In the Matter of:

THE RATE DESIGN CASE OF EVERGY METRO, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI, etc.

EO-2021-0349 AND EO-2021-0350, VOL. II

September 28, 2021



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	On-the-Record Presentation
7	September 28, 2021
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 2
10	WebEx
11	
12	
13	In the Matter of the Rate Design) File No. Case of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a) E0-2021-0349
14	Case of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a) E0-2021-0349 Evergy Missouri Metro)
15	In the Matter of the Rate Design) File No. Case of Evergy Missouri West, Inc.) EO-2021-0350
16	d/b/a Evergy Missouri West)
17	
18	RON PRIDGIN, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19	RYAN A. SILVEY, Chairman
20	SCOTT T. RUPP MAIDA J. COLEMAN
21	JASON R. HOLSMAN GLEN KOLKMEYER,
22	COMMISSIONERS
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640
25	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

EO-2021-0349 and EO-2021-0350, Vol. II

1	INDEX
2	Page
3	Presentations by:
4	Brad Lutz and Kim Winslow, Evergy 13
5	Curt Stokes and Sarah Lange, PSC Staff 47
6	Dr. Geoff Marke, OPC 56
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority, PC 101 Madison, Suite 400
4	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 573.636.6758
5	FOR: Evergy Missouri Metro
6	NATHAN WILLIAMS, Chief Deputy Public Counsel PO Box 2230
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 opcservice@opc.mo.gov
	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
8	CURT STOKES, Chief Deputy Counsel
9	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
10	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 curt.stokes@psc.mo.gov
11	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
⊿ Э	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: We are on the record. Good
3	afternoon. This is the on-the-record presentation for
4	File Nos. E0-2021-0349 and 0350. This is the Time of
5	Use Rate Design or these are the Time of Use Rate
6	Design Reports for the Evergy Companies. And I would
7	like to get entries of appearance from counsel, please,
8	because with the Evergy Companies.
9	MR. FISCHER: Judge, on behalf of the Evergy
10	Companies, let the record reflect the appearance of
11	James Fischer. I'm with the law firm of Fischer &
12	Dority, and our address is 101 Madison Street, Suite
13	400, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. I'm not sure that
14	Roger Steiner is on at the moment.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. I don't see
16	Mr. Steiner, but thank you for your entry. Any entry of
17	appearance on behalf of the Staff of the Commission?
18	MR. STOKES: Yes, Judge Pridgin. My name is
19	Curt Stokes, C-u-r-t S-t-o-k-e-s, on behalf of the
20	Staff, address is 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City,
21	Missouri 65101.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any entry on
23	behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel?
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams, PO Box 2230,
25	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Williams, thank you.
2	Because we are on WebEx, to the extent that you can, I
3	would ask everyone have your microphone on mute unless
4	you plan to speak. That will hopefully reduce the
5	feedback for the court reporter. And she may need to
6	jump in once in awhile to ask who is speaking, because
7	she can't see like she normally would in a hearing room.
8	I expect everyone is familiar with having to
9	deal with issues like these on WebEx, but I just wanted
10	to remind you. My plan is to hear from the companies
11	first and then the Company would need to be ready to
12	address Commission questions, and then we'll do
13	similarly for Staff and Public Counsel.
14	Is there anything from the parties or from the
15	bench before we hear presentation from Evergy?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, this is Nathan Williams.
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes, sir, go ahead.
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Dr. Geoff Marke will be
19	speaking on behalf of Public Counsel when you get to
20	that point in the presentation.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
22	Anything further? All right. If there's nothing
23	further, Mr. Fischer, whenever you are ready, sir.
24	MR. FISCHER: Judge, first of all, I'd just
25	like to thank the Commission for convening this

opportunity to make a presentation to the Commissioners 1 2 and the RLJ and the parties. Today we've got Brad Lutz 3 who has prepared a power point on the Time of Use 4 Report, and I'd like to just turn it over to him at this point if that would be all right. Brad, are you on? 5 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's just fine. Thank you. 7 I am. If I may, may I share MR. LUTZ: I am. 8 my screen? 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly. 10 MR. LUTZ: Hopefully this is coming through. 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I can see it just fine. 12 Whenever you're ready, sir. 13 MR. LUTZ: Okay. Perfect. Thank you again. As I'll echo Mr. Fischer's comment, we thank you for the 14 15 opportunity to come before you today and offer this 16 presentation concerning the Evergy Time of Use Rate 17 Design Case Report. 18 Representing Evergy today will be myself. Μy 19 name is Brad Lutz. I'm the Director of Regulatory 20 Affairs, and I also have Kim Winslow, Senior Director of 21 Energy Solutions, with me today. 22 The information we'll share has been taken 23 from the Company Report in this case and details our 24 efforts to deploy the Time of Use rates in our Missouri jurisdictions. The report also details our plans to 2.5

expand adoption of Time of Use Rate Designs and to modernize our rate options for customers. As is our practice, we begin meetings with a short safety moment. Our topic for today is emergency preparedness.

If you turn to slide 2, or I'll advance the slides, September is National Preparedness Month and Ready.gov sets out a four-step plan that folks should use to address being prepared for emergencies.

We would encourage you to take the opportunity to look at that plan and consider doing something similar for you and your families.

Within the Evergy Rate Design Report, we've attempted to lay out a proposal, a plan forward that we will adopt.

(Off the record.)

MR. LUTZ: Thank you. We've attempted to set out a proposal that will give shape to a rate design that will ultimately be offered in our next general rate proceeding. And in a nutshell, the rate design that we're looking at will build on the success that we believe that the Evergy opt-in TOU rate has had over the last couple of years as we've worked through that deployment.

We do acknowledge that there's always room to learn and grow, and with that we've sought to modify the

existing TOU designs that we have but also to add to those to find options that can appeal to a larger range of customers and continue to appeal this rate design alternative to our customers.

2.5

Now, also we acknowledge that this rate alone is not the way to address what customers need. As has been our process or has been a key factor of many of the things that we've been attempting to deploy and execute as Evergy, having the customer at the center of those initiatives is important. And we recognize that we need to have a broad portfolio of rates to address customer needs and are setting forth a Rate Modernization Plan that can help us lay out those alternatives.

TOU is certainly recognized as a cornerstone of that, but we do see that there's a range of alternatives that customers will need to see in order to better engage with their rate designs and their energy usage.

Now, briefly, I just want to reinforce that the work that we're doing here is part of a broader effort. As Evergy continues to set forth and respond and build off of successes from its merger, we are also endeavoring to do the Sustainability Transformation Plan. And within that there are many customer aspects and elements that we think harmonize well with the Rate

Modernization Plan and what we've set out here. Again,
TOU being a key element of all of that.

Now, this slide I won't devote much time to, but it was an effort to capture some of the formal pieces that led us to where we are today with respect to this case.

Now we'd like to take just a moment and talk about our current TOU rates. Kim Winslow, if you would, please take a look at that or lead us on that, please.

MS. WINSLOW: Yes. Thank you, Brad. Thank you, Commissioners and Judge for having us here today.

As Brad mentioned, I lead our Energy Solutions Team, which is very critical in delivering our products to our customers.

One of the things that you'll continue to hear Brad and I talk about today is just the element of educating customers in the importance of helping them along the path and meeting them where they are. We're very pleased to offer the current 3-Period TOU rate which began in October of 2019, and we have successfully achieved our target. Within our stipulation and agreement, our target was 3,500 customers. We currently have 5,629 customers enrolled on our 3-Period TOU rate.

As you can see, our current TOU rate, we have a six times differential between the peak -- I'm sorry,

from the peak to the super off peak rate which has shown to be very effective within our marketing and within the behavioral changes for our customers.

We have completed one EMV. This was a preliminary EMV, and from that EMV we found that there are customer benefits as well as high acceptance by our customers. So far we have seen roughly about a 3 to 10 percent annual bill savings, as well as reduction of their peak demand which is around 4 to 9 percent.

We've also seen that customers have been highly acceptive -- of the ones who are participating, highly acceptive of this rate and also they're driven by the savings and they appreciate the choice that we are able to offer to the customer.

Next one, next slide. Great. Thank you. I know that we have been before you a couple different times speaking with the Commission on the success of our program. So I don't want to spend too much time here.

Just as a reminder, we have done, you know, whenever we first rolled out our program, our TOU program, we did have extensive customer research in education and outreach plan associated with that.

So when we first kicked off our TOU program, we did six qualitative in-person focus groups so that we could understand more about the tools upon which the

customers would want to rely upon. We also believe it's very important to understand what are the expectations and awareness of our program, and that is done through some of our enrollment as well as our unenrollment surveys.

2.5

Customers also, they also get behavior surveys which is also used to measure that customer satisfaction and the effectiveness of our tools. When we think about the effectiveness of our tools, we turn to what we call our Rate Education Report and our online Rate Analysis Tool. What's very important that we see on all of the surveys, as well as the education tools that we have, is that we do have highly engaged customers involved in the TOU rate.

We have found that there's about a 42 percent unique open rate on both the Rate Education Report, and then we have about 60 percent, over 60 percent interaction with the Rate Analysis Tool which is on our website. We're seeing very good engagement from our customers.

We are also seeing that after customers participate in this program they are also opening up what we call the Rate Coach Report which is received on a weekly basis. There's a 57 percent unique open rate on those reports, and we still continue to see a large

amount of viewing, over 63,000 views from our customers viewing their hourly usage and costs on the web.

Go to the next slide, Brad. When we first began thinking about our education plan, we wanted to make sure that we had established the right objectives. So we had also reviewed this with stakeholders throughout the process. So we wanted to make sure that we were informing customers on the TOU rate option and how usage during the day affected their bill, how it affected their pricing.

We also wanted to continue to educate customers on the TOU option and how that rate plan works and enrolling customers in the TOU through targeted and data driven marketing. So we have continued to assist customers by enrolling them and creating the tools for this ongoing communication campaign.

And if you recall, we do have a simple tag line Wait 'til 8 to get a lower rate, because our peak period is between 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. And on the next slide with respect to our Marketing Plan, as I mentioned, we have the tag line and this helps customers again kind of put it in their mind when they should be using energy and when they shouldn't.

We also have a very effective multi-channel approach where we're reaching out to customers and

providing that large-scale awareness which also drives enrollment. So we are reaching our customers through website, through video, digital, social, radio and email.

2.5

When we first also began our marketing plan, we connected with the innovators, those customers who really try to -- they want to try the new things first. Those are our innovators and early adopters. We first built greater awareness with those groups before we reached out to our large customer base which we are working with currently and have been for some time.

As I mentioned, we did complete an EMV that was done last year and we will have a final report that we will provide to the stakeholders and the Commissioners which will be performed by the end of this year. So that gives us yet another summer peak season to see how customers are interacting with the Time of Use rate.

As I mentioned earlier, we have seen great results from the EMV from the analysis that they have done, and we have seen that the consumption has reduced both in the summer and non-summer season which drives those participant bill savings. We have seen customers lower their demand 4 to 9 percent of the system coincident peak, as well as that they're saving money

1 annually.

2.5

So overall, I mean, we do feel like we have had great success with our TOU program to this point.

Brad, I will turn it over for you to talk more about our Rate Plan.

MR. LUTZ: Thank you, Kim. Now, turning forward we want to build off of that success. No question we're proud of what we've been able to accomplish with the TOU rate to this point and recognize the hard work that it's taken to develop those plans and execute that customer interaction and marketing to achieve what we have.

But to go forward, we felt that we needed to keep a close eye to the industry. We need to make sure that we know what is going on both across the nation but also locally and try to look to those sources for best practices or lessons learned.

We also need to look at our own data and see how customers are responding and make sure that we're seeing and understanding what's occurring. Lastly to that we want to make sure that whatever we're proposing is part of a larger comprehensive effort, and that leads me to the Rate Modernization Plan that I've mentioned a couple of times.

Before we get there, let's look at the

industry a little bit. For us, we retained some help from some industry consultants who are leaders in this area but also complemented that with our own research to understand that TOU is kind of a bit of a puzzle. Not that it's difficult to understand or execute or some of those things but just that there's a lot of local variation to it. But we did find some things that we felt were kind of key learnings, key takeaways from what we were seeing in the industry.

Just a couple of those is that the TOUs are still really getting on their feet. Despite the rate design being, you know, something that's been around for quite awhile, it hasn't been until recently that the TOU rate designs have really been able to reach their potential and companies are starting to utilize them in quite a larger effort but are still really connecting with customers and allowing people to understand and help them understand how these rates can be used and allow people to move away from rate designs that they've been familiar with nearly all their lives.

