	90	93
5	EXHIBIT NO. 3NP/3HC		
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Ryan A. Bresette	149	151
6	EXHIBIT NO. 4		
7	Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary L. Clemens	140	142
8	EXHIBIT NO. 5		
	Direct Testimony of Scott H. Heidtbrink	138	139
9	EXHIBIT NO. 6		
10	Direct Testimony of Tim M. Rush	159	160
11	EXHIBIT NO. 7NP/7HC		
	Surrebuttal Testimony of Tim M. Rush	159	160
12	EXHIBIT NO. 8		
13	Direct Testimony of Dr. C.K. Woo	87	89
14	EXHIBIT NO. 9		
15	Surrebuttal Testimony of Dr. C.K. Woo	87	89
16	EXHIBIT NO. 10		
17	Order Clarifying Report and Order,		
18	Case No. ER-2007-0004	87	89
19	EXHIBIT NO. 11		
20	Staff's Suggestions in Support of the		
21	Nonunanimous Stipulation & Agreement		
22	Case ER-2005-0436	*	
23	EXHIBIT NO. 12		
24	Certified Copy of Transcript,		
25	February 9th, 2006 in ER-2005-0436	*	

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

		Page 299	
1	EXHIBIT NO. 13		
	Data Request No. 0029	220	222
2	EXHIBIT NO. 14		
	Data Request No. 0029 in Case No.		
3	EO-2010-0167	222	223
	EXHIBIT NO. 15		
4	Data Request No. 0030 in Case No.		
	EO-2009-0115	223	226
5	EXHIBIT NO. 16		
	4 CSR 240-40.018	226	253
6	*Late-filed		
	STAFF'S EXHIBITS INDEX		
7	Exhibit No. 1NP/1HC		
	Direct/Rebuttal Testimony of Dana E.		
8	Eaves	24	261
	EXHIBIT NO. 2NP/2HC		
9	Rebuttal Testimony of Lena M. Mantle	24	189
	EXHIBIT NO. 3		
10	Rebuttal Testimony of Charles R.		
11	Hyneman	24	166
12	EXHIBIT NO. 4		
13	Schedule TMR-3 - KCP&L GMO/Aquila		
14	Time-line Information Hedging & FAC	93	97
15	EXHIBIT NO. 5		
16	Schedule WEB-5	94	97
17	EXHIBIT NO. 6		
18	Schedule WEB-12 - NYMEX Natural Gas		
19	Contract Settlement Price History	100	111
20	EXHIBIT NO. 7		
21	Schedule WEB-12 - NYMEX Natural Gas		
22	Contract Settlement Price History		
23	(With writing on it)	101	111
24	EXHIBIT NO. 8		
25	Data Request No. 0058	108	111

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

Page 300

1 EXHIBIT NO. 9HC

2 GMO's Annual Fuel and Purchased Power

3 Cost Summary, Case No. ER-2012-0175 111 111

4 EXHIBIT NO. 10

5 Staff Report 262

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF MISSOURI)

) ss.

COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified
Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest Litigation
Services, do hereby certify that I was personally present
at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the
time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof;
that I then and there took down in Stenotype the
proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true
and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at
such time and place.

Given at my office in the City of
Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.

Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

A	248:25 249:1	79:14,14,21,21	66:3 79:13	131:10
AAO 171:25	254:4,22 255:3	79:22 146:4,5	82:24 145:25	actual 51:19
177:24 185:20	255:18,21	146:9,9,21,21	146:15,23	58:8 76:7
185:22	256:18 257:8	146:25 147:5	167:9	123:10 134:14
able 26:1 39:17	257:25 259:12	148:7 157:11	accumulate	226:13
49:21 87:15	267:5 269:15	157:14,16,18	114:14	actually 47:7
132:16 196:19	270:7,7,8	158:2,17 160:3	accumulation	50:13 55:3,5
275:10,13	271:10,16	167:21,21	161:22,25	61:10 65:16,23
279:9 282:2	278:5,23	168:2 169:19	162:3 211:24	69:5 103:11
291:14 293:2	279:16 283:19	169:21 170:10	231:22	106:12 118:5
about 28:4 30:5	284:11 286:24	177:15 179:9,9	accuracy 111:16	145:9 148:19
33:12 36:19	287:4,10,17,22	179:25 180:7	accurate 89:3	148:22 152:14
38:7,16 39:23	289:18 290:9	186:5 215:22	92:15 93:23	175:21 177:14
41:5 45:2	291:4,11	218:2 278:2	95:10 150:16	179:11 216:1
54:23 55:1,5	293:17	accountant	160:14	217:22 218:4
59:14 60:15	above 51:25	158:3	achieve 240:16	219:15 259:16
62:19 63:6	139:4 168:21	accountants	acknowledged	259:19 275:24
67:19 70:9,16	180:22	55:13	37:1	276:22
70:23 75:6	above-entitled	accounted 63:15	acquire 204:6	add 78:2 199:9
77:24 78:9,22	301:8	63:20 65:13	acquired 29:16	238:13
79:9 80:18	absent 207:22	68:21	70:14 164:5	added 25:15
81:22 83:7,11	Absolutely	accounting	acquiring 259:3	109:22
85:25 86:15,20	157:21 170:8	32:15 33:22,23	across 42:19	addition 72:11
86:21 87:8	absorb 236:7	33:23,25 35:2	62:2 116:9	104:1 109:10
99:11 100:22	ACA 246:9,14	35:24 36:1	ACS 181:22	180:25
103:3 106:20	academics 45:2	55:10,21,22	182:10,18	additional 26:1
110:14 118:20	accepted 31:17	57:18 58:11	183:3,21	26:2 53:21
122:5 123:15	34:12 39:2	59:20 63:6	act 40:1 268:11	169:7 199:9
125:19 126:13	42:15 73:6	65:2 66:13,17	acted 32:3,24	206:21
127:7 129:13	74:9 181:3,6	68:15,16,17	75:24	additions 102:5
129:14 130:17	accepting 71:4	77:9 134:20	action 32:11	address 25:3
132:9 133:13	accepts 71:1	145:19,22	131:11	32:15 33:8,24
137:24 149:11	access 73:3	146:7,11,15	actions 31:16	34:1 37:14
151:19 156:15	accessible 70:3	151:11,12	38:4 47:5	38:5 88:10,12
157:3 179:23	accommodate	155:9 156:16	105:15 197:4	91:3,6 149:25
181:1 187:11	294:16	157:20 161:14	277:10	159:15 280:7
193:22 196:21	according 44:7	167:3,5,9,13	active 283:24	addressed 29:5
197:1 203:17	45:17 53:3	167:14,16	actively 188:20	29:13 30:7,23
209:11 212:4	57:10 60:9	168:4,13 169:4	activities 37:25	37:16 44:13
218:1,15	199:10	169:11,25	86:19 108:25	86:22 176:3
222:20 224:8	accords 75:21	170:13 174:6	227:21 258:6	196:23
226:6,19,22	account 36:12	174:17 178:13	265:13 266:13	addresses
235:11,13,14	36:13 51:16	179:23 181:3,3	266:18 287:13	181:14
235:16,17	63:16,20,21,21	181:5,6,9,13	288:11 289:2,4	addressing
238:14,15	63:22,24 64:1	181:19 182:23	289:13,23	31:18 37:21
241:25 244:20	65:5,5,9,14,22	182:25 183:22	291:20	38:15
246:7,19	65:24 66:1,24	283:15	activity 257:4	Aden 89:14
247:11 248:5	67:1,9 75:1,7	accounts 65:11	acts 84:11	adequate 79:4

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

81:10 227:23 228:3,17 229:1 adjust 124:4,5 174:20 175:15 adjusting 113:8 adjustment 22:13 24:9 25:25 26:5 28:1 34:4,7 36:6 38:6 39:5 42:7 48:12 63:25 64:7,13 66:6,21 70:11 71:15 72:19,23 72:25 73:3,7,9 74:5,9 75:2 77:13 85:14,16 119:7 124:6 133:3 161:20 193:5,12 205:16 207:11 212:13 219:19 227:1 231:24 263:16 264:11 adjustments 26:4 227:1 administrative 64:16,20 admissibility 186:10 admissible 185:16,24 186:9 admission 87:20 89:7 92:19,22 110:21,23 111:18,21 139:11,14 141:20,23 150:20,23 160:18,21 165:20,23 189:19 221:23 222:2 223:14 223:17 225:21 225:23 253:11 253:13 261:18 admissions	89:11 186:5 admitted 54:5 87:18,22 89:14 92:24 97:9 111:1,24 139:17 142:1 151:1 160:24 166:1 189:22 222:5 223:20 226:1 253:14 253:20 261:21 adopt 39:11 202:23 adopted 30:6 120:21 adopts 49:17 212:23 advance 131:25 advantage 84:12 84:23 103:9,14 128:7 293:6 advantages 83:25 adverse 236:5 adversely 49:16 advise 26:18 advocated 242:25 affairs 74:13,22 75:13 77:5 affect 121:2,14 127:18 131:10 affected 49:16 affects 131:10 afforded 86:1 95:24 146:13 after 29:23 60:14 67:13 73:1 75:8 84:14,19 113:3 113:4 120:22 156:8 169:16 177:6 182:11 208:16,20 209:10 231:9 248:19 249:11 264:22 282:14 282:15,19	afternoon 190:10,11 260:14 Ag 23:13 25:6 75:15 76:4 98:20 153:2 again 78:17 85:5 93:11 113:12 113:18 124:4 125:9,14,15 126:1 131:20 136:4 171:21 171:24 176:8 180:11 182:8 182:12 197:6 198:25 203:10 213:18 218:23 224:25 225:1 228:23 229:21 235:19 236:22 251:5 253:23 265:9 270:24 272:1 273:19 291:4,5 against 47:20 48:15 49:12 51:23 71:11 113:14 230:24 230:25 231:2 242:20 275:20 agencies 25:12 68:6 agency 234:15 agenda 86:6 294:4,6 agenda's 294:11 aggressive 195:14 ago 43:16 70:17 75:2 76:4 85:25 196:25 agree 41:15 72:14 95:21 101:19 103:1 110:16 144:11 145:22 147:3,7 168:9 169:1 180:9,17 195:4	197:12,15 204:23 209:8 212:22 227:13 228:13 234:21 235:6,20,24 238:21 240:9 240:13,15,21 240:23 241:6 256:4,11 259:22 269:1 275:23 279:10 289:25 agreed 30:21 65:2 66:8 82:17 83:3 169:25 175:7 257:11 259:10 agreement 36:9 38:8 64:12,25 65:8 82:17 83:4 95:25 145:2,15 146:16 155:16 155:21,24 157:4 167:2,6 168:9 170:18 172:2 176:10 177:12 181:1 182:25 185:14 209:5 214:2 217:24 233:19 234:1 264:17 264:21 273:17 298:21 agreements 41:25 53:22 68:18 199:19 205:25 212:2 215:24 234:15 238:16 Agreement's 145:7 agrees 59:5 83:1 146:12,14 204:2 ahead 27:11 87:7 115:18 126:22 138:7	140:15,16 147:13 149:10 153:1 173:4 187:15,15 293:10,24 294:13,21 AIC 109:19 aid 67:16 214:11 allegation 29:7 29:12 allegations 31:5 31:19 allege 163:16 291:20 alleged 32:11 37:8 167:12 207:24 allocate 223:6 224:23 225:5 allocated 223:11 225:15 allow 27:13 54:17 137:13 170:6 175:17 allowance 291:25 allowed 30:4 168:18 186:23 194:2,21 230:22 allowing 277:6 allows 67:15 167:8 210:19 210:23 all-inclusive 176:3 almost 44:18 59:11,15 116:11 119:24 245:6 284:2 alone 226:8 along 38:9 42:6 48:11 49:8 57:18 already 63:11 71:14 72:20 75:4,5,6 91:21 253:15 295:3
--	---	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

alternative 41:17 54:9,10 199:18,25 200:2 211:4	64:4 67:13 68:3 84:4 116:23 119:8 135:7 190:20 190:24 249:3,6 269:18 272:10 277:9 287:6	183:7 250:10 280:13 answers 89:1 92:12 139:7 141:17 150:13 160:12 165:14 165:16 173:24 189:8,11 252:22 261:9 291:25	154:9 appearances 23:1 25:14 appeared 84:24 appears 87:5 98:18 185:21 applicable 183:11 250:12 application 57:11 applied 197:3 applies 229:17 apply 28:5 182:9 182:22 186:22 197:9 229:18 applying 134:20 appreciate 69:8 89:24 140:9 162:23 252:21 252:22 266:1 apprised 215:2 approach 42:10 48:16 51:12 94:22 98:12 109:11 145:4 154:22 214:7	Aquila 29:14,16 30:3 33:14,17 33:20 36:4,24 66:7,8 70:13 87:16 130:23 142:9,13,15 144:3,12,16,18 144:21 146:2 146:12,14,19 147:8,16,18 164:5 166:17 167:4 168:9 169:1,25 172:11 175:17 176:5,13,19,20 177:7 178:13 180:14 184:25 192:2 201:22 204:6 215:13 216:14 217:8 225:5 290:9,22 291:11 Aquila's 29:19 38:3 64:10,25 166:21 182:23 225:4 290:4,10 290:25 area 28:8,8 76:10 178:10 210:20 227:5 areas 77:4 86:11 86:20 114:25 162:8 argues 68:19 arguing 36:14 52:10 54:12 67:6 argument 62:1 177:16 Aries 132:24 206:23 207:5 arising 26:5 Arizona 46:5 around 33:15 34:3,4,16 39:18 40:17 46:16 55:24 168:4 226:23
alternatives 42:1 57:20 142:10,19,21 142:23 143:21 144:5	Andy 143:24 and/or 234:18 animus 86:6 Annual 300:2 annually 82:8 another 40:3 41:17 80:1 82:4 94:21 100:6 101:2 105:20,21,22 122:20,21 123:14,23 127:23 177:15 200:16 204:11 211:15,22 219:3 222:8 223:23 226:14 249:22 268:12 269:14 272:2 290:23 292:2	anticipate 140:12 anticipating 149:5 anybody 220:4 234:4 anymore 124:25 anyone 206:1 anyone's 25:12 anything 59:18 127:10,19 134:22 169:10 208:3 218:15 238:20 255:17 271:14 284:2 286:6 anyway 29:18 63:25 188:23 292:17 anywhere 98:25 198:11 213:5 apart 201:8 apartment 287:2 apologize 109:14 155:25 174:5 apparently 53:12,25 62:13 136:4 167:7 appear 96:3 221:1,4,13 222:13,18,24 224:3,9,17 appearance 24:13,22 25:8 25:10 26:8 152:2,16 153:2	approaches 43:10 appropriate 62:10 201:9,9 201:10 237:1 241:1 244:14 251:5,6 appropriately 74:20,21 201:7 appropriateness 66:13 approval 271:8 approve 25:24 approved 22:13 24:9 36:10 70:15 73:1 193:15 234:1 approximate 41:2 56:8 approximately 162:4 263:24 April 100:19	
although 40:5 268:20 altogether 125:3 always 70:5 74:3 74:3 81:17 105:5 121:11 148:12,24,25 156:24 208:8 231:19 Ameren 34:15 42:18 46:8 57:1 76:15 179:20 192:3 205:22 288:14 288:15,19,22 288:25 among 219:11 amongst 167:7 amount 39:4 47:4,9 50:4,9 72:6 79:10,11 80:21 83:2 84:5 86:9 119:24 170:11 175:7 208:20 209:16 218:20 248:9,11,14,17 259:19 263:13 264:11 292:13 293:4 amounts 70:21 76:7 78:9 132:17 analysis 35:12 35:22 52:21 56:22 57:11 58:16,23 60:1 60:10,13,14 61:16,19,23	answered 183:6			

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

246:12 284:20 285:11 294:6 arrangement 146:5 arrangements 292:9 array 234:10 art 55:9 article 60:3 281:15 articles 45:4 280:7,15 281:11,18 282:7,9,11 286:11 ASC 181:12 182:13 aside 238:16 271:6 asked 25:16 53:16 73:6 122:5,6 125:18 143:17 148:5 154:13 156:14 157:3 165:13 171:1 173:15 177:25 179:22 181:1 183:5 189:7 227:2 232:3,17 242:9 249:5 250:8,10 250:11 254:3 255:3 261:8 280:14 286:3 290:8,21 294:20 asking 31:21 115:22 177:3 180:16 197:17 201:4 211:20 225:14 229:16 238:7 239:8 244:20 248:5 250:14 273:6 274:12 275:14 276:17 assert 209:25 210:3	assertion 210:6 asset 146:8 155:11 assistance 171:21 assistant 33:21 151:7,10,16 associated 28:10 28:16 30:17,24 32:19 33:4 34:13 37:3 42:16,24 57:3 63:3,18 65:14 65:17 66:2,11 66:23 67:17,22 162:5 168:12 169:3 193:16 193:24 198:16 200:14 202:17 203:5,7 205:8 212:25 213:9 215:14 243:22 247:2,6 263:8 264:14 272:19 273:8 289:4,14 association 25:6 58:23 59:7 153:16 assume 50:1 127:1 203:3 272:15 273:19 291:5 assuming 50:9 101:15 130:9 152:16 153:1 153:18 180:22 201:23 220:18 assumption 291:15 Atmos 30:16 attach 36:21 60:10 280:19 282:24 attached 44:23 58:4 60:19 95:6,8 97:5 100:11,13 145:7 261:25	267:14 280:24 attempt 48:3 201:22,23 286:8 attempted 198:7 198:15 201:13 201:19 attempting 37:13 200:14 attend 194:12 256:22 attended 34:21 43:24 44:1,2,3 44:5,23 194:9 211:11 279:6 284:16 attention 53:5 80:13 86:23 145:17 154:25 155:6 172:23 218:5 231:7 233:3 251:21 256:13 257:25 attorney 23:2,10 191:19 245:11 attorneys 197:15 attributable 176:19,20,23 audience's 70:7 audit 56:5 58:6 61:8,9,9,10 178:9 194:15 194:16 195:11 195:23 196:1 206:10 218:6 220:8 222:19 224:10 audited 179:20 auditor 165:4 166:20 176:17 176:20,24,24 177:5,9 260:19 274:22 auditors 36:21 37:1 66:15 166:16 172:12 176:12 178:9	209:7,15 291:18 audits 38:11 August 47:21,24 47:25 50:6,7 50:13 58:19 61:2 84:15 112:21 113:12 113:14,15,16 113:21,23,24 114:4 116:11 117:24 120:17 219:14 authority 145:19 167:3,5 167:13,16 169:11 174:6 181:5,19 182:25 authorizing 25:24 automatic 25:13 153:14 available 27:5 42:22 199:21 237:12 238:5,9 282:23 294:7 average 102:13 116:24 117:24 119:22 126:4 127:5 132:5,6 132:8,9 averaging 43:9 130:18 avoid 71:1 await 86:14 aware 35:13 36:19 37:1,6 39:14 66:15 83:9,13,15 147:17 172:14 175:5 176:4 199:22 209:4 210:15,16 212:20 215:5,9 215:20,25,25 216:3,13,17 217:8,14,19,19	218:18,19 220:5,8,13,17 223:10 225:17 233:17 away 43:8 80:21 127:12 273:19 axis 194:20 a.m 24:1 <hr/> B B 234:8 back 27:20 31:8 33:13 36:18 51:14 69:23 75:1,7 85:1,1 85:16 87:8,13 117:6 119:12 128:13 134:3 149:11,15 153:17,19,22 158:7 190:6 194:1 202:4 206:23 213:15 215:8 217:23 223:3 224:20 226:23 239:12 264:21 271:1 279:25 281:7 283:21 285:18 288:17 290:20 290:23 291:10 291:17 292:8 292:17,22 294:1 backed 244:8 background 168:14 191:25 192:4 backwards 74:4 bad 129:22 135:15 136:22 170:12 201:2,4 281:13 balance 196:7 balancing 196:10 ball 249:19 251:12 ballpark 293:1
--	---	--	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

bank 75:1,7	60:14 61:9	162:2,7,19,20	290:8,10	32:22 37:25
banks 123:21	69:15 70:13	162:23 164:15	294:10	45:18 56:6,12
Barnes 191:7	73:22 83:8	166:15 167:11	believed 164:1	56:25 68:3
220:12,16	86:3,25 93:20	167:23 171:14	believes 35:19	73:18 78:2
225:8,11,14,16	95:4 111:10	173:1 176:1	38:19,21,24	80:10 84:2
254:7,19,21	113:25 118:8	178:11 181:11	64:3 77:4,5	85:24 100:15
base 146:12	121:22 186:7	182:12 183:15	81:5 86:8	102:3 106:9
185:17 275:13	187:12 211:24	184:11,13,23	197:2 208:10	114:17 134:22
based 28:14 32:3	212:21 213:2,3	185:20 189:2	247:18 275:14	144:15 157:14
35:2,21 39:6	214:5 259:2	190:17 191:1,9	276:14	162:16 167:9
48:16 55:17	265:4 292:1	191:19 193:13	below 94:5	169:12 174:18
59:20 61:20	began 24:1	194:5,9 196:4	155:12 168:20	175:19 215:23
67:12 77:11	29:25 33:18	196:24 197:14	169:19 180:15	232:7 237:23
84:4 102:21	120:18	198:5,14,18,23	Bench 27:20	248:15 264:7
104:11 105:9	begin 24:13 87:9	198:24,25	69:23 89:20	277:10
115:11 129:4	190:16	199:4,8,12	112:5 115:8,11	biased 195:10
129:13 132:8	beginning 38:1	201:10,12,18	139:24 147:25	big 72:15 123:9
157:19 158:13	174:2 207:15	201:21 202:7	156:10,11	218:7 277:25
163:4 175:15	231:21 274:23	202:11 203:5	163:5 178:21	bilateral 123:14
179:18 196:20	begins 109:5	203:18 205:19	179:18 252:24	124:2,6
197:22 205:16	behalf 25:1	206:8,19 207:8	253:4	bill 25:23 28:7
206:5 208:18	69:22 227:6	207:14 208:13	Bender 191:7	72:20
215:12 250:24	behavior 86:17	211:14 213:11	254:7,14	billion 76:17
251:2 253:4	being 32:5 39:12	214:13 217:24	benefit 38:18	bills 71:15 73:20
271:1 273:18	50:21 62:12	218:16,19	124:21,22,23	128:16 239:21
273:22,25	82:15 103:5	220:3,4 226:23	125:3,5,10,11	binding 85:18
274:1,9,11	131:13 143:8	227:3,5,6	125:12 177:12	85:18,19
295:3	155:22 173:15	228:16,25	243:4,12,15,17	bit 31:18 46:16
basically 56:14	176:21 192:15	229:7 231:16	251:24 252:3	80:21 94:4
106:2 112:15	198:3 203:14	231:19 237:4	279:17	112:10 127:9
113:3 114:5	217:20 218:4	238:7 239:24	benefits 238:23	185:12 187:13
123:12 194:18	230:15 291:13	240:3 242:24	239:1 241:3	196:22 230:21
200:2 207:14	belief 89:4 92:16	243:19,23	243:2	285:18 286:5
228:25 246:10	150:17 160:15	244:13,21	besides 276:8	293:12,13
278:15 285:1	165:17 167:12	246:9 248:9	best 70:3 89:4	bite 105:18,20
basis 32:18	189:13 261:12	249:5 251:6	92:15 96:8	105:21 123:25
33:23 41:16	believe 25:12	252:25 257:2	128:25 150:17	127:23,23
50:5 62:15	31:12 32:12	257:14,19,23	160:14 165:17	Blackberries
104:22 146:7	35:12,20 37:16	258:21 259:9	189:12 249:18	26:19
146:14 202:8	39:8 53:22,25	259:25 263:11	261:12 273:10	blends 245:11
206:25 285:15	58:5 61:5 67:2	264:12 265:23	274:5,7	blind 38:14
285:15,16,16	82:8 84:15,22	267:21 268:15	better 30:3	blue 61:4
bears 75:19	85:10 91:14	272:18,22	124:12 213:19	Blunk 26:23
124:20	119:17 120:24	273:7 276:3,4	226:8 240:19	33:2 44:2,22
became 37:6	126:11 138:18	276:4,23 279:5	243:5 245:15	46:10 55:3
188:15 191:24	148:7,14	281:4 283:14	250:15 252:11	56:3 62:24
before 37:10,18	154:17 157:20	286:19,23	279:20	63:1 66:13
56:24 59:8	158:18 161:13	288:15 289:15	between 32:10	77:21 78:17,20

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

90:20 91:1,5 91:10 93:11,19 95:3 98:4,15 100:6 101:7 108:8 112:3,7 120:13 126:9 126:22 128:9 137:23 212:3 248:24 249:19 251:11 296:4 298:3,4 Blunk's 42:19 46:3 49:21 58:5 68:24 95:1 219:9 264:12 board 55:22 201:8 280:4 boards 274:7 Bob 191:21 242:8 bold 109:4 book 36:11 67:1 177:14 booked 66:24 79:20 148:6,15 148:17 175:21 175:25 books 174:20 175:15 281:13 281:24 borrowing 263:14 both 25:6,13 30:10 42:1 43:3 52:19 55:14 65:3 66:3 79:13 86:13,16 103:2 103:18 105:8 119:6 145:23 162:2,4 221:18 222:25 224:17 267:18 268:2 278:16 284:20 bottom 110:1 176:7 208:2 213:18 265:7	bought 42:4 116:13,13 117:12 118:1,3 131:1 148:25 237:24 bound 134:24 186:16 boundaries 242:17 bounds 266:25 Box 23:6,15,20 24:17 25:3 Boy 220:2 break 87:10,14 138:1 149:10 149:12 187:12 187:16,17 200:18 201:8 253:9 293:3,12 293:15,16,18 294:6 Bresette 26:24 33:21 57:19 66:12 149:6,19 149:23 150:2,4 151:7 152:22 154:14 156:14 158:1 182:6 296:9 298:6 Bresette's 182:11,21 183:23 briefed 187:4 briefly 34:8 93:21,22 148:3 191:11 264:8 292:3 bring 85:23 152:6 168:18 194:23 247:24 248:2 257:24 286:8 bringing 257:21 brings 116:16 256:12 broad 234:10 Broadway 23:11 153:6	broken 290:16 290:16 broker 124:8 brought 83:13 152:12 168:21 182:6 213:2 218:5 231:7 251:21 Btu 47:3,9 buck 287:15 bucket 67:5 114:5,5,9,14 117:14,14 136:14,15 budgeting 151:12 build 41:24 81:7 132:10,14 144:13,16,23 211:23 212:5 271:2,4,5 building 81:10 144:3,21 211:5 238:14 259:3 270:7 271:12 271:16 273:13 built 53:20 132:19,23 144:12 202:4 206:24 211:21 bullet 214:14,17 214:22 218:14 241:9 242:14 bullets 128:24 burden 31:5,8 32:12 75:19,25 burn 51:5,6,9,16 53:9 80:22 167:18 252:14 252:17 burned 51:24 burning 80:25 burnt 162:5 business 84:4,4 88:10 91:6 113:25 282:10 buy 41:16 46:22 46:22,25 47:5	47:10,21 50:8 51:8 81:7 112:20 113:19 114:2,21 115:1 118:14,25 127:14,22,23 127:23 131:5 148:22 167:18 174:17,19 175:7 178:24 179:3 199:15 200:2,5 207:5 252:14,17 buyer 124:7 137:10 buying 47:7,11 47:13,16,17 50:4 67:17 70:25 115:4 118:14 119:10 174:22 207:18 213:21 B1 117:21 <hr/> <p align="center">C</p> <hr/> C 118:9 301:1,1 calculations 246:11 California 68:10 88:14 call 28:11 32:10 58:22 81:12 88:1,3 90:18 90:19 117:21 138:7 140:16 149:17,18 152:5 159:4 164:16 170:23 174:22 187:9 234:14 238:22 246:19 260:8 Callaway 30:18 76:14,25 called 29:14,15 29:16,20 33:8 36:17 55:15,16 68:9 128:15 172:25 174:17 175:17	calls 159:6 164:18 187:10 258:20 260:9 272:5,9 call-in 152:15 came 72:23 74:25 120:25 129:25 130:1 184:12 226:9 227:7 233:3,19 258:15 271:1 candid 252:22 candidly 36:25 cap 82:5 capabilities 133:19 capacity 42:22 71:24 72:1,2,3 72:4,12 77:7 77:16 78:2,4,5 78:9,11,11,12 80:18 81:7,10 132:17 133:24 144:21 259:4 capital 133:5,5 caps 127:14 caption 301:9 captioned 24:7 care 79:17 130:10,14,15 272:25 292:9 carefully 240:6 carry 137:14 carrying 145:25 Cary 172:14 case 25:23 26:6 26:6 27:25 28:5 29:21 30:9,16,17,24 31:12 32:1,16 34:10,20 35:12 35:21 36:4,14 37:7 38:13 39:7,13 41:9 41:18 44:8 45:21 46:2,15 49:17 51:12 52:22 53:2
--	--	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

