1	THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	Procedural Conference June 12, 2018
8	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1
9	
10	
11	In the Matter of the First ) Prudence Review of The )
12	Missouri Energy Efficiency ) Investment Act (MEEIA) Cycle ) File No. E0-2018-0155
13	2 Energy Efficiency Programs ) Of Union Electric Company )
14	d/b/a Ameren Missouri
15	NAMOV DIDDELL Drogiding
16	NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
17	
18	DEDODTED DV
19	ORTED BY: ie D. Threlkeld, CCR ER COURT REPORTING, LLC
20	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Vol 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	MR. L. RUSSELL MITTEN
3	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue PO Box 456
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 573.635.7166
5	FOR: Ameren Missouri
6	1 Ole Tallet ell Titbboatt
7 8	MR. HAMPTON WILLIAMS, Acting Public Counsel MR. CALEB HALL, Senior Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street
9	Suite 650 PO Box 2230
10	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.4857
11 12	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
13	
14	MR. ROBERT S. BERLIN 200 Madison Street
15	Suite 800 PO Box 360
16	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.526.7779
17	FOR: Public Service Commission
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. We can go ahead and go
2	on the record. This is Case Number EO-2018-0155 In the
3	Matter of the First Prudence Review of the Missouri
4	Energy Efficiency Investment Act Cycle 2 Energy
5	Efficiency Programs of Union Electric Company, Doing
6	Business as Ameren Missouri.
7	My name's Nancy Dippell. I'm the regulatory
8	law judge assigned to this case.
9	And I'm going to start with entries of
10	appearance from Commission Staff.
11	MR. BERLIN: Appearing on behalf of the
12	Staff, Robert S. Berlin, Post Office Box 360,
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65012.
14	JUDGE DIPPELL: Office of Public Counsel.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Hampton Williams and Caleb
16	Hall. My information's been provided to the court
17	reporter.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Union Electric Company.
19	MR. STEINER: L. Russell Mitten, Brydon,
20	Swearengen & England, 312 East Capitol Avenue, Jefferson
21	City, Missouri 65102.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Did you want to go ahead and
23	make an entry or are you just sitting in?
24	MR. HALL: Caleb Hall with the Office of
25	Public Counsel

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. Did you say that,
2	Hampton? I'm terribly sorry. I was listening for what I
3	wanted to hear, I guess. I didn't see your name listed
4	here, and I apologize.
5	And Missouri Division of Energy? Mr. Poston
6	we know is involved in another meeting this morning
7	having to do with Commission business and Ameren, so
8	since he's not here physically.
9	But it sounded like upon my entry to the room
10	today that you all have been talking about a procedural
11	schedule and how to go forward on this. So
12	MR. BERLIN: That's correct, Your Honor. And
13	we're hopeful that we'll have something that all the
14	parties can agree on by the end of the day. If so, we'll
15	notify you and submit the proposed schedule to you.
16	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. So I take it with that
17	that you all are thinking that this is going to go to
18	hearing?
19	MR. BERLIN: We're preparing as if it does.
20	But I think we're all hopeful that we'll be able to
21	settle most, if not all, the issues.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. It doesn't look like
23	to me in the rule that there's a specific timeline with
24	regard to if a hearing is requested, which is what we're
25	put in now, as opposed to if no hearing is requested, the

Commission has to get an order out in a certain number of 1 2 days. Is that -- am I correct on that? Is there some other -- is that in the statute or anything? I didn't 3 4 look at that this morning. 5 MR. BERLIN: Well, the rule does set forth a deadline --6 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. MR. BERLIN: -- for -- well, there's a due 8 9 date for the Staff's report, which was filed. There's a 10 deadline for request for hearing, which the Company met. 11 And then there's a deadline in the rule for Commission 12 order, which under the rule is June 29th, 2018. But typically in this kind of a case we're able to come 13 together and agree on a procedural schedule that would --14 15 would take the place of the rule timeline. Because the 16 rule is merely a timeline and we can, through the 17 agreement of the parties in the case, modify that 18 timeline. 19 MR. WILLIAMS: I think it's on the order of 20 the Commission too. 21 MR. BERLIN: Pardon me? 22 MR. WILLIAMS: On order of the Commission. 23 MR. BERLIN: On order of the Commission --24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. 2.5 MR. BERLIN: -- through approval of a

```
proposed joint procedural schedule.
 1
 2
                 JUDGE DIPPELL:
                                 I guess my reading under the
    prudence review, Section 11, was that if no party to the
 3
 4
     proceeding requested a hearing, there was a deadline for
 5
     the --
 6
                 MR. BERLIN: Right.
 7
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- Commission. But is there
 8
     also in the rule -- I'm just -- this is for my own
 9
     education --
                 MR. WILLIAMS: My recollection of the rule is
10
11
     that once -- that the purpose of that is to serve more --
12
     almost kind of like the automatic effective date --
13
                 JUDGE DIPPELL:
                                 Right.
14
                 MR. WILLIAMS: -- Legislature to make sure --
15
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Right.
                 MR. WILLIAMS: -- that where the parties are
16
17
     in agreement that there's a timeline for a decision to be
     made by the Commission, but that that timeline would not
18
19
     apply --
20
                 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
21
                 MR. WILLIAMS: -- once the parties requested
22
     a hearing.
23
                 JUDGE DIPPELL:
                                 Okay.
24
                 MR. BERLIN: And then -- and propose a
2.5
    procedural schedule.
```