The other thing that we attempted to do was to affirm many of the things that we know are of issue. You know, the opt-in/opt-out question was certainly important in 2018, when we first put these rates into practice. And at that time and since then we've tried

to keep a close eye on what are some of the general practices around these deployment approaches. These are some of the observations that we have found relative to whether an opt-in or opt-out might be preferred or might help the Company and stakeholders achieve certain goals. But in general we would offer that opt-in approaches tend to have higher participation -- excuse me -- higher retention and performance on a per customer basis, but there's no question that the opt-out will touch a larger number of customers. So the offset for that or the concern for us is some of the factors around customer satisfaction, and we believe that there is a direct relationship between the opt-out approach and some of those customer satisfaction observations.

But still, you know, we're keeping an on eye on that and making sure that that's understood as we continue to roll forward in this TOU effort.

Another part, and we mentioned this a couple of times, but the customer is no question a foundational element to what we're preparing to do. As Kim mentioned, we've utilized a number of touch points with customers to better understand what they want, how they want their rates to work and find ways to connect with them.

On this particular slide, you'll see a series

of studies and initiatives that we've purposefully went through across time to understand how our customers behave and how they look at a TOU rate. Some of these studies go back a number of years, and a number of them have been progressively more detailed as we've continued to seek deeper understanding.

We even have plans going forward on here to continue to interact with customers through focus groups and some other mechanisms to continue that understanding. Another piece that we use to inform ourselves was to monitor what's going on in the state. It's wise to be aware of what's going on in Missouri and make sure that the developments and things there that we understand them and that if they are applicable to us that we find ways to provide recognition to that but certainly have to understand that not always is what's good for one good for the other. And we're in the process of evaluating that.

On this particular slide, we are aware of what's been going on with Ameren and their deployment of TOU and we've been monitoring the proposals made by Empire in their recent case. We admit there's promise I'm sure in both of those approaches and we want to try to glean from that what we can. But we do have to acknowledge that we're noticing differences just in the

2.5

positioning of Evergy relative to Empire and to Ameren. Maybe it begins simply just in our status on AMI deployment but it might also go further. Maybe into the way we choose to interact with customers. Needless to say, we're trying to be sensitive and aware of these other developments, but certainly we're seeking to find a path that makes sense for Evergy as we get ready to file our own rate cases and explore this even further.

So boiling it down, with respect strictly to Time of Use, our proposal has three parts. First of all, we want to build on the success of the 3-part rate. Without question, we believe that the initial design is meaningful and that it achieves results. As Kim mentioned, we are able to see those results.

So with our forward-looking proposal, we seek to tweak that just a bit just to see if we can better improve some of the performance or some of the adoption levels, but largely the 3-part rate is successful and we want to build on that.

The building we think is going to occur through the proposal of a 2-part Time of Use rate. The point here being is that we're finding that some customers are just unwilling to commit to the high peak differentials that we see in the 3-part design and are believing that a 2-part design would soften some of that

and allow a larger number of customers to engage the TOU rate design.

2.5

We also believe the TOU rate design might be able to address some concerns with customers with heating and maybe even for electric vehicles, a segment that we've continued to try to reach out to.

The last is that we want to make sure that our plan continues to leverage strong customer education; that without question the success of these rates require education of the customer not only to just make them aware but also to make them -- educate them on how to put the rate to use.

A TOU rate, unlike many of the rate designs we have used in the past, it's an active rate design. You have to be aware of and respond to the time signals, time periods that are part of the rate design. For years for decades we've had more passive rate designs where you just simply use energy and at the end of the month you receive a bill and it's very difficult to understand or to control that. It's very, very binary. Either you use energy or you don't, where TOU now introduces the idea of shifting some energy use to different periods to begin to effect your ultimate energy rate.

So on this slide this details some of the

2.5

adjustments that we want to make to our 3-Part rate. They're not many. You can see them highlighted in orange down here and listed in the boxes along the top. In general, we want to adjust the seasons a little bit to better align them across our jurisdictions, but also we want to effect a little bit of change to the differentials between the peaks and the off-peak pricing. We think this better follows the costs that we observe and sets out a better expectation for the customers.

On the TOU, on the 2-Part TOU, excuse me, we're wanting to focus on the summer. This is no question a period of time of highest cost relevance for the Company, and we believe that by putting forth a TOU design that addresses that period will allow us to gain the primary benefit of the rate but not expose customers to the full year round effect of the TOU and especially those customers who might have heating or some other off-peak usage of energy that is attractive to the Company.

So you can see the details here. Many of the periods and price differentials are very similar to the 3-Part rate, but there's just a bit of a difference in the deployment focusing instead on the 2-Period design.

I mentioned the customer education. This

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

slide highlights the elements of that customer education plan and it really boils down to those four words along the left side. We want to continue to make the message simple. We want to be able to connect with our customers. We want them to see consistency across all of our platforms, and we want them to understand what they're signing up for and be a part of what Evergy is trying to help them understand.

So as we shift to the Modernization Plan, we would like to conclude our presentation with a couple slides that just gives the Commission an indication of the direction that we intend to go in our future rate case. We've talked about the TOU element a bit, and that certainly is at the core. But I wanted to highlight quickly our commitment to the belief that a portfolio approach is the way to go. I think we've seen similar positions taken by other utilities both in the state and in the area, but the idea is, and this graphic kind of gives life to that a little bit, is that there is a spectrum of rates that can be made available to a That spectrum of rates balances between the customer. risk and the change of price for their bill and the savings that they might receive.

So let me give you an example. There could be a rate design, let's just say TOU for example, that

2.5

tends to be more dynamic, it tends to move around more but it does give the customer a pretty high chance to save if they're willing to respond and change behaviors, but on the other end of the spectrum there could be rate designs that customers prefer that are less variable that maybe even are fixed and in exchange are more expensive but in the portfolio approach of the rate design appeals to a segment of customers who honestly aren't willing or maybe even able to change their energy usage and adjust to those Time of Use periods like other customers met.

The key point being is that this portfolio approach allows you to set forth a series of rate options that can meet the customers where they are and help them pick solutions that provide a balance between those two elements and engage them in their energy usage and their energy behavior.

Now, I won't spend a lot of time on this slide. I think there's a number of folks in this meeting who have seen this before, but this is some of the background around the context of our Rate Modernization Plan. Many of the drivers, some borne out of our sustainability and transformation plan, but others coming out of more common industry points of view have set out the foundation for what we're trying to

accomplish.

2.5

Now, on that this is kind of the elements that we see coming with respect to our Rate Modernization Plan. In addition to the Time of Use proposal that we just discussed moments ago, Evergy plans to devote quite a bit of effort to continuing to consolidate our rates and continue to move to one set of rate designs for our jurisdictions. I think you could probably anticipate that our first goal is to try to come together at the state level, and that's certainly our near term goal.

What you should expect to see is continued movement to bring Missouri Metro and Missouri West in alignment as far as rate structures, rate offerings. We also plan to introduce Subscription + and Prepay, a couple of rate options that can stand on the other side of the spectrum from TOU and fulfill that portfolio approach that we talked about a few slides ago.

We believe that there is a segment of our customer population that wants these rates, and we've doing our due diligence to understand how they work within the industry and set forth some examples in our filings that will provide an opportunity for customers to use these rates.

The last is kind of a compliance nod here, but I want to make sure that we've not lost sight of it. In

our Modernization Plans, we do want to address low income solar. This has been an element that we've embedded in a couple of stipulations and we're certainly working to understand how to make this happen and will have this as part of our proposal in that future rate case as well.

So to conclude and just to reiterate our rate design proposal. Around TOU we believe we've been successful in putting forth a good rate design and we have accompanied it with the education and marketing to help really connect with customers and build an active user set.

Within that, we do plan to tweak the existing TOU rate design, the 3-Part rate design, and complement it with a 2-Part rate design, and then finally we will have TOU at the center of our Rate Modernization Plans as we consider ways to deploy a portfolio of rates for our customers in our Missouri jurisdiction.

With that, we're open to field any questions that anyone might have about that material.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Let me see if we have any questions or comments from the bench.

Mr. Chairman, any questions?

2.5

CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Thank you, Judge. Thank you for the information update on the TOU rates. I do have

a question for Evergy. It's my understanding that Staff 1 2 feels that the Company has failed to file a cost of -- a class cost of service study that's been required in 3 previous stipulations. So I'm wondering what is the 5 status of that study? 6 MR. LUTZ: Those agreements I think what 7 you'll find is that we've been in contact with Staff 8 throughout the time that has passed since those original 9 agreements end today. There are efforts underway to 10 address or have considered that those have been 11 addressed along the way. Some of that came out of the 12 consolidation studies that we filed earlier in the year. 13 But looking forward certainly we expect that all of our rate cases will include those levels of data, those 14 15 consolidated studies that are referenced there. 16 So to the extent that something is left 17 unaddressed, it certainly will be as part of the 18 upcoming rate cases. 19 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: Okay. Thank you. Thank 20 you, Judge. 21 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Any questions, 22 Commissioner Rupp? 23 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, thank you, Judge. 24 This is Commissioner Rupp for the court reporter. 25 wanted to follow up, Mr. Lutz, on the Chairman's

question. So what I heard you say is that you are guaranteeing that in your next rate case you're going to address the things that you were supposed to address in the 2016 and 2018 stipulations; that's what I thought I heard. Can you confirm that I heard that correctly?

MR. LUTZ: Yes. And the caveat that I would offer to that is that we have been participating in some other filings and other exchanges with Staff and parties along the way, and there could be some debate about some of those elements, but we've been trying to accomplish those along the way. And to the extent that there's any that remain, we will attempt to cover those in the rate case, yes.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. Because, yeah, we can have debate on whether or not, but it's pretty darn clear that what you guys were supposed to perform and I understand you can debate that. But I believe at least from my position and I believe with the question you got from the Chairman is that the Commission standpoint is it was pretty darn clear and there shouldn't be any gray area about what you should do. So I'm encouraged that you guys are actually going to finally get around to doing that.

We've been allowing you to get this return on these investments for, what, six years now. And so your

company is reaping the benefits of this and we haven't 1 2 yet seen the utilization of this technology to the benefit for the ratepayers at that point, and not 3 complying with the things that we have put out and that 4 you've agreed to just causes concern when we go to look 5 6 at other things in the future. But I'm encouraged, I'm very encouraged that in your next rate case that you're 7 8 going to be using the data set that was required in the 9 2016 agreement or Staff's alternative data set. 10 those the data sets you're going to use? 11 MR. LUTZ: Yes. To those points, I mean, the 12 data, if I may clarify, I mean, the point you're 13 mentioning echoes some of the sentiments offered by OPC I would believe. And you know, although I can 14 15 understand the desire and the connection with the rate 16 design elements and the AMI system, I would like to 17 assure you, sir, that we've been reaping a number of 18 benefits on behalf of the customer through those years. 19 Looking back, I've done some research on my own to 20 understand the timing of those things, and if I 21 understand it correctly, we were at end of life on an 22 automated meter reading system and this AMI investment 23 allowed us to continue to benefit from automated

metering. And when you combine that with the work that

has been done on the billing systems, we've been able to

24

2.5

present to customers over that time period a number of new data options and billing improvements that have benefited those customers that I would contend, sir, that there have been benefits along the way. And certainly TOU represents another level to that and we want to get there, but I would disagree that there has not been any customer benefit along those years.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: I agree that there has been benefits but you have not maximized or even come close to maximizing the technology. To your point, yes, you have been achieving benefits. I think some of it is overshadowed by the fact that you failed to comply with two stipulations in 2016, 2018, and at times those glaring omissions tend to overshadow some of the successes that you were having along the way.

I'm encouraged that in 2022 rate case that we're kind of anticipating here that you're going to be rectifying those situations. So that is great.

The only other questions I have is I know you guys talked about on your previous slide a rate -- a panel of rate options. I can't remember the word you used and stuff. Your plan elements. Did you guys look at modified seasonal rates in your proposal?

MR. LUTZ: To clarify, are you talking about just a change of seasons with respect to the current

block rate designs?

2.5

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I'm kind of trying to identify there's other types of rate designs that were mentioned in the 2016 stipulation and agreement that you are to look at, and I did not see, you know, modified seasonal rates. They weren't mentioned in your report, responsible energy or for use of inclining block rates that wasn't mentioned in the report, but I believe that was in the 2016 agreement.

The electric vehicle TOU rates for standalone chargers, didn't see that in the report. The realtime pricing, didn't see that in the report. The peak time rebates, I think that was mentioned once in the report. Your critical peak rates and pricing, I think that was mentioned once in the report. So did you look at those things that were in the 2016 stipulation and did you just not put them in the report because you didn't want to move forward or did you contemplate that or did you ignore it like you did the rest of the stuff in the 2016 stipulation?

MR. LUTZ: Oh, no, nothing has been ignored.

I mean, I think what I would offer is that there's been just a lot of transition that has occurred since 2016.