54:14 56:24 59:8 60:20 62:12 63:9,12 63:13 64:10,10 64:18 65:1,1 66:7,12,18,18 68:2 70:9,10 70:23 71:10 72:16,17 73:12 73:12,22 74:16 75:10,15,16,17 76:3,10,12,18 76:21 77:3,19 79:3 80:2 81:21 82:23 84:18 85:22,22 86:7 87:16,16 92:4 98:9,19 101:22 107:24 122:2,13,17 135:11 138:19 143:2 145:2 149:1 150:5 153:13,18,22 155:20 158:5 159:20 166:17 166:21,24 167:1,6 168:23 170:20 172:4 172:10,11,13 172:15,18 174:7 177:6,6 182:7,9,19,22 183:4,22 184:14 185:1 185:22 186:6 186:19 190:14 191:3,11,23,23 192:14 193:20 193:25 195:5 195:18 197:5,9 198:6,15 199:1 199:13 202:9 202:24 203:12 203:21 205:9 205:14 206:4 207:5 208:5,11 208:21 209:5,6	209:7,8,24 210:2 212:23 214:2,3 215:11 215:16 216:3 216:10,14 217:7,9 220:13 221:2 222:14 222:16,20 223:15 224:4,7 224:11,12 230:22 231:17 233:19,21 234:3 235:17 247:10 248:8 249:21 250:21 251:2,15 254:11 255:15 256:12,15 257:15,19 259:8,9 262:25 263:23 264:1 264:22,24 265:25 269:24 272:7 273:22 276:15 280:17 282:23 286:20 287:9,10,14,17 288:15 289:10 289:16 291:9 298:18,22 299:2,4 300:3 cases 26:5 30:15 30:16,17 33:20 36:9,24 37:5 38:5,6 68:11 75:18 148:24 161:18 163:19 163:23,25 164:4 177:7 191:16 196:8 220:9 246:6,10 246:10,17 256:2 287:16 287:18 288:6 288:10,14,20 288:23 289:1 290:4,9,11,22 290:25 291:6	291:19 cash 114:7 116:18,21 117:16 130:1 136:16 179:7 catastrophic 80:24 catch 71:6 caught 53:5 causal 32:10 cause 121:11,11 138:17 141:4 165:6 195:7 196:3,4 242:17 255:18 301:8 caused 88:15 91:10 150:4 159:19 188:7 248:14,17 260:21 276:5 causes 194:25 195:1 caution 74:14 cautious 74:20 77:6 CCR 23:24 301:20 cell 94:5 117:21 cells 26:19 center 23:11 64:22 171:8,19 certain 57:18 91:11 150:5 159:20 175:7,7 175:9 264:22 certainly 49:1 54:18,25 81:17 83:8 152:25 154:6 185:13 186:8 187:14 269:8 292:16 certainty 240:12 certified 64:21 87:15 170:19 171:8 184:2 298:24 301:5 certify 301:7 cetera 26:19	challenger 75:22 chance 240:5 change 38:10 52:14,15 57:22 57:23 58:7,8 62:16 73:19,20 80:20 86:18 106:8 113:5 122:23 124:8 133:14 146:10 160:2 188:23 214:23 226:5 255:18 258:10 261:4 changed 73:1 153:25 214:24 242:3,4 264:9 changes 52:17 56:16,17 63:4 73:14 84:8,9 88:21 91:21 92:9 113:9 150:9 155:7 159:25 160:8 165:10 181:15 188:10,14 215:2 260:24 261:5 changing 73:10 Chapter 188:21 charge 79:2 143:23 147:19 147:20 148:23 168:1 170:11 179:8,9 charged 167:21 Charles 27:2 164:18,24 165:2 296:17 299:10 chart 61:1,3,5 102:5,6,24 120:9,13 126:24 charts 60:18,18 cheaper 212:9 cheapest 213:21 248:7	cheaply 78:7 check 158:18 279:25 292:10 Cherlyn 191:19 242:7 Chicago 280:3,4 chief 22:18 23:19 33:11 138:25 child 292:9 choice 48:3 243:25 244:1 choices 237:23 choose 53:1 122:14,19 chose 48:14,15 144:18 249:4 chosen 37:17 143:21 199:14 199:15 Chuck 34:21 36:25 circuit 289:7,8 circumspection 74:14 circumstance 211:21 circumstances 31:22 32:4 133:18 197:19 198:2,6,15 199:1,13 200:25 201:1 208:4 247:10 cited 268:15 cites 39:24 City 22:7 23:3,6 23:7,9,12,16 23:21 24:17 25:3 29:17 30:1,10 42:21 42:25 43:3 71:18 85:25 91:6,9 114:25 125:6 138:16 150:3 159:17 192:3 205:23 301:14
--	--	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

claims 68:1 clarification 157:24 158:13 clarified 154:8 clarify 54:3 164:3 171:10 241:13,18 clarifying 64:9 64:17 66:7 87:16 153:10 298:17 clarity 171:25 261:24 class 283:16,16 283:17 classified 57:16 clause 22:14 24:9 26:5 28:1 34:4,7 36:6 39:5 42:7 48:12 63:25 64:7,13 66:6 66:21 70:11 71:15 72:25 73:3,7,9 74:5,9 75:2 77:13 85:14,16 122:17 124:19 125:9,10 130:13 133:6 212:13 219:19 227:17 clauses 25:25 72:19,24 clean 28:7 clear 64:5 65:21 86:5 97:16 155:23 157:7 157:15 158:11 167:16,24 168:1 170:3 178:18 265:4 268:9 289:21 293:12 clearing 123:19 123:19 clearly 36:4 64:11 66:25	68:25 69:4 244:5 273:5 Clemens 26:24 33:17 140:12 140:17,23 141:1,9 142:7 143:1 147:7,16 148:5 296:7 298:7 close 72:1 78:19 92:7 112:24,25 113:2,5 116:16 closed 70:16 113:1,7,9 242:21 closely 55:19 60:1 61:6,11 86:11 closes 174:19 closest 281:22 closing 102:14 CME 279:23 coal 132:11 146:24 148:13 230:21 237:19 coal-fired 71:25 132:14 code 88:14 codification 181:9,13 codifications 181:4 coefficient 56:12 57:3,14 59:2,3 coefficients 35:3 56:6,25 60:23 Cole 301:4,15 collapse 84:23 collapsed 84:14 collars 234:14 collected 39:4 Colorado 147:21 column 94:1,9 95:21 96:3 117:22 118:8 221:11,17 222:23,24	224:15,16 columns 95:19 combination 105:2 162:8 combine 175:11 combined 77:24 78:21 combustion 72:2 72:3 come 31:4 56:14 81:3 87:8 111:8 116:18 116:21 118:14 127:18,20 170:15 212:20 241:25 245:22 245:25 252:12 292:17,22 294:1 comes 60:25 117:6 118:5 286:6 comfort 59:21 comfortable 59:10,16,17 coming 89:24 135:25 226:25 comment 157:14 comments 246:3 246:3 commercial 25:9,11 71:21 commission 22:2,12 23:20 23:23 24:5,14 24:17 25:14,24 27:16,22 29:2 30:14,20,25 31:1 35:19,21 36:3,4,10 37:23 38:10,19 39:15 43:23 45:15 48:20,24 49:16 52:18 55:23 59:8 60:3 63:7,11 64:4,5,11,16 64:19 66:22	67:3 69:21 70:16 71:19 73:1,7 74:19 75:11,21 76:10 76:11,16 85:19 120:23 124:25 137:6 144:8 165:5 168:22 168:24 172:3 173:6 176:13 185:13 186:7,8 188:5 192:1 195:10,13,18 195:22 196:12 197:3,3,8,25 200:12,19 202:15,19,23 208:14 212:17 212:17,23,24 213:5 227:2,8 227:19 229:12 233:24,25,25 234:3 235:6,20 235:24 236:9 241:11,18 244:5,10,18,21 244:22 245:7 245:23 250:22 251:13 258:1,5 258:9 259:2 260:19 263:12 274:25 283:3 286:4 292:23 294:5 Commissioner 22:21 27:20,23 69:14,16,23 70:1 86:25 87:2 89:20,22 112:5,6 125:22 139:24,25 147:25 156:10 163:2,3 173:16 177:22 178:22 179:16 191:10 194:14 226:21 252:24,25 293:5 296:20	commissioners 244:14,20 245:7,9,9,13 286:9 293:6,14 294:7,17 commissions 39:19 Commission's 24:9 28:3 64:8 64:22 66:6 86:14 98:16 120:20 153:13 170:22 171:8 177:23 186:15 196:12 226:6 228:13 234:21 258:13 committed 84:6 106:14,15 commodities 40:16,23 62:2 142:24 143:18 269:6,10 283:12 commodity 40:24 41:18 134:21 174:18 221:6 237:18 269:14 272:3 284:1 common 32:25 67:18 68:12 community 48:25 companies 34:15,16 42:18 43:3,14,25 44:1 46:4,5 48:23 54:24 128:18 204:12 204:25 227:3 227:20 228:18 229:2,18,19 230:1 237:4,10 237:11 238:8 239:22 243:20 244:15,23 283:8,9 284:8
---	---	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

companion 108:9	202:11,16,25 203:23 207:8,9	competent 29:10 31:7,15 32:9 39:9	85:11 265:7,12 266:9 295:8	143:17 182:18 183:3,21
company 22:15 24:10 27:19 28:7 29:14,24 30:1,4,6,11 31:12,18,23 32:13 33:3 36:11,15 37:9 37:12,21,25 38:5,12,13,14 38:19,20,23,23 38:24 39:8,16 39:20 41:9,14 42:2,5,21,22 43:1,5,6 46:6,7 46:25 47:16 48:2 49:13 50:22,24 51:7 51:10 53:17,20 53:22 54:13 55:5,6 56:20 60:7 63:2,15 64:3 65:7,9,10 65:12,13,17 66:12,19,25 67:8 68:20 70:12,13 74:6 74:8 75:11,24 76:1,13,15 77:25 81:11 83:5,12 84:21 85:6,18,24 86:1,14,16,17 91:9 97:21 106:17,24,24 120:3 123:13 124:16,22,23 125:3,6,7,11 132:19,21,23 137:2 149:18 151:20 154:2 156:25 157:17 164:1 176:25 177:6 178:25 180:10,19 181:1 192:4 197:19 198:1	207:10 208:14 209:11 211:20 215:5,7,17 216:6 217:16 230:16 231:14 232:6,12 233:12,13,16 238:17 239:6 239:14 249:23 249:24 250:15 250:19,20 251:1 252:9 256:5 257:5 269:22,23,25 270:1 275:10 276:7,22 277:1	complaint 76:3 98:21 complete 292:22 292:22 completed 38:6 191:2 240:4 completely 70:8 compliance 265:15 266:14 266:20 267:1 complicated 118:24 complied 288:3 composed 153:17 concern 29:13 29:19 62:5 80:18 82:13 86:12,20 205:17 216:24 218:22 236:17 236:23,25 concerned 47:24 76:13 79:16 103:5 236:12 concerns 30:7 37:14,16,22 38:3,5,7,15 217:17 246:19 257:25 conclude 69:6 200:11 211:7 241:17 242:10 266:17 concluded 35:4 45:21 61:20 concludes 67:21 137:21 164:16 conclusion 61:12 67:6	conclusions 34:9 condition 177:8 conditions 73:15 128:16 239:20 conduct 31:20 31:21 71:13 76:1 139:20 197:17,18 conducted 60:13 conducting 85:22 confidential 91:17 97:2,8 97:14,17,21,22 97:24 111:7 221:12 confirm 153:18 confirmed 39:14 confronted 198:1,2 confusing 46:17 113:22 200:16 confusion 152:10 connect 265:1 connection 155:19 175:13 175:19 277:10 Conrad 23:10 23:10 152:2,7 152:8,11,17,23 153:4,5,5,10 153:11,15,20 154:6,11 156:2 156:4,5 161:3 161:4 166:9 190:1,3 261:19 262:10,15,17 consequence 123:1 consequently 67:18 70:5 72:15 77:17 79:20 90:1 consider 55:23 97:22,23 127:7	203:16 211:20 234:10 235:7 235:21,25 237:5 241:11 271:7 278:22 consideration 76:6 84:13 95:23 128:12 128:22 131:7 207:22 250:20 264:24 considered 35:1 53:19 55:14 56:2,20 58:12 65:4 144:3 146:3 230:11 241:19 242:11 264:19,23 considering 31:23 197:19 251:22 consistent 31:17 129:12 131:20 134:18 137:17 155:12 175:23 176:10 178:1 180:22 217:6 266:20 consistently 204:4 constant 129:7 constituted 72:13 constitutes 79:2 construct 112:15,17 132:18 constructed 68:14 constructing 46:19 construction 76:14 consultant 141:3 270:4 consultation

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

129:7 consumer 42:11 42:11 230:10 238:23 247:25 252:11 consumers 49:8 129:22 195:19 196:10 230:6 238:10 240:17 247:17 251:3 251:24 271:14 contact 24:23 contained 56:4 58:16 88:25 92:12 139:7 141:16 150:13 160:11 containing 69:1 167:2 214:14 contending 28:20 content 258:7 contention 28:14 context 74:17 80:17 122:12 157:9 263:15 continue 86:17 127:24 132:21 137:7,13 146:6 154:13,14 176:15,22 219:2 231:13 231:21 232:5 continued 83:14 83:16 continues 123:3 202:22 continuing 149:16 continuously 116:9,12 contract 40:9 44:16 47:8,14 47:17 62:6,14 102:14 112:20 113:20,22,25 114:21 116:8	116:16,25 118:4,7 120:9 122:15,19,21 122:22 123:1 123:14,17,25 124:2,6,6 135:21 144:20 179:4 185:15 185:17 236:21 268:23 277:6 278:17 299:19 299:22 contracted 269:18 contracting 235:14 276:10 contractor 269:20 contracts 28:10 32:19 34:12 35:15 37:3 40:15,20,21,22 41:10 42:15 45:1 46:11 47:3 50:4 53:23 54:8 58:2 62:3 63:19 65:18 82:4 116:2 118:1,3,10 123:6 136:12 144:19 157:16 204:7,13 210:25 211:3 211:18 228:5 228:19 229:3 234:14,15 235:8,14,17,22 236:19 264:17 268:5 269:5 270:8 272:20 274:4 276:10 278:16 284:22 286:17 291:21 contract's 116:10 contradicts 68:5 68:15	contrary 61:12 77:13 186:6 contributed 193:8 control 133:12 133:15 135:21 175:2 238:10 controller 33:22 151:8,11,16 controlling 77:14 convenience 154:4 converge 116:19 convergence 116:21 convert 104:15 107:4 cooperation 85:24 Cooperative 57:4 copy 64:21 87:15,17 128:14 143:4 145:1,6,8 170:19 171:8 184:2,11 190:12 214:19 214:23 226:9 262:1,6,23,24 263:1,3 298:24 corn 40:22 corner 119:20 corners 185:18 185:24 corporate 23:5 151:11 correct 27:3,4 90:11 92:16 94:19,20 95:10 95:19 96:4,9 96:10,16,20,21 97:4 98:6 100:14 101:17 102:18,22 103:6,11 105:3 105:6 106:17	107:9,14 108:15,18 109:21,25 135:8 140:5 144:7,14 145:12,19,20 147:2 148:8 150:16 151:8 151:14 153:4 153:21 155:4 158:4 161:15 161:23 162:23 163:24 165:16 166:19 167:9 167:14,15 169:6,8 173:6 173:9,10,16,17 173:19,21 178:6 182:18 183:3,20 184:20 188:17 189:12 191:4,8 192:13 194:8 198:23 200:11 201:12 202:10 203:13 207:1 208:1,6,23 210:17,21 211:1,7,10 216:7,12 217:5 230:13 234:7 239:9 246:16 251:9 254:8 255:11,22 256:2,3,17,23 257:12 261:11 263:10 264:2,5 265:1,16 266:17 272:14 278:21 279:8 286:22 288:1,9 288:25 289:10 289:15 290:2 301:12 corrected 246:13 correction 95:12 141:11,14	189:7 261:1,8 corrections 88:21 91:20,23 138:21 141:9 150:9 159:25 160:8 165:10 188:10 260:24 261:6 correctly 112:12 144:6 146:17 155:13 161:21 correlated 32:23 34:25 40:6 41:1 50:19 55:8,20 56:1 57:8 58:20 61:18 268:20 272:17,23 273:1,7 277:24 278:2 correlation 32:21 35:3 55:18 56:6,11 56:25 57:2,11 57:13 59:2,3,7 59:11,14,18 60:1,10,23 68:3 80:10 135:7 272:24 284:13 correlations 45:18 61:20 corresponding 53:6 cost 41:4 43:9 53:14 58:3 69:3,3,5 71:4 72:10 73:24 76:17,19,25 78:12 79:9,17 79:18 80:7 92:3 110:11 119:9,22 121:15 128:4 130:18 133:4,5 139:3 144:21 146:3 148:18 168:12 169:4
---	---	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

170:10 194:20 204:4 205:21 206:16 207:23 221:7 230:10 236:7 237:13 237:25 239:2 240:17 247:19 247:21,25 248:3 251:17 263:16 270:6 274:2,16 275:1 275:6 276:21 277:19 279:8 300:3 costly 81:24 costs 22:11 24:8 28:14 32:6,11 33:5 34:6,13 36:1,5,12,16 37:4 38:20 39:16,21 42:6 42:8,13,17 48:11 63:10,15 63:18,24 64:1 64:6,12 65:4,5 65:9,11,13,24 66:2,5,10,20 66:23 67:1,4,9 68:14,21 69:1 69:4,6 70:19 71:14 73:10,13 75:2 76:24 77:12 78:6 79:14,15,20 83:2 85:14 86:9 109:22 145:24,25 146:4,6 148:6 148:12,13,15 167:5 168:12 169:4,12,15 170:7,7 175:25 178:5,6 180:10 180:18 194:17 194:21 195:6 197:13 198:8 205:8,13 207:4 212:25 213:9	213:13 215:14 221:18 222:25 224:17 230:11 230:17,19 234:5,24 236:13 237:7 237:11 238:22 239:7,15 240:13 241:3 243:20,22 244:15 247:2,6 247:17 251:25 276:1 cost-effective 234:18 236:1 Council 68:8 counsel 23:5,14 23:15,17,19,19 24:25 25:2,7 25:19 29:4 108:1 147:12 148:5 151:25 156:14 157:3 183:15 187:4 counselor 185:11 Counsel's 25:25 count 97:12 counter 123:14 123:17 country 34:16 39:19 42:20 County 301:4,15 couple 55:11 91:23 95:15 112:8 138:23 166:13 237:15 253:12,16 281:23 288:13 293:20 course 42:10 44:9 60:6 71:17 74:3 186:23 282:10 285:21 courses 283:11 285:24 court 72:22	87:17 143:9 289:8,8 courtesy 86:1,4 courts 30:21,21 30:23 court's 31:1 cover 119:10 121:1 161:19 292:13 create 40:7 47:5 49:10 268:21 creates 104:16 creating 126:4 credentials 188:13,22 credit 123:13,15 123:22 Creek 30:18 criteria 155:10 critical 62:25 criticism 62:9 criticisms 38:3 39:6 246:18 criticized 29:23 82:15 cross 28:9 29:9 31:14 32:17 33:6,9,13,18 34:10,14,18,22 35:4,8,13,18 36:17,18,22 37:7,10,17 38:7,21 39:16 39:20,23,25 40:5,8,14,18 41:10,18 42:14 44:19,20,25 45:4,12 46:8 46:17 53:17,18 54:17,23 56:2 58:9,11 59:10 61:15,21 62:22 63:7,19 65:14 66:2,11,24 67:19 77:10 79:23,24 83:9 92:20 93:6 97:6 107:11,18	122:14,19 124:25 127:11 138:4 141:21 148:25 149:6 150:21 160:19 162:1,5 163:16 164:2 187:12 192:16,17,19 193:16 198:19 199:1 200:20 201:1,2 202:2 202:16 203:1,6 203:11 208:8 208:10 209:20 212:25 217:15 218:18 220:17 226:10 231:2 234:2,4 247:11 247:20,21,22 248:9 251:3,5 251:7,10 254:22 256:19 257:4,17 268:9 268:10,11,19 268:22 269:5 269:13 270:8 271:21,22 272:2,18 273:8 274:17 275:1 275:16 277:5 277:11 279:12 280:8,17 283:12,21,25 284:2,12 286:5 286:16,24 287:4 291:11 291:20 292:3 292:25 293:4 293:13 crossed 212:8 cross-examina... 89:9,17,25 93:4,10 101:16 139:12,21 140:13 142:4,6 151:5,6 154:14 154:15 156:3 161:2,3,6	165:21 166:5 189:17,25 190:7,9 250:9 261:16 262:16 262:19,20 296:5,8,10,24 297:3 crude 40:8,15 268:23 269:5,9 crux 68:1 crystal 64:5 249:19 251:12 CSR 23:24 299:5 301:20 current 78:6 97:23 203:21 210:6 222:20 240:18 246:1 currently 48:1 155:9 190:19 249:14 270:9 customer 124:19 124:21 125:5 125:11 128:16 218:24 237:19 237:20,20 239:20 243:3 customers 38:18 41:6 42:7,24 47:1,22 48:4 48:14,18 49:12 52:1 67:20,25 69:2 71:16,16 71:20,20,21,22 72:5,7,14 73:11,20,24 74:1,25 75:4,4 75:7 124:17,19 179:14 194:22 195:2 199:6 205:2 206:12 206:17 207:23 218:10 219:16 227:23 228:3 236:5 243:5,8 243:16,16 247:20 248:3 252:2
--	---	---	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

cut 156:5 174:5 201:8 293:2,9 293:13 cutting 274:7 cycles 84:4,5 C.K 26:22 32:16 45:3 88:3,8,12 88:15 203:24 296:3 298:13 298:15	day 44:5 105:19 112:22,23 116:9 135:25 256:5 294:5 days 113:25 214:23 246:12 day-to-day 128:3 deal 76:8 80:12 104:2 116:1 123:24 207:12 dealing 116:5 124:14 176:19 284:17 dealt 44:25 280:17 285:25 December 56:22 99:11,12 decide 250:21 258:5 decided 65:20 106:13 120:4 143:20 218:8 231:13 232:5 236:13 decides 251:2 deciding 56:9 143:23 decimal 208:17 decision 32:5,7 39:15 54:3 60:4 76:16 86:14 133:10 143:19 144:9 144:25 198:3 212:3,17 213:5 231:20 233:24 241:25 242:6 244:4,17 245:13 247:11 250:3 251:18 256:12 258:13 259:23 275:1 275:13,15,19 276:15,16,18 276:23,24,25 277:5,16,25 decisions 30:5	31:1 74:16,19 131:17 202:21 250:23,24 256:5,7,9 259:25 270:18 271:10 275:10 277:2 decision-maker 133:17 decision-make... 56:9 143:25 decision-maki... 143:20 270:25 declare 39:2 53:14 declared 43:19 97:20 decline 99:16 102:17 103:1,2 105:17 declining 69:5 decrease 84:16 deemed 57:25 DEE-1-15 265:23 266:6 DEE-2-15 265:21 defensive 83:24 define 268:9,11 defines 105:12 definite 175:21 245:8 definitely 121:16,16 definition 39:25 40:13 degree 80:10 287:3,3 deliver 237:19 delivered 113:24 114:24 228:7 228:22 229:6 237:24 240:16 delivers 237:18 delivery 113:23 115:2 demand 270:10 demands 47:22	demonstrate 32:3 55:6 68:23 demonstrated 273:5 demonstrating 33:7 deny 68:13 department 144:1 188:16 188:17 190:20 190:24 246:22 246:23 department's 188:14 depend 241:1 dependent 80:10 depending 131:9 205:3 depends 106:4 depicted 111:16 deposition 45:17 54:2 59:12 60:10 143:1,4 143:8,8,14,17 155:22 157:10 180:12,22 190:13 192:10 193:5,11 196:20,22 202:7 217:3 218:16 231:6 232:1 262:24 263:1 279:12 279:22 280:11 280:13 281:4 284:6 285:23 286:2 287:17 290:8,20 depositions 39:13 53:4,16 85:25 86:2 depth 279:15 DEPUTY 22:18 derivative 33:22 47:14 49:6,8 49:18 51:3,15 52:20 53:13	57:23 112:13 135:13 136:10 151:13 155:3,8 156:16 158:7 178:11 derivatives 57:13 181:10 181:22 200:10 describe 63:1 105:11 108:24 191:10 194:13 described 46:21 102:17 234:12 description 158:19 design 83:6 designated 101:20 designed 38:18 49:11 53:11 103:9 135:18 147:4 168:20 175:16 195:23 236:4 247:24 247:25 248:5 desire 38:23 detail 31:18 194:3 246:20 details 34:3 147:21 192:12 determination 65:16,20 244:3 determinations 245:10 determine 55:13 56:6 60:1 74:19 197:4,25 237:1 determined 75:11 104:4,8 105:7 192:7 251:17 determines 66:22 determining 59:4 developed 94:15 193:6
---	---	---	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

developing 142:9	159:20,22 160:5,6,6	288:10	227:20	127:13 128:15
deviation 242:18	164:25 172:23	disallowed 52:7	divided 194:19	167:14 178:12
device 74:9	187:25 203:22	67:10 70:20	dividing 134:12	202:1 208:3
79:25	260:13 262:23	212:24 233:11	division 188:15	211:21 212:7
devices 26:19	276:2 296:3,4	233:16,20	188:16,18	213:5,6 227:5
dictionary 74:12	296:7,8,10,13	234:5 259:7	190:21	229:10 230:21
Dietrich 191:18	296:18,23	disallowing	docket 171:16	235:23 247:18
242:8	297:2 298:3,8	52:23	184:13 241:18	248:9,11,15
differ 130:18	298:10,13	disallows 49:17	doctor's 287:3	252:22 272:9
difference 102:3	directed 36:11	202:24	doctrine 85:17	272:13 274:13
107:1 114:16	directing 162:24	disappointed	document 93:20	275:7 276:7,12
177:24 219:7	direction 40:8	38:12	95:3 97:2	277:12 287:6
238:2 248:15	40:25 50:19	disaster 80:25	98:15,17 99:2	289:7,9
248:16 264:7	55:20 268:22	discharged	111:10 150:13	Dority 23:2
265:25	directly 46:20	243:21	156:21 170:16	dots 265:2
differences	49:16,20	discouraged	170:19 171:11	doubt 31:2,8,13
35:11 237:15	145:25 169:17	237:12	171:22 172:7	45:24 93:23
different 31:9	director 142:16	discovery 85:22	182:13,16	94:11 111:15
63:5 81:2	Direct/Rebuttal	discuss 33:15	267:7 274:22	206:1
105:12 112:22	299:7	123:3 129:11	283:5	doubts 76:18,23
113:1 131:12	dis 230:18	239:19	documents	down 51:6,6,10
142:10,19,24	259:10	discussed	88:25 91:22	51:12,17,24
161:14 176:25	disagree 54:21	132:22 155:12	185:23 188:7	53:9 69:15
176:25 177:5,6	206:2 248:4	155:16,22	188:11 260:21	80:23,25 86:25
179:25 180:6	disagreed 66:19	discusses 45:12	275:13 282:19	90:4 94:4
198:10 217:14	disagrees 54:18	discussion 37:21	295:5	105:17,22,23
218:11 229:19	58:20 68:20	124:15 157:9	Dogwood 25:16	106:2,5 110:1
241:22 246:23	disallow 53:1	196:22 233:5	132:25	114:7 127:24
249:8 264:19	67:3 205:8,13	278:11 279:21	doing 33:5 78:8	127:25 128:8
265:18 278:19	207:3 230:18	discussions	81:1 82:14	129:21,25
279:19 284:1	disallowance	33:19 215:6	123:12 126:3	130:1 131:9
290:17,17	28:13 31:10	284:11	130:23 136:8	137:22 140:6
differently	32:1 37:19	display 126:8	201:15 213:17	200:18 234:13
106:11	39:10,12 44:8	dispute 158:5	216:1 218:6	240:10 249:11
difficult 69:6	59:24 67:12	167:7 185:20	220:2 238:17	249:13 252:13
70:5 75:18	97:20,23	distinct 170:9	247:22 251:15	252:14 275:24
direct 58:5	192:15,19,25	278:19	258:3 269:15	276:1,4 293:3
68:24 88:9,16	193:3,15 206:5	distinction	270:9,21	293:9 301:10
91:2,11,15,19	206:14 207:1	198:12	273:20	downside 42:10
91:23 95:6,8	208:20 209:3	distort 170:11	dollar 55:16	42:11
98:18 100:12	215:12 255:15	distribution	57:21 119:22	Dr 26:22 32:16
103:19 106:19	263:22,25	128:18 227:20	134:8	35:4,6 45:3,14
107:7,17 108:3	264:4 273:22	239:22 283:9	dollars 59:13	45:20 46:1
122:5 138:12	274:9 286:20	distributor	82:18 271:11	54:23 56:22
138:17 140:24	289:1,3,12,22	115:5	done 39:7 54:13	61:13,16 62:20
149:24 154:25	291:24	District 77:25	60:13,16 63:6	63:9 77:20
155:6 159:14	disallowances	205:23	81:3 107:13	78:17 88:3
		diversified	115:1 120:1,1	108:15,17

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

203:24 204:3 221:1,1,2,4 222:14,18,19 223:15 224:4,9 281:17 282:8 282:11,19 287:2 298:13 298:15 draft 146:19 drafter 223:10 drafting 145:14 draw 126:4,5,7 126:15 drawing 101:8 102:24 drawn 101:12 127:3,3 drew 129:3 drive 121:20 driven 84:20 130:7 driver 272:22 273:3 277:18 drivers 106:23 106:25 274:2,2 276:5 278:3 driving 121:11 drop 169:19 176:6 240:20 dropped 99:13 99:17 drove 45:24 DRs 231:17 249:5 268:11 due 62:16 during 33:9,14 39:13 48:13 51:13 53:9,16 56:17 58:3 61:10 69:4 101:21 103:4 129:19 130:3 157:9 161:19 202:20 206:9 207:13 209:21 251:8 271:15 275:25 277:13 284:6	E E 24:16 27:2 296:1 299:7 301:1,1 each 102:14,15 106:24,24 114:8 118:18 118:21 136:24 214:19 242:21 eagerly 86:14 earlier 134:4 152:10 153:23 197:14 250:9 283:6 early 113:5,7,9 easier 105:11 115:1 117:18 126:2 easiest 125:25 easily 123:11 easy 83:20 84:3 84:5,9,11 103:16 105:1,8 106:8,9,15 119:14 125:20 152:24 Eaves 27:2 34:21 39:24 43:14 44:3,4,7 53:5,12 58:16 58:25 59:10,12 59:17,23 60:9 60:24,25 61:12 61:23 68:1 191:6,7 192:24 193:6,12,14 203:14,19 209:6 210:9 220:10 254:7 255:11,14 257:1,2 260:9 260:12,14,16 260:20 261:25 262:21 275:24 294:2 297:1 299:8 economic 41:16 281:24	economics 190:20 287:3 economist 32:17 Ed 33:2 44:2,22 55:3 66:12 educate 286:9 education 283:10 educational 43:20 Edward 26:23 77:21 78:17 90:20 91:1,5 91:10 296:4 298:3,4 effect 214:20 276:2 285:13 effective 33:8 35:1 55:9,14 56:2,21 57:16 57:17,25 58:3 58:13 59:4 145:11 effectively 35:5 35:8 61:21 63:8 119:9 238:1 effectiveness 55:12 57:12 80:9 effects 239:21 efficiency 270:11 efficient 77:7 78:11,12 79:4 80:18 81:7,10 259:3 effort 68:13 86:3 199:16 226:10 227:6,22 228:2 228:6,20 229:1 229:4 234:9 efforts 128:17 202:12 229:8 EFIS 98:16 171:16 184:13 217:23 either 32:12	36:12 48:3 52:23 54:9 105:1 134:22 138:2 148:16 153:7 194:11 194:23 200:1 204:7 205:24 212:1 251:13 elapsed 291:5 electric 32:22 34:19 39:20 41:11,16,21,23 43:12,14,25 44:14 45:5,18 45:22 46:4,6,8 46:12 47:20,23 48:5,15 49:13 51:25 54:8,24 55:21 56:3,7 56:12 57:4,8 58:17 59:19 60:2,7,8 61:1,4 61:6,18 63:15 71:18 76:13,15 77:23,25 78:21 116:6 117:1 119:11 122:15 136:7 155:20 175:4 194:6 204:24 208:8 210:19 211:3,4 212:19,25 215:14 217:1 228:25 229:2,7 229:13,18,19 230:1,20,24 237:4,11,19 238:5,8,19 243:20,23 244:2,15,15 257:5 265:14 266:14,19 271:15 275:20 277:15 285:1 286:1,11 289:12 electricity 28:11 33:1 34:24	35:3,7,9,16 41:12 42:13 43:22 44:6,10 44:16,20 45:11 45:16,25 47:2 47:7,18,22,25 50:2 53:24 54:1 55:7,25 56:16 58:7,12 58:14 61:14 62:15,21,23 63:4 67:8 68:4 68:8 72:5 80:16 107:18 117:9 118:6,14 120:5 121:2,10 121:12,15,20 122:2,20,21 124:1,11 129:20 130:1 136:17,19 148:21,22 179:12,14 194:11 203:5 203:17 204:8 204:16,20,24 205:4,7,12,18 207:19,23 215:19 216:10 228:18,18,21 228:22 229:2,5 229:6 232:6 237:21,22 239:3 251:23 255:11 272:17 278:7,11,23 283:13 284:4 284:12,19 285:7,12 286:16 electricity's 237:24 electronic 26:18 ELMO 126:7 Empire 77:24 78:21 192:3 205:22 267:9 287:16,18,25
--	---	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