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. All right. Okay.
2	Well, I appreciate you all working on that.
3	Is there anything else that needs to go on
4	the record then this morning?
5	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't know that this
6	necessarily needs to go on the record. But I just would
7	like some clarification, just so I understand. In the
8	motion for rehearing, the Company identified that Staff's
9	recommendation was \$79,315 disallowance. On the Staff's
10	report on page 4, it identifies a total disallowance of
11	\$80,212, which includes about \$900 of interest.
12	I just wanted to make sure that that to
13	try to identify what Staff's actual proposed total
14	disallowance was, just so all the parties are on the
15	stage kind of the same page as far as
16	MR. BERLIN: Well, the proposed total
17	disallowance, which is listed on page 3 of the it's
18	actually recreated on page 3 of the cover pleading,
19	Staff's report, and it's Table 2 of Staff's report in the
20	memorandum report, is \$80,212. That does include, as you
21	said, \$900 of interest, when added to the total proposed
22	disallowed costs of 79,332.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: So just for clarification,
24	what the Company identified in its motion was what Staff
25	has identified as a disallowed cost of \$79,000, which

1	does not identify staff's interest estimation?
2	MR. BERLIN: Well, what I believe to be true
3	here, and I know we have some of the auditors in the
4	room, is that the interest number could change, depending
5	upon whatever the date the Commission issues an order in
6	the case. I'm getting a nod, so
7	MR. WILLIAMS: With respect, though, I guess
8	the determination of the disallowance, that would be the
9	\$79,000 figure; and then what would be then there
10	would be an additional amount for interest?
11	MR. BERLIN: Yes.
12	MR. WILLIAMS: That would be determined by
13	what ultimately the effective date of the order would be?
14	MR. BERLIN: That's that's right.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Just for my own
16	clarification, I wanted to make sure we're all talking
17	about the same thing. Thank you, Judge.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: No problem.
19	Is there anything else?
20	All right. Then seeing nothing further, we
21	can go ahead and go off the record.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
23	MR. BERLIN: Thank you.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you all.
25	(Off the record.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Angie D. Threlkeld, a Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1382, the officer before whom the foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

2.5

Ungie D. Shrelkeld.
Angie D. Threlkeld, CCR

Vol 1 Index: \$79,000..Hall

	—   <b>Act</b> 3:4	CCR 9:4,19	disallowance 7:9,10,
<b>\$</b>	action 9:9,13	CERTIFICATE 9:1	14,17 8:8
<b>\$79,000</b> 7:25 8:9	actual 7:13	Certified 9:3	disallowed 7:22,25
<b>\$79,315</b> 7:9	added 7:21	certify 9:5	Division 4:5
<b>\$80,212</b> 7:11,20	additional 8:10	change 8:4	<b>due</b> 5:8
<b>\$900</b> 7:11,21	<b>agree</b> 4:14 5:14	<b>City</b> 3:13,21	E
	agreement 5:17 6:17	clarification 7:7,23	
1	ahead 3:1,22 8:21	8:16	East 3:20
<b>11</b> 6:3	<b>Ameren</b> 3:6 4:7	<b>Commission</b> 3:10 4:7 5:1,11,20,22,23	education 6:9
<b>1382</b> 9:4	amount 8:10	6:7,18 8:5	<b>effective</b> 6:12 8:13
	<b>Angie</b> 9:3,19	Company 3:5,18 5:10	Efficiency 3:4,5
2	apologize 4:4	7:8,24	Electric 3:5,18
<b>2</b> 3:4 7:19	appearance 3:10	<b>correct</b> 4:12 5:2	employed 9:8,11
<b>2018</b> 5:12	Appearing 3:11	<b>cost</b> 7:25	employee 9:11
<b>29th</b> 5:12	<b>apply</b> 6:19	costs 7:22	<b>end</b> 4:14
	approval 5:25	<b>counsel</b> 3:14,25 9:8,	<b>Energy</b> 3:4 4:5
3	assigned 3:8	court 3:16 9:3	England 3:20
2 7.47.40	attorney 9:11	cover 7:18	entries 3:9
<b>3</b> 7:17,18	auditors 8:3	Cycle 3:4	<b>entry</b> 3:23 4:9
<b>312</b> 3:20	automatic 6:12		<b>EO-2018-0155</b> 3:2
<b>360</b> 3:12	Avenue 3:20	D	estimation 8:1
4		date 5:9 6:12 8:5,13	F
<b>4</b> 7:10		day 4:14	figure 0.0
	behalf 3:11	<b>days</b> 5:2	figure 8:9
6	Berlin 3:11,12 4:12,19 - 5:5,8,21,23,25 6:6,24	deadline 5:6,10,11	filed 5:9
<b>65012</b> 3:13	7:16 8:2,11,14,23	6:4	financially 9:12
<b>65102</b> 3:21	<b>Box</b> 3:12	decision 6:17	foregoing 9:4,5
	<b>Brydon</b> 3:19	depending 8:4	forward 4:11
7	<b>business</b> 3:6 4:7	determination 8:8	G
<b>79,332</b> 7:22		determined 8:12  Dippell 3:1,7,14,18,22	guess 4:3 6:2 8:7
	_	4:1,16,22 5:7,24 6:2,7,	
A	<b>Caleb</b> 3:15,24	13,15,20,23 7:1 8:18, 24	Н
ability 9:6	Capitol 3:20	direction 9:7	<b>Hall</b> 3:16,24
-	<b>case</b> 3:2,8 5:13,17 8:6		5.10,21