I mean, without question, I mean, if you look at everything, whether it be the mergers, whether it be the

2.5

billing system implementations, whether it be the finalization of the AMI-MDM rollouts. There have been just a furious pace of change that's occurred from 2016 to today, and the Company has done its best to try to adjust and respond to those commitments in light of that change. Unfortunately it doesn't always line up; that in some cases it's just more practical to not do those things at that time.

And we've been trying to be communicative to Staff and other parties on our processes so that they know where we're at. But more specific to your rates, I think what you would find there is that those rates are finding different paths. For example, the electric vehicle rates are probably being pulled into the electrification to some degree, the other filings that we have before you.

Others are being pulled into discussions at MEEIA level. So like peak time rebates or some of those other designs are finding a better path through the MEEIA channels. What I would offer is that those are being distributed out where they make the most sense as opposed to being kept somewhat arbitrarily in this relationship with TOU. Some of those things aren't really what I would say companions to TOU or options to TOU.

2.5

Some of them are just other rate designs. And so the logic of keeping them wed to TOU may not be practical at this point. So that's what you're seeing. It's not being ignored at all, but sometimes those things are being parsed out to different approaches that the Company is taking.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I can understand that and I appreciate that response. I can see how some of those probably may be being addressed in some of the other aspects. It's hard to go back from 2016 to five years and track that when it could be included in previous things if they would have been addressed.

MR. LUTZ: That highlights a good point that at minimum in our rate case filing we need to provide a pathway, a transition, a way to connect the dots between then and now so that questions like this can be addressed as part of the direct filing. So that's good advice. I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: That's actually a good point you just mentioned, because I think in the IRP and the special circumstances and stuff we do allow the utilities to just say this is being addressed here and to just reference it. It does help us follow those things so that it does not give the impression that you're failing to follow through on commitments if we

```
can't detract them with a cursory review. Yeah, that's
 1
 2
    a good point you mentioned, Brad. I appreciate that.
    That's all I had for now, Judge. Thank you for your
 3
 4
     time.
 5
               MR. LUTZ: Thank you, sir.
 6
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Judge, this is Commissioner
 7
    Holsman.
              I have a question.
 8
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Holsman, thank
    you. Let me see if Commissioner Coleman, do you have
 9
    any questions, Commissioner Coleman?
10
               COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: It's hard for me to
11
12
    unmute. No, I don't have any. Thank you very much.
13
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Coleman, thank
14
    you. Commissioner Holsman, when you're ready, sir.
15
               COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN:
                                      Thank you. Could you
     elaborate on how the Company specifically plans to
16
17
    overcome the challenges identified in the report to
18
     specifically address the accessibility of Time of Use
19
    Rates Design for net metered customers? We've had this
20
    discussion in previous Time of Use rate discussions.
21
    want to see how Evergy plans to handle it.
22
               MR. LUTZ: Right. We've had a lot of
23
    discussion about this. I mean, to begin we think that
24
     the constraint starts with statute; that the way the
25
    current net metering statutes and by proxy the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regulations in our tariff, they just preclude us from using Time of Use periods for the purpose of netting. So honestly the best solution that we've been able to come up with is one that we've come up with just very recently. In fact, we're trying to come up with some tariff language as we speak. But that is to come to the Commission with a mirror tariff, in a sense a largely duplicative tariff to the net metering tariff that's already there but to include provisions that would allow and handle the TOU netting processes. This would allow us to not be constrained by the legislative process to change that statute but would allow the Commission to exercise its authority to approve a rate design or a tariff and we could create a mirror of the current net metering but one that has proper provisions to deal with TOU periods instead of being constrained by the monthly billing period that's embedded in statute.

COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. And so what's the likelihood that you think you're going to require a statutory change to be able to provide TOU to net metering customers? Are you confident that the work around will be successful or do you still think you're going to have to change the statute?

MR. LUTZ: Two parts there. I believe that the tariff approach we've not consulted with all legal

internally to drive this completely to ground, but I have all reason to believe that the Commission has the authority to approve a tariff that's in addition to the one that's there to comply with statute. So I think I feel very good there that that will be well received.

Now, to your original question about the statutory change, we have reached out to Ameren and have discovered that they had some language that had been proposed to address this. So we're working maybe at my level at least at this point to start to coordinate what it might look like for us to explore statutory change.

I think the language, sir, might have even been shared with you and your advisors, I believe. So that's the language that we're considering today.

COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Well, and the issue from my vantage individually having been in the legislature when a lot of this came to be, I'm always worried about opening up chapters that would encourage other changes that may not be as welcomed as this change so if there is a way to do it. So would you ask for a waiver or variance, something that would allow us to do a mirror tariff?

MR. LUTZ: I'm going to rely on my legal counsel to tell me that. I don't know if we think a waiver is needed yet. Like I say, we're in our very

2.5

early stages of rounding out this proposal. But I would believe as a nonlawyer that we've complied with statute through the existing tariff and that this would be an additional tariff to address a new subset of customers. It would be in largely the same except it would have specific provisions to handle the time periods introduced by TOU instead of being reliant on a monthly billing period.

we'll cross that bridge when we get there. I have a second question here that deals with electric vehicles. The report shares that the electric vehicle owners made a substantial movement to charging their vehicles overnight after enrolling in the plan and the report says from 24 to 84 percent. How does this compare to what your expectations were, and can that level of load shifting persist? Does the 84 percent represent the upper limit of what you think is possible or is there still more capacity for folks to make that choice?

MR. LUTZ: Kim Winslow, would you be able to assist on that response?

MS. WINSLOW: Yes, I'll do my best. So that EMV can show kind of that range there, we will continue with our secondary EMV or our final EMV. We are continuing to work or, you know, have that analysis done

yet that is still persisting. That wasn't a very small 1 2 number of EMV drivers. We did not see as much of an uptake with EMV drivers onto the TOU rate as what we had 3 That was a result of that EMV analysis, and we 4 had been focused more on this year 2021 trying to 5 6 connect some of our messaging back with EMV drivers as 7 well as the thermostat customer low income and with 8 partnering with that TOU. So we have seen some increase in EMV driver adoption with those TOU rates. It has 9 10 been with a more focused campaign. So we are going to 11 be really looking forward to seeing how that continues 12 to unfold especially with respect to the EMV that we'll 13 have complete at the end of this year. 14 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. Thank you. 15 Judge, that concludes my questions for the moment. Thank you. 16 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Let me see if we have any further questions. Commissioner Kolkmeyer, any 18 19 questions? COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: No, sir. No thank 20 21 you. Thank you, Judge. 22 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I think I may have just a few questions and I'll just let this up to whomever from 23 24 Evergy would like to respond. This is on customer 25 education and shadow billing. I think on page 21 the

```
1
    Company discussed shadow billing and how it formed the
 2
     foundation for its TOU engagement strategy, and I guess
     I wonder if the Company could talk about what role
 3
     shadow billing would continue to have in customer
 4
 5
     education and engagement strategies.
 6
               MS. WINSLOW: Do you want me to take that one,
 7
    Brad?
 8
               MR. LUTZ: Please.
 9
               THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Judge --
10
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes.
11
               THE COURT REPORTER: -- I need Ms. Winslow to
12
     start again and to speak up. She's not coming through
13
    very loud.
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: I would echo that. I can hear
14
15
    you, Ms. Winslow, but I can't always make out the words
    you're saying. I'm hoping you can get closer to a
16
17
    microphone.
18
               MS. WINSLOW: I'm sorry about that. Is this a
19
     little bit better? No?
20
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: I heard you say I'm sorry
     about that pretty clearly and then I lost you after
21
22
     that.
23
               MS. WINSLOW: This is a little bit better?
24
               JUDGE PRIDGIN:
                               Yes.
2.5
               MS. WINSLOW: Okay. I'm so sorry about that,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

yeah. Yes, so with respect to the shadow billing question, so we had, you know, significant discussion with stakeholders early on when we first began, you know, putting forth our education plan. We discussed shadow billing and what role that would play. What we did find was that rather than what is more impactful than having, you know, within on the bill, on the paper bill with the comparison of the customer's use under the Time of Use rate versus the general service rate, we have taken the route of those rate coach report and those weekly reports that we are sending to customers. So we have found that to be very effective. As I mentioned, there was -- we have a very high open rate with our customers who are on the TOU rate and engaging with those reports. So kind of moving towards again more the digital aspect, sending them emails with respect to how they're performing on their rate and giving that more I guess not realtime feedback. they can certainly go out and look on the website to see how they are doing but they are receiving these weekly AMI reports to see how they are performing on the rate. So that is -- When we talked with stakeholders, that is our version of the shadow billing and that is found to be very well adopted across the utility industry. Thank you. And regarding JUDGE PRIDGIN:

Prepay and before the Company even filed this report, is 1 2 it true that customers can already make prepayments even before you filed this report? 3 MR. LUTZ: Yes, I'll address that. As far as 4 5 prepay, I am not aware of any option that we have 6 currently for prepayment in our Missouri jurisdictions. 7 Kim, is that consistent with your understanding? 8 MS. WINSLOW: Yeah, that's correct, Brad, yes. 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you. I think the 10 report also discusses subscription pricing options. 11 you able to identify other utilities across the country 12 that offer a similar program? MR. LUTZ: Yes. Again, we're working with 13 Brattle, a consultant in this area, to round out that 14 15 research. Certainly, yes, one of the more prolific examples that you might hear about recently is the Duke 16 Florida examples. Lon Huber has led an effort to deploy 17 18 a subscription rate design there, and that has been 19 communicated quite a bit through many of the industry 20 publications and is probably the most easily accessible 21 example to draw from. 22 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Do you know if any of the 23 other utilities that offer subscription pricing will 24 couple that program with demand response or energy 25 efficiency incentives?

MR. LUTZ: Yes, sir. The Subscription + 1 2 approach they call it is actually I think generally preferred, because stand alone the subscription rate 3 might be considered to have some weaknesses but when 4 partnered with other offerings it can lead to more 5 6 general benefit for both participants and the 7 non-participants. 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. Ι 9 think those are all my questions. Anything further from 10 the bench before we move on to Staff? All right. 11 Hearing nothing. Evergy, thank you very much. And 12 Mr. Stokes, or whoever is presenting from Staff, 13 whenever you're ready. 14 MR. STOKES: Thank you, Judge. Can you hear 15 me okay? 16 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I can. Thank you. 17 MR. STOKES: May it please the Commission. I'm Curt Stokes on behalf of the Commission Staff. 18 19 is primarily an informational case. It's not a rate 20 design case. Traditionally there are no tariffs to 21 promulgate and nobody is asking for new rates or 22 presenting evidence to support new rates. 23 In addition, under Section 393.1400 and 24 393.1675, Evergy had elected use of treatment and would 25 be prohibited from updating its rates until its next

rate case anyway, which we anticipate in early 2022. 1 2 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Judge. Judge, I was wondering if Mr. Stokes could maybe slow down and 3 maybe take his mask off. I'm having a hard time 5 catching him. 6 MR. STOKES: I'm going to get closer to the 7 phone. How is this? Is this better? 8 In summary, any decisions about Evergy's rates 9 should be reserved for its upcoming rate case and should be based on the evidence presented in that case. 10 11 However, Staff does ask the Commission to exercise its 12 authority under Section 393.140(5) of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to issue an order in this case 13 14 requiring Evergy to include a plan to roll out system 15 wide Time of Use rates in its direct filing in its next 16 rate case. Staff asks the Commission to order Evergy to 17 address, first, customer notices and education; second, 18 19 applicable Time of Use determinants; third, 20 establishment of separate winter rates and shoulder 21 rates; and fourth, the meter and usage data that's 22 listed in a document that I'd like to share later. 23 Rather than read through all of the data that we want to 24 be provided in the next rate case, I just ask that we be 2.5 allowed to email the Judge and the parties and include

that.

2.5

Further, Staff asks the Commission to order

Evergy to provide no later than its direct filing in its

next rate case as much AMI billing data to Staff and to

OPC as can reasonably be provided, and even to the

extent that Evergy can provide that AMI data it has in

its possession now it's useful for Staff to learn the

format and limitations of the billing data that Evergy

is retaining.

The order the Staff is asking for in this case is necessary to move Evergy forward on its path to fully utilize the AMI its customers are already paying for.

Evergy should provide its customers with rates that more accurately reflect cost causation and to inform customer decisions about when and how to use energy. Evergy's rates should ensure the customers causing more costs bear those costs and that customers who are causing fewer costs see appropriate savings in their energy bills.

That is not where we are at right now. Evergy began deploying AMI meters in 2014, but Evergy's customers still do not have the price signals unavailable under Time of Use rates and still have not been sufficiently educated on the concepts that in general energy used during the daytime is more cost

intensive and energy used during the night is less cost intensive.