288:3,6,10 employed 67:18 91:8 132:25 138:15 141:2,3 165:3 188:3,4 260:17,18 employees 86:1 194:16 254:24 256:24 employing 125:4 131:19 encompass 100:21,24 encourage 54:25 227:14 234:23 234:25 237:10 244:14 encouraged 49:1 229:25 238:8 244:5 encourages 48:22 283:8 end 77:25 113:21 114:3 115:21 118:7 135:25 177:18 178:3 253:1 ending 221:5 energy 25:6,16 29:17 34:22 35:1 41:6 43:17,20,24 44:8 54:19 70:15 72:13 78:22 110:15 124:20 188:14 188:14 190:19 190:23,25 194:10 197:12 204:7 205:21 205:24 212:10 212:11 218:1,2 218:15 229:14 246:21,22 270:11 274:3 275:21 276:5 277:6,7,11,19 277:21,22	278:3,5,10,18 278:22 284:21 engage 86:18 147:8 178:15 engaging 83:9 engendered 152:12 engineering 45:7 England 68:10 enjoy 69:24 enough 40:6,11 53:14 235:9 246:24 268:21 269:1,11 enter 26:7 41:24 48:14 152:2,16 232:18 236:20 273:17 entered 25:14 53:21 154:8 262:12 entering 47:7 49:3 153:2 211:5 enters 47:2 entire 184:11 entirely 107:13 203:14 entities 153:17 entitled 44:5,15 45:9 48:21 145:18 155:3 194:10 222:23 224:15 267:7 278:6 entity 25:11 70:12 entries 24:13 25:18 66:3 entry 25:8,10 94:8 146:10 153:1 171:16 184:14 environments 279:19 EO-2009-0115 224:4 299:4	EO-2010-0167 222:14 299:3 EO-2010-255 287:17 EO-2011-0390 22:12 24:7 equal 57:15 82:8 equally 53:6 equate 119:2 equated 81:22 equates 56:13 equitable 195:6 equivalent 47:3 47:4,9 50:9 104:15 118:2 118:12 123:25 ER 92:4 294:23 Erath 114:24 errata 144:8,10 erroneous 29:1 error 95:12,13 ER-2005-0436 65:1 145:2 166:24 170:18 172:2,11 184:4 185:4 233:18 298:22,25 ER-2007-0004 64:10,18 87:17 122:13 153:17 298:18 ER-2008-0093 287:18 ER-2009-0090 214:2 ER-2012-0175 300:3 especially 39:5 61:7 231:17 271:10 essence 114:4 essentially 46:18 51:11 68:4 105:11 116:17 116:19 117:21 118:2 124:7 126:25 135:19 136:1 153:24	establish 244:11 established 134:18 establishing 32:9 57:12 154:17 estimated 58:6 et 26:19 ethanol 40:21 evaluate 193:18 evaluation 209:11 even 36:21 37:4 40:10,15 59:18 59:25 85:5 119:14,15 129:2 179:23 211:11 218:13 219:19 230:9 230:20,22 234:5,5 241:20 246:22 250:21 251:15 268:24 269:6 272:23 275:25 279:15 282:9 evening 262:21 262:22 event 120:19,22 events 121:2 ever 93:19 178:12 179:20 205:19 212:20 215:12,17 216:8 233:15 236:8 251:7,8 259:1,21 289:21 every 54:19 61:24 80:22 82:8,9 86:1,3,4 109:22 129:8 130:25 192:5,6 214:19 245:22 256:5,8 everybody 293:12 everybody's	118:12 everyone 26:18 292:11 everything 28:8 254:13,16 evidence 29:11 29:12 30:9 31:7,11,15 32:9 34:9,10 35:17,24,25 36:8 39:9 55:20 59:25 87:25 89:16 92:25 93:2 97:11 111:3 112:1 139:19 142:3 151:3 161:1 166:3 185:10,15 186:10,12,12 186:13,16,20 186:21,21 189:24 222:7 223:22 226:3 231:12 232:4 253:22 258:21 261:23 262:12 262:14 282:25 295:4 296:2,16 evident 191:24 265:4 evidentiary 22:5 24:6 152:15 evidently 256:19 Ex 30:24 exact 130:24 133:1 167:25 209:13 exactly 80:13 95:14 118:23 120:16 133:3,4 135:3 156:22 167:22 178:24 248:13,17 291:14 exaggerate 130:23 examination
---	--	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

88:9 91:2 115:19 138:12 140:24 148:4 149:16,24 156:13 159:14 163:9 164:25 187:25 254:2 260:13 296:3,4 296:6,7,8,9,10 296:11,13,15 296:18,23,25 297:2 example 40:5,8 47:20 49:20 50:12,20 52:13 64:8 75:11 76:4 80:20 105:16 112:21 113:11,19,20 118:19 121:6 123:25 127:11 134:4 176:1 268:19,22 examples 39:18 40:16,19 exceeded 51:23 except 28:8 133:4 155:10 exception 284:10 285:21 excerpt 102:16 excess 76:7 exchange 55:23 82:19 116:25 123:16,18 124:8 134:21 280:4 excluded 95:23 exclusively 44:18 excuse 26:14 44:10 67:14 72:21 100:16 excused 25:17 25:17 26:8,11 90:5,6,10,12 149:4 159:2 164:15 187:9	260:7 execute 114:20 executed 82:17 executive 25:11 138:25 exercise 43:6 129:1 137:9 exhausted 70:8 exhibit 24:2 57:13 64:22 87:11,20,24 88:17,18 89:7 89:8,15 90:24 91:15,17,19 92:22 93:1,13 93:16 94:21,23 97:10 100:3 101:4 102:21 102:23 108:5 111:2,4,7,19 111:21,25 120:7 138:9,18 138:19 139:7 139:11,14,18 140:19 141:5 141:16,20,23 142:2 149:13 150:6,20,23 151:2 159:8,22 159:22 160:18 160:18,25 165:8,20,23 166:2 170:20 170:22 171:4,9 184:4,6 188:8 188:25 189:16 189:19,23 220:21,23 221:11,23 222:2,6,8,10 222:13,23 223:17,21,24 223:25 224:15 225:2,21,23 226:2,11,13,14 226:16 253:21 260:22 261:15 261:18,22	262:2,4,9,13 298:2,3,5,6,8,9 298:11,12,14 298:16,19,23 299:1,2,3,5,7,8 299:9,12,15,17 299:20,24 300:1,4 exhibits 89:11 92:12,19 96:23 96:25 97:8 110:21,23 160:21 185:9 186:17 187:3 253:11,17 279:7 294:19 294:22 298:1,1 299:6 exist 79:3 existed 43:9 82:20 existence 76:17 76:22 existing 35:1 55:9 exists 257:3 expect 121:13,21 121:23 129:19 135:11 136:3,7 136:11 202:25 270:14 expected 34:6 48:1,8,25 52:5 82:3 130:3 133:11 164:10 229:25 231:14 232:7,12,15,17 expecting 104:10 expenditures 30:20 31:3 146:13,16 expense 175:21 175:22,25 176:2 177:13 177:17 expenses 178:4 expensive 42:2	199:6 206:12 206:13,15 experience 27:24 experienced 118:16 162:10 expert 32:16,17 45:3,15 203:11 255:10 experts 28:25 58:1 68:6 69:10 expire 113:25 explain 32:18 33:3,23 35:9 38:17 57:19 66:13 79:15 112:10 115:25 116:4 122:10 125:22 126:22 131:16 168:15 171:1 174:6 226:20 264:9 explained 34:23 45:23 53:6 56:17 264:7 explains 204:19 exposed 41:8 78:15 exposure 41:7 78:18 79:3 110:17 147:4 240:19,25 242:20 255:8 expressed 215:17 216:4 expression 68:17 expressly 167:23 169:11 extension 61:25 extent 176:13 external 176:12 176:17,20,23 176:24 177:5 extra 145:8 eyeball 119:15 eyeballing 61:3	61:5 e-mailed 190:5 e.g 145:24 242:19 <hr/> <p align="center">F</p> <hr/> F 95:22 301:1 FAC 33:10 37:5 38:11 48:13 51:13 53:9 56:5,24 58:4 61:7 63:10 64:2 130:10 191:2,11,15 192:4,5,6 194:3,15,16,18 195:6,11,22 200:3 205:5 206:9,18 213:16 214:12 220:4,7 222:16 247:7 249:21 255:22 299:14 face 39:3 74:14 74:21 77:6 163:17 164:2 169:6 201:18 212:18 213:8 230:12 249:24 faced 185:14 FACs 161:14 fact 35:10 39:17 44:17 52:5,7 66:18 72:24 73:5 75:8 76:2 78:8 81:18 85:9 86:7 96:17 98:8,20 102:6 104:21 106:2 108:14 113:5 128:12 128:23 129:23 174:21 188:15 205:6,11 206:5 215:12 218:12 231:10 242:14 248:19 249:11 251:16,22 255:1,24
--	---	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

256:11 257:3 257:17 264:24 269:16 273:23 274:9 282:19 factor 123:9 263:16 factors 80:15 276:5 facts 162:20 258:21 failed 68:23 253:10 fails 61:23 fair 155:8 181:15 195:5 195:10 243:7,7 257:12,14 291:19 fall 186:19 252:19 fallen 130:4 falling 84:25 103:10,14 135:10 248:23 252:10 false 129:11 familiar 33:18 62:25 151:17 151:22 154:18 familiarized 279:23 family 29:17 far 76:6 81:24 84:18,18,20 95:9 137:14 153:20 154:1 189:11 194:2 213:21 234:2 244:9 258:6 267:25 268:1 FAS 181:11,19 182:6,8,12,17 182:23 183:1 FASB 56:20 57:10 68:17 174:17,22 175:17 FASB's 134:20	fast 90:9 Featherstone 172:14 193:8 218:17 February 47:21 50:7 58:19,25 59:1 61:2 172:10 184:3 184:18,24 298:25 Feddersen 23:24 301:5,20 Federal 25:11 fee 124:9 feel 235:10 266:24 273:1 feeling 60:22 feelings 274:1 feels 38:14 felt 244:10 FERC 36:12,12 63:16 65:5 68:17 79:21 146:4,8,9,21 146:25 157:14 158:18 169:19 few 35:23 105:18 115:14 127:14 169:19 196:25 210:9 245:18 fields 121:14 fifth 285:10,10 figure 68:24 78:24 102:20 177:24 249:14 249:17 figures 72:8 111:16 file 22:12 24:6 25:19 154:4 170:20 181:2 184:10,15 186:17 246:5 262:7 filed 28:6 29:4 37:18 60:14,15 60:16 85:17	88:16 91:11 95:12 138:17 141:4 150:5 151:23 159:20 172:3 181:8 182:3 187:3 188:19 191:3 191:25 240:3 268:1 282:14 files 186:17 filing 67:13 95:11 170:19 183:23 184:23 192:6 263:16 294:22 filings 25:15 246:14 267:17 fill 136:14 269:21 filled 282:16 finally 31:25 34:1 35:17 85:13 90:12 156:8 financial 41:22 42:3 45:7,10 53:23,25 54:10 54:11 55:22 73:15 77:11 80:25 82:12 123:7 124:10 124:12 146:7 146:11 151:17 151:19 156:22 174:18,23 175:1,5,8,11 175:16,20 177:8,13,14,16 179:3,6 181:14 181:16,16,20 196:4,6 199:10 211:8,18 213:13 234:16 238:4,5 244:16 247:15 269:15 270:22 272:3 276:8,9 289:13 289:23	financially 44:6 123:20 194:10 195:24,24,25 278:7 285:6 financing 271:9 find 29:6 49:22 82:12 171:17 171:18 186:18 192:7 195:2,2 196:1,3 200:12 200:20 202:20 227:15 249:3 258:8 273:23 288:3,22 294:8 finding 38:10 findings 68:5 finds 63:7 258:2 fine 93:7 97:6 124:2 153:9 293:22 294:18 finished 154:17 185:7 finishing 292:7 Finnegan 23:10 153:5 fire 51:5,8 71:3 71:6,9 80:21 80:22 81:16,19 fired 72:1 133:8 firm 215:7 301:6 firms 134:20 first 27:13 32:2 34:10 35:25 37:7 55:15,17 61:13 72:25 79:2 88:2 94:1 94:16,18 99:19 101:21 107:21 110:2,7,8 118:6 122:7,17 155:7 162:3,12 162:21 164:4 164:17 173:18 181:21 182:10 188:12 209:18 212:24 213:3 224:7 226:20 241:9 261:3	269:16 Fischer 23:2,2 24:21,22,25 27:14,15,17,25 64:20,24 69:13 69:15 70:2,4,6 70:22 71:3 72:8,12,16 77:10 78:23 79:15,24 80:12 82:2 83:7 87:14 88:1,3,7 88:9 89:6 90:1 90:2,10,13,17 90:19,23 91:2 92:18 97:1,18 107:9,11 108:1 110:24 111:22 115:12,17,19 126:9,14,17,21 137:19 138:2 140:2,4,22 149:16,18,24 150:19 156:13 157:22 158:22 158:23 159:6 159:14 160:17 163:7,8,9 164:13 165:24 166:6,7,8 171:3,5,10,13 171:14,18 177:22 179:19 180:16,24 183:7,12,19,25 184:2,5,8,15 184:18,21,25 185:3,5 186:3 186:4,17,22,24 187:11 189:4 189:20 190:8,9 214:7,9 220:20 220:25 221:22 221:25 222:8 222:12 223:14 223:23 224:2 225:20 226:4 226:12,18
--	--	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

239:11,17 250:11,13,25 252:21 253:1 253:10 258:20 262:18,20 265:22 266:1,3 292:1,5,12,16 293:1,2,10,17 293:22 294:4 294:15 296:1,3 296:4,6,10,11 296:13,15,21 296:24 297:3 fit 26:3 279:20 fits 134:23 five 32:14 271:4 293:23 five-minute 187:12,16 five-year 45:22 271:13 fix 259:16,20 271:22,23 273:16,18,24 274:10 278:17 fixed 175:12 234:14 259:21 270:7 273:11 274:19 fixing 275:2 284:22 flag 99:3 flaw 35:12 flawed 35:22 fleet 78:2 79:8 133:12,13,24 212:5 247:12 flexibility 128:22 flip 173:25 221:10 222:22 223:3 224:14 224:20 241:8 float 271:24 273:11 277:7 278:18 284:23 floated 82:6 Floor 23:7	Florida 46:5 flow 34:9 64:13 178:5 194:2,17 200:3 flowed 34:6 36:6 64:6 66:5 71:14 75:1 85:15 fluctuate 175:10 fluctuation 57:15 fluctuations 175:15 flush 185:12 focus 61:7 focused 44:18 folks 279:4 follow 69:25 70:6 121:10 129:21 174:3 181:2 196:11 227:12 277:22 followed 36:9 65:7,12 99:15 268:6,7 288:7 288:19,22 following 29:7 39:24 110:9 120:4,24 214:13 follows 88:8 91:1 127:4 138:11 140:23 149:23 159:13 164:24 187:24 260:12 forecast 76:6 82:7 83:21 forecasted 50:10 51:18,20,24,25 53:8 219:14 241:2 forecasting 50:7 151:12 forego 82:17 foregoing 301:11 forever 251:7	forget 86:21 178:23 formal 44:9 60:6 67:14 146:2 285:23 formalized 67:14 210:18 210:23 formerly 45:14 204:6 forms 24:23 Formulating 45:10 Fortee 143:24 forth 301:9 forward 27:6 31:4 122:15 170:15 250:21 292:12 found 28:15 52:7 60:4 86:11 105:25 212:17 213:7 263:6 265:13 266:12,17 267:1 288:6 foundation 147:11,14 270:18 four 37:5 43:16 60:15 70:16 152:21 154:10 161:14,17,18 162:9 163:22 163:25 185:18 185:24 194:19 218:9,23 fourth 152:19 214:17 four-hour 43:15 284:17 Francisco 88:14 frankly 38:13 39:8 70:7 186:14 frequently 61:24 62:3,8,16 Friday 184:17	from 25:17 26:5 26:8 32:7 34:9 35:23 39:25 41:17,23 45:8 48:25 51:7 53:19 60:25 61:2 64:21 65:25 66:19 67:20,25 68:24 69:2,19 72:8 72:23 75:4,6 75:18 77:10 79:24 80:6,21 82:19,24 84:8 84:9,14,17 85:8 89:18,20 93:6,8,14 95:23 98:16,18 99:20 100:2,7 103:2 106:8 111:8 112:4 114:25 115:8 115:11 117:13 117:18 119:13 122:13 124:5 125:3 126:1,2 126:17 127:6 130:9,12,18 135:15 139:24 143:16 144:11 147:9,25 150:23 152:3 156:10,11 158:12 163:5,6 168:22 170:7 170:18,19,19 171:8 172:16 177:5,6,17,22 177:25 178:21 179:18 184:3 184:11,12,12 191:16 193:4,7 195:9,17,21 196:5 197:11 197:14 198:10 200:13 202:16 205:11 211:2,8 213:21 221:2	227:4 229:24 231:17 233:9 234:16 237:9 237:12 239:8 240:18 242:18 243:2,21 244:8 245:14,23 251:3 252:23 253:4 257:20 273:20 276:18 282:7,10 294:20 front 120:8 121:1 196:16 239:19 245:16 267:22 290:15 FTEs 26:1,3 fuel 22:13 24:9 25:24 26:5 28:1 34:4,6 36:6 38:6 39:5 40:20 42:7 48:12 63:25 64:7,13 65:4 66:5,21 69:1,3 70:11 71:15 72:19,23,25 73:3,7,9,10 74:5,9 75:2 77:13 79:7,10 79:14,21 85:13 85:16 104:11 121:8,9,19 122:17 124:19 125:9,9 130:13 133:6,25 146:3 146:22,24,24 148:12 162:5 167:19 168:12 169:4,8,13,20 170:7,9,10,11 170:12 175:21 175:22,25 176:2 177:13 177:17 178:5,6 178:9 179:9,9 179:13 206:16 212:13 215:23
--	---	--	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

219:19 236:7 300:2 fuels 144:1 fuel's 237:24 full 301:11 fully 27:6 209:4 fundamental 35:11 62:5,17 62:19 63:9,12 186:12,20 219:7 fundamentally 35:20 further 86:11 112:2 147:23 156:1 157:22 158:20 258:15 260:4 294:20 future 28:16 35:18 47:11 67:2 86:16 113:11 137:15 179:1 202:12 235:14 261:4 263:8 276:9 277:6 futures 28:10,21 32:18 33:1,4 34:11 35:7 37:3 38:22 39:17,22 40:9 40:15,17,20,21 40:22,23,24 41:10,11,16 42:15 43:2 44:16,21 45:1 45:13 46:14 47:3,8,13,17 50:3,4,9,18,21 50:24 51:15 52:11,15,23,24 53:4,23 54:5,8 54:17 58:2 60:5,8 61:15 61:24 62:3,7 62:10,14,22 63:18 65:18 67:7,21 71:11	82:4 107:19 112:13,20 113:20,21 114:3,15,21,23 116:2,16,18,21 117:12,13,25 118:4,7,9 119:4 123:6,16 123:17,24 124:8,13 129:25 134:5 134:15,21 135:22 136:12 157:16 163:16 192:17 199:16 200:10,15 202:1 203:8 210:19,25 211:3,17 212:9 212:18 213:8 215:13,18 216:9 231:3,14 232:6 234:15 235:7,13,16,21 268:5,23 269:5 269:10 272:20 273:9 274:4 276:3,9 278:12 278:16 279:13 284:18,21 285:2,12 286:16 291:21 <hr/> <p align="center">G</p> <hr/> G 96:3 GAAP 182:24 gain 46:12,13 50:15 51:17 52:6,16 53:7 80:6 114:4,18 117:13 118:16 134:5 136:13 162:7,10,12,22 177:16 179:6 199:10 217:6 219:4,9,13,15 219:16,16,21 219:24 220:1 gains 49:5,6,7,9	49:19 81:18 118:20 135:23 135:25 136:20 157:12 162:1 163:12 164:9 178:6 179:24 233:7,8 gallery 97:16 gamble 82:11 games 81:8 Gary 26:23 33:17 140:23 141:1 296:7 298:7 gas 28:10,16,21 28:23 30:17,24 32:18,22 33:1 33:3 34:11,24 35:3,7,15 37:2 38:22 39:17,22 40:9,14 41:10 41:18 42:15 43:1,22,25 44:6,10,14,16 44:20 45:1,12 45:19,23,24 46:6,11 47:3,8 47:13,17 48:20 48:21,23 50:3 50:4,9,17,18 52:6 53:23 54:5,16 55:7 55:25 56:4,7 56:13,17 57:2 57:7 58:2,9,11 58:15,18 59:6 60:2,5,8,12 61:1,4,6,15,17 62:14,22 63:18 63:21 65:13,17 65:21,22,23,24 66:16 67:7,9 67:21 68:4 71:10 72:1 75:13 76:6,8 77:11 79:7,10 80:3,5,6,11 82:4 84:13,23	98:5,9 99:11 99:16,20 100:15 101:20 102:17 104:16 107:5,19 113:24 114:1 114:21,23,25 115:1,4 116:1 116:11 117:4,8 118:1 120:9,21 121:3,7,8,10 121:14,18 123:6,9,11 124:13 126:25 127:15 128:16 128:17 129:18 129:21,25 130:4,7 132:20 133:7 135:10 136:2,12 137:5 137:10 146:1 147:4 148:13 148:18,18,25 163:16 167:18 168:10 169:2 169:13,17,20 175:4,7 178:15 178:16,24 179:3,8 192:17 193:24 194:11 198:20 199:10 199:16 200:10 200:15 202:1 203:4,7 211:12 211:17 212:9 212:18 213:8 215:13,18 216:9 218:4 221:6,8 223:5 223:11 224:23 225:4,15,17 226:6,19,24,25 227:1,3,5,10 227:19,21,22 227:23 228:3,4 228:6,7,25 230:5,6,14,19 230:20,23,23	231:3,14 232:6 234:9,22,23,24 235:7,15,21,25 236:9,13,13,15 236:21 237:10 237:11,20 238:3,4 239:3 239:20,21 241:12,19 242:25 243:20 244:6,6 248:21 248:23 249:23 251:23 263:7 264:14 265:14 265:15 266:13 266:15,18 267:18,23 268:2,4,24 269:6,10 272:16,20 273:2,9,18 274:3 276:9 277:14,20,20 278:7,12,24 279:13 283:7,8 283:9,12 284:4 284:8,8,11,18 285:2,7,12,25 286:11,16 291:21 299:18 299:21 gasoline 40:21 gas-fired 132:23 gas-producing 130:6 gather 84:6 197:23 gave 28:7 119:13 143:1 172:8 176:1 279:5 Gaw 173:16 177:22 gears 226:5 gee 249:25 general 44:14 46:7 73:11,12 73:22 126:3 281:25 283:15
---	---	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

284:7 292:19 generally 78:4 116:3,7 181:2 181:6 204:8 254:23 283:19 generate 65:17 75:14 120:5 179:14 212:10 generating 71:24 81:7,10 207:17 generation 72:12 77:7 79:4,8 132:19 132:23 133:12 133:13,19 144:3,13,17,24 146:1 147:5 162:6,17 167:17 169:18 169:21 178:16 199:8,8,19 200:7 202:4,4 203:25 204:3,6 206:13 211:5 212:4,6 221:13 221:18 222:24 222:25 224:16 224:17 229:9 247:12 259:4 265:14 266:14 266:19 267:19 268:2 generator 273:18,23 274:10,19 275:3 276:11 gentlemen 191:12 193:8 gets 127:10 218:8,23 237:20 getting 73:14 85:22 97:13 122:22 289:24 give 26:3 32:24 38:8 39:18 40:5 49:12	87:6 126:10 128:21 131:6 153:8 161:4 168:14 170:15 196:24 229:21 245:15,19 263:3 276:19 281:12 294:25 given 62:10 82:14 87:17 207:12 292:13 301:14 gives 38:2 173:23 188:17 giving 70:6 128:12 glance 173:5 GMO 24:20 27:13 28:15 29:7,12,15,15 30:11,15 32:3 32:24 34:14 35:18,25 36:8 37:2,5 41:2,13 41:15 42:17,23 43:4 44:19 45:20 47:20,23 48:13,15 49:2 49:15 50:3,6 52:8 54:7,7,18 57:5 66:15 67:6,16,18,24 68:2,19 70:13 71:10,17,19,23 72:3,9,15 73:6 74:9,24 75:10 75:15 76:6,8 77:4,5,15,21 77:21,22 78:1 78:4,7,10,14 78:15,17,24 79:6,13,23 80:2,5 81:1,2,6 81:6,9,21,25 82:17 83:5,9 87:11,24 88:3 89:7,7,15 90:24 92:22	93:1 98:21 106:20 107:2,5 107:6,18 109:18,23 110:5,10 112:12 117:23 118:22 122:14 130:14 138:9 138:19 139:11 139:14,18 140:19 141:5 141:16,20,23 142:2 147:5 149:13 150:23 151:2 155:10 156:22,24 159:4,6,8,21 159:22 160:18 160:18,25 167:12 168:10 169:1 171:3 179:23 181:18 184:7 186:22 190:6 191:2 192:16 193:20 197:9 198:6,10 198:14 199:13 200:5,13 201:19 202:1 202:20,21 204:5,19,23 205:6,11,17,22 206:5,9,20 207:12,16,22 207:25 209:18 212:2 213:11 213:19 214:3,4 214:12,18,19 214:23 215:2 215:13 216:1 216:14,23 219:14 220:23 221:24 222:6 222:10 223:6 223:11,17,21 223:25 224:23 225:17,23 226:2,16	228:18 229:2 229:10 231:13 231:19 234:4 236:6 243:21 247:9,18 253:21 255:4 256:5 257:17 259:2 263:6,13 266:20,24 268:1 270:9 274:14,23 275:1 276:2 278:1 279:17 290:4 GMO's 28:1,9 28:14,25 33:9 35:13 36:6,17 37:22 41:6,6 42:21 45:3 47:24 49:4 54:3 57:1,3 58:1,11 68:13 68:25 69:1,2 70:19 71:13,15 72:7,13,24 74:16,20,24 75:1,7 77:6 78:17 79:1,4 80:18 82:3,7 83:21 85:5,13 92:3 108:24 110:15 122:17 139:3 152:21 155:19 160:21 161:13 164:16 171:9 192:15 193:18 197:4 197:13 209:25 210:3,6 222:2 222:16 223:5 224:6,22 225:14 247:7 253:17 255:7 255:22 258:5 259:7,16 265:13,15 266:12,14,18 267:7 274:4	277:10 290:24 291:11,20 296:2 298:1 300:2 GMO/Aquila 299:13 go 27:6,11,13 38:9 41:7,15 49:24 61:11 87:7 91:25 97:14 105:23 115:20 117:17 118:6 119:12 120:7 126:9,22 127:24 129:6 133:5 135:21 138:7 140:14 140:16 144:18 144:19 147:13 148:12,13,13 148:20,21,25 149:10,11 153:1,22 158:8 179:5 185:16 187:14,15 194:1 204:2 213:21 228:1 234:13 242:14 244:9 245:5 249:13,13 250:13 269:15 270:3 273:23 274:10 279:15 279:25 281:7 285:9,18 290:20,23 291:1,10,17 292:1,12 293:24 294:11 294:13,21 goal 213:12 goes 40:4 57:18 73:21 127:5 130:13 215:21 234:2 250:21 277:23 going 27:13,21 28:4,25 33:13
--	--	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

34:10 35:17 36:18 40:18 47:5,10,21 48:5,6,7 73:22 81:4 87:6 97:12 100:19 112:20 118:15 119:15 121:9 121:10,18,20 122:23 124:7 124:10 125:14 125:16 127:17 127:18 128:5,5 128:6,7,8,13 131:9 133:13 134:3 135:5,19 136:2 137:8 143:16 154:21 170:15 171:21 174:4 185:9 192:21 217:9 217:15 218:20 219:1,1 246:2 248:6,21,21 249:7,10,11,12 249:13,15,22 249:24 250:1 252:10,12,13 252:17,19 269:13 271:7 271:14 272:1 273:18 275:2 282:2,23 286:3 287:20 289:17 289:19 292:2,6 292:7,14 294:9 294:11 gone 69:8 73:23 75:6 85:1 130:5,6 161:14 175:8 274:18 275:24 277:14 279:4 good 24:4 27:15 27:23 40:3,8 51:20 81:15 97:25 122:25 123:7 129:5	134:17,23 136:21,22 137:16,16 142:7,8 190:10 190:11 192:9 197:16 220:2 232:17 242:17 247:18 249:6 253:7 260:14 262:21,22 268:12,22 274:6 276:1 293:19,23 goods 40:6 268:20 gotten 152:18,20 152:21 175:8 279:21 GPE 151:20 164:5 GPE's 151:22 graduate 45:7 285:24 grain 40:21 granted 241:14 graph 69:4 100:15 120:9 126:2 graphs 60:18,19 grateful 86:2 gray 126:20 great 29:16 70:14 76:8 80:12 246:20 256:5 greater 22:14 24:10 27:18 57:14 70:11 72:17 203:23 grid 194:19 ground 67:4 204:12 206:21 206:23 group 25:9,11 190:24 220:4,7 246:23 254:23 279:23 guaranteed	123:18 guess 27:19 64:15 126:1 152:12 157:24 169:10 229:16 237:15 238:7 250:15 268:10 273:4 290:20 290:23 293:11 guidance 86:15 244:23 245:2,3 245:5,23 246:17 guide 181:20 guided 258:12 guidelines 134:17 227:11 244:11 Gulf 130:7 guys 249:13 <hr/> <p align="center">H</p> <hr/> H 26:23 138:11 235:2 296:6 298:8 half 41:2 44:18 82:18 106:4 hammer 201:7 274:7 hand 41:9 52:10 60:23 84:3 107:5 127:20 171:21 226:8 handed 99:2 handle 26:2 handout 278:14 278:20 hands 246:24 happen 120:14 129:20 135:5 190:12 215:25 249:15 262:23 276:6 happened 85:4 114:6 120:17 132:7,8 135:1 135:3 136:16 265:5 happening	117:7,15 131:7 happens 118:7 happy 52:1 69:11,23 171:5 243:5,8,16 264:10 292:12 hard 55:2 249:17 harm 68:24 194:22,25 195:1 196:4,6 218:10,24 harmed 51:2,4,8 Harold 22:17 24:11 Harper 144:12 having 58:22 161:14 174:23 192:5 233:4 251:25 290:15 Hayes-Lemme... 154:1 HC 90:24,25 91:18 92:19 150:6 151:2 265:24 HC-2010-0235 75:16 98:10,19 102:4 head 162:15 197:12 headed 95:22 110:2 heading 285:15 headquartered 71:18 heads 168:4 293:12 health 28:8 healthy 195:24 195:25 hear 27:22 50:20 152:7 183:9 256:20 heard 39:18 72:8,19 77:10 78:23 79:15,24 80:12 82:1	83:7 197:14 255:17,20 264:18 hearing 22:5 24:1,6,12 25:17 69:24 97:17 110:25 111:23 141:25 150:25 152:15 165:25 172:19 172:19 189:21 222:4 223:19 225:25 253:19 261:20 295:9 295:12 hearings 27:21 heat 50:1 104:14 heating 226:25 heavily 77:21 204:20,24 205:11,17,20 206:6 hedge 28:10 32:19,25 35:8 35:15 36:15 37:3 39:16,21 40:7,14 41:10 41:18 43:2 44:6,20 46:11 46:19 47:6,8 47:13,15,19 48:3,4,5,10,14 48:23 49:3 51:3,21 52:12 52:13,19 53:13 53:24 54:1,4,7 55:11 56:10 57:12,16 58:2 60:5,8 61:15 62:6,15,22 63:18 65:13,23 66:2,23 67:7,9 69:4 71:11 79:11,19,24 82:20,22 83:20 84:3,5,5,9,11 84:17 94:16,18 95:22 96:19
--	--	--	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