Hampton 3:15 4:2	listed 4:3 7:17		regulatory 3:7
hear 4:3	listening 4:2	Р	rehearing 7:8
hearing 4:18,24,25		Pardon 5:21	related 9:8
5:10 6:4,22 9:5,6,9	M	parties 4:14 5:17	relative 9:10
Honor 4:12	<b>made</b> 6:18	6:16,21 7:14 9:9,12	report 5:9 7:10,19,20
hopeful 4:13,20	make 3:23 6:14 7:12	party 6:3	reporter 3:17 9:1,3
<del></del>	8:16	physically 4:8	request 5:10
	Matter 3:3	place 5:15	requested 4:24,25
identified 7:8,24,25	meeting 4:6	pleading 7:18	6:4,21
identifies 7:10	memorandum 7:20	<b>Post</b> 3:12	respect 8:7
identify 7:13 8:1	<b>met</b> 5:10	Poston 4:5	review 3:3 6:3
include 7:20	Missouri 3:3,6,13,21	preparing 4:19	Robert 3:12
includes 7:11	4:5	problem 8:18	room 4:9 8:4
information's 3:16 interest 7:11,21 8:1,	Mitten 3:19 modify 5:17	<b>procedural</b> 4:10 5:14 6:1,25	<b>rule</b> 4:23 5:5,11,12,15, 16 6:8,10
4,10	morning 4:6 5:4 7:4	proceeding 6:4	Russell 3:19
interested 9:12	motion 7:8,24	Programs 3:5	
Investment 3:4		propose 6:24	<b>S</b>
involved 4:6 issues 4:21 8:5	N	<b>proposed</b> 4:15 6:1 7:13,16,21	<b>schedule</b> 4:11,15 5:14 6:1,25
1330C3 4.21 0.3	name's 3:7	provided 3:16	Section 6:3
J	Nancy 3:7	prudence 3:3 6:3	serve 6:11
	necessarily 7:6	<b>Public</b> 3:14,25	<b>set</b> 5:5
Jefferson 3:13,20	nod 8:6	purpose 6:11	settle 4:21
<b>joint</b> 6:1	notify 4:15	<b>put</b> 4:25	sitting 3:23
<b>judge</b> 3:1,8,14,18,22 4:1,16,22 5:7,24 6:2,7,	number 3:2 5:1 8:4		sounded 4:9
13,15,20,23 7:1 8:17,	0	R	specific 4:23
18,24		reading 6:2	<b>Staff</b> 3:10,12 7:24
June 5:12	Office 3:12,14,24 officer 9:4	recollection 6:10	<b>staff's</b> 5:9 7:8,9,13,19 8:1
K	opposed 4:25	recommendation 7:9	stage 7:15
kind 5:13 6:12 7:15	order 5:1,12,19,22,23	record 3:2 7:4,6 8:21,	start 3:9
	8:5,13	25	statute 5:3
L	outcome 9:13	recreated 7:18	STEINER 3:19
<b>law</b> 3:8		reduced 9:7	submit 4:15
Legislature 6:14		regard 4:24	Swearengen 3:20
Legislature 6:14		ieyaiu 4.24	Swearengen 3:20

Vol 1 Index: Table..working

Т **Table** 7:19 talking 4:10 8:16 terribly 4:2 thereto 9:12 **thing** 8:17 thinking 4:17 Threlkeld 9:3,19 timeline 4:23 5:15,16, 18 6:17,18 **today** 4:10 total 7:10,13,16,21 true 8:2 typewriting 9:7 typically 5:13 U ultimately 8:13 understand 7:7 **Union** 3:5,18 W wanted 4:3 7:12 8:16 **Williams** 3:15 5:19,22 6:10,14,16,21 7:5,23 8:7,12,15,22 working 7:2