2.5

To achieve the goal of cost effective Time of Use rates that educated customers can benefit from, Staff recommends that the Commission order Evergy to comply with the Commission orders and Staff recommendations issued in previous cases. In Case ER-2016-0156, the Commission approved a stipulation requiring Evergy West then operating as Evergy GMO -- I'm sorry, KCP&L GMO to file a case that included GMO's proposal to make Time of Use rates available to all customers, including a study of applicable Time of Use determinants and a study of the reasonableness of modifying GMO's seasonal rates to establish rates for peak winter months separate from the spring and fall shoulder months including applicable billing terms.

In Case No. ER-2018-0146, Staff recommended the promulgation of a Time of Use rider for Evergy's general service classes and large power service class. In Case No. ER-2018-0145 and 0146, Staff recommended implementations of a low impact, low differential, long time period Time of Use rate design to begin sending a price signal to customers and introduce the concept that in general energy used during the daytime is more cost intensive and energy used during the nighttime is cost

intensive.

2.5

In that case, Evergy's evidence showed that an AMI meter is insufficient for a customer to benefit from Time of Use rates and that educational programming is necessary for customers to benefit from Time of Use rates. But Evergy did not provide evidence in that case explaining how Evergy proposed to educate its customers on Time of Use rates and Evergy provided no testimony explaining how pilot Time of Use rates might transition to permanent Time of Use rates.

From Staff's perspective, the status quo is not acceptable. The path to effective Time of Use rates that can benefit customers is the path proposed by Staff and ordered by the Commission since 2016. Staff asks the Commission to order Evergy to include a proposal to make Time of Use rates available to all customers and all classes in its direct filings in its next general rate case.

These Time of Use rates should be designed to more accurately recover costs than current rates without being so aggressive as to adversely impact customers who are unable to shift their demand, shift their usage or significantly impact the revenue recovery.

To design effective Time of Use rates that will benefit customers, Evergy's proposal must include

```
1
     four key features. First, customer notices and
 2
     education. Second, applicable Time of Use determinants.
     Third, establishment of separate winter rates and
 3
 4
     shoulder rates. And fourth, the meter and usage data on
 5
     the exhibit that I would propose to circulate by email.
     So with those four features, that's really where we want
 6
 7
    Evergy's filing to go and to provide Time of Use rates
 8
     that are useful for customers. Are there any questions?
 9
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Stokes, thank you. Let me
10
     see if we have any bench questions. Mr. Chairman, any
11
    questions or comments? He may be on mute. I'm sorry.
12
    Go ahead.
13
               CHAIRMAN SILVEY: No questions, Judge.
                                                       Thank
14
    you.
15
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Commissioner Rupp,
16
    any questions?
17
               COMMISSIONER RUPP: Thank you, Judge.
18
    appreciate it. For the court reporter, this is
19
    Commissioner Rupp. Thank you, Mr. Stokes, for that list
20
    of things that you have recommended that the Commission
     order. Did I hear in that list that the Commission
21
22
     should have the Company file opt-out TOU rates for
23
    residential customer classes?
24
               MR. STOKES: Defaults, opt-out, yes.
2.5
     sorry. Default. Not opt-out. My apology.
```

COMMISSIONER RUPP: So was one of your 1 2 recommendations to have opt-out -- to have default TOU rates? 3 4 MR. STOKES: Maybe I can have Ms. Lange with 5 Staff explain a little bit more in detail what we're 6 proposing. 7 COMMISSIONER RUPP: I just wanted a 8 clarification. You don't have to go into details. Ι 9 was trying to listen and write down. I just wanted to 10 see if one of your recommendations was to have the 11 Company file opt-out TOU rates? 12 MS. LANGE: Sure. And at a high level they 13 would not be opt-out rates, they would be the generally 14 applicable rates. I don't think Staff is opposed to 15 continuation of exploring more complex time variant designs. So in a sense you could opt-out of the default 16 17 TOU rate to a more complex time variant rate, but our 18 intent is, as we've expressed in a number of dockets, is 19 to do away with the time insensitive rates that 20 currently most customers pay. 21 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. So even though like you guys agreed in the Ameren case you agreed to opt-out 22 TOU rates, you're not recommending that the Commission 23 24 order Evergy to do the same? 2.5 MS. LANGE: I think that we are -- what we are

2.5

recommending in this case is for Evergy to present proposals for a rate case and I think what those results would need to be would be questioned subject to litigation in that rate case, and I'm not trying to dodge your question there. It is a very dicey area there on what we can order outside of a general rate case or request you to order outside of a general rate case.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Technically I think you can request anything; we just don't have to do it. So would there be a -- what are your thoughts on having the Company's proposed option two on the Time of Use rates having those be opt-out instead of opt-in?

MS. LANGE: I'll take my mask off with risk to my colleagues in my room here. At this point I think that customers outside of the very small less than 1 percent of Evergy customers who've experienced those Time of Use rates do not have the education and the background to be prepared for the adverse bill impact that those rates would cause if more broadly deployed or or deployed as an opt-out. We would be very concerned about adverse customer impacts that those were -- if rates of that magnitude were the first step for these customers.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: Okay. I think that was

```
all the questions I had for Staff. Thank you, Judge.
 1
 2
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Rupp, thank you.
    Commissioner Coleman, any questions?
 3
               COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: I have no questions.
 4
 5
     Thank you.
 6
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Holsman, any
 7
    questions? I don't know, Commissioner Holsman, if
 8
    you're still with us or if you're on mute. Let me give
 9
    you another chance. Commissioner Holsman. Commissioner
10
    Kolkmeyer, any questions?
11
               COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: No, Your Honor, not
12
    at this time.
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.
13
14
     think I just have a brief question for Staff and I think
15
     I'd asked a similar question of the Company. Is it true
     that even before filing this report that customers have
16
17
     the opportunity to make prepayments now?
18
               MS. LANGE: So Judge, the best person to
19
     answer that probably isn't in the room, but it's our
20
     understanding that any customer could, if you will,
21
    overpay an existing bill or make a payment to the
22
    Company. I'm not aware of any provisions that they have
23
    that would cause that to be refunded and not applied to
24
    a future balance, but we learn something new everyday
25
    about these companies' billing system. To our
```

1 knowledge, yes, you could prepay. 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lange. Anything further from the bench before we move on to 3 Public Counsel? All right. Hearing nothing. 4 Mr. Williams or Dr. Marke, whoever wants to present, 5 6 whenever you're ready. 7 DR. MARKE: I think that will be me, Your 8 Honor. Can you hear me? 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I can. Go ahead. 10 DR. MARKE: Excellent. Thank you. Thank the 11 Commission for having this opportunity to address all of 12 you. We filed about eight pages of comments in this 13 docket that I think clearly express where we're at in 14 terms of where we stand on Time of Use in particular 15 with Evergy. I'd like to take this opportunity -- I made some notes here of just what I've heard and just 16 17 summarized some of the key points. First of all, let's 18 focus on the positives. 19 A 4 to 9 percent reduction in demand is great. 20 A 5 to 10 percent reduction in energy consumption for 21 general residential customers in a 3 to 6 percent 22 reduction in energy consumption for electric space 23 heating customers, if we saw anywhere near those results 24 in any of our MEEIAs, we would be doing backflips. 2.5 Those are great, great numbers, and it speaks to the

power of pricing electricity as close as we can to its actual cost of service.

2.5

However, I'd like to focus on a couple things that I've heard today and some apprehensions that we have moving forward. First of all, I'd be remiss if I didn't point out that we've had a number of studies over the course of the past six years. My memorandum identified at least 13 studies. Based off of the power point that I saw earlier today, there could be more that I'd be adding to that.

We've had at least two rate cases, coming up on our third one, hundreds of millions of dollars in hardware, hundreds of millions of dollars in software. We do have utilities now that are moving towards mandatory Time of Use.

Look no further than the state of California that implemented mandatory Time of Use this year. To address the education for a second, I think the Company has invested an enormous amount of capital and time and resources in customer education, coaching, bill analysis, customers facing on their website. We had a total of 5,538 customers between the two utilities that participated in this.

I think roughly 1,700 dropped out at some point due to moving or other issues. If I calculated it

correctly, based off of Mr. Lutz's earlier power point, noted that there was a 42 percent unique user click in terms of their coaching. That means 42 percent of the 5,000 plus customers would have clicked on and followed up with that coaching. That equates to roughly 2,326 customers. Of that, of those customers, how many customers still continue to click on it after that first month or after that initial click? According to the power point, it was 61 percent. So this would lower that number even further down to 1,400 customers. 1,400 customers out of a little over 5,500 customers comes out to about 25 percent of the customers that were utilizing this technology.

I point that out there to illustrate that this sample that we're seeing here, this roughly 1 percent of customers that participated in the pilot program may not be representative of the entire population. Generally customers that would have jumped at the chance to participate in a pilot program, and we know that, for example, there were a lot of like company participants in this pilot program, aren't necessarily the average Evergy customer.

So we should probably par back the overall results that we might get a little bit. However, again being spread out to 500,000 plus customers, you're going

to see significant energy and demand savings if we were to price electricity more towards actual cost of service.

2.5

I'd like to speak a little bit about the benefits of AMI technology. And by benefits I'm going to use the quotes here. We have been critical of utilities in the past of moving forward with AMI technology and not utilizing the full benefits that are available to customers.

Based off of feedback earlier from Mr. Lutz, the two things that I heard, in addition to what, you know, customers received in terms of benefits were both billing and data. I'd like to address billing first. To recall our last general rate case, billing was a central issue, billing problems were a central issue. That was the conversion into the billing software that we're speaking of.

Commission may recall that there was a change.org petition at that time. If not, you can simply Google change.org and do the search word for KCPL. If you were to click on KCPL audit change.org, over 68,000 customers signed a change.org petition in part towards the Commission and to express their dissatisfaction with both the price of electricity and in particular the billing system that was in play.

2.5

We had continual billing problems earlier this year where KCPL customers had to go ahead -- KCPL had to suspend their disconnections due to billing issues. In terms of data, the Company has cited that the increased amount of data that AMI has allowed the Company to obtain. I would merely point out that the Staff report goes to great lengths in pointing out that that data has not been shared and that the stipulations have not been met to date. So data is an issue and it is an issue that is clearly plaguing this case and is a concern moving forward in terms of sharing that data.

On the last point, there was an issue of benefits and peak time rebates was raised as a potential option. There were questions as to electrification, you know, different types of rate designs that were missing from this overall portfolio and peak time rebates was raised.

Mr. Lutz stated that this was a dialogue that's taking place currently in MEEIA. I'm aware of no such dialogue with peak time rebates in any discussions I've had with the Company as regards to MEEIA. This is news to me.

Next thing I'd like to just address is the Rate Modernization Plan. So the plan includes not only the two Time of Use rates that are being posited out

there, but I'd like to spend just a brief moment speaking to the other rates which include the consolidation which is something that our office is most definitely in favor of. We filed now in multiple rate cases on the importance and the cost savings that can come from consolidation of Evergy West and Evergy Metro.

In terms of the Subscription + program, I would agree with Mr. Lutz insofar as that the subscription program by itself is not a favorable rate design. Let me break that down for you.

A subscription by itself would just be a flat fixed fee. This is the equivalent of just a buffet style price signal. You pay a hundred dollars a month. You use as much electricity as you want. This is the antithesis of a Time of Use rate. The plus is a larger question mark. Mr. Lutz has posited that Lon Huber from Duke, you know, the mastermind behind the Netflix style subscription pricing, might be something that Evergy would be interested in doing. Again, this is the first I've heard of this. We'll definitely be interested in hearing exactly what the Company would be putting on top of that.

I would point out just as it is right now a subscription program is not dependent on AMI technology.

As to prepay, I routinely pay more for my electric bill

than I need to. And that money is then knocked off through my next month's bill. The only difference between that and prepay as an offered program would be the ability to disconnect a customer quicker. That's it.

2.5

In terms of low income solar, we welcome the Company and again whether or not stipulations are followed up or not, you know, that is one that the Company has put forward that they're going to do. So we encourage the Company to engage stakeholders as soon as possible over the low income solar, because as I can imagine, that is going to be of much interest to a lot of advocates.

Finally, I'd just like to focus on -- Let me speak a little bit to Staff comments in terms of the opt-out rates and the opt-out rate default because that is an issue that -- a recommendation that OPC made in this case. I believe we specifically asked for the Commission to give us guidance in terms of Evergy and Staff and intervenors to propose an opt-out default option, a reasonable opt-out default option, and the key word I'm going to focus on there is the term reasonable. And not to put words into Staff's mouth, but this is my interpretation of Staff's position on this that an opt-out -- that the training wheels approach of a Time

2.5

of Use rates, which is similar to what Ameren has right now, is the preferred method moving forward; that this is due to the lack of time and education having customers largely get used to a day/night rate and slowly acclimating them into larger differentials is the preferred way to move forward. We recommended an opt-out option merely if those differentials were larger. Obviously in a rate case and whatever is put forward there, the rate case -- the record will speak for itself and parties are free to argue one way or the other.