103:12,13,16	251:15 258:18	122:14 128:4	64:1,6,12 65:6	211:4,20
104:17 105:1,2	259:23 265:15	131:24 132:8	65:14 66:2,4,4	212:25 213:12
105:8,8,10,11	266:15 267:5	133:1 134:14	66:11,16,24	214:19,23,24
106:7,8,9,10	267:23 268:4	135:4 137:17	67:19 68:21,25	215:2,8 216:15
106:14,15	268:21 269:5	137:18 147:4	70:9,19,23,24	216:19,22
107:3,6,18	269:13 271:21	148:15 155:10	71:14 74:24	217:15,17,22
109:21 110:5	271:22 272:2	156:22 168:2	75:3 76:22,24	218:1,7,13,15
112:11,16,17	272:18 273:8	176:5 193:19	77:10,12 79:10	218:18 220:17
113:12,13	274:17 275:16	193:24 199:10	79:13 80:9,16	222:25 223:12
114:11,13	277:5 278:7	211:13,25	81:14,15,17,25	224:17 225:18
115:3 117:5,12	283:8,12 284:2	218:2 221:7,14	82:19 83:6,9	227:14 230:5,9
119:6 122:15	284:18,21	221:19 223:1,6	83:12,13,16,16	230:15,19,21
122:19 124:11	285:1,7,11	223:6,11	83:18 84:21	230:22,24
124:25 125:7,8	hedged 40:24	224:18,23,23	85:5,14 86:9	231:2,13,18
125:11,19,20	41:8 50:23	225:4,5,15,18	94:10,12 96:12	232:12 233:11
126:3 127:6,13	51:22 57:13,24	263:7 264:22	103:20 106:20	233:14,16
128:5,23 129:6	65:3 66:20	289:13,23	107:12 124:17	234:2,5 235:1
131:17,18,19	67:16 76:8	hedging 28:9,14	124:18,21,22	235:4 236:4
132:21 133:1,3	80:1 82:3,5,6,9	29:9,19 31:14	125:2,2,4,10	237:1 238:9,21
133:10,16	83:21 84:7	32:17,25 33:9	128:17,21	238:24 239:21
134:17,23	103:21 105:1	33:13,18 34:2	135:12 136:11	240:11,24
135:18,18	106:7,7,12	34:5,11,12,14	137:12,16	241:1,3,19
137:7 144:3	198:19 199:1	34:17,18,22	142:10,19	242:17,25
145:23 146:5	210:24 212:8	35:5,13 36:1,5	143:18 146:3,6	243:2,12,15,21
147:3 148:25	215:23,24	36:12,16,17,18	146:13,15	245:16 246:7
155:9 157:13	217:20,22	36:22,22 37:7	147:8,17	246:19 247:2,6
164:2 170:1,2	230:7 242:20	37:10,15,17,22	151:13 157:12	247:11,20,22
175:9,9 178:12	249:25 275:2	38:1,4,8,9,16	162:1,5,5	247:23 248:5
179:8 194:10	Hedgemaster	38:18,20,21,24	163:16 164:10	248:10 249:4
198:7,16 200:1	83:20,23 84:9	39:2,6,23,25	166:21,22	249:25 251:5,7
200:9,14 202:5	84:11 103:8	40:2,5,8,11,18	167:5,17,20	251:10 252:3,6
202:12 203:6	hedger 70:25	40:19,20,21	168:11,12,19	252:7,11
208:8 211:9,12	113:10	41:21,23 42:2	169:3,3,12,15	254:22 255:11
211:18,25	hedges 28:16	42:14,16 43:5	170:6,9 175:24	256:16,19
212:4,19 213:8	30:5 33:6,7,24	43:12,18 44:11	178:4,10,11,15	257:4,17 259:7
213:19 215:13	34:25 35:18	44:14,15,15,19	179:2,6,24	259:14,16
215:18 216:10	37:11 43:8,10	44:25 45:4,10	180:1,3,5,10	264:15,16
217:1 219:4	49:11 55:8,13	45:12,16 46:8	180:14,18	265:13 266:13
221:14,18	57:17,24 58:3	46:16,18 48:7	181:10,22	266:18,19,21
227:9 229:25	58:9,11,13	48:17,25 49:4	192:16,17,19	266:22 267:18
230:3,16 232:6	59:4 61:25	49:10 50:25	193:16,19	267:20 268:2,9
234:23 236:13	62:8 65:10,16	51:1 53:11,14	194:6 200:21	268:10,11,11
236:16,24	66:16,18 79:6	53:17,18 54:5	201:1,2 202:2	268:19,23
237:10 239:6	79:23 80:2	54:11,18,24	202:17 203:1	269:1,11,24
239:15 243:20	83:2,24 84:4	55:4 56:2	203:12,17	270:8,22 276:1
243:24 244:6	86:18 101:22	59:10 61:21	205:8,13 207:4	276:2 277:11
244:15,24	103:5 106:1	62:1,4 63:7,10	208:10 209:20	278:11,23
248:24 251:3	112:19 118:24	63:15,19,24	209:25 210:3,6	279:2,12 280:8

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

280:18 283:4,7 283:21,25 284:8,12,12,12 284:13 285:15 285:16,25 286:1,4,5,12 286:16,24 287:5,13 288:4 288:7,10,20,23 289:2,4,13,18 289:23 290:4 290:10,25 291:11,15,20 299:14 hedging's 247:24 Heidtbrink 26:23 33:11,14 138:5,11,14 140:7 296:6 298:9 held 30:14 31:2 36:5 39:15,19 72:22 172:3 help 117:5 136:18 137:9 170:15 171:5 171:19 193:7 271:14 helps 43:6 270:1 Henry 57:2 114:24,25 her 53:19 77:19 148:14 250:11 hey 271:2,20 291:18 he'll 34:5 high 83:24 105:13 123:22 128:1,5 132:3 248:12,14,17 279:11 higher 47:25 48:8 73:24 136:19 230:10 230:10 252:8 highlight 34:8 highly 32:23	33:8 34:25 35:1 37:11 40:25 50:19 55:7,8,14,25 56:2,21 57:8 57:16,17,25 58:13,20 61:17 91:17 97:2,7 97:14,17,21,22 111:7 221:12 272:23 273:1 him 54:25 138:8 140:13 152:3,6 171:4 173:8 190:1 220:11 220:18 282:10 hindsight 31:24 35:22 39:7 58:12 134:25 135:2 197:21 275:23 hire 269:20 historical 135:7 historically 43:1 137:10 history 33:12,15 192:1 299:19 299:22 hit 121:13,21,23 125:15,17 130:3 hits 130:11 hold 113:13 holding 151:19 203:11 home 71:2 252:17 honest 153:21 Honor 87:21 89:12 188:24 190:4 222:3 223:18 hope 54:23 69:10,24 206:3 217:4,5 286:14 hopefully 218:25 271:17 hoping 174:24	horizon 271:17 hour 50:2,8,11 50:14 78:13 109:19,20 119:3 141:13 hourly 35:7 61:14,18 62:6 62:21 116:6 117:1,5 hours 41:3 45:25 72:4,10 77:24 87:7 92:8 110:11 117:23 118:3 124:1 148:23 house 51:5,5,6,9 51:12,16,24 53:9 71:5 80:22,25 81:2 81:5,6,9,16 252:13,16,17 housekeeping 295:9 Hub 57:2 114:24 114:25 hurricane 121:23 130:5 252:19 hurricanes 121:6 Hyneman 27:2 34:21 36:25 131:3 164:19 164:24 165:2,3 165:6,21 166:13 171:1 171:22 172:1 179:17,20 183:2,15 184:12 187:7 193:7 209:15 210:8 215:10 217:7 218:17 267:14 296:17 299:11 Hyneman's 215:21 hyphen 92:4	I Iatan 30:15 132:11 271:16 idea 113:15 193:12 201:3,5 248:20 249:12 286:21 identical 40:6,10 40:16 268:20 268:25 269:7 IDENTIFICA... 24:3 87:12 90:25 93:17 94:24 100:4 101:5 108:6 111:5 138:10 140:20 149:14 159:9 220:24 222:11 224:1 226:17 identified 128:20 173:13 identifies 128:24 137:18 identify 172:7 174:9 identifying 173:23 ignoring 49:18 illustrate 117:20 130:24 illustration 49:23,25 50:6 50:23 52:4 immediately 56:23 immunity 82:19 95:24 impact 52:13 121:17 impacts 52:23 236:5 implement 43:5 134:19 250:14 implementation 134:20 implemented 81:15,25 82:12	83:18 85:7 94:10,12 131:4 implication 75:23 implied 50:1 104:13 implies 132:24 important 49:2 64:15 68:22 74:5,7,23 81:13 84:13 116:6 128:20 improvidence 75:20 77:1 imprudence 31:8,11 38:11 75:20 79:3 86:10 196:5 231:23 imprudent 28:15 29:8 32:7,11 35:15 36:15 37:8,10 39:3,16,20 43:19 50:22 51:8,22 52:12 53:15,19 60:4 62:2 67:7,23 67:24 68:2 69:7 70:20 71:13 85:12 163:17,20 164:1 180:2,5 194:22 195:1,3 196:3 197:5 201:18,21 202:20 206:8 206:20 207:25 208:8 209:18 212:18 213:7 216:25 218:10 218:24,25 219:1 230:11 230:16 236:20 239:6,15 248:10 251:14 251:17 259:2 263:7 272:12
--	---	---	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

291:22 imprudently 32:3 208:4 inaccurate 96:7 102:8,9 inappropriate 38:25 80:16 inception 36:1 inclined 203:1 include 26:22 27:1 40:19 66:3 123:19 175:3 178:17 222:25 223:6 224:17,23 225:4 278:10 280:6 282:20 included 46:1 60:19 91:22 92:3 107:6 161:18 162:4 167:6 171:2 176:5 191:18 281:10 includes 37:20 46:3,10 61:1 69:3 79:18 151:13 210:6 221:18 254:7 including 31:10 34:20 44:1 46:5 71:20 204:5 221:14 234:11,14 257:1 inclusion 195:6 income 155:9 incorrectly 285:5 increase 179:7 206:16 240:18 277:19 increased 32:6 47:18 80:7 85:9 increases 259:19 increasing 75:14 206:17 231:18	231:19 increment 259:19 incur 231:15 incurred 32:11 36:5 64:6,12 66:4 67:4 68:14 180:9,18 247:2,6 274:14 independent 68:7,9,10 193:22 INDEX 298:1 299:6 indicate 103:20 106:23 221:17 223:9 225:11 263:12 294:16 indicated 27:13 103:10 217:4 218:21 220:3 254:6 255:10 286:19 290:10 indicates 46:4 214:11,18 216:14 225:7 241:10 242:15 indicating 109:15 119:18 135:17 indication 66:19 indicative 55:24 57:7 indifferent 124:24 136:25 individual 177:8 industrial 25:5 71:21 industries 43:22 industry 31:17 32:4 33:7 35:2 45:6 55:9,13 55:21 59:3,20 119:25 123:21 206:1 industry's 68:12 inefficient 78:5 influence 80:15	193:9 inform 97:13 217:16 informal 46:4 informally 163:20 information 24:23 88:17 91:14 97:17 191:13,15 197:22 214:14 221:5,7 222:19 224:10 225:12 235:9 245:19 254:23 258:15 274:21 278:23 279:6 281:25 283:15 294:20 299:14 informational 278:25 informed 37:9 initial 75:19,25 76:25 initially 59:22 193:5,12 264:10 initiated 180:14 initiation 33:14 33:16 ink 99:7 input 245:16 inquiring 86:10 insensitive 29:9 30:8,12 82:11 128:10 209:19 210:3,7 insensitivity 43:9 inseparably 46:21 inside 134:24 insignificant 124:9 insisted 176:12 instance 148:20 instead 41:22 50:15 81:5,7	85:2 122:19 139:3 199:2 202:1 207:18 213:20 Institute 34:19 43:12 institutions 123:20 instrument 124:12 174:18 175:16 177:13 177:14,16 179:4 185:18 211:19 instruments 41:22 42:3 53:24 54:1,10 77:11 124:11 155:4,8 156:16 156:23 174:23 181:16 211:8 213:13 234:11 236:22 238:4,5 244:16 247:15 269:15 270:22 272:3,4 276:9 insufficient 72:7 insurance 47:19 49:12 51:1,5,7 51:8,9,21 52:2 70:25 71:1,3,4 71:9 80:20,21 80:22 81:16,19 81:24 119:10 119:25 122:2 122:22 136:18 238:22 252:12 252:15,18 275:19 integrated 279:18 intended 63:23 79:14 195:18 215:1 234:22 234:25 intent 68:18 113:11 intention 26:7	185:16 interactions 34:2 37:25 interest 145:24 263:14 interests 195:15 196:7,10 interface 57:1,3 57:4 interfere 26:20 intermission 87:8 interpretation 129:12 157:10 157:12 185:17 interpretations 177:25 interpreting 185:14 interrogatories 225:9 interrupt 152:1 292:2 interrupted 270:2 interval 72:17 73:18 intervening 256:1 intra-month 63:4 introduced 122:17 investigation 239:25 investment 80:1 investments 80:3 Investopedia 40:4 Investopedia.c... 40:1 268:16 investor-owned 71:17 204:5 229:10 invite 245:21 246:3 invited 215:5
---	---	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

involved 26:4 75:10 142:18 142:20 143:19 144:9 145:14 164:4,6 188:20 271:10 278:3 287:24 288:13	Jim 27:17 job 220:2 247:18 joint 120:23 128:13,15 131:21,22 226:9 227:4 239:19,25 240:9 241:8,10 242:24 244:9 283:7	150:19,22,25 151:4,25 152:8 152:9,14,20,23 152:25 153:9 153:12,16,20 154:3,7,12,23 156:2,7 157:23 157:25 158:12 158:21,24 159:2,4,11 160:17,20,23 161:2,8,9,12 163:1,4,7,11 164:14,21,23 165:22,25 166:4,7,9,12 166:14 170:14 170:23,25 171:6,7,10,14 171:18,20,23 178:20 179:17 179:22 180:16 180:20 181:1 183:7,9,13,14 183:25 184:1,6 184:9,10,16,20 185:2,4,6,8,11 185:19 186:2,4 186:11,15,24 186:25 187:2,7 187:11,14,18 187:21 189:2 189:18,21,25 190:5,8 191:11 194:14 214:8 220:20,22 221:22,24 222:1,4 223:14 223:16,19 225:20,22,25 226:13,15 250:9,17 252:23 253:3,7 253:10,15,19 253:23 254:1 258:22,24 260:5,6,11 261:17,20,24	262:3,4,8,11 262:15,18 292:1,5,6,15 292:18,25 293:2,8,15,19 293:24 294:4,8 294:18 295:2,7 295:8 296:5,11 296:14,19 judged 31:21 35:1 55:9 197:17 Judge's 164:8 judgment 43:7 48:16 129:1 193:15,22 255:14 July 38:2 70:17 82:8 84:15 99:16 113:13 113:20,21 114:2 jump 173:4 jumped 115:18 juncture 149:10 June 22:6 24:5 28:2 61:8 138:24 June/July 94:15 junk 173:12 jurisdiction 147:9 jurisdictions 147:18,22 just 34:8 42:6 48:8 49:8,24 53:10 54:4,12 58:21 60:7,23 61:3,5,9 62:20 70:16 78:11 81:16 86:12 95:17 97:1,19 105:18 106:2 106:13 107:20 113:19 115:1 115:14 117:8 119:14 122:19 122:20 125:23	127:9,19 128:3 129:5 132:7 133:3 135:17 138:23 147:13 152:3 153:5,18 154:4 158:3,10 161:4 169:13 173:22,23 174:24,25 176:11 177:8 180:6,16 184:10 187:12 190:6,18 191:11 194:13 195:24,25 196:7,18,25 198:21 201:7 212:12 213:20 219:1 222:20 224:11 226:10 228:24 230:14 231:18 235:11 239:5,13 246:10 247:15 264:6 265:1,25 266:4 277:10 278:14,18 280:9 281:12 281:14,25 283:15 287:6 289:17 292:10 293:1 294:9,13 294:16
<hr/> J <hr/> James 23:2 24:22 January 43:16 100:16,16,18 217:25 jargon 46:15 Jefferson 22:7 23:3,16,21 24:17 25:3 301:15 jet 40:19 jfischerpc@ao... 23:4	JR 23:14 judge 22:18 24:4 24:12,19,24 25:5,22 26:10 26:15,17 27:4 27:8,11,15 64:14,19 68:23 69:13,18,20 86:24 87:3,5 87:13,14,19,22 88:1,6 89:6,10 89:13,17,19,23 90:2,3,6,10,12 90:15,17,19,22 92:18,21,24 93:3,5,7 96:24 97:1,7,12,18 97:25 98:13 99:22,24,25 109:12 110:22 110:25 111:20 111:23 112:4,7 112:9 115:7,10 115:12,13,16 115:17,20 125:23 126:6 126:11,13,17 126:19 137:19 137:21 138:3,4 138:7 139:13 139:16,20 140:1,6,9,16 140:21 141:22 141:25 142:4 145:5 147:12 147:24 149:3,9 149:15,21			<hr/> K <hr/> K 23:24 301:5 301:20 Kang 287:2 Kansas 23:6,7,9 23:12 29:17,25 30:10 42:21,25 43:3 71:18 85:25 91:6,9 114:25 125:6,7 125:8,9 138:16 147:20 150:3 159:17 192:3 205:23 Kase 30:1,10

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

43:5 83:5,12 83:18 84:20 85:6 94:10,12 96:11 103:20 106:17 125:19 125:23 126:3 127:6,13 128:9 128:13,23,24 129:2,7 130:17 130:18,22 131:6,15,16,19 132:5 133:2 137:8 215:7 221:14 269:22 269:23 Katrina 120:14 120:15,22,24 121:13,21 125:16 130:2,7 130:10 249:22 277:13,19 Katrina's 125:14 KCPL 27:18 30:15 107:1,2 125:9 178:16 181:18 KCPL's 30:2 33:11 42:23 KCP&L 22:14 24:9 70:11 77:23 78:21 106:20 151:8 151:17 203:23 267:7 299:13 keep 136:15 195:18,23 215:2 keeping 196:5 Kellene 23:24 239:11 301:5 301:20 kept 48:6 231:18 Kevin 23:19 24:15 242:8 key 277:24,25 kilowatt 78:13 141:12 148:22	kind 48:10 105:25 127:4,4 127:5 128:3 129:10,10 132:24 229:17 245:23 249:5 273:19 kinds 281:10 knew 83:10 135:1 212:4,5 250:1 251:12 252:12,14 254:21 know 26:21,25 43:7 48:5 64:14 83:7,8 84:18 86:15,17 86:19 90:9 95:9,17 96:6 113:22 120:18 123:10 124:12 125:14 128:25 129:13 130:24 133:11,18,18 133:24 135:2,4 135:6 136:22 138:4 142:22 143:22 144:2 147:21 152:18 153:20 154:2 154:18 162:14 173:11 176:4 176:18 177:2 178:18 186:14 188:24 189:1 189:11 190:4 191:20 193:9 198:9,10,11 199:20 202:3 208:16 209:13 209:14 210:5 210:18 211:11 212:16 213:4 217:2,14,21 218:20 222:17 226:22 231:9 231:22 232:15 233:4,6,8,13	237:16 238:19 239:10 240:22 242:3,4,5 243:1 245:10 245:12,18 246:25 247:12 247:13,22 248:13,16,16 248:18 249:1 249:10 250:22 250:24 251:11 252:16 254:13 254:16 258:7 259:1,6,12,15 261:25 268:1 269:17,24 270:6,12,13 271:9,25 272:9 272:25 273:25 274:6,15,16,18 275:8,21,22 276:20,21,23 277:1,4 279:17 279:20,20 280:22 281:17 282:22 283:1 283:21 287:4 287:10 289:8,8 290:3,24 291:6 291:8,23 294:9 295:3 knowing 129:14 knowledge 68:12 89:4 92:16 96:8 108:24 125:7 150:17 160:15 165:17 189:12 215:15,16 233:15 236:8 254:10 261:12 287:5 knowledgeable 45:15 203:17 286:24 287:1 known 29:15 30:1,24 70:13 70:14 74:18,18	76:15 132:25 158:16 215:7 216:22 220:19 252:10 254:23 255:1 knows 47:21,23 67:14 210:23 254:14,17 287:4,7 K.C 153:6 <hr/> L <hr/> L 26:23 140:23 141:1 296:7 298:7 label 171:9 labeled 109:2 117:22 118:9 120:11 lack 77:6 79:4 80:18 147:10 lacks 77:16 lag 73:18,18 74:1,7,8 language 77:14 85:17 146:19 167:8,13,15,22 168:18,22,23 169:7,23 170:16 171:25 176:12 177:24 178:1 184:11 185:18,20 large 72:6 123:20,21,21 226:25 largely 49:5 larger 71:2,5 81:20 124:3 236:23 last 68:22 96:18 98:16 102:13 108:21 109:7 116:24 127:17 143:2 155:6,18 155:19 169:24 181:25 214:3,4 217:18 222:16 223:5 224:21	225:1 240:10 260:8 261:3 266:4 late 184:15 186:17 262:7 289:24 292:7 292:21 later 44:3,23 54:2 60:15 153:7 242:21 281:21 285:19 Late-filed 299:6 law 22:18 23:2 23:10 24:12 73:1,4 85:18 85:20 186:19 lawful 72:24 lawyer 197:11 lay 147:13 layman 46:17 layman's 56:15 125:24 LDC 230:7 236:13,19,23 237:18 243:3,4 243:6 245:21 LDCs 227:14 229:17,19,25 230:5 234:23 235:7,21,25 242:25 243:2 243:15,19,23 244:12 245:22 246:4,15,19 lead 193:10 leading 76:10 learning 27:24 least 43:23 57:14 153:24 199:6 205:21 206:12 206:15 207:23 225:16 234:22 242:10 245:22 246:18 247:19 247:21 248:2 270:5 294:10 leave 26:16 93:6 195:25 226:8
--	--	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

leaving 104:3	278:5 285:18	196:8 214:1	linking 112:13	locks 46:23
led 121:6 227:5	287:22 293:24	218:13 220:20	liquid 123:11	logical 61:25
ledge 30:4	level 32:21 51:24	222:8 223:23	liquidate 113:4	long 40:7 69:8
ledger 50:16	52:1 59:21	226:8,12,14	122:8	70:4 77:15
left 103:25 144:9	99:18 131:10	228:18 229:2	liquidated 82:23	80:17 105:23
203:14 294:3	137:5 225:17	235:17 240:8	liquidates 80:5	106:4 119:25
legal 23:19 31:6	228:7,22 229:6	243:8,21	liquidity 107:22	132:4 189:3
31:9 245:10	241:1 255:7	245:19 246:1,2	122:8,18 123:8	192:20 212:5
legalized 72:18	271:11 279:11	246:18 259:10	list 280:15,20,23	268:21 294:11
Legislature	279:21 290:6	261:4,25 262:1	281:7 286:9	longer 175:18
25:23 26:2	291:7,16	269:22 270:3	listed 42:19	251:2,3 292:3
73:5	levels 240:18	270:10,11,12	123:5 191:12	longstanding
Lena 27:2 53:17	Lewis 23:14	271:12 281:13	235:2	28:9 220:17
77:18 111:9	25:2	281:24	listen 26:13	long-established
187:10,24	liability 146:9	likely 37:11	lists 214:13	68:16
188:2,6 274:6	155:11	42:12 132:16	Litigation 23:25	long-term
296:22 299:9	liberty 101:8	133:22 143:24	301:6	144:19 212:2
lengthy 37:21	lieu 240:12	191:21,24	little 45:24 80:21	229:9
140:12,14	life 134:4	207:24 252:20	94:4 105:11,21	look 44:17 52:19
149:8	light 23:6,9	290:21	112:10 113:22	55:11 69:4
Leon 191:7	29:17 30:1,11	limited 234:12	117:18 126:2	74:4 93:25
254:7,14	42:22 43:1,4	limiting 240:25	126:19 127:9	94:4 99:2
less 50:14 51:20	46:6 91:9	line 92:2,3,4,6	127:23 136:14	104:10 106:11
52:5 61:24	125:7 138:16	99:7 101:12	138:6 185:12	107:7 108:20
62:3,8 119:23	192:3 205:23	107:17,20	187:13 196:22	110:1 131:22
120:1 127:7	258:15	126:25 127:4,5	230:21 236:7	132:2 133:3
227:10 242:19	like 25:20 26:13	127:12 139:2,4	240:5 250:23	153:22 157:17
267:24	34:8 35:24	141:11 143:17	285:18 286:5	169:24 172:22
lesser 124:3	49:24 51:1,3	160:3,7 166:10	292:5 293:12	175:10 194:17
let 24:21 25:7,9	54:4 60:23	168:20,21	293:13	196:15 209:14
85:21 98:15	64:16 68:6	172:24 174:1	live 119:23	218:8 221:11
105:23 164:3	69:22 70:2,25	176:6 180:15	LLC 25:16	223:4 224:15
168:4 171:12	76:24 81:4,16	180:23 190:17	LMM-1 188:13	227:2,17
196:18 198:13	83:11 84:11	207:16,21	189:16	231:16 232:16
198:24 271:23	93:13 96:22	208:2 213:18	LMM-1-1	236:25 240:5
273:11 289:20	105:25 110:20	232:3,16 261:2	188:17	246:2,10
293:12	117:8 119:5,12	261:2,3 280:12	load 41:3 42:23	268:18 271:2
letting 278:17	120:7 123:10	290:20,24	77:17,22	looked 48:23
284:23	127:4,16 128:3	lines 58:21 61:4	104:11 110:11	119:4 142:10
let's 50:1 55:11	129:10,18	61:11 101:17	local 115:5	142:24 144:5
63:5 115:20	130:2,7,19,25	128:25 129:3	128:17 227:19	153:24 181:24
138:7 140:16	132:5,11	169:19 173:5	239:22 283:9	192:22 209:10
153:1 195:24	135:10 136:4	173:20 203:21	locally 46:7	217:23 219:13
195:25 196:15	141:19 148:21	204:19	115:2,5	224:11 246:20
199:9 203:20	152:17 153:24	link 32:10	lock 82:4 132:4	264:18 281:10
226:5,5,19	154:25 156:18	linked 28:22	135:19,20	291:15,16
227:17 245:5	170:18 178:10	46:21 54:17	137:11,11,14	looking 51:2,14
253:7 271:6	179:4 190:16	56:1 60:1,12	locked 131:14	105:17 117:11

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

118:25 127:16 131:7,23 135:13 137:9 137:10 142:18 142:20,23 161:21 230:2 231:20 234:8 236:18 266:4 278:20 291:17 looks 55:19 81:4 105:24 131:20 132:5 246:1 lose 85:3 190:1 loses 259:18 loss 46:13,14 50:17,23 51:3 51:14 52:16 71:1,2,4,5 81:20 114:5,18 117:13 118:11 122:24 134:5 162:10,11,21 199:9 209:20 217:6 219:10 losses 49:5,6,7,9 49:18 52:11 53:4,12 76:22 84:18 118:21 135:12,23,24 157:12 162:1,4 163:12 164:10 179:24 218:7 218:22 231:6,9 231:15,18 232:7,25 233:4 233:5,12,14,16 234:6 248:12 248:14,18 251:16,19,20 252:3,7 256:16 259:7,14 274:13 lost 80:23 82:18 109:18,19 156:8 253:16 287:21 lot 70:4 73:13,13 81:23 85:3	115:1 116:23 128:12 136:13 149:5 173:11 178:8 194:21 226:24 233:5 238:13 245:10 255:20 270:7 271:9 278:25 279:4 281:23 284:1 Louisiana 114:24 low 78:12 84:1 84:12 105:13 105:25 128:2,6 132:1,1,2 137:5,10,11,18 144:21 195:19 279:8 lower 53:8 118:15 119:20 137:14 240:18 251:25 252:4,6 lowest 240:13,16 247:25 lunch 138:1 149:10 <hr/> <p align="center">M</p> <hr/> M 22:20 23:2 24:22 27:2 187:10,24 188:2 296:22 298:10,11 299:9 machine 126:10 macroeconomic 283:16 made 25:13 32:5 64:5 65:21 72:11 74:16,19 86:3 89:23 91:21,21 113:3 144:25 153:12 157:6,14 158:1 176:21 182:19 183:4,21 185:20 192:6 198:3 206:14	209:11 212:3 215:9 219:25 219:25 220:1 244:3 259:12 263:15 264:16 277:1,2,5,10 278:1,1 280:22 301:12 Madison 23:3,16 23:21 46:6 mag 217:19 magic 126:11 magnitude 76:19,24,24 215:21 218:12 218:20 231:6 233:3 251:20 256:15 main 23:7 91:6 107:1 150:2 159:17 maintain 65:11 146:14 169:25 maintained 97:3 106:25 123:22 major 34:9,15 130:5 majority 292:24 make 49:4,13 52:18 70:3 86:5 88:22 92:9 115:21 117:12 124:7 131:17 133:10 133:15 136:13 150:10 156:8 158:3,6,10 160:1 169:8 173:13,22 180:1 193:22 195:22 202:21 209:1,15 226:11,13 227:10 229:21 241:25 245:8 246:7 247:11 249:18 250:23 250:23 257:4	267:22 270:18 275:9 277:4 289:20 292:9 295:2 makes 29:7 75:24 123:7 152:24 167:25 175:19 237:23 242:6 256:5 265:25 making 75:20,22 109:1 124:5 153:13 175:13 200:12 229:12 231:20,23 234:9 manage 35:5 61:21 63:3,8 75:12 238:17 244:18 managed 77:4 101:16 management 45:5 54:3 74:13,17,20,22 175:5 191:18 202:21 234:18 236:1 244:17 259:22,25 267:6,8,12,17 270:16 manager 33:3 190:19 mandatory 241:13,20 242:12 manner 167:13 274:5 Mantle 27:2 45:17 54:2 77:18 111:9 132:24 187:10 187:18,24 188:2,6 189:17 190:10 210:17 221:1 222:13 224:3 226:5 247:9 253:24	253:24 254:3 260:6 264:7 286:19 296:22 299:9 Mantle's 53:18 111:12 119:13 many 40:16,22 43:24 69:24 77:24 80:14 81:12 226:11 235:3 256:5 March 76:13 84:19 99:18 101:13 145:12 181:7 margin 33:22 121:8,9,15 145:24 151:12 248:16 mark 146:6,13 174:8,11,14 175:18 188:25 marked 24:2 87:11 88:17,18 90:25 91:15,16 93:14,16 94:23 97:21 99:7 100:3 101:4 108:5 111:4 138:9,18 140:19 149:13 150:6 159:9,21 165:7 188:7 220:21,23 222:9,10 223:24,25 226:14,16 253:11 260:22 298:2 market 28:17,21 28:23,25 29:8 30:4,8,12 35:7 37:13 40:23,24 41:7,12,15 42:4,4 43:8 46:14 47:16 48:9 49:6,8,9 50:1,18,21,24
--	---	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