We would agree that in general that we need to move towards a mandatory Time of Use option. If the differentials were greater than what, for example, what's included in today's Ameren case, I would put forward that the opt-out option would be preferred. And again, that's OPC speaking here. I'm not speaking for Staff on that, but from our vantage point it would be over concerns over customers having a larger bill than they otherwise would.

Again, with the differentials we're talking about here, they're relatively small. That is the idea behind the training wheel approach. I can clarify this in follow up questions if there any. Finally, I'd like to emphasize that OPC is really looking for the

Commission -- for the Commission's guidance here, and whether that request is followed through or not that's our request.

2.5

Again, two rate cases, hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars, double digit studies by third parties mind you, multiple third parties again because I hear we're engaged with the Brattle Group again to go ahead and look at more studies. This is not counting the focus groups. This is not counting the surveys that we've put out there. If we were to add all of those dollars together relative to the actual number of customers that have participated in this program, the cost benefit ratio would be out of sync.

The costs would far outweigh the actual benefits that have been produced. The Commission is in a perfect opportunity to reverse this trend and move forward and to realize the savings and the benefits that AMI can bring forward. We hope that the Commission gives us guidance on that issue. It's the end of September right now. Rates would -- If the Company is they put forward publicly they plan to file, you know, in the first quarter of 2022, rates, you know, you're not looking for rates to go into effect probably for about a year from now. A year from now seems like a reasonable amount of time for the Company to start

engaging customers in education. A year from now seems 1 2 like a reasonable amount of time to start really following up with all of the money that we've already 3 invested. I'm not even just speaking about the 4 5 hardware, but the software, the websites, the coaching, 6 all of this. They're in a very unique position to move 7 forward with that. My big concern is that a year from 8 now we will have another pilot, we will have another 9 study, and we will continue this process indefinitely. 10 So the ask here is, you know, at a minimum 11 really just to move forward and having the Company 12 propose something that was similar to what was agreed to 13 in the last Ameren rate case. A company that hasn't realized this sort of return on and of AMI investments 14 15 like Evergy has but is moving forward with a much more 16 proactive AMI Time of Use rollout. I'll leave my comments there. If there are 17 18 any questions, be more than happy to answer anything. 19 Thank you. 20 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Dr. Marke, thank you. Let me see if we have any bench questions. Mr. Chairman, any 21 22 questions? 23 CHAIRMAN SILVEY: No questions. 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Commissioner Rupp? 2.5 COMMISSIONER RUPP: Yeah, I'll never miss the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

opportunity to speak with the good Dr. Marke. First off, thank you, Doctor. I really appreciate how you started with a positive and you really kind of did take the step back that we all kind of do need to see. that's hat tip and hand claps to the Company. You guys did a good job on educating people. You did a great job with your Wait 'til 8 and people understood it and you saw the reduction in energy. You saw the reductions that were impressive. Dr. Marke, you said if we saw those in a MEEIA program, we'd be super happy. That's a great line. I mean, we would be. And now we're looking at this going well. So I believe that the Company has the opportunity now, and I think the Commission should, and I would encourage all intervenors, all third parties, all Staff, all OPC, all witness, anybody that even has a remote interest in this case to put forth some form of a reasonable opt-out default option of TOU for the Commission to consider.

If that is presented, it will be heavily considered by certain Commissioners, and I believe we've seen this already done in the other side of the state. So I encourage that. You are asking for direction hopefully that will serve as some direction. But Dr. Marke, I guess my question to you is in the legislature when there's an issue that you don't want to

deal with, what do you do to it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

DR. MARKE: You punt it.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: You study it.

DR. MARKE: That too.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: You create an interim committee over the summer. You appoint some people and you study.

DR. MARKE: Right.

COMMISSIONER RUPP: And the fact that we've had 13 studies, 11 studies, however many, all of us on the Commission we're in the legislature they all know the answer that when you don't want to deal with something, you study it. And Bill Kenney is not here, but this is the most like picturesque, quintessential issue of kicking the can down the road that we have ever seen here in the Commission. Multiple years, 13 studies, two rate cases, open dockets and hearings and things of that nature and here we are at the same thing and now we're talking about not even doing as much as what we did six months ago, a year ago with the other side of the state. So I guess my question is more of a comment. Thank you for bringing back the big picture and helping us realize the great job that the Company did do in achieving these metrics with their program, and they've proven that they can do it, they've proven

```
that the customers will respond. It's time to just let
 1
 2
     them run and let them run with this. Thanks again for
    being an advocate for these issues and hopefully you'll
 3
 4
    have the guidance that you're looking for to come up
    with some type of reasonable opt-out default option for
 5
 6
    us to consider. Thank you.
 7
               DR. MARKE: Thank you.
 8
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Coleman, any
 9
     questions?
10
               COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: No questions, Judge.
11
    Thank you.
12
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Holsman, I'm not
13
     sure if you're still with us. Just in case,
    Commissioner Holsman, any questions? Commissioner
14
15
    Kolkmeyer, any questions?
16
               COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: No questions, Judge.
17
     Thank you.
18
               JUDGE PRIDGIN: I think I just maybe have one
19
    or two quick questions. Does Public Counsel have any
20
     feedback regarding the Company's shadow billing or have
21
     any recommendations on how the Company could improve
22
     that?
23
               DR. MARKE: I don't off the top of my head,
24
    Your Honor, but, you know, if something novel comes,
25
    we'll be sure to file it in this docket.
```

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Evergy's report discusses the 1 2 possibility of offering a subscription pricing option. 3 Are you familiar with any similar programs that other 4 utilities have offered across the country? DR. MARKE: I am familiar with the Duke 5 Florida that Mr. Lutz referenced, and I would direct the 6 7 Commission and interested parties, there's definitely 8 some good writeups in some of the trade journals like 9 Mr. Lutz was talking about, Utility Dive, and probably 10 if you'd just search the word Netflix, you'd find it, 11 but the idea is that you'd have some sort of fixed fee 12 and additional add-ons. In this case, it might be a 13 free thermostat and you would be obliged to go ahead and 14 also have events called. That would be the subscription 15 plus this. So there's something additional that would 16 come to it. 17 I'm curious what the Company means by it because the report itself doesn't go into any great 18 19 lengths about the Subscription + program. You know, the 20 one concern that we would have is that a lot of the 21 plusses are already being realized or offered in other 22 programs like MEEIA, for example. 23 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you, 24 Dr. Marke. I don't think I have any further questions. Anything further from the bench or from the parties 25

before we wrap up this on-the-record presentation? 1 2 MR. FISCHER: Judge, on behalf of the Company, I want to thank everyone for giving us the opportunity 3 to be here today and to discuss these issues. 4 5 getting excited about all the next rate cases and all 6 the discussions that will be continuing in that context. 7 This has certainly been an informational docket, and I 8 think Mr. Lutz and Ms. Winslow did a good job of 9 exploring and explaining to the Commission the Company's plans for the future in that rate case and how we're 10 11 taking steps to modernize our rate structure. 12 We don't have any request to change rates at It's not a contested case. And I don't 13 this docket. 14 think we really got formal evidence to support an order 15 coming out of the Commission here, but we're very 16 grateful for the opportunity to give you a preview of what's coming in the next rate cases in the next year. 17 I would offer, if it would be helpful to the Commission, 18 19 that we'd be happy to file that presentation in EFIS and 20 provide a copy of those to all the parties of the 21 presentation we made today if that would be helpful. 22 I expect that what -- Mr. JUDGE PRIDGIN: Fischer, I appreciate the offer and I would appreciate 23 24 if that gets filed in EFIS. 25 MR. FISCHER: I tried to take notes as quickly

1	as I could on what Staff was suggesting and I really
2	missed more points than I got I think. I think it would
3	be inappropriate for the Commission to issue an order
4	like what Staff is asking here. There's no evidence to
5	support an order like that. While we're certainly
6	interested in the guidance of the parties and what
7	they'd like to see, I think the appropriate place to
8	debate these issues is going to be in the next rate
9	case. We're looking forward to doing that, to moving
10	forward, and I appreciate many of the comments that were
11	made from the Commission and the Staff and Public
12	Counsel too about the progress that we're making here.
13	Thank you very much for that.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything further either from
15	the bench or from the parties? All right. Hearing
16	nothing, then we will go off the record in this
17	on-the-record presentation. Thank you so much. We are
18	off the record.
19	(Off the record.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2			
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,		
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court		
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby		
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings		
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place		
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and		
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and		
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct		
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time		
12	and place.		
13	Beverly Jean Bentch		
14			
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

0	3	8	addition 30:4 41:3 47:23 59:11
0146 50:20	3 17:7 56:21	8 19:18 66:7	additional 42:4 69:12,15
0350 11:4	3,500 16:22	84 42:15,17	address 11:12,20
1	3-part 25:11,18,24 27:1,23 31:14	8:00 19:19	12:12 14:8 15:6,11 26:4 31:1 32:10 33:3
1 54:16 58:15	3-period 16:19,23	9	39:18 41:9 42:4 46:4 48:18 56:11 57:18
1,400 58:10	393.140(5) 48:12	9 17:9 20:24 56:19	59:13 60:23
1,700 57:24	393.1400 47:23		addressed 32:11 38:9,12,17,22
10 17:7 56:20	393.1675 47:24	A	addresses 27:15
101 11:12	4	ability 62:4	adjust 27:4 29:10 37:5
11 67:10	4 17:9 20:24 56:19	acceptable 51:12	
13 57:8 67:10,16		acceptance 17:6	adjustments 27:1
2	400 11:13	acceptive 17:11,12	
	42 18:15 58:2,3	accessibility 39:18	adopt 14:14
2 14:5	4:00 19:19	accessible 46:20	adopted 45:24
2,326 58:5	5	acclimating 63:5	adopters 20:8
2-part 25:21,25 27:11	_	accompanied 31:10	adoption 14:1 25:17 43:9
31:15	5 56:20	accomplish 21:9	advance 14:5
2-period 27:24	5,000 58:4	30:1 33:10	adverse 54:19,22
200 11:20	5,500 58:11	accurately 49:14 51:20	adversely 51:21
2014 49:21	5,538 57:22	achieve 21:12 23:5	advice 38:18
2016 33:4 34:9 35:13 36:4,9,16,19,23 37:3	5,629 16:23	50:3	advisors 41:13
38:10 51:14	500,000 58:25	achieved 16:21	advocate 68:3
2018 22:24 33:4 35:13	57 18:24	achieves 25:13	advocates 62:13
2019 16:20	6	achieving 35:11	Affairs 13:20
2021 43:5		67:24	affected 19:9,10
2022 35:16 48:1 64:22	6 56:21	acknowledge 14:24 15:5 24:25	affirm 22:22
21 43:25	60 18:17	active 26:14 31:11	afternoon 11:3
2230 11:24	61 58:9	actual 57:2 59:2	aggressive 51:21
24 42:15	63,000 19:1	64:11,14	agree 35:8 61:8 63:12
25 58:12	65101 11:13,21	add 15:1 64:10	agreed 34:5 53:22
	65102 11:25	add-ons 69:12	65:12
	68,000 59:22	adding 57:10	agreement 16:22 34:9 36:4,9

agreements 32:6,9 **ahead** 12:17 52:12 56:9 60:2 64:8 69:13 align 27:5 alignment 30:13 allowed 34:23 48:25 60:5 **allowing** 22:17 33:24 alternative 15:4 34:9 alternatives 15:13,16 Ameren 24:20 25:1 41:7 53:22 63:1,15 65:13 **AMI** 25:2 34:16,22 45:21 49:4,6,12,21 51:3 59:5,7 60:5 61:24 64:18 65:14,16 **AMI-MDM** 37:2 amount 19:1 57:19 60:5 64:25 65:2 **analysis** 18:10,18 20:20 42:25 43:4 57:21 **annual** 17:8 annually 21:1 anticipate 30:8 48:1 anticipating 35:17 antithesis 61:15 apology 52:25 appeal 15:2,3 appeals 29:8 appearance 11:7,10, 17 applicable 24:14 48:19 50:12,16 52:2 53:14 applied 55:23 appoint 67:6 apprehensions 57:4

average 58:21

approach 19:25 23:13 28:16 29:7,13 30:17 40:25 47:2 62:25 63:23 approaches 23:2,6 24:23 38:5 **approve** 40:13 41:3 approved 50:8 arbitrarily 37:22 area 22:3 28:18 33:21 46:14 54:5 argue 63:10 **asks** 48:17 49:2 51:14 **aspect** 45:16 **aspects** 15:24 38:10 assist 19:14 42:21 **assure** 34:17 **attempt** 33:12 **attempted** 14:13,16 22:21

attempting 15:8 attractive 27:19 audit 59:21 **authority** 40:13 41:3 48:12 automated 34:22,23

aware 24:12,19 25:5 26:11,15 46:5 55:22 60:19

awareness 18:3 20:1,9

awhile 12:6 22:13

В

back 24:4 34:19 38:10 43:6 58:23 66:4 67:22

backflips 56:24

background 29:21 54:19

balance 29:15 55:24

balances 28:21

base 20:10

based 48:10 57:8 58:1 59:10

basis 18:24 23:8

bear 49:17

began 16:20 19:4 20:5 45:3 49:21

begin 14:3 26:23 39:23 50:22

begins 25:2

behalf 11:9,17,19,23 12:19 34:18 47:18 70:2

behave 24:3

behavior 18:6 29:17

behavioral 17:3

behaviors 29:3

belief 28:15

believing 25:25

bench 12:15 31:22 47:10 52:10 56:3 65:21 69:25 71:15

benefit 27:16 34:3,23 35:7 47:6 50:4 51:3,5, 13,25 64:13

benefited 35:3

benefits 17:6 34:1,18 35:4,9,11 59:5,8,12 60:13 64:15,17

big 65:7 67:22

bill 17:8 19:9 20:23 26:19 28:22 45:7,8 54:19 55:21 57:20 61:25 62:2 63:19 67:13

billing 34:25 35:2

37:1 40:17 42:8 43:25 44:1,4 45:1,5,23 49:4, 8 50:16 55:25 59:13, 14,15,16,25 60:1,3 68:20

bills 49:19

binary 26:20

bit 22:1,4 25:16 27:4, 6,23 28:13,19 30:6 44:19,23 46:19 53:5 58:24 59:4 62:15

block 36:1,7

boiling 25:9

boils 28:2

borne 29:22

Box 11:24

boxes 27:3

Brad 13:2,5,19 16:10, 12,16 19:3 21:4 39:2 44:7 46:8

Brattle 46:14 64:7

break 61:10

bridge 42:10

briefly 15:19

bring 30:12 64:18

bringing 67:22

broad 15:11

broader 15:20

broadly 54:20

buffet 61:12

build 14:20 15:22 21:7 25:11,19 31:11

building 25:20

built 20:9

С

C-U-R-T 11:19

calculated 57:25

California 57:16 **call** 18:9.23 47:2 **called** 69:14 campaign 19:16 43:10 capacity 42:19 capital 57:19 capture 16:4 **case** 13:17,23 16:6 24:22 28:13 31:6 33:2, 13 34:7 35:16 38:14 47:19,20 48:1,9,10,13, 16,24 49:4,10 50:7,10, 17,20 51:2,6,18 53:22 54:1,2,4,7,8 59:14 60:10 62:18 63:8,9,15 65:13 66:16 68:13 69:12 70:10,13 71:9 cases 25:8 32:14.18 37:7 50:7 57:11 61:5 64:4 67:17 70:5,17 catching 48:5 causation 49:14 causing 49:17,18 caveat 33:6 center 15:9 31:16 central 59:15 **Chairman** 31:23,24 32:19 33:19 52:10,13 65:21,23 Chairman's 32:25 challenges 39:17 **chance** 29:2 55:9 58:18

change 27:6 28:22

19 70:12

20,21,22

channels 37:20

29:3,9 35:25 37:3,6

40:12,20,23 41:7,11, change.org 59:19,

chapters 41:18 chargers 36:11 charging 42:13 choice 17:13 42:19 choose 25:4 circulate 52:5 circumstances 38:21 cited 60:4 City 11:13,20,25 **claps** 66:5 clarification 53:8 clarify 34:12 35:24 63:23 **class** 32:3 50:19 **classes** 50:19 51:17 52:23 clear 33:16,20 click 58:2,7,8 59:21 clicked 58:4 close 21:14 23:1 35:10 57:1 closer 44:16 48:6 coach 18:23 45:10 coaching 57:20 58:3, 5 65:5 coincident 20:25 Coleman 39:9,10,11, 13 55:3,4 68:8,10 colleagues 54:15 combine 34:24 comment 13:14 67:22

19:16 12:10 22:15 companies' 55:25 companions 37:24 company 12:11 comments 31:22 32:2 34:1 37:4 38:6 52:11 56:12 62:15 39:16 44:1,3 46:1 65:17 71:10 Commission 11:17 12:12,25 17:17 28:11

33:19 40:7.12 41:2 67:23 68:21 69:17 47:17,18 48:11,17 70:2 49:2 50:5,6,8 51:14,15 **Company's** 54:12 52:20,21 53:23 56:11 68:20 70:9 59:18,23 62:19 64:1, 15,18 66:13,18 67:11, compare 42:15 16 69:7 70:9,15,18 comparison 45:8 71:3,11 complement 31:14 Commission's 64:1 complemented 22:3 Commissioner 32:22,23,24 33:14 complete 20:12 35:8 36:2 38:7.19 43:13 39:6,8,9,10,11,13,14, completed 17:4 15 40:18 41:15 42:9 43:14,18,20 52:15,17, completely 41:1 19 53:1,7,21 54:9,25 complex 53:15,17 55:2,3,4,6,7,9,11 65:24,25 67:3,5,9 compliance 30:24 68:8,10,12,14,16 complied 42:2 Commissioners comply 35:12 41:4 13:1 16:11 20:15 50:6 66:20 complying 34:4 **commit** 25:23 comprehensive commitment 28:15 21:22 commitments 37:5 concept 50:23 38:25 concepts 49:24 committee 67:6 concern 23:11 34:5 **common** 29:24 60:10 65:7 69:20 communicated concerned 54:21 46:19 **concerns** 26:4 63:19 communication conclude 28:10 31:7 communicative 37:9 concludes 43:15 **companies** 11:6,8,10 confident 40:21 confirm 33:5 connect 23:23 28:4 31:11 38:15 43:6 connected 20:6 13:23 23:5 27:14,20 connecting 22:16 connection 34:15 52:22 53:11 55:15,22 considered 32:10 57:18 58:20 60:4,5,21 47:4 66:20 61:21 62:7,9,10 64:20, 25 65:11.13 66:5.12

consistency 28:5 consistent 46:7 consolidate 30:6 consolidated 32:15 consolidation 32:12 61:3,6 constrained 40:11, 16 constraint 39:24 consultant 46:14 consultants 22:2 consulted 40:25 consumption 20:21 56:20,22 contact 32:7 contemplate 36:18 contend 35:3 contested 70:13 context 29:21 70:6 continual 60:1 continuation 53:15 continue 15:3 16:15 18:25 19:11 23:17 24:8,9 28:3 30:7 34:23 42:23 44:4 58:7 65:9 **continued** 19:14 24:5 26:6 30:11 **continues** 15:21 26:8 43:11 continuing 30:6 42:25 70:6 **control** 26:20 convening 12:25 conversion 59:16 coordinate 41:10

copy 70:20

core 28:14

correct 46:8 **cover** 33:12 creating 19:15 cross 42:10 **curious** 69:17 **current** 16:8,19,24 cursory 39:1 **Curt** 11:19 47:18 customer 15:9,11,24

58:1

68:19 71:12

46:24 57:3

59:6

51:20

35:25 39:25 40:14

17:6,14,21 18:7 20:10

29:2 30:19 34:18 35:7

43:7,24 44:4 48:18

49:15 51:3 52:1,23

54:22 55:20 57:20

customer's 45:8

58:22 62:4

21:11 23:8,11,14,19 26:8,10 27:25 28:1,21

cornerstone 15:14 customers 14:2 15:3. **correctly** 33:5 34:21 21,25 20:2,6,17,23 cost 27:13 32:2,3 49:14,25 50:1,3,24,25 57:2 59:2 61:5 64:13 costs 19:2 27:8 42:4 45:11,14 46:2 49:17,18 51:20 64:14 49:12,13,16,17,22 **counsel** 11:7,23 12:13,19 41:24 56:4 54:16,17,24 55:16 56:21,23 57:21,22 **counting** 64:8,9 country 46:11 69:4 63:4,19 64:12 65:1 **couple** 14:22 17:16 68:1 21:24 22:10 23:18 28:10 30:15 31:3 D court 12:5 32:24 44:9, 11 48:2 52:18 darn 33:15,20 **data** 19:14 21:18 32:14 34:8,9,10,12 create 40:14 67:5 11 critical 16:13 36:14 date 60:9 day 19:9 day/night 63:4

35:2 48:21,23 49:4,6,8 52:4 59:13 60:4,5,7,9, daytime 49:25 50:24 deal 12:9 40:15 67:1, 12 deals 42:11 68:5

debate 33:9,15,17 71:8 decades 26:17 decisions 48:8 49:15 deeper 24:6 **default** 52:25 53:2,16 62:16,20,21 66:17

4,6,16 16:14,17,22,23 17:3,7,10 18:1,6,13, 20,21 19:1,8,12,13,15, 21:19 22:17 23:10,22 24:2,8 25:4,23 26:1,4 27:10,16,18 28:5 29:5, 8,11,14 30:22 31:11, 18 35:1,3 39:19 40:21 50:4,12,23 51:5,7,13, 16,21,25 52:8 53:20 58:4,6,7,10,11,12,16, 18,25 59:9,12,22 60:2

delivering 16:13 demand 17:9 20:24 46:24 51:22 56:19 59:1 dependent 61:24 **deploy** 13:24 15:8 31:17 46:17 **deployed** 54:20,21 deploying 49:21 deployment 14:23 23:2 24:20 25:3 27:24 design 11:5,6 13:17 14:12,17,19 15:3 22:12 25:12,24,25 26:2,3,14,16 27:15,24 28:25 29:8 31:8,9,14, 15 34:16 39:19 40:13 46:18 47:20 50:22 51:24 61:10 designed 51:19 designs 14:1 15:1,17 22:14,19 26:13,17 29:5 30:7 36:1,3 37:19 38:1 53:16 60:15 **desire** 34:15 detail 53:5 detailed 24:5 **details** 13:23,25 26:25 27:21 53:8 determinants 48:19 50:13 52:2 detract 39:1 develop 21:10 developments 24:13 25:6 devote 16:3 30:5 dialogue 60:18,20 **dicey** 54:5

Defaults 52:24

degree 37:15

difference 27:23 62:2 **dollars** 57:12.13 **effect** 26:23 27:6.17 end 20:15 26:18 29:4 61:13 64:5.11 64:23 32:9 34:21 43:13 differences 24:25 64:19 **Dority** 11:12 **effective** 17:2 19:24 differential 16:25 45:12 50:3 51:12,24 endeavoring 15:23 50:21 dots 38:15 effectiveness 18:8,9 **energy** 13:21 15:17 differentials 25:24 double 64:5 16:12 19:23 26:18,21, 27:7,22 63:5,7,14,21 efficiency 46:25 draw 46:21 22,24 27:19 29:9,16, difficult 22:5 26:19 effort 15:21 16:4 17 36:7 46:24 49:16, **drive** 41:1 21:22 22:16 23:17 19,25 50:1,24,25 digit 64:5 driven 17:12 19:14 30:6 46:17 56:20,22 59:1 66:8 digital 20:3 45:16 efforts 13:24 32:9 engage 15:17 26:1 driver 43:9 29:16 62:10 diligence 30:20 drivers 29:22 43:2,3,6 **EFIS** 70:19,24 engaged 18:13 64:7 direct 23:12 38:17 elaborate 39:16 drives 20:1,22 48:15 49:3 51:17 69:6 engagement 18:19 dropped 57:24 elected 47:24 direction 28:12 44:2,5 66:22,23 due 30:20 57:25 60:3 **electric** 26:5 36:10 engaging 45:14 65:1 63:3 37:13 42:11,12 56:22 **Director** 13:19,20 enormous 57:19 61:25 **Duke** 46:16 61:17 disagree 35:6 enrolled 16:23 **electricity** 57:1 59:2, 69:5 disconnect 62:4 24 61:14 duplicative 40:8 **enrolling** 19:13,15 disconnections 60:3 electrification 37:15 42:14 dynamic 29:1 60:14 **enrollment** 18:4 20:2 discovered 41:8 **element** 16:2,16 **ensure** 49:16 discuss 70:4 Ε 23:20 28:13 31:2 **entire** 58:17 discussed 30:5 44:1 **elements** 15:25 28:1 earlier 20:19 32:12 45:4 29:16 30:2 33:10 entries 11:7 57:9 58:1 59:10 60:1 discusses 46:10 69:1 34:16 35:22 entry 11:16,22 early 20:8 42:1 45:3 discussion 39:20.23 email 20:4 48:25 52:5 48:1 EO-2021-0349 11:4 45:2 **emails** 45:16 **easily** 46:20 equates 58:5 discussions 37:17 embedded 31:3 echo 13:14 44:14 39:20 60:20 70:6 equivalent 61:12 40:17 **echoes** 34:13 dissatisfaction **ER-2016-0156** 50:8 emergencies 14:8 59:24 educate 19:11 26:11 **ER-2018-0145** 50:20 emergency 14:4 51:7 distributed 37:21 ER-2018-0146 50:17 emphasize 63:25 educated 49:24 50:4 **Dive** 69:9 establish 50:14 **Empire** 24:22 25:1 educating 16:17 66:6 docket 56:13 68:25 established 19:5 **EMV** 17:4,5 20:12,20 70:7,13 education 17:21 establishment 48:20 42:23,24 43:2,3,4,6,9, 18:10,12,16 19:4 26:8, dockets 53:18 67:17 12 52:3 10 27:25 28:1 31:10 Doctor 66:2 43:25 44:5 45:4 48:18 encourage 14:9 evaluating 24:18 52:2 54:18 57:18,20 41:18 62:10 66:14,22 document 48:22 events 69:14 63:3 65:1 dodge 54:5 encouraged 33:21 **Evergy** 11:6,8,9 12:15 educational 51:4 34:6,7 35:16