51:15 52:11,14 52:15 53:5,7 54:6,16 58:6 61:14,24,25 62:4,8,10,21 63:3 65:19 67:15 71:12 72:14 77:8 78:6,7,15,19 79:1,12 80:2,4 80:11,15,19 81:9 82:7,10 82:12,14 85:10 85:15 98:5,9 101:21 104:13 105:8,10,25 107:12 110:18 114:7 116:18 116:18 117:16 117:22 118:1,9 118:13 121:9 122:25 123:9 124:20 126:25 128:9,11,12,16 129:13,14,15 129:16 130:1 130:21,22 131:2,14 134:5 134:5,15,16 135:20,22 136:17 146:7 146:14 148:22 174:8,11,15,20 175:15,19 179:6 198:16 198:21,22 199:3,7,7,11 199:14,17 200:5,9 204:8 204:20,24 205:4,7,12,18 205:22,24 207:18 209:19 210:3,7,18,20 210:23 211:3 212:10,11,12 213:20 217:25 218:1,15	229:15 231:3,4 233:14 234:17 236:1,14 237:5 237:14 238:3,6 239:20 240:17 240:19,19,25 241:2 242:20 247:16 251:25 255:8 257:5,18 259:17,20 263:9 271:24 273:12 277:8,8 278:18 284:23 marketer 271:23 278:17 marketplace 129:14 131:8 237:12 238:9 markets 28:20 28:21 32:23 34:24 40:17 43:22 45:11,19 52:23 54:17 55:7 56:1 58:20 62:2,7 99:18 128:22 234:16 277:23 278:1 283:24 284:1 market's 248:21 Mary 82:21 match 168:10 169:2,7 matches 169:20 169:22 mate 287:5 material 292:13 Matt 220:12 225:11 254:7 254:19,21 matter 22:10 24:7 25:20 66:1 76:12 106:13 137:2 158:5 170:20 232:24 matters 27:9 97:14 295:9	Matthew 191:7 225:8 Maxom 154:1 may 27:16 29:4 49:21 50:20 56:23 62:16 64:9,17 69:20 83:21 88:1,7 90:3,18,22,23 94:22 98:1,12 98:13 109:11 109:12 115:16 137:22 139:20 140:6,21,22 145:4,5 148:20 149:3,22 151:4 151:23 154:22 154:23 159:4 159:12,12 161:5 162:21 164:15,16,21 164:22 169:16 171:16 181:23 184:16 187:9 187:21 190:6 190:18 196:3 201:1,1 203:24 214:7,8 217:3 219:7 240:12 256:24 259:20 260:8,11 263:3 263:4 265:18 265:20 267:14 271:3,3,4,18 271:19,20 272:23 279:19 285:4 287:1,2 287:3,5 292:8 293:8 294:12 294:13 maybe 106:4,4 109:1 124:3 127:17 148:19 161:9 171:15 242:9 258:9 277:20 293:13 McCLOWRY 23:19	MCF 141:13 McLowry 24:16 140:14 141:24 142:6 145:4,6 145:9,10 147:13,15,23 149:7 150:24 151:5,6 154:16 154:22,24 155:25 158:14 158:15,20 160:22 296:8 296:10,12 mean 51:22 58:10 95:16 123:8 124:8 129:6,10 132:13 151:25 157:8 172:1 176:3 177:6 200:5 210:13 210:22 230:16 238:12 239:6 239:14 248:6 251:20 270:12 271:25 273:13 274:21 281:20 281:22 means 56:15 58:10 104:2 109:24 205:2 257:20 292:7 measured 101:24 measures 168:4 mechanics 43:18 46:17 54:20 mechanism 34:7 36:7 64:2 66:21 73:3,10 mechanisms 234:11 241:4 meet 31:5 32:8 33:6 47:22 72:7 199:6 206:12 247:19 meets 58:3 megawatt 41:3	50:2,8,10,10 50:14 72:4,9 77:24 109:19 109:20,22 110:11 117:23 118:2 119:3 megawatts 71:23,24,25 72:1,2 78:9 Meghan 23:19 24:16 member 43:14 220:13 members 43:23 97:16 123:19 123:19 215:6 284:13 membership 153:19 mention 35:24 36:22 38:7 82:2 264:16 mentioned 44:22 56:19 114:22 163:10 163:22 164:9 197:7 276:10 mentions 234:17 Mercantile 280:3 merely 31:10 48:10 merger 106:20 147:9 157:2 message 152:3 met 32:12 155:10 207:17 method 34:12 41:21 42:15 47:19 55:16 57:21,22,22 201:15,25 202:2,17 206:11 232:25 233:2 259:16 266:22,23 methodology 279:14
--	--	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

methods 41:23 211:4	Mills 23:14 25:1 25:2,18,22 26:13,16	mitigate 28:16 33:4 50:25 67:22 71:1,5 77:11 80:7	money 39:4 49:4 49:13 50:21 52:24,25 73:14 73:21 74:2,24 74:25,25 75:6 79:18 80:23 85:3 114:5,6,9 114:14 117:14 117:15 128:4 136:14,14,16 174:24,25 213:14,16 216:18,19,23 230:15 238:22 239:5,14 259:18	200:5 204:6,23 205:3 206:6,13 230:6,18 236:21 240:6 251:19 257:19 259:3 267:24 271:17 286:5 287:5,10 293:20
Michael 45:14	mind 189:7	81:20,22 147:4 201:13,19,22 201:24 227:16 227:22 228:6 228:21 229:5,8 234:24,25 235:8,22 236:5 237:6,13 263:7 271:14 273:20 275:20	Montgomery 88:13	morning 24:4 27:16 142:7,8 245:15 293:16 294:1,9 295:11
micro 283:16	255:18 258:10 261:8 281:15 286:7 292:6	mitigated 53:10 80:24 203:25 277:11	month 82:9 95:22,22 102:15 105:22 113:23 117:2 118:8 120:5 131:1,5 143:2 221:5	most 45:15,25 106:3,3 131:24 143:24 178:14 179:2 191:21 203:17 206:11 237:25 252:20 286:23
microphone 99:23	minimum 242:16	mitigates 204:3	monthly 62:6,15 101:25 102:10 102:12 104:24 112:20 116:1 117:4 127:1 191:14	motive 125:12
mid 34:19 43:13 84:14 103:2,3	minimums 242:18	mitigating 67:16 74:8 202:17	months 56:5 60:15 72:18 73:22 81:21 99:19 127:17 132:9,13,15,17 133:14 221:5 271:18	move 40:7 50:19 61:6 63:5 83:24,25 89:7 92:18 105:14 110:21 111:18 139:10 141:19 150:19 160:17 165:19 223:14 225:20 253:11 253:12 268:22
Midas 104:10 249:14	misleading 77:9	mitigation 45:11 48:22 74:6 79:25 120:21 241:12	more 27:21 28:19 42:12,22 43:16 53:21 62:16 70:9 73:21 76:8 77:23 78:7,11 78:11 86:11 112:10 118:24 127:4,7 131:23 149:7 175:21	movement 58:14 58:15 82:24
middle 94:5 127:5,6 128:2 128:2 141:12 231:23	MISO 68:10	MMBtu 50:5,17 84:15 99:12,13 99:14 104:15		movements 40:3 40:11 41:19 268:13,25 269:11
Midwest 23:25 68:8 301:6	misrepresents 79:17	MMBtus 50:2,3		moves 40:25 99:14
might 47:25 75:9 80:20 81:12 83:6 106:1,12 127:10 128:4 128:14 129:4 129:11,17 138:5 215:25 253:12 277:3,3 279:17 280:9 283:14 291:10 293:2	Mississippi 46:6 Missouri 22:1,7 22:15 23:20,22 24:10,16,18 25:4 27:18 30:21 39:15 45:9 57:8 70:11 71:18 72:19,24 73:5 76:15 91:7 147:19 150:3 153:6 155:19 159:18 165:4 178:10,12 188:4 192:3 203:23 204:5 204:25 205:22 212:1,16 239:21 258:18 259:4 260:18 283:3 301:2,15	MO 23:3,7,12,16 23:21		moving 50:18 105:10 117:8,9 117:9 126:4 127:5,9 135:22
million 37:19 41:3,4 59:22 59:24 60:22 67:12 68:19,20 70:21,22 71:9 72:4,9,9,10 75:5 76:22 81:21,23 82:18 82:25,25 83:2 97:20,20,24 110:10,10,12 118:11,17 134:13,13 162:4,21,22 202:25 208:15 208:16,24 209:2,9,21 218:7 232:7 233:20 259:10 263:24 282:16	Missouri's 128:17	model 103:12,13 104:10 105:2,8 105:10,11 106:7,9,14 125:19 249:14 249:15 269:24 270:1 284:21		MPSC 155:12
	mistake 52:19 209:1	models 106:10		much 69:9,12 75:8 77:18 78:6 81:20 83:20 86:23
	misunderstan... 62:18	modest 79:10		
		modified 29:24 208:18 263:18		
		modifying 241:12		
		moment 35:10 38:17 75:2 76:4 161:4 292:10		
		Monday 245:15		

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

90:16 99:21 104:10 118:15 130:15 137:20 138:4 149:4 158:24 159:1 159:11 164:15 187:8 193:9 200:8 206:13 207:9,18 217:20,22 229:11,14 281:21,21 292:3 multiple 68:18 117:7 256:7 municipal 71:21 music 152:13 must 26:17 32:1 32:2,6,8 71:16 72:14 75:22 185:17 197:25 mute 156:6 muted 190:6 myriad 277:2 myself 26:14 107:9 109:1 187:13 253:16 271:24 287:6 M-a-x-o-m 154:1 M-o-n-t-g-o-m... 88:13 <hr/> <p align="center">N</p> <hr/> N 296:1 name 24:11 25:2 27:17 45:8 88:10,12 91:3 91:5 138:13 140:25 149:25 153:25 159:15 165:1 172:22 188:1,14 260:15,16 names 281:13 Natelle 191:18 242:7 Nathan 191:20 native 42:23	77:16 natural 28:10,16 28:21,23 30:17 30:24 32:18,22 33:1,3 34:11 34:24 35:3,7 35:15 37:2 38:22 39:17,21 40:9,14 41:10 41:18 42:15 43:1,22,25 44:6,10,14,16 44:20,25 45:12 45:18,23,24 46:11 47:3,8 47:13,17 48:20 48:21,22 50:3 50:4,9,17,18 52:6 53:23 54:5,16 55:7 55:25 56:4,7 56:13,17 57:1 57:7 58:2,8,11 58:15,18 59:6 60:2,4,8,12 61:6,14,17 62:14,22 63:18 63:21 65:13,17 65:21,22,23,24 66:16 67:7,9 67:21 68:4 71:10 72:1 75:13 76:6 77:11 79:7,10 80:3,4,6,11 82:4 84:13,23 98:5,9 99:11 99:16,20 100:15 101:20 102:17 104:16 107:5,19 115:4 116:1 117:4 118:1 120:8,21 121:3,7,7,10 121:14,17 123:6,9 124:12 128:15,17 129:18 130:4	132:20 133:7 135:10 136:2 136:12 146:1 147:4 148:18 148:25 163:16 167:18 168:10 169:2,13,17,20 175:4 178:15 178:16 179:3,8 192:17 193:23 194:11 198:20 199:10,16 200:9,15 202:1 203:4,7 211:12 211:17 212:9 212:18 213:8 215:13,18 216:9 218:4 221:6,8 223:5 223:11 224:22 225:4,15,17 226:6,19,24,25 227:3,4,10,19 227:21,22,23 228:3,3,6,7 230:5,6,14,19 230:20,23,23 231:3,14 232:5 234:9,22,23,24 235:7,15,21,25 236:9,12,13 237:10,11,20 238:3,4 239:3 239:20,21 241:12,19 242:25 243:20 244:6,6 248:21 248:23 249:23 251:23 263:7 264:14 265:14 265:15 266:13 266:14,18 267:18,23 268:2,4,24 269:6,10 272:16,20 273:2,9,18 274:3 276:9	277:14 278:7 278:12,24 279:13 283:7,8 283:12 284:4,8 284:11,18 285:2,7,12,25 286:11,16 291:21 299:18 299:21 nature 185:15 260:1 292:10 near 265:6 nearly 70:21 78:3 84:14 124:1 Nebraska 144:20 necessarily 135:15 180:2,5 230:11 239:16 277:22 necessary 247:21 need 27:9 47:5 47:10,21 50:5 64:14 79:20 86:22 88:22 91:20,21 92:9 117:25 118:3,6 127:12,15 136:23 150:10 160:1 187:19 199:6 200:8 206:12 226:10 252:13 263:3 271:20,21 290:20 292:13 needed 26:1 42:20 46:9 51:21 76:9 117:23,23 128:21 168:22 199:20 202:4 218:8 needs 46:25 73:21 78:11 81:6,6,9 90:11 117:24 207:10	207:17 247:20 negative 65:3 145:23 231:19 net 51:14 52:11 52:16 136:1 155:9 162:10 162:10,11,12 Networks-MPS 146:2 neutral 84:2 131:14 195:5 257:12,15 neutrality 130:21,22 never 35:14 52:22 63:23 66:17 74:2 77:4 85:1 183:7 194:6 213:2 219:16 219:16 233:11 234:3 277:10 289:11 new 29:5,7 68:7 68:10 76:11 81:6,9 83:6,16 105:25 121:14 121:21,24 181:12 188:16 188:17 189:16 next 66:8 110:13 123:2 137:25 149:17 159:4 177:21 184:16 187:9 214:22 223:4 224:21 225:1 240:15 240:23 249:21 263:11 270:22 271:15 285:9 nexus 32:10 Nice 93:11 nicely 134:24 night 98:17 nine 99:19 127:17 none 96:14 110:25 111:23
---	--	---	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

141:25 150:25 163:6 165:25 189:21 222:4 223:19 225:25 253:19 261:19 261:20 280:24 Nonunanimous 64:25 167:2 168:8 170:17 172:2 214:1 298:21 non-accounta... 112:11 168:3 normal 105:13 105:25 109:5 127:8 128:3 242:19 NOS 24:2 87:11 89:15 90:24 93:1 97:10 111:2 159:8 160:25 253:21 note 49:2 79:8 81:13 97:1,19 294:4 noted 66:6 notes 78:20 301:12 noteworthy 78:1 nothing 84:1 186:9 218:1 276:13 notice 64:17,20 noticed 25:19 noting 122:16 November 28:2 28:6 61:8 221:6 nowhere 85:14 NP 91:17 92:19 150:6 159:22 160:19 188:8 189:16 260:22 261:15,22 265:24 NPPD 144:20 nuclear 30:18,19 76:14	number 42:18 56:14 72:21,23 77:3 97:24 123:20 125:18 152:4,5,13,15 153:17 158:2 171:11,16 181:12 189:1 208:23 209:8 218:7 219:25 220:1,1 221:23 234:13 255:21 263:19 numbered 261:2 numbers 41:5 52:3 95:15 96:6 104:15 117:20 118:22 162:14 184:8 221:12 287:21 287:22 numerous 34:20 37:25 NYMEX 28:21 35:7 54:16 56:7,13 58:18 59:6 60:11 61:14 62:22 116:16 120:8 123:18,18,24 124:13 179:4 235:16 274:4 276:3 277:6 278:16 280:1 284:21 285:12 299:18,21 <hr/> O <hr/> oath 143:11 173:9 253:25 294:2 object 185:9 250:10 objection 25:3 87:21 89:10,12 97:4 110:24 111:22 141:24 147:10 160:22 165:24 183:5	185:12,25 186:1,18 189:4 189:20 222:3 223:18 253:18 258:20 261:17 295:4 objections 87:20 92:21,23 96:24 110:22 111:20 139:13,15 141:22 150:23 150:24 160:20 165:22 186:25 187:1,4 189:3 189:18 222:1 223:16 225:22 253:17 262:9 295:4 objective 195:10 240:16 obligation 31:4 269:21 obtain 64:21 78:7 87:15 240:11 obvious 44:17 obviously 42:1 152:13 153:7 233:9 244:10 occurred 32:4 211:24 255:22 277:13 occurs 256:13 October 59:1 83:19 94:6,9 94:12 99:1 136:4,5 242:21 off 26:18 156:5 162:14 200:8 213:19 243:5 244:8 264:11 294:3 offer 64:22 96:22 171:3,6 184:4 189:15 261:14 262:1,7 262:8 269:25 279:3	offered 84:12 offering 96:25 office 23:15,17 24:17,25 25:1 25:25 301:14 officer 23:11 33:12 139:1 offset 28:23 46:13 47:1,18 49:5 55:16 57:21 58:14 114:8 117:15 117:25 118:21 118:25 134:8 135:23,24 136:16 179:7 199:16 231:3 259:21 offsetting 40:2 49:19 50:17 52:17 146:8 207:22 219:4 238:23 268:12 off-system 179:15 217:20 often 112:18 115:22 oh 94:4 102:7,25 115:12 121:16 127:21 129:23 129:23 130:5 135:2 137:8 oil 40:9,15,20 230:20 268:23 269:5,9 oil-fired 72:2 okay 26:15 63:5 81:1 86:21 87:19 90:13 91:25 93:19,22 93:25 94:17,21 95:9 96:2,10 96:14,22 98:12 100:14,21 101:2 102:2,5 102:10,16,20 103:4,16,19 104:18,25	106:6 107:23 108:20 109:1,4 109:16 110:1 110:13 111:15 114:20 115:3,7 115:17 116:4 120:7,20 126:16 130:17 133:10 143:11 143:16 144:2,6 144:11 148:10 154:3,21 155:2 158:20,24 161:20 162:25 166:12 168:7 169:10 170:21 173:8,22 176:6 177:10 179:16 180:14 184:5 190:16,23 191:1,5 192:9 192:14,24 194:5 196:18 198:24 199:25 203:20 207:3 209:10 212:22 215:1,11 216:2 218:21 219:21 220:12 226:7 232:16 235:18 238:12 240:8 240:23 243:7 243:25 251:1 251:14 263:3 264:6 265:3,11 266:8 268:8 270:2,15,19 273:19 274:2 275:5 276:21 278:5 283:2 285:3,9,18,20 287:12,16,23 288:2,16 289:10,20 290:3 292:15 okayed 191:22 old 84:21 152:13 older 30:17
---	--	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

once 70:13 85:5 105:24 191:24 one 27:21 28:8,8 35:11 44:2 53:2 55:15,16 60:23 62:19 70:7 72:25 79:25 94:5 99:3 109:9,14 112:22,23 117:6,7 119:1 119:13,18 120:11 123:9 123:25 124:20 128:21 135:23 141:11 143:21 143:25 146:23 153:10,23,24 154:13 157:23 158:14 160:2 161:10 163:12 166:16 167:17 174:5 175:17 175:18 192:14 194:20 199:21 211:14 214:4 216:8 231:17 239:1 249:3 255:24 256:9 261:1 262:6 267:13 268:10 276:10 278:15 279:6,9 283:5 284:22 285:11 288:15 289:5 294:10 ones 96:17 280:21 one's 40:2 74:13 268:11 281:23 one-third 29:20 29:24 30:3,7 82:1,2 84:8,9 84:21 96:15,19 103:21,24 104:3 130:19 130:20,21 131:13	ongoing 80:17 online 268:15 only 41:22 44:3 44:9 51:2,14 60:6 75:24 78:21 79:6 85:10,23 105:9 119:4 127:17 131:25 135:13 141:13 147:20 175:4 188:23 192:2 219:19 231:9,11 233:13 249:10 256:12 262:6 263:25 272:22 272:22 273:3 275:6 277:5 onto 71:15 126:11 on-peak 35:5,9 55:25 56:25 58:7,12 61:15 61:18,22 62:23 63:8 71:12 78:15 79:11 80:2,11 83:10 83:14 85:15 104:12,14 107:12 121:19 146:1 169:18 210:24 213:1 257:5 on-source 40:1 on-system 167:17 on-the-record 172:3,5,10 184:3 open 92:6 242:18 opening 26:11 26:14 27:12 69:18 70:6 72:9 87:6 98:21 107:8 118:20 208:19 296:1,2	operate 78:4 279:19 operates 41:13 54:7 256:6 operating 33:12 73:15 138:25 operation 25:13 55:4 operations 22:15 24:10 27:19 70:12 147:19,21 155:10 188:15 203:23 Operator 68:7,9 68:11 opinion 32:24 57:10 81:23 176:25 235:11 258:17 opportunistic 127:22 opportunities 49:10 83:25 84:12,24,25 85:2 103:9 opportunity 85:3 128:7 143:13 144:19 opposed 81:14 122:14 215:17 216:9 247:1,5 opposite 50:16 60:7 94:9 240:20 249:24 opposition 216:4 optimal 137:12 option 145:24,25 206:15 230:2 261:3 271:3,12 271:13 276:8 276:11 options 63:2 71:11 82:5 107:19 234:14 234:16 247:13 270:6,6 271:8 272:5 274:17	order 26:1 31:25 35:21 36:3 39:3 47:1 57:16 64:8,9 64:17,18,21 66:6,7,25 74:8 75:16 87:15,16 87:16 145:6,11 145:19 157:15 157:16 167:3,5 167:13,16 169:11 174:7 176:13 181:5 181:19 182:25 202:16 208:14 263:13 298:17 298:17 ordered 168:24 185:22 orders 38:10 48:24 63:11 64:5 155:12 196:13 organization 43:21 organized 41:15 54:6 67:15 187:13 210:18 211:3 original 82:25 95:11 97:19,19 209:1 264:7 originally 263:12 Orleans 121:14 121:21,24 other 31:2 32:1 33:19 34:15,15 39:19 40:22 43:9 44:4 46:5 48:24 52:10 53:23 63:2 68:6 71:22 84:3 86:7 87:6 92:9 104:6 107:5 109:6,18 114:8 115:8 118:18,21	119:1 122:24 123:5,7 127:20 135:24,24 136:3,13,24 143:18,21 147:9,17,20,22 158:12 160:8 163:13 164:13 167:20 172:12 174:5 176:11 178:10,12,21 186:25 187:1 193:8 194:7,20 196:8 199:18 200:4,6,7 202:5 204:24 209:15 211:5 211:18,25 213:4,21 216:18 219:11 221:7 225:2 229:9 234:17 235:25 238:2 245:9 251:24 258:18 261:5 267:16 272:4 273:11 274:1,3 276:5,5,8,8 277:2,7 279:18 282:7 284:13 284:22 285:23 286:11 287:11 292:8 293:6,14 295:4,9 others 172:15 otherwise 38:25 132:20 194:3 other's 199:20 ourself 127:13 out 41:15 42:8 48:11 49:20 50:12,21 52:24 60:23 71:3 72:16 74:25 81:3 83:6 85:6 85:13 86:21 95:1 97:16 98:16 102:4
---	--	---	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

108:8 112:24 112:25 113:1,2 113:5,14 114:3 117:25 118:6 118:23 120:25 122:22 123:1 123:12 127:16 127:19 129:6 131:20,22,23 132:2,9,17 136:24 143:24 144:10 148:20 148:21 152:4,5 167:22 170:15 177:11,24 182:24 185:12 203:11 215:23 216:19 226:9 227:7 230:15 239:5,14 247:13 249:14 249:17 269:18 273:2,23 274:10,18 275:2 277:15 279:18 284:23 287:6 294:8,10 outguess 129:15 129:16 outlined 86:12 outside 32:16 43:4 45:3 73:11 127:11 266:24 270:3 outsourcing 234:15 out-of-the-ma... 134:1 over 24:12 34:2 37:15,22 38:16 43:23 45:22 71:24,25 72:4 76:22 81:5,21 87:14 126:10 132:6 133:15 164:10 173:5 173:25 181:24 191:6 222:22	224:14 241:8 279:5 overcame 76:4 overcome 75:22 overexposure 79:1 80:19 overhedged 75:13 overly 29:8 30:8 77:17 78:14 82:16 85:7 209:19,25 210:7 overruns 76:18 76:19,25 oversees 33:22 55:4 oversight 192:11 overstate 170:12 oversupply 277:20,21 over-reliance 77:8 over-the-coun... 234:16 own 48:16 58:16 68:11 72:11 77:7,16 78:4 79:5 119:15 191:15 206:13 280:1,3 owned 207:18 ownership 203:25 204:3 owns 71:23 o'clock 293:23 <hr/> <p align="center">P</p> <hr/> page 28:18 58:17,21 59:12 60:24,25 64:11 76:16 92:2,6 93:25 94:5 95:19 99:3,3 103:20 106:19 107:7,17 108:1 108:3 110:2,9 122:6 123:2 124:16 139:2	141:11 143:7 144:8 145:18 155:1,17 160:2 160:7 167:25 172:23 173:5 173:12,14,15 174:1,14 176:7 184:8 188:12 190:17 203:21 204:18 207:15 207:21 214:10 214:17,18 221:10 222:22 224:15 225:7 231:25 232:16 239:18 240:9 241:8 242:15 261:2 263:6 265:6,7,9,10 265:17,19 266:5 280:12 290:19,21 pages 184:12,13 203:22 210:9 226:11 paid 51:4,9 52:8 75:5 80:7,23 81:3 85:9 paper 126:7,10 papers 56:5 60:19 280:6,25 282:21 286:10 paragraph 99:6 99:9 109:2,4,5 145:18,21 155:7,18 167:3 214:11 240:10 265:12 266:5 paragraph's 145:18 parallel 135:22 Pardon 99:24 paren 92:6,7 parentheses 242:18,21 parenthesis 169:17 parol 185:10,15	186:11 295:3 part 29:17 63:19 65:4 73:4 95:18 105:16 117:19 139:3 146:3 176:2 190:24 192:5 227:7,21 228:2 228:16,25 234:23 249:2 283:10 participate 215:6 285:23 participated 43:15 287:19 particular 30:22 33:10 34:16 37:23 48:13 50:6 51:13 54:13 56:18 88:16 106:24 176:17 182:16 182:20 191:2 266:23 267:21 269:24 281:9 particularly 78:15 216:4 parties 25:13 27:12 31:2 32:1 33:19 65:2 66:8 97:13,15 134:18 137:24 145:22 153:25 158:13 167:8 169:1 177:25 185:16,21 189:3 241:11 241:17 242:11 242:15 292:18 partly 215:21 party 32:8 87:6 123:13,14,17 153:12,14 195:5 239:24 pass 42:6 152:4 219:18 passed 25:23	49:8 63:10,24 66:20 73:10 212:13 247:16 259:11 passes 73:18 passing 48:11 77:12 past 29:19 33:20 38:11,15 53:3 63:11 98:5 152:18 220:9 221:5 233:11 257:18 291:12 patience 69:9 70:7 252:21 pay 42:13 71:2,8 80:12,21 81:23 118:16 119:24 123:1 124:7 136:18 206:18 paying 81:20 230:6 payment 51:7 peak 47:22 99:12 peculiar 106:24 penalize 207:10 penalized 68:19 penalty 123:12 124:9 Penntower 23:11 people 73:13 147:20 254:4 254:10 287:1 292:8,24 per 26:17 41:4 50:2,8,10,14 50:17 78:13 84:14 99:12,13 99:14 109:18 109:19 110:12 119:3 141:12 141:13 percent 41:5 45:21 56:16 57:25 58:8 72:13 78:22,24
--	--	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

78:24,25 99:15 99:16,17 106:14,15 110:14 119:23 119:24 120:1 134:9,12,16,22 134:23 242:19 percentage 106:6,7 240:19 percentages 105:19 perception 241:2 perfect 59:11,15 59:18 perform 46:20 performed 59:25 198:1 performs 220:7 perhaps 100:7 126:7 130:18 152:5,18 157:6 223:9 245:22 258:9 279:11 period 28:2 33:10 45:22 48:13 51:13 53:9 56:11,18 56:23,24 58:4 58:6,24 59:1 61:7,8,9,9,10 61:19 69:5 74:2 75:3 76:23 78:3 82:23 94:14 100:22,24 101:9,11,21,23 103:4 127:15 129:19 130:3 161:19,19 162:3 192:22 192:23 202:20 206:10 207:13 209:21 217:22 222:16,19 224:10 251:9 275:25 277:13 periods 161:14	161:17,20,22 161:25 162:6,9 162:12,13,21 211:24 231:22 permit 54:7 permitted 168:10 169:2 person 28:22 122:24 173:23 197:25 198:6 198:10,11,14 198:19,25 199:4,9,12 200:13,20 201:13 203:17 232:18,21 255:4,7 286:24 287:11,12 personal 53:19 personally 33:18 121:25 164:6 193:18 201:18 202:11,14 210:18 289:11 301:7 personnel 36:19 43:6 persons 191:1,5 perspective 41:17,24 53:20 65:25 130:9,13 135:16 195:9 195:17,21 197:11 205:12 211:2,8 213:19 229:24 237:9 245:14 276:18 Peterson 23:10 153:5 PGA 30:16 128:16 239:20 243:12 246:1,6 246:10,10 PGS 34:22 43:17 43:20,24 44:8 54:19 194:10 278:5,10,22 philosophy	144:16,23 phone 152:2,3,4 190:1,6 phones 26:19 phrase 109:6 physical 46:13 47:6,12,16 49:5,9,19 50:15 51:17 52:8,14,20 53:7 58:6 61:25 62:4,8 63:3 112:13 115:2 117:16 117:22 118:13 130:1 134:5,16 136:17 174:21 174:23 175:2,6 175:10,20 physically 46:25 pick 169:16 253:23 294:2 picture 60:21,24 117:18 121:1 126:1,2,5 piece 64:15 126:10 130:22 174:8,12 176:4 pieces 117:7 130:24 160:1 160:11 place 43:7 73:4 73:7 83:17 106:1,3,4 119:14 125:10 128:4,5 129:6 130:10 132:3,8 135:19 144:21 152:5 176:11 201:10,11 225:2 249:18 301:9,13 placed 37:11 63:24 67:4 96:11,14,19 100:25 101:22 103:6 113:12 131:24 134:14	193:20 places 57:5 83:24 84:3 158:2 placing 30:5 35:18 43:10 137:16,18 Plains 29:16 70:15 plan 26:14 30:6 36:22 95:17 146:3 221:14 221:14 265:15 266:21,24 267:2,5,6,8,12 267:13,17,22 268:2,4,6,6,7 288:4,7,20,23 planning 33:2 192:1 228:2,17 229:1 234:9 247:19 248:2 plans 192:2 215:2,8 290:4 290:25 291:11 plant 30:18,19 76:14 132:10 132:11,15 133:8 144:22 206:24 207:5 211:22,23 212:6 271:4 273:14 plants 41:24 53:21 167:18 207:17 218:4 230:19,20,20 230:23 238:15 play 48:8 198:15 199:14 213:20 247:16 played 37:12 42:3 198:21,21 199:2,2 212:12 236:14 players 123:21 playing 81:8 82:11	please 26:18 27:16 62:24 69:21 88:4,6 88:10 91:3 99:10,23 108:24 126:22 138:13 140:25 143:7 149:17 149:21,25 153:11 159:15 165:1 172:7 173:14,25 174:1,6,9 176:7,15,22 184:2 188:1 224:14 260:15 plummet 129:18 plummeting 251:23 plural 92:8 plus 52:15 263:13 pockets 75:7 podium 93:9 point 41:14 48:2 54:18 61:13 62:19,25 65:19 74:23 75:19 80:13 83:6 105:24 109:14 116:15,17,19 116:20 126:18 130:24 133:11 135:3 145:17 152:21 154:3 161:15 164:6 177:11 179:1 190:2 208:17 212:6 214:18 216:25 217:12 218:14 241:9 242:15 247:14 285:11 293:20 293:23,25 pointblank 155:23 157:7 157:15 pointed 71:3
---	--	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

72:16 86:20 points 77:3 85:13 214:14 217:14 273:2 pol 249:3 policies 196:12 249:18 policy 48:19,21 214:19,23,24 217:17,22 218:13 227:19 228:14 229:17 229:23 242:11 244:13,21,23 245:1,12 266:25 Pool 41:12 68:7 121:12,19 popular 283:21 283:23 portfolios 228:4 228:19 229:3 portion 44:24 70:19,21 79:8 82:9 130:21 175:14 259:21 264:15,16 portions 278:15 Portland 46:7 position 25:19 25:21 29:1,3,6 30:12 37:10 39:1 40:2,2,7,9 40:14 41:20 49:17 54:15 59:9 60:11 63:17,17 64:4 68:2 71:13 76:21 77:15 78:10 80:1,6 80:14 81:11 113:4,14 114:3 138:23 142:15 147:16 151:10 151:16 168:6,6 168:17,17 175:24 178:1 186:6 199:5	200:6,8 205:20 205:25 206:22 208:19 209:2 209:17 212:23 247:14 249:24 258:10 259:2 259:13 263:19 264:8,9 268:12 268:12,21,23 269:6 270:13 274:21 275:9 positions 82:20 82:22 84:17 112:24 113:7 positive 58:23 59:6 65:3 81:18 145:23 272:24 positively 203:4 272:16,17 273:7 possibility 51:23 71:2,5 80:24 possible 40:14 62:14 152:18 195:19 203:6,9 220:16 254:21 256:8 269:5,8 272:18 273:7 274:12 possibly 249:9 267:11,16 Post 24:17 25:3 potential 114:18 potentially 179:15 power 23:6,9 28:12,17,20,24 29:17 30:1,11 30:18,19 32:20 33:5 34:11,13 34:19 36:16 37:4 38:20 39:16,21 41:3 41:11,12,24,25 42:4,6,8,14,17 42:22,25,25 43:4,12 46:6,7	46:19 47:1,4,7 47:9,12,16,25 48:9 50:5 51:19 52:9 53:7,21,22 54:12,16 57:6 58:3 60:5 63:21 65:4,19 66:16 67:15,17 67:23,25 68:7 69:1,2,3 71:12 72:6,10,11,15 77:9,12,18,22 77:23 78:7,12 78:15,16,18,23 79:2,6,12,15 79:18,18,19,21 79:23 80:2,4,8 80:12 82:3,7 83:10,14,15 85:10,15 91:9 92:2 104:13,14 107:2,3,4,6,12 109:19,22 110:5,11,18 120:4 121:12 121:19,19 125:7 132:10 132:15 133:11 133:21 138:16 144:4,18,20,21 146:2,4 147:1 147:8,17 148:6 148:15 162:17 167:20 168:1 168:11 169:2,8 169:12,18,21 169:22 170:7,8 170:10,12 176:4,5 178:9 178:11,13,17 179:24 192:3 192:20 193:24 198:8,17,20 199:16,19 200:2,15 201:14,20,24 202:13,18	203:7 204:7,13 205:9,23,25 206:6,9,20 207:4,9,17 208:4,8 210:24 210:24 211:22 211:23 212:1,2 213:9,13 215:14,24 221:14,19 222:24 223:1,7 223:12 224:16 224:18,24 225:5,15,18 228:19 229:3,9 230:25 237:6 237:13 238:14 238:15 243:22 247:3,7,13,16 249:15 252:4,8 254:22 257:6 257:18 258:18 259:17 264:15 267:20,24 268:3,5 269:14 271:4 272:2,19 273:8,13 300:2 PPAs 211:5 229:9 247:13 practice 37:12 38:18,24 39:3 53:14 66:14 67:19 68:16 156:24 176:9 176:10 217:9 220:5,9 practices 31:17 77:9 227:2 preceding 56:5 precipitous 84:16 102:17 precipitously 84:24 precluded 257:20 predicted 104:7 prefer 262:7 preference	138:3 292:19 292:23 294:15 preferred 67:1 prefers 294:5 prefiled 29:11 132:22 209:24 210:2,5 preliminary 27:9 premarked 138:18 141:5 premium 51:4,9 51:13 71:4 80:23 81:20 169:17 premiums 145:24,25 preparation 172:4 280:16 291:9 prepare 165:6 prepared 27:6 111:9 165:7 188:7,7,16 260:20,21 preparers 181:14 preparing 85:21 present 27:5 76:21 107:8 156:4 195:18 301:7 presentation 44:25 172:5,10 294:12 presented 31:15 34:21 43:13 84:24 258:9 290:1 presenting 32:14 presents 61:16 president 138:24,25 presiding 22:17 24:12 presumed 197:13
--	--	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

presuming 140:2	116:8,10,12,13 116:15,20,22	284:22 285:2,7 285:12 286:1	249:10,15,21 249:23 251:23	266:23 proceed 27:12
presumption 30:19,22 75:21 76:5,20 77:1	117:8,9 118:15 119:11 120:9 120:21 121:7,9	286:12 299:19 299:22 prices 32:22	251:23 252:4,6 252:8,10,12 266:19 268:21	88:7 90:23 98:1 140:22 149:22 151:4
pretty 75:8	121:12,17,20	35:4 40:7,22	272:16,18	159:12 161:5
prevailing 42:5 48:10	122:15,22 124:7,11	41:7 42:12 45:22,23,24,25	273:3 274:3 275:20,21	164:22 187:22 190:7
previous 36:3 37:5 64:5	126:25 127:8 127:10,22	47:18,20,23,24 48:5,7,15	276:3,5 277:6 277:14,22	proceeding 25:15 32:13
186:6 196:13 224:12 264:21 290:4,25	128:1,2 129:24 129:25 132:1 135:20 136:19	49:13 50:18 51:25 53:24 55:19,25 56:4	pricing 44:10 105:12,14 228:5,20 229:4	73:13 88:16 91:11 141:5 152:6 194:7 197:4
previously 25:16 29:13,14,18 30:14 35:14 161:17 286:15	147:4 175:9,10 175:12 179:7 194:11 201:20 201:25 202:12	56:7,12,13,16 56:17 57:7,8 58:18,18 59:5 59:6 60:2,11	231:4 234:10 277:8 primarily 57:6	proceedings 22:4 26:9 172:9 301:8,11
price 28:24 32:19,25 33:4 35:9,16 40:3 40:11,20 41:10 41:19,21,23 42:5,24 43:2 44:6,16,20 46:8,12,23 47:2 48:10,22 50:7,10,13,25 51:18,19,23 52:8 53:8,8,10 54:1 56:8 57:1 57:2,15 58:14 58:15 60:5,8 61:16,22 62:6 62:16,23 63:3 63:8 67:8 73:21,23 75:15 77:12 78:16 79:2,7,12,19 79:23 80:12,15 80:19 82:4,5 84:16 85:8,9 99:15,18,19 102:13 104:1 107:2,12,19 109:22 113:11 113:17 114:7 114:16,21 115:4 116:6,8	203:17 211:4 215:18 216:10 217:1 219:14 226:6,19 227:22 228:6 228:21 229:5,8 234:14,18,22 235:8,22 236:2 236:10 237:6 237:13 238:10 238:18,24 240:11,12,19 240:25 241:2 241:12 248:7 248:15 250:1 251:4 255:8,11 257:6,18 258:19 259:17 259:20,20 265:15 266:15 268:13,25 269:10 270:8 271:15,22,23 271:23 272:22 273:2,11,11,18 273:24 274:2,2 274:10,19 275:2 277:7,7 277:18 278:3,3 278:7,11,17,17 284:12,18,21	60:12 61:1,4,4 61:6,17,18 63:4 67:20,25 68:4,4 71:12 80:4,5,11 83:24,25 84:1 84:12,14,23,25 85:1 100:15 101:25 102:1 102:10,11,18 103:10,15 105:12,13,13 105:17,22 117:1,5 121:3 122:2 127:1,7 127:12,16,24 129:18,20,21 130:4,8 131:8 132:1,2,3 135:10 136:2,7 137:5,10,12,14 137:18 179:5,5 199:17 202:18 203:4,5 205:3 221:7 226:24 227:10 228:8 228:22 229:6 232:6 240:17 240:20 243:9 244:6 248:21 248:23 249:7	primary 104:2 121:8,8,11,18 166:20 287:12 principally 236:4 Principles 181:6 printed 98:16 prior 68:11 103:23 143:8 154:10 161:20 181:7 182:3,20 183:23 209:5 215:11,16 216:10 217:21 233:9 291:7 pro 73:2 proactively 37:14 38:15 probably 124:2 125:2,25 127:14,21 130:21 133:1 152:11,12,24 182:2 222:17 226:21 246:9 251:13 268:8 283:18,20 286:23 287:10 problem 31:23 197:20 271:19 272:21 problems	proceeds 136:18 process 49:10 85:21 116:5 228:17 267:2 270:25 281:21 Processing 23:13 25:6 75:15 76:4 98:20 153:3 Proctor 45:14 Proctor's 45:20 46:1 procurement 203:24 204:4 produce 72:4 76:7 78:12 162:1 179:12 179:13 232:13 232:19 produces 237:22 product 239:2 products 40:10 268:25 profession 55:22 59:20 professional 43:7 48:16 129:1 193:14 255:14 profit 49:3,10 program 28:9

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

29:9,19,20,24 29:24,25 30:1 30:2,2,3,7,10 31:14 33:9,13 33:18 35:14 36:2 37:15,22 38:16,23 39:6 42:3 43:6 53:11 63:16,19 66:3,11,24 68:25 75:3 81:15,17,25 82:1,2,10,15 82:19 83:12,15 83:16,23 84:21 84:21,22 85:5 85:7,11 94:10 94:12,15 103:12,24 124:18 125:4 125:12,19,23 126:3 127:6,14 128:9,13,21,23 128:25,25 129:8 130:17 130:18,19,20 130:22 131:3,6 131:13,16,19 133:16 135:12 136:11 137:8 137:13,17 142:10 164:10 166:21 180:1,3 180:6,15 192:16 193:16 193:19 194:7 203:1 210:7 216:19,23 220:17 230:15 231:13 232:5 232:13,18,19 241:19 245:17 248:5 programs 34:3,3 38:1,4,9 43:5 62:1 83:22 84:7 106:12,21 166:22 242:17	243:12 246:8 246:19 279:3 290:10 prohibited 243:21 project 104:9 126:11 134:15 projected 50:14 105:1 106:14 133:25 projection 104:11,12 projections 104:24 133:23 promulgated 181:23 pronounce 45:8 pronouncing 244:22 proof 31:5 32:6 75:25 proper 173:13 173:23 properly 35:25 proportion 84:6 proposed 28:13 31:10 39:10 59:21,23 168:23 192:15 264:11 286:20 289:11 proposing 205:7 205:13 207:3 propounded 108:17 proprietary 83:19 106:16 prospective 74:3 74:4 prospectively 31:24 197:20 protect 48:14,17 49:11 67:20,24 85:8 107:13 113:11,15,16 114:6 115:3 124:17,19 127:13 128:6	179:4 251:3 protecting 69:2 protection 51:21 protector 195:14 provide 32:6,9 73:25 86:4 144:7 172:17 195:5 214:18 227:11 228:7 228:21 229:5 245:2,3,25 246:17 278:25 provided 144:20 162:20 191:13 218:14 provider 122:20 122:21 129:8 provides 47:19 providing 172:4 provision 235:15 provisions 215:1 228:5,20 229:4 241:13 prudence 22:11 24:8 26:4 27:25 28:3 30:19,22,23 31:3,20 32:15 37:5 70:10 72:17,17 73:2 73:6 74:10,11 74:12 75:8,18 75:21 76:5,11 76:18,20,23 77:2 82:20 83:3 86:8 161:15,16 163:12,15 191:13,16,17 191:22,23 192:20,21 194:18,20 196:1,5,21 197:7,24 205:16 214:12 217:21 218:6	220:5,8 224:7 224:12 233:9 244:2 246:14 250:6,7,13 255:21 257:24 260:1,2 263:5 264:23 289:12 prudency 264:20 287:16 287:18,24 288:2,25 289:14 prudent 28:22 35:4 48:17 52:9 53:25 61:21 63:7 75:12 76:2 81:15 192:23 193:23 194:19 194:21 196:2 197:13 206:11 211:15,17 227:21 228:2 228:16 229:1 236:3,22 237:2 237:8 238:11 238:13,17 239:9 244:3 257:4 258:6 275:15,16,18 276:15,16,17 276:23,24 277:1,3,4,16 278:4 prudently 28:23 32:24 36:5 64:6,12 66:4 67:3 68:14 75:24 180:9,18 208:11,12 230:3 235:23 247:2,4,6 public 22:2 23:14,15,17,18 23:20,22 24:16 24:25 25:2,2 25:19,25 30:20 30:25 31:3	39:19 46:5 60:3 76:11 165:5 188:4 195:23 196:11 212:16 213:4 260:18 283:3 publications 280:7,15 282:21 283:2 published 286:10 purchase 42:9 47:6 65:18 67:22 109:19 113:3 116:8,10 116:12 117:8 120:4 123:16 148:19,21 178:17 204:14 205:23 210:19 212:10 229:11 229:14 236:20 purchased 28:12 28:20,24 32:20 33:5 34:11,13 36:16 37:4 38:20 39:21 41:11,25 42:4 42:6,8,14,17 42:25 46:19 47:1,4,6,9,11 48:9 50:5 51:19 52:9 53:7,20,21 54:12,16 57:6 58:2 60:5 63:20 65:4 66:16 67:15,17 67:23 69:1,3 71:10,12 72:9 72:15 77:8,12 77:18,22,23 78:14,16,23 79:1,6,12,15 79:17,18,19,21 79:23 80:2,4,8 80:11 82:7 83:10,14,15
--	--	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

85:10,15 104:13,14 105:19 107:2,3 107:4,6,12 109:23 110:5 114:15 132:24 133:11,21 144:4,18 146:1 146:4,25 147:8 147:17 148:6 148:15,18 162:16 167:20 168:1,11 169:2 169:8,12,18,21 169:22 170:7,8 170:10,12 176:4,5 178:9 178:11,13 179:24 192:20 193:24 198:8 198:17,19 199:16,19 200:2,14 201:14,20,24 202:13,18 203:7 204:7,13 205:9,24 206:6 206:9,20 207:4 207:9 210:24 210:24 212:1,2 212:11 213:9 213:12 215:14 215:24 221:13 221:19 222:24 222:25 223:7 223:11 224:16 224:18,24 225:5,15,18 227:1 228:19 229:3,8 230:25 237:6,13 238:15 243:22 247:2,6,13,16 249:15 252:4,8 254:22 257:6 257:18 258:18 259:17 264:15 267:20,24	268:3,5 269:14 272:2,19 273:8 300:2 purchaser 70:25 purchases 28:11 28:17,23 41:2 41:5 57:6 110:10,14 112:22 119:21 119:21 134:2 200:9 213:1 229:14 263:8 purchasing 48:9 67:21 204:7 208:4 227:21 purports 101:11 101:12 purpose 124:18 156:20 194:24 226:21 227:17 227:18 266:19 purposefully 82:10 purposes 40:12 57:11 65:2 97:6 101:15 145:22 168:13 169:5 182:23 203:3 206:4 226:10 267:19 267:19 269:1 269:11 272:15 287:9 put 64:1 65:22 66:2 73:4,7 81:6,9 83:16 95:16 101:16 102:6 120:8 126:14 135:4 135:18 156:18 170:9 204:12 206:23 218:2 250:15 puts 272:5,8 putting 122:12 206:21 275:9 p.m 295:13 P.O 23:6,15,20	Q quadrant 195:1 218:9 quadrants 218:23 qualified 235:10 quantify 274:25 quantity 124:3,4 quarterback 245:15 quarterly 151:22 191:14 question 78:5 79:9 92:3 94:18 107:16 108:21 122:5 140:11 143:22 144:2 148:14 153:10 154:13 157:23 158:13 168:16 173:12 173:18 174:2,4 174:10,13 176:16 177:1,3 177:10 180:17 180:17 183:2,8 183:12,14,18 198:18 200:16 203:3 211:15 216:2 223:3,5 224:20,22 225:2 228:23 229:21 232:3 235:18 239:12 239:13 246:25 250:16 258:17 258:23 272:1 272:16 280:14 290:21,23 291:25 292:2 questioned 66:17 questioning 173:11,19 177:18 253:2 questions 33:25 54:23 55:1,1,5 62:18,24 69:10	69:11,14 87:1 88:24 89:18,19 89:20,21,25 92:11 112:3,4 112:9 115:8,11 115:20 125:19 139:6,22,24,25 140:1 141:15 147:23,24 148:1 150:12 152:24 154:10 156:1,10,11 157:22,25 158:12,20 160:10 161:7 161:12 163:2,5 163:11 164:8 164:13 165:13 166:6,7,8,13 166:14 173:15 173:24 178:21 178:22 179:18 189:8 196:19 252:23,24 253:1,4 254:3 255:3,5 260:4 261:9 293:20 296:5,11,14,19 296:20 quick 132:18 139:23 quickly 73:11 quid 73:2 quit 53:17 249:25 quite 31:18 87:7 93:7 156:7 210:9 245:18 quo 73:2 quote 58:21 59:13 76:17 77:21,25 78:17 78:19 quoted 242:16 R R 23:14 27:2 55:15,17,24 56:14,15,19	57:14 164:18 164:24 165:2 299:10 301:1 rain 81:4 raise 31:2,7 75:23 246:19 295:5 raised 29:4,18 31:13 32:15 33:25 38:3 79:9 152:1 216:24 raises 76:18,23 76:25 range 48:6 57:24 127:11 137:6 232:14,20 ranged 99:20 rapid 84:16 rate 30:15,15 33:20 36:4,9 36:24 37:5 38:5 45:21 50:1 64:10 65:1 66:12 73:12,12,22 104:14 146:12 155:20 161:18 163:22,25 164:4 166:17 172:11 184:25 191:16 209:5,6 209:7,8 214:3 217:8 256:2 259:8,9 263:14 264:22 289:16 290:9,22,25 ratemaking 65:2 145:22 168:13 169:4 ratepayer 51:2 68:23 130:14 130:14 133:4 195:14 ratepayers 70:20 77:13 85:8,16,19 107:13 213:14
---	--	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

213:16 rates 73:16,24 74:3 128:16 195:19 239:20 rather 31:24 37:20 46:16 54:22 55:14 63:21 66:23,24 75:14 93:8 111:8 123:7 171:3 178:25 197:20 204:6 204:13 206:20 245:15 ratings 123:22 ratio 57:24 134:9 re 282:10 reach 27:6 85:11 reached 99:12 reaches 105:24 reaching 26:25 react 128:22 read 49:21 92:2 92:4 94:8 99:9 102:4,17 107:16 108:22 109:17 110:7 122:6 129:13 132:7 143:16 144:6 146:17 155:13 160:4,7 172:16 177:22 182:11 184:12 239:11 286:14 291:13 reading 129:11 174:2 176:7 182:7 184:11 278:13 reads 145:21 155:7 ready 85:23 292:20 real 52:14 62:11 117:20 118:16 118:19,22 134:4 220:2	realistic 62:9 reality 62:7 realized 80:6 219:16 really 46:18 54:3 67:23 118:5 119:6 124:18 127:15 132:2 137:12 182:8 192:21 199:25 210:9 235:16 236:4 241:24 243:15 244:20 245:12 250:14 266:4 267:6 271:2,2 272:25 278:14 281:13 282:4 286:20 291:10 reason 37:15 50:25 53:13 86:6 93:22 94:11,18 111:15 122:3 122:18 125:12 205:7,12 207:11 237:9 274:23 reasonable 31:22 41:21,22 54:24 61:19 71:8 119:9,24 157:20 197:18 197:25 198:5,9 198:10,11,14 198:19,25 199:4,8,12 200:13,20 201:12,14,23 201:25 229:24 230:1 232:14 232:18,20,21 255:4,7 reasons 33:5 123:5 244:13 244:22 245:1 249:5 279:9 rebut 31:4 34:5	76:20 77:1 rebuttal 58:17 60:15,25 181:8 182:4 190:13 190:16 192:8 203:20 204:18 207:15 239:18 262:24 299:9 299:10 recall 72:21 100:11 102:19 115:23 120:20 125:20 157:4 172:4,6,13,15 172:20 193:4 210:8 217:13 250:10 254:4 255:4 257:9 267:25 278:13 278:19 279:16 280:9 281:5,5 281:6 284:24 287:19 289:18 289:19,21 290:13,14 recalled 173:6 recapture 100:1 receive 252:2 received 48:24 87:23,24 89:13 89:15 92:25 93:1 97:8,10 111:1,3,24,25 139:17,18 142:1,2 151:1 151:2 152:3 160:24 161:1 166:1,2 189:22 189:23 222:5,6 223:20,21 226:1,2 253:20 253:22 261:21 261:22 262:11 262:13 298:2 recent 72:3 75:10,17 recently 181:8 182:4 278:14	289:5 recess 253:6,8 293:25 295:10 recessed 295:12 recite 291:14 recognition 146:11 recognize 35:10 61:23 98:17 100:7 119:6 recognized 52:12 155:8 recognizes 146:10 recollection 217:10 278:20 recommend 137:6 199:18 259:6 272:10 286:4,6 289:1 293:11 recommendati... 67:12 82:24 157:11,13 158:9 182:19 183:4,21 200:12,23 202:8,24 241:10 244:9 246:6 259:13 276:25 282:15 recommendati... 131:21 195:22 291:24 recommended 39:12 52:6 70:19 193:5,15 208:14 209:2 215:12 234:4 255:15 272:8 288:9 289:3,22 recommending 37:18 200:19 202:15,19 208:24 241:11 241:18 242:11 264:1 272:6 282:16	recommends 263:12 reconvene 293:6 293:25 record 24:21 25:7,10 39:10 65:9 87:13,18 87:23 88:11 89:14,24 90:8 91:4 97:9 111:1,24 122:7 138:13 139:17 140:25 142:1 149:11,15 150:1 151:1 154:5 159:16 160:24 166:1 167:5 168:20 170:2,6 181:15 181:20 185:8 186:7 189:22 222:5 223:20 226:1 253:20 261:21 263:21 264:6,9 268:8 289:20 recorded 65:5 65:24 67:8 139:4 146:4,6 146:13,16 147:5 155:11 167:19 179:24 180:1,6 recording 26:20 66:10 168:19 records 156:22 181:20 recoverable 64:2 recovered 74:2 75:4 180:10,18 247:4 recovery 68:13 82:18 101:9,11 247:1,5 recross 115:10 115:15 140:2 148:1 156:12
--	---	---	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

163:4 179:18 185:6 253:4 Recross-Exam... 158:15 179:19 296:12,21 red 61:4 redirect 90:1 115:13,16,19 137:22 140:3 148:1,4 156:12 156:13 158:21 163:7,9 185:7 187:4,6 253:4 253:24 254:2 292:4 296:6,9 296:11,15,25 reduce 179:9 248:1,6 reduced 83:2 reducing 75:14 reduction 70:24 239:2 refer 70:12 113:7 reference 103:11 108:2 122:10 158:1 161:13 225:9 referenced 134:19 155:17 157:6 references 158:6 referencing 155:15 referred 47:12 47:14 50:20 72:20 73:17 76:3 82:1 98:21 128:19 131:3,13 134:4 182:13 267:8 283:5 referring 107:24 109:7 113:8,12 113:23 114:14 117:7,14 118:12 119:3 122:13 136:15	158:4 219:8,11 259:8 267:5 293:16 refers 119:21 267:18 reflect 24:21 25:7,10 reflected 73:24 176:9 reflection 73:20 refrain 202:16 refrained 200:13 refund 28:14 35:21 39:4 59:21 75:6 208:15,20 263:13,15,22 282:16 refunded 70:20 71:16 regard 51:1 112:11 152:1 157:1,17 294:19 regarding 29:13 29:19 31:13 37:14,22 38:3 122:7 140:12 156:16 179:22 194:6 217:17 286:15 294:22 295:3 regardless 82:13 regards 157:10 158:7 region 41:12 46:1 54:7 130:6 regular 73:5 74:10 regularly 104:18 regulated 71:19 144:16,24 147:9,18 259:3 279:17 regulatory 22:18 24:12	48:25 73:17,18 74:7 142:16 146:8,9 155:11 155:12 165:4 175:18 190:20 260:19 271:8 reiterate 62:20 reject 64:4 rejected 29:1 rel 30:24 related 28:1 30:20 33:24 36:15 38:1 43:21 66:4 145:24 146:1 148:14 169:17 174:18,22 180:3 192:15 198:7 207:4 221:7 235:3 265:13 266:13 266:18 289:1 289:12,22 291:21 relates 122:11 relating 221:6 288:10 relationship 58:22 102:11 relative 241:3 relevant 185:22 185:23 Reliability 68:8 reliable 205:21 reliance 31:24 197:20 reliant 77:17,22 78:14 relied 29:8 95:18 135:8 205:17 205:20 209:19 255:13 relies 83:19 204:20 205:6 205:11 206:5 reluctance 245:7 rely 135:6 193:14 204:23	206:9,20 relying 204:13 207:9 remain 84:1 97:7 remainder 26:8 remained 252:7 252:7 remaining 82:6 96:18 remains 137:15 remember 74:23 81:19 94:16,17 95:14 120:16 131:25 162:22 181:25 182:15 182:17 192:10 196:22 209:23 210:12 280:10 281:9,16 282:4 remembering 120:19 remind 253:24 reminded 173:8 removed 83:1 96:17 188:22 renounced 32:17 repeal 236:9 repeat 183:17 rephrased 250:17 replaced 92:7 report 28:7,18 29:5 37:18 38:13 52:8 59:22,24 60:14 60:17,20 64:9 64:17 66:7 75:16 87:16 97:19 120:23 120:25 128:13 128:15,15,20 128:24 131:21 131:22 151:23 154:18 191:3,9 193:9 196:15 196:23 197:8	208:13 226:9 227:4 239:19 239:25 240:5,9 241:9,10 242:24 244:10 250:11 254:11 261:1 262:2 263:6 265:6,19 265:23 266:5 269:18 270:17 270:17,19 271:1 282:15 283:7 290:5 291:14 298:17 300:5 reported 23:24 76:11 reporter 87:18 143:9 153:8 159:9 222:11 224:1 226:17 239:13 301:6 reports 151:17 151:19 290:15 290:16 291:7 291:13 represent 41:5 102:12 110:14 representatives 43:25 represented 25:7 99:15 representing 27:18 146:22 represents 101:25 102:15 110:17 134:23 146:25 227:18 reputable 278:23 request 97:2 167:4 223:10 253:5 299:1,2 299:4,25 requested 225:12 requesting 221:4 222:18
--	--	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