13:16,18 14:12,21

15:9,21 25:1,7 28:7 30:5 32:1 39:21 43:24 47:11,24 48:14,17 49:3,6,8,11,13,20 50:5,9 51:6,7,8,15 53:24 54:1,17 56:15 58:22 61:6,18 62:19 65:15

Evergy's 48:8 49:16, 21 50:18 51:2,25 52:7 69:1

everyday 55:24

evidence 47:22 48:10 51:2,6 70:14 71:4

examples 30:21 46:16,17

Excellent 56:10

exchange 29:6

exchanges 33:8

excited 70:5

excuse 23:7 27:11

execute 15:8 21:11 22:5

exercise 40:13 48:11

exhibit 52:5

existing 15:1 31:13 42:3 55:21

expand 14:1

expect 12:8 30:11 32:13 70:22

expectation 27:9

expectations 18:2 42:16

expensive 29:7

experienced 54:17

explain 53:5

explaining 51:7,9

70:9

explore 25:8 41:11

exploring 53:15 70:9

expose 27:16

express 56:13 59:23

expressed 53:18

extensive 17:21

extent 12:2 32:16 33:11 49:6

eye 21:14 23:1,15

F

facing 57:21

fact 35:12 40:5 67:9

factor 15:7

factors 23:11

failed 32:2 35:12

failing 38:25

fall 50:15

familiar 12:8 22:20 69:3,5

families 14:11

favor 61:4

favorable 61:9

features 52:1,6

fee 61:12 69:11

feedback 12:5 45:18 59:10 68:20

feel 21:2 41:5

feels 32:2

feet 22:11

felt 21:13 22:8

fewer 49:18

field 31:19

file 11:4 25:8 32:2 50:10 52:22 53:11 64:21 68:25 70:19

filed 32:12 46:1,3 56:12 61:4 70:24

filing 38:14,17 48:15 49:3 52:7 55:16

filings 30:22 33:8 37:15 51:17

final 20:13 42:24

finalization 37:2

finally 31:15 33:22 62:14 63:24

find 15:2 22:7 23:23 24:15 25:6 32:7 37:12 45:6 69:10

finding 25:22 37:13, 19

fine 13:6,11

firm 11:11

Fischer 11:9,11 12:23,24 70:2,23,25

Fischer's 13:14

fixed 29:6 61:12 69:11

flat 61:11

Florida 46:17 69:6

focus 17:24 24:8 27:12 56:18 57:3 62:14,22 64:9

focused 43:5,10

focusing 27:24

folks 14:7 29:19 42:19

follow 32:25 38:23,25 63:24

form 66:17

formal 16:4 70:14

format 49:8

formed 44:1

forward 14:13 21:7, 13 23:17 24:7 32:13 36:18 43:11 49:11 57:5 59:7 60:11 62:9 63:2,6,9,16 64:17,18, 21 65:7,11,15 71:9,10 forward-looking 25:15

found 17:5 18:15 23:3 45:12,23

foundation 29:25 44:2

foundational 23:19

four-step 14:7

fourth 48:21 52:4

free 63:10 69:13

fulfill 30:16

full 27:17 59:8

fully 49:11

furious 37:3

future 28:12 31:5 34:6 55:24 70:10

G

gain 27:15

general 14:18 23:1,6 27:4 45:9 47:6 49:25 50:19,24 51:17 54:6,7 56:21 59:14 63:12

generally 47:2 53:13 58:17

Geoff 12:18

give 14:17 28:24 29:2 38:24 55:8 62:19 70:16

giving 45:18 70:3

glaring 35:14

glean 24:24

GMO 50:9,10

GMO's 50:10,14

goal 30:9,10 50:3

goals 23:5

good 11:2 12:21 18:19 24:17 31:9 38:13,17,19 39:2 41:5

66:1,6 69:8 70:8	heard 33:1,5 44:20	63:22 69:11	indication 28:11
Google 59:20	56:16 57:4 59:11 61:20	identified 39:17 57:8	individually 41:16
graphic 28:18	hearing 12:7 47:11 56:4 61:21 71:15	identify 36:3 46:11	industry 21:14 22:1, 2,9 29:24 30:21 45:24 46:19
grateful 70:16		ignore 36:19	
gray 33:20	hearings 67:17	illustrate 58:14	inform 24:10 49:15
great 17:15 20:19 21:3 35:18 56:19,25	heating 26:5 27:18 56:23	imagine 62:12	information 13:22
60:7 66:6,11 67:23	heavily 66:19	impact 50:21 51:21, 23 54:19	31:25
69:18	helpful 70:18,21	impactful 45:6	informational 47:19 70:7
greater 20:9 63:14	helping 16:17 67:23	impacts 54:22	informing 19:8
ground 41:1		-	initial 25:12 58:8
Group 64:7	helps 19:21	implementations 37:1 50:21	initiatives 15:10 24:1
groups 17:24 20:9	high 17:6 25:23 29:2 45:13 53:12	implemented 57:17	
24:8 64:9	higher 23:7	importance 16:17	innovators 20:6,8 insensitive 53:19
grow 14:25	highest 27:13	61:5	
guaranteeing 33:2 guess 42:9 44:2	highlight 28:15	important 15:10 18:2,11 22:24	insufficient 51:3
45:18 66:24 67:21	highlighted 27:2	impression 38:24	
guidance 62:19 64:1,	highlights 28:1 38:13	impressive 66:9	intensive 50:1,2,25 51:1
19 68:4 71:6	highly 17:11,12 18:13	improve 25:17 68:21	intent 53:18
guys 33:16,22 35:20, 22 53:22 66:5	Holsman 39:7,8,14,	improvements 35:2	interact 24:8 25:4
	15 40:18 41:15 42:9 43:14 55:6,7,9 68:12,	in-person 17:24	interacting 20:17
н	14	inappropriate 71:3	interaction 18:18
hand CC-F	honestly 29:8 40:3	incentives 46:25	21:11
hand 66:5	Honor 55:11 56:8	inclining 36:7	interest 62:12 66:16
handle 39:21 40:10 42:6	68:24	include 32:14 40:9	interested 61:19,20 69:7 71:6
happen 31:4	hope 64:18	48:14,25 51:15,25	interim 67:5
happy 65:18 66:10	hoped 43:4	61:2	internally 41:1
70:19	hoping 44:16	included 38:11 50:10 63:15	interpretation 62:24
hard 21:10 38:10 39:11 48:4	hourly 19:2	includes 60:24	intervenors 62:20
hardware 57:13 65:5	Huber 46:17 61:16	including 50:12,16	66:14
harmonize 15:25	hundred 61:13 hundreds 57:12,13 64:4	income 31:2 43:7 62:6,11	introduce 30:14
hat 66:5			50:23
head 68:23		increase 43:8	introduced 42:7
hear 12:10,15 16:15	1	increased 60:4	introduces 26:22
44:14 46:16 47:14 52:21 56:8 64:7	idea 26:22 28:18	indefinitely 65:9	invested 57:19 65:4
1	1	I	l

investment 34:22 keeping 23:15 38:2 **learn** 14:25 49:7 62:12 69:20 55:24 investments 33:25 **Kenney** 67:13 **loud** 44:13 65:14 learned 21:17 **key** 15:7 16:2 22:8 **low** 31:1 43:7 50:21 involved 18:13 29:12 52:1 56:17 learnings 22:8 62:6,11 62:21 **IRP** 38:20 leave 65:17 lower 19:18 20:24 **kicked** 17:23 58:9 **led** 16:5 46:17 **issue** 22:22 41:15 **kicking** 67:15 **Lutz** 13:2,7,10,13,19 48:13 59:15 60:9,12 left 28:3 32:16 62:17 64:19 66:25 14:16 21:6 32:6,25 **Kim** 13:20 16:8 21:6 67:15 71:3 **legal** 40:25 41:23 33:6 34:11 35:24 23:20 25:13 42:20 36:21 38:13 39:5.22 issued 50:7 46:7 legislative 40:11 40:24 41:23 42:20 **issues** 12:9 57:25 **kind** 19:22 22:4,8 legislature 41:17 44:8 46:4,13 47:1 28:19 30:2,24 35:17 60:3 68:3 70:4 71:8 59:10 60:18 61:8,16 66:25 67:11 36:2 42:23 45:15 66:3, 69:6,9 70:8 lengths 60:7 69:19 Lutz's 58:1 lessons 21:17 knocked 62:1 level 30:10 35:5 37:18 James 11:11 М knowledge 56:1 41:10 42:16 53:12 **Jefferson** 11:13,20, **Kolkmeyer** 43:18,20 levels 25:18 32:14 made 24:21 28:20 55:10,11 68:15,16 42:12 56:16 62:17 leverage 26:8 **job** 66:6 67:23 70:8 70:21 71:11 L life 28:19 34:21 journals 69:8 **Madison** 11:12,20 light 37:5 **Judge** 11:2,9,15,18, lack 63:3 magnitude 54:23 22 12:1,16,17,21,24 likelihood 40:19 **make** 13:1 19:5,7 13:6,9,11 16:11 31:21, **Lange** 53:4,12,25 limit 42:18 21:14,19,21 24:13 24 32:20,21,23 39:3,6, 54:14 55:18 56:2 8,13 43:15,17,21,22 26:7,10,11 27:1 28:3 language 40:6 41:8, limitations 49:8 30:25 31:4 37:21 44:9,10,14,20,24 12,14 list 52:19,21 45:25 46:9,22 47:8,14, 42:19 44:15 46:2 50:11 51:16 55:17,21 16 48:2,25 52:9,13,15, large 18:25 20:10 listed 27:3 48:22 17 55:1,2,6,13,18 50:19 **makes** 25:7 listen 53:9 56:2,9 65:20,24 68:8, large-scale 20:1 making 23:16 71:12 10,12,16,18 69:1,23 litigation 54:4 70:2,22 71:14 largely 25:18 40:7 **mandatory** 57:15,17 42:5 63:4 lives 22:20 jump 12:6 63:13 load 42:16 larger 15:2 21:22 **jumped** 58:18 mark 61:16 22:16 23:9 26:1 61:15 local 22:6 jurisdiction 31:18 Marke 12:18 56:5,7, 63:5,8,19 locally 21:16 10 65:20 66:1,9,24 jurisdictions 13:25 **Lastly** 21:20 67:2,4,8 68:7,23 69:5, 27:5 30:8 46:6 logic 38:2 law 11:11 24 **Lon** 46:17 61:16 marketing 17:2 **lay** 14:13 15:13 Κ 19:14.20 20:5 21:11 long 50:21 **lead** 16:9,12 47:5 31:10 lost 30:25 44:21 KCP&L 50:10 leaders 22:2 mask 48:4 54:14 lot 22:6 29:18 36:23 KCPL 59:21 60:2 leads 21:22 mastermind 61:17 39:22 41:17 58:20