224:10 requests 191:14 282:23,24 require 104:7 171:21 required 65:8 72:18 73:4,25 86:8 146:7,23 168:1 174:20 181:2,15 243:19,24 292:21 requirement 31:9 65:12 73:19 74:10 82:3,9 104:9 131:1 133:25 170:4 176:14 176:17 182:23 241:20 242:12 requirements 41:6 72:7,13 78:22 82:7 83:21 84:7 104:7,12,13,14 105:19 110:15 133:22 229:14 244:12 245:4 requires 57:12 65:10 169:7 resale 158:16 Research 34:19 43:12 reserve 187:2 reserved 294:19 residential 71:20 resolve 63:12 resource 190:23 192:1,2 199:6 247:19 248:2 resources 79:5 207:12 248:3 respect 25:22 57:15 62:17 78:1 81:11 82:13 86:19 255:14 257:5	259:13 respected 68:6 respectively 169:23 respond 186:23 responded 84:22 108:18 responding 77:20 response 27:10 78:16,20 108:21,22 109:2 110:8 115:9 125:1 166:11 186:2 190:4 217:24 218:14 225:8 225:24 270:10 responses 191:15 231:16 responsibility 97:15 286:21 responsible 151:11 287:13 290:17 result 26:3 28:3 41:6 53:10 76:8 81:17 82:16 175:12 230:6 240:12 258:7 resulted 32:6 84:17 167:1 230:10 resulting 77:8 209:20 results 191:17 230:15 232:13 232:19 250:20 271:1 resume 294:10 retail 139:3 retain 214:20 return 149:11 returned 99:17 returns 82:12 revenue 73:19 158:8,19	revenues 194:17 reverse 119:1 reversed 289:5 review 22:11 24:8 28:1 33:10 35:22 37:23 55:18 56:6,24 58:4,6 69:5 70:10 73:2 75:3,8 82:20,23 83:4 84:18 86:8 100:21 101:23 134:25 143:13 161:18 162:12 162:13 190:21 191:2,12,13,17 191:17,22,23 192:20,22 193:19 194:1 209:21 222:16 222:23 224:7 224:12 240:4 246:14 256:8 256:13 257:24 260:1 263:6 264:20,23 275:25 277:9 280:20,21,22 281:7 289:12 289:14 291:10 reviewed 32:21 45:18 60:17 181:9,11,21 182:4,8,10,12 182:20 183:22 191:21 208:21 210:11 280:16 281:17,20,22 282:1,5,10,11 283:2,6 288:19 290:3,6,11,24 291:6 reviewing 182:1 182:15,17 192:2 281:16 287:13 reviews 37:5	72:17 73:6 74:10,11 161:15,16 163:12,15 214:12 220:4 233:10 255:21 260:1 287:24 288:2 revise 104:23 revised 67:11 188:21 revising 215:7 revisited 104:18 104:21 ride 127:19,24 128:8 right 24:4,24 27:8,11 69:18 72:21 80:25 87:3 90:17 97:25 99:25 109:15 113:13 113:20 114:3 115:10 117:2 118:7 137:21 139:16 141:22 147:24 149:3,5 149:9 150:22 152:9,20 154:12 156:2,7 158:17 160:20 160:23 161:5 163:4 164:14 166:9 167:4 168:3 169:14 169:16 170:25 173:4 175:23 178:20 181:4 184:1 185:6 186:2 187:7,18 189:18 190:21 190:24 193:1,6 194:7,11 196:8 197:16 199:3 199:23 201:20 202:9 203:12 203:25 204:9 204:21,25	206:6,15 207:10,19,25 208:9,15 209:12,16 211:9,13 212:14 213:24 214:6,6,20 215:3 216:6,11 216:15,20 218:18,22 219:5,21 221:15 224:8,9 227:24 228:8 228:11 229:22 230:7,12,17 231:1,10,15 232:14,20 233:1,12,22 234:6,19 235:4 236:5 239:3,7 239:15 240:1 243:9,10,13,14 243:16 244:7 246:11,15 247:25 248:12 248:19 249:20 251:4 252:1,5 252:15,16,23 253:3 256:16 256:22 258:24 263:9,16,19 264:1,25 265:4 265:22 266:1 266:15,21 267:3,15,20 268:2,13,16 269:2 271:13 271:16 272:13 274:19 279:7 282:17 283:19 283:23 284:8 284:19 286:12 286:21 287:14 287:19,25 288:7,11,14 289:6 293:3,19 294:1,24 295:10
---	---	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

righty 161:11	238:24 243:22	241:13 295:4	155:17,21,24	93:14 94:25
right-hand	251:4 257:6,18	ruled 63:11	159:19 160:12	100:6,9 101:7
119:20	258:19 263:8	rules 25:14	165:14 176:13	101:25 108:11
rigid 29:8,22	267:6,7,12,17	132:7 134:21	177:5,6 182:13	111:11,16
30:4,8,11	267:20 269:13	153:13 186:16	188:6 189:8,9	117:17,19
82:16 85:7	271:11,15,24	186:20,20,21	225:2 229:17	119:13,16,17
131:3,14	272:2 273:19	186:22 188:21	238:5 242:5	120:11 126:1
209:19,25	273:21 277:11	192:5	244:2 252:7	129:24 134:3
210:7	278:8,11	ruling 187:2	260:20 261:9	188:13 189:16
rigidity 43:8	284:12 285:2,7	294:20 295:3	268:22 278:16	265:21,23
rise 80:4,5	285:15,16	run 78:6	Samuelson	266:6 293:10
rising 73:23	risks 36:15 81:8	running 81:8	282:1	299:13,16,18
risk 28:10,16,24	81:8 198:7	127:12	San 88:14	299:21
32:19 33:4	204:4 241:3	Rush 26:24 34:1	satisfy 32:2 59:3	scheduled 26:22
34:12 35:5	270:5 272:19	65:15 93:15	saw 129:24	27:1 292:17
37:3 39:21	273:8	159:6,13,17,19	201:9 218:6	schedules 113:6
41:21,23 42:16	Rita 120:24	161:9 163:10	219:16,24	scholars 54:20
42:24 43:2	130:2,7	164:15 296:12	248:23	science 46:18
44:6,16,20	Rita's 125:16	298:10,11	saying 51:4	Scott 26:23
45:4,10 46:9	RMP 267:8,10	Rush's 37:20,24	67:24 113:24	33:11 138:11
46:12 47:2	rocket 46:18	Ryan 26:24	115:22 118:10	138:14 296:6
48:3,4,7 50:25	Roger 23:5	33:21 57:19	127:16,21	298:8
54:12 59:13	24:22 27:17	66:12 149:18	135:19 137:1	scrutinized
60:8 61:22	Roger.steiner...	149:23 150:2,4	162:11 163:13	74:17
63:3,8 67:8,16	23:8	296:9 298:5	170:5 178:7,8	search 217:25
67:20,22,25	role 191:11	S	178:14 201:2	seat 129:17
70:24 74:14,21	192:11 194:14	safety 190:20	204:11 249:2	seated 88:7
77:6,12 79:7	195:9,13,17,21	sake 49:22	251:18 252:9	90:23 140:21
79:19,24,25,25	196:7 203:10	sale 114:16	289:21 290:14	149:21 159:12
80:2 81:22	245:20 257:8	116:7 158:16	says 40:1 67:14	164:21 260:11
83:10,14 92:2	257:11,23	sales 119:23	77:18 81:2	SEC 56:20 59:20
104:1,1,2	272:14	179:15 217:20	118:9 119:21	156:21
107:2,6,12,19	Rolla 45:9	same 25:7 26:5	124:25 139:2	second 28:17
119:10 122:16	roof 81:2,6,9	29:25 40:4,8	141:12 155:18	32:5 34:14
123:13,15	Roos 191:7	40:25 42:24	169:17,19,25	36:3 44:15,18
124:11,20	254:8,16	43:4 47:15	188:20 214:22	55:15 57:21
130:13 134:15	roughly 118:11	50:3,4,19	227:18,25	90:18 94:9
144:1 175:4	119:2	52:18 55:20	240:11 261:3	130:25 131:5
194:11 198:16	RPR 23:24	78:20 79:14	266:12,16	162:13 221:10
200:14 201:13	301:20	88:15 89:1	267:3 285:11	222:22 224:14
201:19,24	rule 48:22	91:10 92:13	290:23 291:14	261:3 284:17
202:2,17 203:6	119:25 120:21	111:11 132:25	Schallenberg	284:25
203:24 205:3	185:10 186:11	133:1,3,4	173:1,6,19	secondary
208:9 211:4,9	186:12,12	139:7 140:11	176:1 178:3,14	122:25
212:19 213:8	226:6,13,20,21	141:15,17	178:18 191:21	secret 86:6
213:12 215:14	227:7,18,18	143:11 150:4	242:8	section 44:13
217:1 230:1,4	228:2 234:8,22	150:14 153:19	schedule 37:24	174:14 190:25
234:23 238:18	236:10 241:12	153:24 154:2	38:2 58:4	228:14 234:8

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

265:8 267:21 284:17 290:16 sections 44:13 194:19 278:19 284:20 290:18 secure 132:16 227:23 228:2 228:17 229:1 Security 55:23 Sedalia 25:5 see 26:2 40:17 61:5,10 69:23 93:11 94:2,6 98:25 99:4,7 101:9,13 106:21 108:11 109:2 110:3 113:6 117:21 117:22 118:8 118:13 119:20 126:2,13,17,20 127:24 153:22 169:10 172:21 172:22 173:15 173:18,20 187:3 194:1 214:15 219:21 219:23 223:7 224:24 225:9 228:10 231:18 239:22 241:14 242:22 246:11 249:5 266:5 270:10,11,12 273:3 276:4 279:25 281:15 seeing 265:17 seem 35:10 seemed 178:3 seems 41:20 62:4 137:3 224:8 257:19 289:18 seen 93:19 95:3 99:18 111:10 111:14 126:24 126:24 137:15 245:7	self 141:3 sell 46:22,22 47:18 114:3,16 118:7,9 119:1 122:23 158:7 174:25 seminar 278:6 seminars 43:13 211:12 285:24 Senate 25:23 72:20 senior 138:24 sense 82:11 123:7 sensitive 105:9 128:11 sent 282:11,12 sentence 107:21 108:22 109:5,7 109:17,23 110:8,13 122:7 141:12 155:7 155:19 169:24 170:3 188:22 223:4,5 224:21 224:22 225:1 240:10,24 263:11 sentences 110:8 separate 65:11 146:14 169:25 170:9 188:25 262:2 separated 52:21 177:17 September 75:17 99:14 sequence 209:13 series 221:12 serious 31:2,7 31:13 serve 41:3 42:23 71:23 72:5,14 77:16,22 110:11 207:23 serves 176:18 service 22:2 23:20,23 24:17	30:25 39:19 46:5 76:11,12 92:3 139:3 165:5 188:4 212:16 213:4 243:9 260:19 283:3 services 23:25 74:1 142:17 301:7 session 43:16 44:15 284:25 285:3,6 set 24:5 58:23 238:16 271:6 301:9 sets 55:19 240:16 settle 62:3,7 65:16 112:18 112:19,20 114:11,13 115:22 174:24 settled 62:15 65:6,10 146:5 264:24 settlement 56:7 56:13 58:18 62:6 65:6,9,21 65:23 66:20 102:1,10,11,13 112:23,23 114:10,15,17 116:1,7,15,20 117:5,11 120:3 120:9 147:3 170:6 299:19 299:22 settlements 61:2 65:3,23 112:12 145:23 168:11 169:3 170:1,2 settles 61:24 174:19 seven 36:20 37:2 116:11 217:18 251:16 257:18 several 86:11	95:19 134:19 192:16 256:1 share 37:17 130:12 shareholder 130:9 shareholders 38:19 49:3,4 49:11,14,15 168:21 196:11 213:15 243:11 sheet 144:8,10 153:8 301:9 shift 31:8 76:1 shipping 114:1 shoes 247:9 short 40:9 59:18 174:5 253:5 268:23 269:6 Shorthand 301:6 short-term 263:14 show 29:12 30:9 31:15 34:10 35:17,25 36:8 55:21 59:25 76:1 86:10 98:15 100:15 101:8,12 103:1 103:2 134:11 154:21 156:21 156:22 214:1 275:25 showed 129:24 131:25 162:7 219:9 249:6 279:14 showing 75:20 75:23,25 76:5 76:25 113:6 117:23 118:25 134:13 shown 52:13 219:14 242:17 shows 46:11,12 56:22 58:5 61:17 68:25	102:21 shrewd 74:21 shrewdly 75:12 77:5 shrewdness 74:13 side 46:13 47:12 47:14 48:20 49:5,6,18,19 50:16 51:3,3 51:15,17 52:6 52:11,20,20 53:2,13 117:8 118:13 119:5 129:25 130:1 135:14,23 136:10 152:12 162:17 219:3 245:21 251:24 251:25 273:11 277:7,12,14 284:22 sided 38:14 sides 52:19 119:6 SIEUA 23:13 153:2,12 sight 277:15 sign 122:20 signals 48:24 signatories 168:8 signatory 65:1 145:21 signed 123:13,14 significant 41:7 78:18 110:17 132:17 136:13 274:2 significantly 85:9 240:20 signing 122:19 similar 29:13 40:3,11 41:19 58:15 70:24 103:23 222:19 224:10 268:13 269:1,10,11
--	---	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

simple 49:25 107:22 132:6 180:17 simply 26:7 37:12 42:3,6 122:7 123:23 135:17 236:14 since 34:15,19 35:14 36:1 37:6 43:13 49:4 50:12,18 50:23 51:6 62:2 65:20 66:11 99:18 113:10 121:7 121:18 136:20 139:4 156:25 157:2 182:20 183:22 188:21 188:22 205:5 211:2 216:15 217:8 225:14 243:11 250:17 279:22 single 116:22 256:9 287:5 sir 100:2 153:4 153:15 154:6 156:5 171:13 183:20 262:17 sister 42:21 sit 86:25 site 40:1 sits 69:15 sitting 290:14 291:8 situations 162:2 six 37:2 127:17 six-month 161:17 size 53:4 236:18 236:19 sizeable 53:6 skyrocket 136:9 skyrocketed 51:25 275:22 skyrocketing 47:20 48:15	49:12 67:20,25 136:3 275:20 slide 44:24 68:22 68:22 285:10 slides 44:17 275:25 285:14 285:14 slight 162:7 slightly 81:1 slow 73:14 slowness 73:17 small 71:1 81:20 105:18 123:24 236:15 smaller 233:5 software 83:19 103:9 softwares 106:16 sold 117:13 118:10 178:25 solely 115:3 273:25 solution 195:6 274:13 solutions 274:12 solve 31:23 197:19 271:18 271:19,20 some 30:17 34:8 40:19 49:24 60:18 71:19,21 82:22 86:19 102:8,11 113:6 113:6 116:19 118:23 122:1,2 126:7 135:11 152:10 164:9,9 179:1,15 186:19 190:1 196:19 199:9 201:25 207:22 216:18 218:20 223:10 225:17 227:11,14 231:17 244:23 245:8,15 249:12 251:6	254:3 255:3 256:24 264:18 269:17,18 270:6,17,18,21 270:25 275:3 277:2,3 281:5 281:17,19,20 281:20,23 282:7,12 283:15,16 286:25 291:4 293:14 294:20 295:9 somebody 81:3 231:19 269:22 270:3,3 somehow 67:23 someone 279:11 something 29:20 40:25 71:25 86:22 119:5 127:7,13 130:2 137:11 153:7 154:4 156:18 196:2,3 210:14 256:12 258:8 271:21 272:10 283:15,17 285:13 289:18 292:9 sometime 86:3 sometimes 29:20 47:12,14 60:21 196:2 221:21 230:6 250:22 267:8 somewhat 71:24 somewhere 152:5 252:20 Sommerer 284:7,10 sorghum 40:22 sorry 91:18 103:13 115:12 120:19 152:9 156:6 160:6 180:21 191:6 197:6 265:9,17	287:20 sort 79:25 80:16 257:24 sounds 113:22 197:16 source 40:4 205:21 268:16 268:18 278:23 South 144:12 Southern 46:7 Southwest 41:12 68:6 121:12,19 specializes 43:21 270:4 specialty 287:4 specific 33:24 40:23 70:10 155:9 166:22 281:6,12,24 282:6 283:11 284:11 specifically 28:19 30:6 45:12 178:17 193:19 210:13 227:9 289:11 289:22 291:20 specificity 291:7 specifics 33:9 287:10 speculating 175:1 speculation 174:22 258:21 spelled 167:22 182:24 spending 49:24 spent 74:24 79:11,19 81:21 spike 99:15 120:18 121:7 122:2 127:16 127:17 249:23 spikes 42:25 47:2 69:2 119:11 228:6 228:21 229:5,8 234:24 235:1	238:10 240:25 spiking 226:24 split 106:9,10 157:13 162:16 169:12 215:23 sponsored 43:17 sponsoring 192:25 193:1 264:3 sponsors 44:7 spot 28:11,17,24 32:19 33:4 34:11,13 35:6 37:13 42:4,14 42:16 46:19 47:6 48:9 51:19 53:24 54:11 58:2 60:5 61:14 62:21 65:19 67:15,17,22 71:12 72:14 77:8 78:7,15 79:1,12 80:2,4 80:11,15,19 81:9 83:10 85:10,15 95:16 107:12,18 129:20 133:21 193:24 198:8 198:17,21 199:2,7,7,17 200:2,5,8,9 201:14,20,24 202:13 203:5,7 204:8,13,20,24 205:4,6,8,12 205:17,22,24 206:6,9,20 207:4,9,18 210:23 212:10 212:11,12 213:1,9,12,20 217:25 218:1 218:15 229:11 229:11,15 231:3,3 233:14 236:14 237:6
--	--	--	---	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

238:5 247:16 255:8 257:5,18 259:17,20 263:8 269:14 272:2,17,19 273:3,8 278:18 spots 101:17 SPP 45:22 46:1 54:6 56:12 58:17 59:5 60:11,17 61:1 210:19 SPP's 56:7 spreadsheet 264:13,13 square 56:14 squared 55:15 55:17,24 56:14 56:15,19 57:14 ss 301:3 stability 228:7 228:22 229:6 staff 23:19,22 24:2,14,16 28:6,7,15,19 29:1,4,4,5,13 29:18,21,23 30:2 31:13,14 31:19 32:1,2,6 32:12 33:19,25 34:2,5,20 35:10,13,22 36:14,19,21,25 37:1,6,8,9,17 37:18 38:1,3,6 38:7,8,22 39:7 39:12,14,17,24 41:14 43:14,18 43:23 44:2,4,7 45:15,15,16 51:11,14 52:4 52:6,7,8,10,12 52:22 53:1,17 53:19,24 54:4 54:9,12,15 55:23 56:20 58:16,22 59:5 59:22,24 60:14	60:17,20,20 62:13,17 63:14 63:17,17,23,23 66:15,17,19 67:6,13,21 68:1,14,18,23 69:19,22 70:18 75:19,24 77:3 77:4,5,15,18 78:16 79:8,16 79:16 81:5,13 82:15,25 83:3 83:7,8,8,10,11 83:13,15 85:13 85:24 86:8,11 86:13,16,19 89:18 93:16 96:23,25 97:19 97:23 100:3 101:4 102:20 102:23 108:5 108:18 110:21 110:23 111:2,4 111:9,19,21,25 120:8 137:3 142:5,16 154:13 156:14 161:5 163:6,16 163:19,25 164:16,18 165:7,20,23 166:2 179:25 186:5 187:10 188:8 189:16 189:19,23 191:3 192:15 194:15 195:4 195:10,18,22 196:15,23 197:2,8 200:11 200:19,24 202:15,19 203:16 204:2,4 204:12 205:7 205:13,19,20 206:8,14,19 207:3,24 208:7 208:10,13,14	208:18,18 209:1,4,18 210:5,23 214:13,15,18 214:20 215:2,6 215:11,17 216:4,8,9,24 217:16,19 218:12,25 221:21 227:2 230:21,24 231:12 232:4 233:15 234:3 236:8,12,17 239:24 241:22 241:24 244:18 245:18,24 246:5,5 247:1 247:5 249:2 251:1 254:11 256:8 257:14 257:20,25 258:3,6,10,12 259:1,6,12 260:8,9 261:18 261:22 262:5,9 262:13 263:6 263:12 265:6 265:13,18,23 266:12 272:8 275:14 276:14 279:4 282:15 284:14 286:24 287:1,11 290:3 290:24 291:10 291:19,24 292:19,20,21 292:22 294:21 294:25 300:5 Staff's 26:24 27:5 28:13,18 29:6,6 30:7,12 35:12 37:14,16 37:22 38:13,15 39:10 41:20,24 49:17 56:4 62:1,9 68:11 71:12 75:18	76:21 77:20 78:10 79:2 80:14,17 81:11 81:23 82:24 94:23 97:10 108:15 157:11 157:13 158:9 166:20 168:6 168:16 170:16 171:2 175:24 178:1 185:9 191:22 202:8 202:23 205:12 205:16,17,25 206:22 208:2 209:17 212:23 227:6 231:7 232:25 245:14 247:14 249:8 255:10 256:13 257:8,11,23 260:22 261:1 261:15 262:1 263:5,22 272:6 273:22 274:9 286:20 294:22 296:16 298:20 299:6 stand 88:4 90:20 140:17 149:19 159:7 246:13 295:10 standard 28:4 30:23 31:6,21 32:8 59:19 146:7,11 181:4 181:13 182:20 182:22 183:23 196:21 197:2,7 197:8,24 250:4 250:5,6,7,11 250:12,14 standards 32:4 35:2 55:10,22 58:11 59:4 68:17 181:3,9 start 114:1 137:25 174:1	176:7 187:12 245:5 294:13 started 226:24 267:2 starting 24:13 102:18 143:17 152:22 174:1 starts 97:13 99:6 107:16,20 state 22:1 30:24 58:21 88:10 91:3 138:13 140:25 149:25 159:15 165:1 188:1 203:22 204:2,19 207:22 212:1 233:12 260:14 265:13 301:2 301:15 stated 64:11 76:17 77:21 107:11 154:9 183:10 285:5 statement 25:20 29:3,7 30:13 48:19,21 69:19 70:6 98:22 155:15 156:15 156:15,20 157:7 160:3 168:5 169:6,9 171:1 177:21 208:19 209:17 210:22 227:19 228:14 229:12 240:9,13,15 267:23,24 268:6 296:1,2 statements 26:12,14 27:12 107:8 167:25 181:14,16 245:8 statement's 268:7 states 58:25 59:13 63:23
--	--	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

78:17 85:14 145:11 203:24 209:18 224:22 stating 169:11 statistical 83:23 129:10 270:1 statistics 129:4 283:16,19 statute 72:18 statutorily 86:8 stay 26:11,13 240:17 292:21 294:6 stayed 242:5 steam 75:14 76:3,7 Stearley 22:17 24:4,11,19,24 25:5 26:10,15 26:17 27:8,11 64:19 69:13,18 86:24 87:3,5 87:13,19,22 88:1,6 89:10 89:13,17,19,23 90:3,6,12,15 90:17,22 92:21 92:24 93:3,7 96:24 97:7,12 97:25 98:13 99:22,25 109:12 110:22 110:25 111:20 111:23 112:4,7 112:9 115:7,10 115:13,16 125:23 126:6 126:13,19 137:21 138:4,7 139:13,16,20 140:1,6,9,16 140:21 141:22 141:25 142:4 145:5 147:12 147:24 149:3,9 149:15,21 150:22,25 151:4,25 152:9	152:14,20,25 153:9,12,16 154:3,7,12,23 156:2,7 157:23 157:25 158:12 158:21,24 159:2,4,11 160:20,23 161:2,9,12 163:1,4,7 164:14,21 165:22,25 166:4,7,9,12 166:14 170:14 170:23 171:7 171:14,20,23 178:20 179:17 180:20 183:9 183:14 184:1,6 184:10,16,20 185:2,4,6,11 185:19 186:2 186:11,15,25 187:2,7,14,18 187:21 189:2 189:18,21,25 190:5 214:8 220:22 221:24 222:1,4 223:16 223:19 225:22 225:25 226:15 250:17 252:23 253:3,7,15,19 253:23 258:22 258:24 260:6 260:11 261:17 261:20,24 262:4,8,11,15 262:18 292:1,6 292:15,18,25 293:8,15,19,24 294:8,18 295:2 295:8 296:5,11 296:14,19 Stearley's 115:20 163:11 steel 204:12 206:21,23	Steiner 23:5 24:22 27:17 138:12 139:10 140:5,24 141:19 145:8 147:10 148:2,3 148:4 149:2,17 296:7,8,9 Stenotype 301:10,12 step 90:3 137:22 140:6 270:23 STEPHEN 22:20 stepped 266:24 Stew 153:5 stick 258:11 still 35:8 62:22 65:21 73:25 122:21 129:1 137:6 152:18 156:4 166:10 173:19 190:1 194:21,21 211:19 217:21 217:21 232:10 253:25 270:24 273:1 274:14 277:20,21 294:2 stip 174:14 179:23 217:24 233:19 234:1 stipulation 36:9 36:11 38:8 64:12,25 65:8 65:8 66:9 82:16 83:4 95:24 96:18 145:2,7,15 155:16,21,24 157:4,19 162:19 167:2,6 167:22 168:9 170:5,17 172:1 172:2 175:14 178:17 180:25 182:24 185:14	185:25 209:5 214:2,11 233:21 264:17 298:21 Stipulations 68:18 Stoll 22:20 27:20 27:23 69:14,16 69:23 70:1 86:25 87:2 89:20,22 112:5 112:6 125:22 139:24,25 147:25 156:11 163:2,3 178:22 179:16 226:21 252:24,25 293:5 296:20 stop 35:18 38:23 125:2 175:23 202:12 203:1 292:10 stopped 37:12 42:2 231:22 stopping 293:20 293:23 stops 202:22 287:15 straight 201:8 strategies 45:10 240:11 291:15 strategy 70:24 80:9 83:6,18 85:6 96:12,15 96:19 103:21 106:13 209:20 209:25 210:3 240:24 246:1 Street 23:16,21 88:13 91:6 150:2 159:17 strictly 125:4 strike 92:5 137:3 strong 58:22 59:6 123:20 195:14 272:24 strongly 49:1 64:3 203:4	242:25 272:17 272:23 273:6 structure 73:4 228:4,19 229:3 structures 234:11 STUART 23:10 stucon@fcpla... 23:13 student 45:7 study 269:17,21 stuff 124:9 128:3 subject 22:12 24:8 54:22 83:3 158:18 260:1 271:24 subjected 277:8 subjective 59:21 submission 294:25 submissions 191:14,14,15 submit 214:12 submitted 214:14 subsection 228:1 subsequent 288:18 substantial 29:10 31:7,15 32:9 39:4,9 41:4 293:4 substantially 48:4 53:8 substitute 189:3 228:24 successful 68:25 successfully 75:25 sufficient 75:23 76:19 77:1,7 77:16 107:25 sufficiently 28:22 54:17 56:1 60:12 278:2 suggest 54:9 123:6 124:16
--	--	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

137:3 163:19 164:1 186:9 225:16 269:4,9 suggested 29:21 30:2,12 35:14 52:23 60:7 63:14 132:20 209:11 236:9 279:12 suggesting 38:22 51:11 134:22 200:1 207:16 208:7 242:16 272:11 suggestion 272:12 suggestions 34:5 170:17 171:2 246:7,18 298:20 suggests 40:13 134:17 270:21 suit 25:13 Suite 23:3,11,16 88:13 153:6 sum 52:14,15 104:15 summarize 168:5 summarized 264:13 summarizes 37:24 summary 196:24 300:3 summation 178:6 superiors 142:22 supervise 254:6 supervised 254:4 supplement 134:1 supplied 217:23 supplies 227:23 228:3,17 229:1 supply 33:2	78:21 138:24 228:5,20 229:4 230:19 242:20 support 31:11 31:25 39:10 60:11 170:17 171:2 210:14 269:25 298:20 supported 239:25 supporting 263:22 275:12 supports 29:11 supposed 58:14 66:5 171:25 195:4 270:5 Supreme 72:22 sure 45:8 74:25 115:21 123:10 156:8 158:3,10 173:13,22 178:14 182:14 209:15 222:17 229:21 232:2 249:18 264:10 269:23 278:9 282:19 286:25 287:8 289:7,20 292:3 294:11 surprised 38:12 surrebuttal 44:24 58:5 61:13,16 65:15 88:18 91:12,16 93:15 95:7 100:12,13 117:19 141:7 141:10 150:5 159:21,23 160:5 219:9 298:4,5,7,11 298:15 survey 42:19 46:4 susceptible 177:15 suspect 54:19 SW 30:25	Swap 285:16,17 swaps 63:2 234:16 swear 187:19 sworn 88:5 90:21 140:18 143:9 149:20 159:10 164:20 173:2 186:6 187:20 260:10 sync 118:17,23 169:23 system 68:7,9,11 98:16 systematic 29:22 <hr/> T T 301:1,1 table 69:2 99:23 134:8 221:11 tables 46:11 take 27:9 64:16 64:19 73:22 84:12 87:7 93:25 103:9,14 105:18,18,20 105:21 107:3,7 108:20 115:2 123:24 124:3 127:23,23 128:7 131:10 131:11 136:23 153:1 179:7 186:4 187:11 187:15,15 212:5 221:11 223:4 229:8 236:21 250:20 253:7 271:3,3 271:4,4 278:2 288:17 293:3 293:11,25 294:5,21 295:6 takeaway 57:9 takeaways 35:23 taken 38:4 44:9 49:20 52:4,4 60:6 77:15 84:19 87:10	101:8 143:8 149:12 187:17 246:24 253:9 253:15 259:1 takes 31:6 43:8 83:25 106:5 250:23 taking 24:13 40:2 51:16 54:15 63:17 84:23 176:10 268:12 talk 28:4 83:7 100:22 106:20 117:18 126:1 129:9 209:14 220:18 226:5 226:19 256:18 257:8 267:5 278:5 287:22 talked 45:2 70:4 196:21 220:10 222:20 270:7,7 270:8 283:19 284:7 287:17 talking 39:23 59:14 67:19 75:6 118:20 130:17 178:4 196:25 235:13 235:14,16,17 238:14,15 271:10,16 279:16 293:17 tandem 50:20 targeted 240:24 tariff 77:14 85:14,17,17 190:19 192:6,6 194:1,2 214:12 tariffs 34:4 tarpaulin 81:5 task 194:16 196:4 tasks 198:1 taught 34:18,23 43:12,18 256:19 283:11	tax 119:23 teach 54:20 279:11 teaches 43:11 team 220:13 technical 27:21 46:16 49:23 54:22 55:14 69:9,10 186:12 186:16,20,21 186:22 technically 123:17 technique 32:25 34:17,23 36:17 36:18,23 37:7 43:11,13,18 44:19 45:3 46:8 54:20 216:15,24,25 techniques 45:5 59:11 230:9 Telephone 23:10 tell 25:20 28:25 78:23 84:19,20 86:13 129:4 168:5 172:22 172:24 177:4,7 193:11 218:3 219:1 227:9 245:19 271:20 275:6 282:2,3 282:9 290:5 telling 185:23 tells 74:12 269:12 ten 246:12 tend 132:8 tender 89:8 92:20 139:11 141:20 150:20 160:19 165:20 189:17 261:15 tends 84:5 tentatively 27:1 ten-minute 87:8 187:16 253:8 293:15
--	--	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

term 55:9 272:25	137:25 138:17 138:22 140:10	93:5,9 98:1,2 99:21 100:2	themselves 34:25 55:8	199:23 206:2 209:5 211:17
terms 56:15 65:7 125:24 167:8	141:7,10 149:4 150:5,10	107:25 108:4 108:10 112:3	86:9 112:19 218:22 245:9	216:24 217:3,7 224:6 229:12
174:17 264:19 292:25	152:22 158:2 158:25 159:20	115:7,17 137:20,22	theory 68:15 80:3 232:25	229:16 230:20 241:24 246:13
terrific 293:14	159:21 160:1,7 160:11 165:7	139:22 140:8 149:2,4 152:8	269:12	248:23 249:13 250:8 252:22
test 32:2 55:15 55:17,17,19	165:11 166:15 167:11,24	158:24 159:1,3 159:11 161:7	thereof 301:9 thereto 108:21	253:10 255:13 264:6 267:4,7
58:3 129:11	172:5,17 181:8 182:4,7,11,21	163:6 164:14 164:23 170:25	thesis 45:9 they'd 39:18	267:13 268:5,8 269:16 270:2
testified 43:15 63:9 88:8 91:1	183:23 186:7 187:8 188:20	171:13 177:21 179:16,17	274:18	270:24 271:9 273:1 274:6,11
98:4,8 138:11 140:23 149:23	190:13,17 191:25 192:7,8	185:5 186:24 187:6,8,21,23	thick 127:4 thing 48:17	275:8,18 277:17,17
159:13 164:24 166:16 187:24	193:4 201:17 203:21,23	189:5 190:8 250:18 254:1	51:20 70:5 71:8 74:6,7	279:4 284:3,6 284:15,20
194:6 217:8 218:16 255:13	204:19 207:15 208:21 209:24	260:5,6 262:17 295:7	125:25 129:22 135:15 136:21	286:3,7 289:24 290:1 292:13
260:12 286:15	210:2,5,9,11 215:22 216:13	their 36:23 40:10 42:13	174:6,24 211:15 218:9	294:21 295:2 thinking 291:4
testifies 35:6 62:20 65:15	216:16,17,21 217:12 219:9	43:5,6 67:11 78:22 123:15	237:2,2 249:3 249:6 276:1	third 22:10 24:8 36:8 70:10
testify 32:23 33:6,12 58:1	231:5 233:1 239:18 240:4	129:12 167:14 167:18 168:19	278:4	74:11 82:5,6 103:25 152:19
testimony 29:6 29:11 31:10	260:7,25 262:1 262:24 267:14	168:20 175:15 176:11 179:14	things 128:6,20 129:13 133:23	155:18 221:11 221:17 240:10
32:14 36:23 37:20 39:25	273:2 280:17 281:2 282:16	181:16,20 186:6 191:15	235:2,3 238:13 245:20 251:6	242:14 255:24 276:11
44:24 45:17,20 46:2,3,10	298:3,4,5,7,8 298:10,11,13	200:7,9 206:12 206:13 211:25	257:24 281:13 281:18,24	Thompson 23:19 24:15,15
49:21,22 53:3 57:20 58:17	298:15 299:7,9 299:10	213:15 217:17 227:23 228:3,4	think 35:11 50:24 51:10	24:19 27:4 69:19,20 70:2
60:9,15,20,24 60:25 61:13,17	tests 33:6 55:11 55:12	228:19 229:3 229:25 230:3	59:13 62:13,18 70:21 72:20	86:24 87:1,4 87:21 89:12,18
67:13 68:11 77:19 87:9	Texas 68:8 textbook 60:3	230:11 231:13 232:5 234:23	74:23 86:15,20 93:15 97:4,5	92:23 93:3,5,8 93:10,12,13,18
88:16,18,22 89:24 91:12,15	286:4 textbooks 281:9	234:24 243:9,9 243:12,16,20	99:3,7 109:25 117:18 122:1,7	94:21,25 95:2 96:22 98:1,2,3
91:16,24 93:15 95:1 97:5,13	281:23 thank 24:19,24	244:1,6,15 245:16,25	128:1 133:25 134:1 137:19	98:12,14 99:22 99:24 100:1,5
98:18,24 100:7 100:12 102:4	26:16 27:15 64:24 69:11,13	246:1,4,7 250:24 258:10	148:20 153:23 155:18 173:20	101:6 108:3,4 108:7 109:11
103:19 106:19 107:17 108:3,9	69:17,20 70:1 86:23,24 87:4	266:25 268:4 268:25 271:14	174:13 176:2,3 180:11 181:8	109:13 110:20 111:6,18 112:2
108:9 111:8,12 119:14,15	88:6 90:13,14 90:15,16,22	288:3 293:12	181:23 182:6,7 186:4 194:18	115:15 119:3 119:13 125:18
122:6,12,13,16 123:3 128:19			196:1,19	
132:23 137:23				

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

132:20 138:6 139:15,22,23 140:11 151:5 161:7 163:6 164:18,23,25 165:19 170:14 170:21,25 171:12,20 183:5,10 185:8 185:13,19 186:1,13,14 187:1,5,6,10 187:23,25 188:24 189:5,6 189:15 190:3 191:20 222:3 223:18 242:8 250:8,18 253:5 253:18 254:1,2 258:22,23,25 260:4,9,13 261:14,24 262:3,6 265:21 265:24 292:20 294:24 295:7 296:2,5,18,23 296:25 297:2 though 37:4 40:10,15 50:13 59:19 129:2 177:12 211:11 219:19 226:12 227:13 230:9 245:21 251:15 268:24 269:6 272:23 thought 38:14 118:15 128:20 148:9 163:20 171:15 179:25 232:19 241:24 thoughtfully 81:14 thousand 60:21 92:8 three 26:1 105:12 110:2 113:25 131:20	131:22,23,24 132:2 229:9 271:19 threshold 75:22 through 24:2 28:2 34:6 36:6 42:7 48:12 49:25 56:23 58:19 59:1 61:2,8 63:10 63:24 64:2,6 64:13 66:5,20 71:15 74:1 75:1 77:13 85:16 91:25 103:3 105:14 127:6 130:6,6 130:13 133:5 144:12 152:21 161:14,25 172:22,24 173:5 178:6 180:11 184:13 190:17 191:13 194:3,17 196:12 200:3 203:21 204:7 205:24 206:18 212:13 219:18 219:18 229:8 233:3 234:12 235:1,2 247:7 250:10,13 253:17,21 259:11 263:15 278:14,20 throughout 116:9 117:2 throw 81:4 thumb 119:25 tie 95:15 175:5 178:4,9 tied 174:21 Tim 26:24 34:1 37:20 93:15 159:6,13,17,19 296:12 298:10 298:11	time 24:6 27:9 31:22 32:5 42:5,8 44:3,23 45:16 47:15 49:24 59:12,15 59:23 60:13,16 61:19 65:15,19 69:8,16 70:4,8 74:18 82:14 84:8,8,10,10 87:2 89:6,24 90:8,19 92:1 94:14 96:23 97:22 106:8,8 110:20 113:2,4 114:4 116:9 117:10 118:5 120:3 124:5,5 129:5,8 132:4 133:18 137:12 137:16,16 138:1,23 142:13,19 144:3,12,15 148:19 153:7 153:23 154:15 157:15 161:19 164:11 165:19 171:6 174:19 174:19 175:8 181:21,25 182:3,10 186:18 187:16 189:15 192:22 192:23 197:18 198:2 202:20 203:18 207:13 211:23 212:6 212:24 213:3 231:13 232:4 237:2,3,24 240:3 241:21 242:2,10 247:14 250:22 251:9 261:14 263:15 264:12 278:1 281:4 286:7 291:5	293:1 295:5 301:9,13 timeframe 56:8 times 114:20 116:23 123:10 178:8 Time-line 299:14 timing 30:5 146:10 224:8 title 267:11 284:25 285:4,6 285:8,16 titles 281:6,7,25 282:6 286:9 TMR-3 37:24 93:14 299:13 today 26:21,25 27:6,19 28:25 29:15 85:23 88:25 92:13 127:9 132:21 137:5 139:8 141:17 143:11 150:13 152:2 152:15 153:19 155:16 160:11 165:13 182:2 189:8 206:21 249:20 255:21 256:18 261:9 281:5 283:6 286:7,24 290:15 291:4,8 today's 24:12 together 47:5 52:16 61:11 116:18,21 178:5,9 191:16 209:7 241:25 245:11 told 192:10 194:5 202:7 209:14 216:8 284:7,14,15 285:22 tomorrow 27:1 127:10 292:8	292:17,22 293:6,16,18,25 294:5,12,21 295:1,11 tonight 292:21 293:3 tool 201:6,9,10 208:10 251:5 274:3,5,6,8 tools 54:11 63:2 63:2 123:7 234:17 235:25 236:3 237:5,8 237:12 238:9 top 162:15 173:14 225:7 topic 44:9,14 45:4 49:24 55:2 63:5 69:9 70:3 97:18 123:3 181:9,22 182:5,11,16,19 183:3,21 280:8 280:17 283:3 283:18 284:8 286:11 topics 285:25 total 69:5 78:22 104:16 106:11 119:21,21 162:17,18 201:2,5 221:13 222:23 224:15 totally 80:10 touch 116:17 towards 253:1 track 127:2 146:15 170:1 253:16 tracking 170:2 traction 175:8 trade 134:21 280:4 traded 116:10 trades 123:9 trading 102:14 102:15 116:24 traditional 28:3
--	---	--	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

266:22 training 34:22 43:16,17,20,21 43:24 44:9 54:19 60:6 278:6,10,22 279:1,10 transaction 47:13,15 51:15 52:20 53:2,13 70:15,16 80:7 112:13,14 146:16 151:13 158:8 168:11 169:3 174:21 174:23 175:1,6 175:6,11,20,20 179:3 219:4 transactions 46:20,21 95:23 96:2,11,19 100:25 101:21 146:2 168:19 169:18,22 170:6 178:15 264:14 transacts 57:6 transcript 22:4 172:9,23 184:3 184:23 294:23 298:24 301:12 translated 181:12 transmission 68:9 279:2 transparency 156:21 transparent 156:25 transport 114:25 traveling 294:10 treatise 60:3 treatises 280:7 280:16 treatment 57:18 146:12 trend 100:15	trending 105:17 105:22 131:8,9 276:4 trends 30:4 241:2 tried 62:12 196:24 248:24 trigger 105:9,15 106:10 129:5,5 129:9 triggered 131:2 triggers 129:9 132:6 true 39:5 52:13 61:7 78:3 102:7 103:8,16 103:22 142:11 143:9 146:22 160:14 165:16 189:12 206:2 240:20 261:11 301:11 true-up 214:12 truly 129:16 trust 171:17 truthful 89:3 93:23 try 168:3,5 186:18 196:11 200:18 208:8 238:9,17 241:25 249:14 249:17 251:3 275:20 281:7 trying 59:8 67:24 113:15 122:15 129:15 152:2 171:24 173:12 177:23 177:23 211:16 228:24 230:16 239:6,15 247:10 273:20 279:15 Tuesday 24:5 130:25 131:5 tune 124:2 turbine 72:2,3	turn 26:18 48:11 51:12 121:18 123:2 143:7 173:14 203:20 214:10,17 231:25 246:12 280:11 290:19 turned 42:8 50:12 83:5 85:6 twice 77:24 two 28:20 30:14 37:5 40:5,10 44:12 46:20 47:5 55:12,19 57:5 83:21 84:7 85:25 87:7 97:8 104:15 105:2 106:10,16 110:8 114:17 118:2 131:12 135:22 136:23 136:23 161:10 161:15,16 162:8,12,13,21 163:11 164:4,6 167:16 185:9 209:18 224:11 238:16 268:24 268:24 277:23 278:14,18 287:24 288:18 288:20 290:23 294:22 two-pronged 32:2 two-thirds 83:20 104:25 105:4,5 106:3 tying 177:12 180:21 type 269:17,18 270:17 279:16 typeface 109:5 types 79:13 142:24 167:17 typically 26:3	49:7 101:24 113:10 129:19 131:17 <hr/> U <hr/> Uh-huh 114:12 270:20 ultimate 200:23 un 195:10 uncommon 246:6 under 31:6,20 31:22 36:10,11 39:4 57:22 64:11 76:6 82:2 83:14,21 84:7,18 85:6 85:17 96:11,14 103:20 106:7,7 106:12 109:4 114:1 117:21 118:9 127:13 130:20,22 131:6,16 133:17 134:8 146:2,11,16 158:8 173:8 175:4 181:19 182:23,25 186:19 197:18 197:24 198:1,6 198:14,25 199:13 208:4 209:17 212:13 232:25 241:9 246:21 247:10 250:2 253:25 264:17 265:7 294:2 undergraduate 283:10 285:24 underlined 167:25 understand 55:2 59:9 62:13 63:1,16 67:11 71:7,7 115:21 161:21 168:25 192:24 201:17	203:10 208:19 219:3,6 231:5 233:1 263:5,18 291:16 294:12 understanding 68:16 96:10 100:14 109:21 112:12 181:17 192:18 209:22 215:20 220:6 227:8 understate 170:12 understood 218:17 286:5 undertake 227:20 unequivocally 36:5 unfair 35:20 39:2 Unfortunately 27:20 62:12 90:2 unhedged 103:25 104:3 Union 76:12,14 77:23 78:21 unique 229:10 unit 188:14,17 190:19,25 191:2 197:12 221:5 246:22 254:6 United 70:14 units 162:6 University 45:9 unlawful 35:20 39:2 67:3 unless 59:11 127:15 155:9 174:21 241:14 242:17 258:8 258:15 unmute 161:4 unnecessary 81:8 unreasonable
--	---	---	---	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

31:16 38:25 39:11 67:2 69:7 233:1,2 unthoughtfully 85:7 until 52:22 66:18,18 100:19 113:13 147:9 187:3 248:19 250:22 289:10 293:16 294:6 295:10 unused 78:8 unusual 249:23 upcoming 242:19 246:2 update 97:4 updated 188:13 190:18 updates 38:9 updating 188:19 188:20,22 upward 85:8 227:22 228:6 228:21 229:5 upwards 71:11 up-front 245:19 urge 186:8 urged 204:4,12 usage 76:6 234:18 use 28:15,22 30:4 34:16 35:4,15 36:19 37:6 38:24 39:7 40:11 53:25 54:5 58:1 60:4,8 61:21 62:14 63:7 67:7 77:10 95:17 99:23 104:13 105:10 122:14 122:15 123:24 124:10 126:6 136:15 143:20 160:3 175:17 193:23 201:7,9	202:6 206:15 207:23 211:12 211:17 212:18 213:8 215:18 216:9,25 234:10 235:7 235:21,25 236:3,22,22 237:5,8 238:8 238:11 249:14 263:7 267:23 269:1 270:24 272:4 274:5 284:18,21 291:21 used 29:15,25 30:10 33:7 34:14 35:8 41:9 42:17,18 42:19 43:1 45:5 54:10,11 55:12 56:3 59:19 61:15,19 62:22 72:3 75:13 76:7 114:9 115:3 116:23 117:15 133:2 168:19 178:25 200:20 201:6,7 208:11 208:11 211:9 218:4 230:23 247:15 251:8,8 251:10 264:19 265:14 266:13 274:4,5 useful 108:9 201:1 Users 25:6 uses 33:3 46:8 63:2 79:7 225:17 using 28:9 32:18 32:24 33:1 36:16,18 37:2 38:21 39:17,21 41:21 42:3 43:4 44:20,25	45:12 52:3 53:23 54:8 59:10 62:5 65:17 72:8 101:24 104:9 105:1 113:18 123:6 163:16 178:25 179:11 179:13 192:17 200:15 201:25 202:1,16 203:7 207:17 210:24 213:13,15 215:13 216:14 216:23 231:2,2 231:14 232:5 237:12 244:15 266:18 269:14 270:22 272:3,8 272:20 273:9 276:9 278:15 278:16 279:13 285:12 286:16 usual 26:17 UtiliCorp 70:14 utilities 175:3,4 176:11,18 178:14 179:2 179:10 200:4,6 200:7 202:5 204:5 213:22 226:25 227:9 227:11,15 228:4 229:7,10 230:24 234:9 236:15 238:19 244:2,6,19 245:16,19 246:4 279:16 279:18 utility 30:20 31:4,9 60:3 71:18 73:15,21 73:23,25 74:6 74:7 75:21 141:3 155:10 178:10,12 195:23 196:5	196:11 229:13 235:15 236:18 237:19 240:16 240:24 241:1 243:9 244:17 248:3 256:4 258:18 260:19 269:20 279:18 utility's 73:11,19 211:25 utilized 44:19 234:17 236:1 237:5 utilizing 29:25 U.S 45:11 <hr/> <p align="center">V</p> <hr/> value 57:22,23 58:7,8 118:10 123:23 146:10 155:8 174:20 175:15 181:15 vantage 126:18 variability 240:12 variance 241:14 variation 45:21 variations 45:23 varies 116:8,14 245:8 variety 134:18 279:2 various 36:9 37:14 43:7,24 54:19 105:15 128:24 181:3 228:5,20 229:4 264:13 270:5 271:8 279:1 283:24 vary 106:12 117:1 varying 116:11 vendor 43:4 version 91:18 95:11,17 97:5 101:2 150:6,7 versions 91:17 92:20	versus 30:25 75:15 98:21 118:3 130:22 162:17 186:21 275:2 very 26:10,15 37:7 42:2 47:23 49:16,25 69:9,12 72:25 76:19 83:9 86:2,23 90:16 94:1 97:25 99:21 105:13 105:18 108:21 110:1 120:2 123:9,11,20,22 123:24 127:21 128:11 130:15 131:3,12,14,14 131:14 134:17 134:24 137:20 138:6 139:23 144:20 149:4 158:24 159:1 159:11 164:14 166:12 168:1 178:20 187:8 192:9 207:24 236:7 249:9,17 253:7 266:22 278:19 279:11 287:1 via 23:10 203:25 viable 41:11 vice 138:24,25 view 75:19 179:22 194:14 208:3 241:22 242:2 249:8 viewed 53:12 80:17 violating 167:12 violation 170:3 violations 179:23 violative 185:9 virtue 95:24 96:18 153:13
---	--	--	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

42:12,16 47:24 48:6 198:8 201:14,20,24 213:12 227:10 volatility 28:24 48:22 53:10 71:11 75:15 78:16,18 79:2 79:12 80:19 85:8 98:5,9 101:20,24 110:17 120:21 179:5 201:22 226:6,20 227:16,22 234:18,22 235:8,22 236:2 236:10 237:6 237:13 239:2 241:12 243:8 248:1,6 251:4 255:8 271:15 volume 22:8 103:21 104:1,2 104:4,5,6,16 104:16 105:1 106:11,14,23 106:25 107:6 113:5,8 122:23 123:10,23 133:2 volumes 118:2 124:4,5 221:8 Voss 191:19 242:7	127:22,22 132:4 137:11 137:11,13,25 145:17 155:6 177:11 187:14 188:25 211:19 227:9 238:22 243:8 244:11 245:23 272:24 275:9 293:8,21 294:13,16,25 wanted 53:17 153:18 156:8 158:3,10 173:22 201:8 227:11,13,15 292:10 294:16 294:24 wanting 152:16 wants 86:17,19 237:19 Warren 227:5 wash 136:23 wasn't 39:17 45:8 51:21 120:15 157:7 192:11 214:4 252:13 255:1 265:4 285:1 291:6,23 way 25:15 31:16 52:7 62:10 69:7 73:14 102:8 106:2 113:18 121:5 126:4 131:4,9 132:7 135:20 136:3 138:2 178:13 198:13 204:11 206:13 213:21 218:3 247:19 250:15 270:17 273:10 277:12 294:17 ways 113:1 227:15 webcasting 26:20	webinar 43:15 44:4,8,12,18 44:23 194:10 256:19 257:3 278:6,10,15 279:11 284:16 284:17 285:22 webinars 34:21 43:24 279:1 285:24 website 60:17 WEB-12 100:9 101:8,25 120:12 299:18 299:21 WEB-18 108:11 WEB-5 94:25 264:12 299:16 WEB-9 58:4 117:17,19 129:24 134:3 weeks 85:25 weight 186:10 welcome 27:19 27:22 69:22 well 26:10,15 30:16 43:11 49:22 53:3 59:5,9 62:25 64:23 69:11 73:9 83:9 86:4 89:23 95:18 100:16 102:20 103:17 109:11 112:19 116:7 117:4,6,24 119:4,8,22 120:1,2,23 121:6,22 126:6 127:21 128:13 130:12 134:14 134:15,19 136:2,20 137:3 152:11 166:12 168:18 171:4 171:19 178:2 185:19 186:15 198:13 201:1	201:23 211:2 211:16 216:2 217:16 229:16 232:18 233:17 233:25 236:6 238:12,21 242:9 244:8 245:14 249:2 249:21,25 251:12 264:15 271:25 272:15 276:7 283:20 288:17 289:10 289:20 293:24 294:13 well-accepted 36:16 well-designed 81:14 went 85:1 114:7 117:25 180:11 217:23 239:8 250:10 276:1 277:15,20 278:6 279:21 289:8 were 24:2 25:13 25:17 27:13 29:16 31:16 34:6 38:4,6 39:14 44:12 51:4,7,8 52:24 52:24 56:17 58:12,13 60:2 60:12,18,19 63:19 65:10 67:4 69:6 72:24 74:19 82:7,22 84:19 84:20,25 85:2 85:2,25 87:11 88:24 89:15 90:25 92:11 93:1 95:14 96:11,14,17 97:10 100:25 101:22 103:5 106:25 107:8	111:2 113:7,8 118:15 119:10 122:5,6 128:20 134:14 139:6 141:15 142:13 142:18,23 143:9 145:14 148:5 150:12 152:4 158:4 159:8 160:10 160:25 162:20 163:13,22 164:4,9,9 166:16 172:12 191:24 193:20 197:4 199:5 215:1,24 218:2 218:4,6,18,19 220:8 226:25 232:13,17,20 233:5,7,8 234:5,6 241:11 241:18 242:11 242:15 247:9 248:12 249:4 251:16,23 252:8,10,12 253:21 254:3 255:3 264:17 269:13 274:4 279:16 280:24 284:20 286:3 287:18,24 288:13 290:10 290:12,12,13 291:17,21 weren't 158:4 215:20,25 220:11 we'll 25:7,9 26:25 27:11 87:8 115:13 126:10,13 129:6 132:2,3 154:7,14 171:9 187:15 253:23 293:25 294:2 295:10
--	--	---	--	---

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

we're 25:18 27:6 29:15 59:14 63:6 69:23 74:10 75:5 100:22 103:5 104:10 105:17 113:15 118:14 118:24 124:14 129:14 131:19 131:23 137:9 161:21 171:24 173:22 176:19 189:1 201:2 218:25 219:1 231:2 235:17 238:14,15 273:20,20 279:9 292:7 293:10	William 26:23 90:20 91:1,5 296:4 Williams 191:20 willing 292:17 win 238:9 winter 242:20 wise 122:1 wish 137:24 262:9 274:20 274:20 withdraw 258:23 witness 36:25 39:24 43:14 44:2,3,7 58:16 66:12 68:1 77:18,21 78:17 87:9 88:2,4,5 89:8,21 90:5,7 90:8,14,16,18 90:21 92:20 112:5 126:16 137:25 139:11 140:8,18 141:20 145:4 147:25 149:16 149:17,20 150:21 152:19 154:22 156:3 159:1,3,5,10 160:19 161:4 161:11 164:17 164:20 172:24 173:13 180:21 183:17 184:22 187:9,20 192:25 193:1,2 203:24 214:7 239:16 250:19 260:8,10 261:15 262:16 264:3 witnesses 26:21 26:22,25 27:5 32:14 34:20 39:14 55:6 152:21 154:10	164:16 290:17 Wm 298:3,4 Wolf 30:18 wonder 94:8 108:20,22 109:17 110:7 111:9 wonderful 274:22 wonders 70:7 Woo 26:22 32:16 35:4,6 45:3 54:23 61:16 62:20 63:9 88:4,8,12 88:15 89:23 90:3,15 203:24 204:3 282:8,19 296:3 298:13 298:15 wood 178:20 227:5 Woo's 56:22 61:13 281:17 word 92:5,7,8 144:9 220:11 261:3 words 60:22 104:6 109:6,18 136:13 276:8 work 26:2 46:12 56:5 60:19 113:18 116:20 118:17 126:15 131:18 192:11 195:12 227:4 249:17 280:6 280:24 282:21 worked 135:18 172:12,14 209:6 251:12 254:11,24 working 113:19 142:13 166:16 251:13 264:11 278:15 works 49:25 62:10 116:5	117:20 125:23 137:17 world 52:14 62:11 118:19 worry 123:15 worth 60:21,22 122:16 wouldn't 200:20 206:2,3 238:21 249:20 251:13 252:13,14,17 264:23 279:10 wrap 168:4 write 192:7 writing 299:23 written 24:23 45:4 88:25 186:18 187:3 192:5,6 294:25 wrong 63:16 67:5 96:7 171:16 wrote 45:9 171:15 W-o-o 88:12	131:25 132:3 132:11,12 181:24 192:16 216:18,19 217:18 245:6 251:16 257:19 271:4,19 279:5 yield 57:24 yo 125:14 York 68:7
<hr/>				
<p align="center">Z</p>				
<hr/>				
zero 52:17 68:5 136:1 zip 88:14 zone 83:24 84:1 84:2 128:1,2,2 128:2 132:1 zones 105:12,14				
<hr/>				
<p align="center">\$</p>				
<hr/>				
\$1.80 109:18,22 119:2,5,8,22 \$10 50:15,17 52:5,16,16 219:17 \$11 233:20 \$12.8 118:17 \$13.58 99:17 \$13.66 84:14 \$135 41:4 110:12 \$14 118:11 \$14.8 67:12 68:19 \$14.9 71:9 76:22 202:25 209:9 209:21 \$15 70:21 75:5 81:21 99:13 277:14 \$18,755,865 263:13 \$18.15 109:19 \$18.8 37:19 59:22,24 208:15 209:2 282:16 \$2 76:17 137:6				

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

\$2.50 84:15	1,000 71:25	120 23:7 41:4	298:6	1979 72:20,22
\$2.508 99:17	124:1	57:25 72:10	152 184:13	1981 78:2
\$2.55 141:12,13	1,100 72:1	110:12 134:23	156 296:11	144:11,15
\$20 232:7	1/12 131:1	1200 91:6 150:2	157 296:11	1985 76:13
\$22 218:7	1:20 149:11	159:17	158 296:12	1990s 34:19
\$26 119:23	10 50:2,3 58:21	1209 23:11	159 296:13	1998 181:23
\$26.86 119:22	87:11,24	153:6	298:10,11	
\$3 137:6	119:23 145:18	125 99:15	16 45:4 141:11	2
\$3.651 99:20	160:3,7 174:14	134:23	226:15,16	2 30:15 91:17
\$4.12 99:14	214:10 219:15	126 173:5	253:13,17,21	92:2,12,19,22
\$4.34 118:10	261:2 262:5,9	13 107:17 155:3	281:19 282:12	93:1 94:1
\$40 50:14 81:23	262:13 298:16	220:22,23	299:5	132:11 162:22
219:23,24	300:4	221:24,25	16th 23:7	173:20 189:19
\$50 50:8,10,15	10Q 151:22	222:2,6 232:3	160 298:10,11	189:23 204:18
219:18	154:18,21	253:13,17,21	1600 88:13	204:19 263:6
\$6.83 99:12	156:15,19,21	265:6,10,17,19	161 296:14	265:24 271:16
\$60 220:2	100 220:1,1	266:5 299:1	163 296:15	285:3,6
\$7 162:21	299:19	133 57:10 146:8	164 296:18	2A 234:12,13
0	101 23:3 88:13	174:17,22	166 296:19	2GH 234:12
	283:19 299:23	181:11 182:6,8	299:11	2H 234:13
0004 153:22	102 59:12	182:12,17	17 107:7,17	2HC 188:8
0029 299:1,2	108 299:25	183:1	108:3 122:6	189:16
0030 299:4	109.6 58:8 134:9	135 72:10	124:16 145:18	2nd 30:25
0058 108:15	134:12,16	138 296:7 298:9	167:3 174:14	2NP 90:24
299:25	11 73:22 82:18	139 298:9	190:17 207:21	2NP/2HC 298:3
05 120:15	132:9,10,12,13	14 134:13	171 175:17	299:8
07 94:6,9,15	132:15,17	172:24 207:16	181:19 182:24	2,000 71:23,24
08 103:2 136:4	144:8 171:9	222:10 223:15	178 296:20	20 106:15
09 103:3	203:22 214:13	223:17,21	179 25:23 72:21	200 23:16,21
1	214:17 271:18	253:13 299:2	296:21	2000 206:23
1 24:2 64:11	294:19 298:19	14.8 118:12,23	18 43:16 72:18	207:6 226:23
68:24 91:19	11-month 74:1	14.9 70:22 82:25	81:21 82:25	2002 99:19
92:7,12,19,22	271:18	97:24 208:24	92:6 124:16	2004 33:14
93:1,25 108:21	11-month-long	263:24 264:8	172:24 190:17	34:15 36:18
109:2 161:25	73:12	140 296:8 298:7	208:16 221:5	38:2 99:11
173:5 190:17	11.3 259:10	142 296:8 298:7	232:7,16	142:9 147:9
203:21 204:19	11:35 137:24	148 173:14	290:24	216:15
241:10 261:18	111 299:19,23	184:13 296:9	18C 214:11	2005 25:24
265:24 290:20	299:25 300:3,3	149 174:1	18-month 56:23	35:14 36:23
1A 228:9,10	112 296:5	296:10 298:6	56:24 76:23	65:1 66:7,8,12
1B 234:9	115 296:6	15 58:17,21	18.8 97:20	78:3 81:25
1HC 260:22	12 56:5 132:9,10	60:25 107:20	182.3 146:9	99:12 121:22
261:15,22	132:12,13	173:5 223:25	183 76:12	139:5 144:12
1NP 90:24 91:16	133:14 184:7	225:21,23	187 296:23	144:15 145:1
1NP/1HC 298:2	294:19 298:23	226:2 253:13	189 299:9	155:15,19
299:7	12-month	277:21 299:3	19 280:12	157:3 166:16
1st 28:2 138:24	271:19	150 176:7	190 296:24	172:11 185:2,3
145:12 242:21	12.8 134:13	151 296:10	193 76:16	209:6 217:8
				259:6,8 267:14

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

290:9,22 2006 82:19 99:14 120:25 128:14 145:12 172:10 184:4 298:25 2007 36:4,23 56:23 58:19 59:1 61:2 64:9 64:10,17 82:16 82:21 83:5,8 83:13,19 84:19 94:13 100:16 100:17,18 101:13 184:25 215:8 259:12 267:15 290:9 290:22 2008 43:17 44:5 70:17 84:14,15 99:16 102:18 109:19 113:13 113:20 114:2 120:17 157:2 2009 28:2 45:20 56:23 61:8 84:16 112:21 113:12,15,16 113:21,21,24 116:11 2010 28:3 30:16 61:8 77:22 99:1,19 109:23 136:5 221:6 2011 28:6 58:19 59:1 61:2 75:17 217:25 2012 22:6 24:5 100:19 151:23 181:7 209 23:11 21st 181:7 221:6 22 99:1 188:21 203:21 280:12 22nd 64:9,17 220 299:1 222 299:1,3 223 299:3,4	2230 23:15 25:3 226 299:4,5 23 167:25 24 99:3 299:8,9 299:11 240-40.018 299:5 245 146:9 25 78:3 92:6 176:6 203:22 25th 29:4 253 299:5 254 296:25 26 103:20 260 297:2 261 299:8 262 297:3 300:5 264 184:14 27 76:11 82:21 84:19 101:13 106:19 296:1 27th 184:24 273,000 71:20 28 75:17 28th 28:6 29 76:13 221:2 222:14,19 223:15 245:6 29s 224:11 <hr/> 3 3 24:2 41:2 83:2 92:3 139:2 141:11 149:13 150:6,23 162:6 165:8,20,23 166:2 173:20 174:1 240:9 299:9 3HC 150:20 3NP 150:20 151:2 3NP/3HC 298:5 3rd 151:23 3.5 72:9 110:10 3.9 41:3 72:9 110:10 30 102:13,15 116:24 120:1	123:10 214:22 224:4 30th 28:2 300 99:13 300,000 71:19 3100 23:11 153:6 32 112:22 360 23:20 24:17 37 155:1 378 99:13 38,000 71:20 39 112:22 <hr/> 4 4 22:8 92:4 93:16 96:23,25 97:10 139:2 140:19 141:5 141:16,20,23 142:2 161:25 162:6 298:6 299:5,12 40 41:5 72:13 78:24,24 110:14 219:15 219:19 290:21 400 23:3 41 290:19 417.1 160:4,7 418679 23:6 430.17 160:3,7 447 157:14 158:2,6,16 48 172:23 <hr/> 5 5 22:6 94:23 96:23,25 97:10 138:9,18,19 139:7,11,14,18 160:2,7 161:22 203:22 241:8 242:15 265:7 265:12 266:9 285:14,14,15 298:8 299:15 5th 24:5 5:00 295:13	50 50:10 219:15 500 71:21 501 146:24 148:13 520 30:25 547 36:12 63:21 65:5,9,14,24 66:1,11,25 79:22 146:4,15 146:21 147:5 148:9,12,12,13 148:16,17 149:1 158:5 167:9,19 169:19 170:1 215:22 218:2 55 43:23 167:21 167:21 279:6 554 30:25 555 36:13 63:20 63:24 64:1 65:5,10 66:1 66:24 79:21 146:5,15,25 148:7,16,23 157:14 167:9 168:2 169:21 170:1,2 573)636-6758 23:4 573)751-3234 23:22 573)751-4857 23:17 58 77:20 78:17 <hr/> 6 6 72:4 100:3 102:21,23 110:21,23 111:2 159:8,22 160:18,21,25 161:22 207:15 207:21 285:14 285:14,16 298:9 299:17 6.009 99:20 62 231:25 63 72:2 232:3,16	64111 23:12 153:6 64141 23:7 65 219:25 242:19 650 23:16 65101 23:3 65102 23:16,21 24:18 25:4 69 296:2 <hr/> 7 7 78:22,25 99:7 101:4 110:21 110:23 111:2 120:7 160:21 161:22 162:4 203:22 239:18 299:20 7HC 159:8,22 160:18,25 7NP 159:8 160:25 7NP/7HC 298:11 71 146:11 73 99:16 793 118:1,3,10 <hr/> 8 8 55:24 56:19 87:11 88:17 89:7,11,15 108:5 110:21 110:23 111:2 263:16 298:12 299:24 8:29 24:1 8:30 294:1,14 295:11 80 57:15,25 106:14 134:22 80/20 106:10 815 181:9,22 182:11,13,16 182:19 183:3 183:21 816)556-2314 23:8
--	---	--	--	--

EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/5/2012

<p>816)753-1122 23:12 82 99:17 824 57:2 853 57:3 87 298:13,15,18 87.23 45:21 88 296:3 89 56:15,16 298:13,15,18 8941 59:2,7</p> <hr/> <p align="center">9</p> <hr/> <p>9 58:21 68:19 87:11 88:19 89:8,11,15 92:2 97:20 111:19,21,25 119:17,24 126:1 143:7,17 144:8 172:10 298:14 9HC 111:4 300:1 9th 184:3,18 298:25 90 57:14 298:3,4 90s 43:13 90-minute 44:13 91 296:4 93 296:5 298:3,4 299:14 94 299:16 94104 88:14 9411 56:12 95 78:9 97 299:14,16 982,000 117:23 118:2</p>				
---	--	--	--	--