material 31:20 mind 19:22 64:6 multi-channel 19:24 0 maximized 35:9 **minimum** 38:14 multiple 61:4 64:6 65:10 67:16 maximizing 35:10 objectives 19:5 mirror 40:7,14 41:22 mute 12:3 52:11 55:8 meaningful 25:13 obliged 69:13 missed 71:2 means 58:3 69:17 observations 23:3. Ν **missing** 60:15 measure 18:7 Missouri 11:13,21,25 Nathan 11:24 12:16 observe 27:9 mechanisms 24:9 13:24 24:12 30:12 **nation** 21:15 obtain 60:6 **MEEIA** 37:18.20 31:18 46:6 48:13 60:19,21 66:10 69:22 National 14:6 occur 25:20 Modernization 15:12 **MEEIAS** 56:24 16:1 21:23 28:9 29:22 occurred 36:23 37:3 **nature** 67:18 30:3 31:1,16 60:24 meet 29:14 necessarily 58:21 occurring 21:20 modernize 14:2 meeting 16:18 29:20 needed 21:13 41:25 **October** 16:20 70:11 meetings 14:3 Needless 25:4 off-peak 27:7,19 modified 35:23 36:6 memorandum 57:7 **net** 39:19,25 40:8,14, **offer** 13:15 16:19 **modify** 14:25 20 17:14 23:6 33:7 36:22 mentioned 16:12 modifying 50:14 37:20 46:12,23 70:18, 19:21 20:12,19 21:23 Netflix 61:17 69:10 moment 11:14 14:3 23:18,21 25:14 27:25 netting 40:2,10 16:7 43:15 61:1 36:4,6,8,13,15 38:20 offered 14:18 34:13 39:2 45:13 62:3 69:4,21 **news** 60:22 moments 30:5 mentioning 34:13 night 50:1 offering 69:2 money 20:25 62:1 65:3 **merger** 15:22 nighttime 50:25 offerings 30:13 47:5 monitor 24:11 mergers 36:25 **nod** 30:24 office 11:23 61:3 message 28:3 monitoring 24:21 offset 23:10 non-participants 47:7 month 14:6 26:19 messaging 43:6 omissions 35:14 58:8 61:13 non-summer 20:22 met 29:11 60:9 on-the-record 11:3 month's 62:2 70:1 71:17 nonlawyer 42:2 meter 34:22 48:21 51:3 52:4 monthly 40:16 42:7 Nos 11:4 ongoing 19:16 **months** 50:15,16 **metered** 39:19 online 18:10 **noted** 58:2 67:20 metering 34:24 39:25 **OPC** 34:13 49:5 62:17 notes 56:16 70:25 mouth 62:23 40:8,15,21 63:17,25 66:15 notices 48:18 52:1 meters 49:21 move 22:19 29:1 30:7 **open** 18:16,24 31:19 noticing 24:25 36:18 47:10 49:11 45:13 67:17 method 63:2 56:3 63:6,13 64:16 **number** 23:10.21 opening 18:22 41:18 metrics 67:24 65:6,11 24:4 26:1 29:19 34:17 35:1 43:2 53:18 57:6 operating 50:9 movement 30:12 Metro 30:12 61:6 58:10 64:11 42:13 opportunity 13:1,15 microphone 12:3 numbers 56:25 14:9 30:22 55:17 moving 45:15 57:5, 44:17 56:11,15 64:16 66:1, 14,25 59:7 60:11 63:2 nutshell 14:19 millions 57:12,13 13 70:3,16 65:15 71:9 64:5

opposed 37:22 53:14 paper 45:7 **plans** 13:25 21:10 7 67:6 24:7 30:5 31:1,16 opt-in 14:21 23:4,6 par 58:23 percent 17:8,9 18:15, 39:16,21 70:10 54:13 17,24 20:24 42:15,17 parsed 38:5 54:17 56:19,20,21 platforms 28:6 opt-in/opt-out 22:23 part 15:20 21:22 58:2,3,9,12,15 **play** 45:5 59:25 opt-out 23:4,9,13 23:18 26:16 28:7 31:5 perfect 13:13 64:16 52:22,24,25 53:2,11, 32:17 38:17 59:23 pleased 16:19 13,16,22 54:13,21 perform 33:16 **plusses** 69:21 participant 20:23 62:16,20,21,25 63:7, performance 23:8 16 66:17 68:5 participants 47:6 **PO** 11:24 25:17 58:20 **option** 19:8,12 46:5 **point** 12:20 13:3,5 performed 20:15 54:12 60:14 62:21 participate 18:22 21:3,9 25:22 29:12 63:7,13,16 66:17 68:5 performing 45:17,21 58:19 34:3,12 35:10 38:3,13, 69:2 20 39:2 41:10 54:15 participated 57:23 **period** 19:19 27:13,15 57:6,9,25 58:1,9,14 options 14:2 15:2 58:16 64:12 35:1 40:17 42:8 50:22 60:6,12 61:23 63:18 29:14 30:15 35:2,21 participating 17:11 **periods** 26:16,23 37:24 46:10 pointing 60:7 33:7 27:22 29:10 40:2,16 orange 27:3 42:6 points 23:21 29:24 participation 23:7 34:11 56:17 71:2 order 15:16 48:13,17 permanent 51:10 parties 12:14 13:2 population 30:19 49:2,10 50:5 51:15 33:8 37:10 48:25 persist 42:17 52:21 53:24 54:6,7 58:17 63:10 64:6 66:15 69:7, 70:14 71:3,5 persisting 43:1 portfolio 15:11 28:16 25 70:20 71:6,15 ordered 51:14 person 55:18 29:7,12 30:16 31:17 partnered 47:5 60:16 orders 50:6 perspective 51:11 partnering 43:8 posited 60:25 61:16 original 32:8 41:6 **petition** 59:19,22 parts 25:10 40:24 position 33:18 62:24 outreach 17:22 **phone** 48:7 65:6 passed 32:8 outweigh 64:14 pick 29:15 passive 26:17 positioning 25:1 overcome 39:17 picture 67:22 positions 28:17 past 26:14 57:7 59:7 overnight 42:14 picturesque 67:14 positive 66:3 path 16:18 25:7 37:19 overpay 55:21 49:11 51:12,13 **piece** 24:10 positives 56:18 overshadow 35:14 **paths** 37:13 pieces 16:5 possession 49:7 overshadowed pathway 38:15 **pilot** 51:9 58:16,19,21 possibility 69:2 35:12 65:8 pay 53:20 61:13,25 potential 22:15 60:13 owners 42:12 place 60:19 71:7 **paying** 49:12 power 13:3 50:19 plaguing 60:10 payment 55:21 57:1,8 58:1,9 Ρ **plan** 12:4,10 14:7,10, **practical** 37:7 38:3 peak 16:25 17:1,9 13 15:12,24 16:1 19:18 20:16,25 25:23 **p.m.** 19:19 17:22 19:4,12,20 20:5 **practice** 14:3 22:25 36:12,14 37:18 50:15 21:5,23 26:8 28:2,9 pace 37:3 **practices** 21:17 23:2 60:13,16,20 29:22,23 30:4,14 pages 56:12 **peaks** 27:7 preclude 40:1 31:13 35:22 42:14 45:4 48:14 60:24 panel 35:21 people 22:17,19 66:6, prefer 29:5 64:21

preferred 23:4 47:3 63:2,6,16

preliminary 17:5

prepared 13:3 14:8 54:19

preparedness 14:4,6

preparing 23:20

prepay 30:14 46:1,5 56:1 61:25 62:3

prepayment 46:6

prepayments 46:2 55:17

present 35:1 54:1 56:5

presentation 11:3 12:15,20 13:1,16 28:10 70:1,19,21 71:17

presented 48:10 66:19

presenting 47:12,22

pretty 29:2 33:15,20 44:21

preview 70:16

previous 32:4 35:20 38:12 39:20 50:7

price 27:22 28:22 49:22 50:23 59:2,24 61:13

pricing 19:10 27:8 36:12,14 46:10,23 57:1 61:18 69:2

Pridgin 11:2,15,18,22 12:1,17,21 13:6,9,11 31:21 32:21 39:6,8,13 43:17,22 44:10,14,20, 24 45:25 46:9,22 47:8, 16 52:9,15 55:2,6,13 56:2,9 65:20,24 68:8, 12,18 69:1,23 70:22 71:14

primarily 47:19

primary 27:16

proactive 65:16

problems 59:15 60:1

proceeding 14:19

process 15:7 19:7 24:18 40:11 65:9

processes 37:10 40:10

produced 64:15

products 16:13

program 17:18,20,23 18:3,22 21:3 46:12,24 58:16,19,21 61:7,9,24 62:3 64:12 66:10 67:24 69:19

programming 51:4

programs 69:3,22

progress 71:12

progressively 24:5

prohibited 47:25

prolific 46:15

promise 24:22

promulgate 47:21

promulgation 50:18

proper 40:15

proposal 14:13,17 25:10,15,21 30:4 31:5, 8 35:23 42:1 50:11 51:15,25

proposals 24:21 54:2

propose 52:5 62:20 65:12

proposed 41:9 51:7, 13 54:12

proposing 21:21 53:6

proud 21:8

proven 67:25

provide 20:14 24:15 29:15 30:22 38:14 40:20 49:3,6,13 51:6 52:7 70:20

provided 48:24 49:5 51:8

providing 20:1

provisions 40:9,15 42:6 55:22

proxy 39:25

Public 11:23 12:13,19 56:4 68:19 71:11

publications 46:20

publicly 64:21

pulled 37:14,17

punt 67:2

purpose 40:2

purposefully 24:1

put 19:22 22:24 26:12 34:4 36:17 62:9,23 63:8,15 64:10,21 66:16

putting 27:14 31:9 45:4 61:21

puzzle 22:4

Q

qualitative 17:24

quarter 64:22

question 21:8 22:23 23:9,19 25:12 26:9 27:13 32:1 33:1,18 36:24 39:7 41:6 42:11 45:2 54:5 55:14,15 61:16 66:24 67:21

questioned 54:3

questions 12:12 31:19,22,23 32:21 35:19 38:16 39:10 43:15,18,19,23 47:9 52:8,10,11,13,16 55:1, 3,4,7,10 60:14 63:24 65:18,21,22,23 68:9, 10,14,15,16,19 69:24

quick 68:19

quicker 62:4

quickly 28:15 70:25

quintessential 67:14

quo 51:11

quotes 59:6

R

radio 20:3

raised 60:13,17

range 15:2,15 42:23

rate 11:5 13:16 14:1,2, 12,17,18,19,21 15:3,5, 12,17,25 16:19,23,24 17:1,12 18:10,14,16, 18,23,24 19:8,12,18 20:18 21:5,9,23 22:11, 14,19 24:3 25:8,11,18, 21 26:2,3,12,13,14,16, 17,24 27:1,16,23 28:12,25 29:4,7,13,21 30:3,7,13,15 31:5,7,9, 14,15,16 32:14,18 33:2,12 34:7,15 35:16, 20,21 36:1,3 38:1,14 39:20 40:13 43:3 45:9, 10,13,14,17,21 46:18 47:3,19 48:1,9,16,24 49:4 50:22 51:18 53:17 54:2,4,6,7 57:11 59:14 60:15,24 61:4,9, 15 62:16 63:4,8,9 64:4 65:13 67:17 70:5,10, 11,17 71:8

ratepayers 34:3

rates 13:24 15:11 16:8 22:18,24 23:23 26:9 28:20,21 30:6,19, 23 31:17,25 35:23 36:6,8,10,14 37:11,12, 14 39:19 43:9 47:21, 22,25 48:8,15,20,21 49:13,16,23 50:4,11, 14 51:4,6,8,9,10,12, 16,19,20,24 52:3,4,7, 22 53:3,11,13,14,19, 23 54:12,18,20,23 60:25 61:2 62:16 63:1 64:20,22,23 70:12

ratio 64:13

reach 22:14 26:6

reached 20:10 41:7

reaching 19:25 20:2

read 48:23

reading 34:22

ready 12:11,23 13:12 25:7 39:14 47:13 56:6

Ready.gov 14:7

realize 64:17 67:23

realized 65:14 69:21

realtime 36:11 45:18

reaping 34:1,17

reason 41:2

reasonable 62:21,22 64:25 65:2 66:17 68:5

reasonableness

50:13

rebates 36:13 37:18 60:13,16,20

recall 19:17 59:14,18

receive 26:19 28:23

received 18:23 41:5 59:12

receiving 45:20

recent 24:22

recently 22:13 40:5

46:16

recognition 24:15

recognize 15:10 21:9

recognized 15:14

recommendation 62:17

recommendations 50:7 53:2,10 68:21

recommended 50:17,20 52:20 63:6

recommending 53:23 54:1

recommends 50:5

record 11:2,10 14:15 63:9 71:16,18,19

recover 51:20

recovery 51:23

rectifying 35:18

reduce 12:4

reduced 20:21

reduction 17:8 56:19, 20,22 66:8

reductions 66:8

reference 38:23

referenced 32:15 69:6

reflect 11:10 49:14

refunded 55:23

regulations 40:1

Regulatory 13:19

reinforce 15:19

reiterate 31:7

relationship 23:13

37:23

relative 23:3 25:1

64:11

relevance 27:13

reliant 42:7

rely 18:1 41:23

remain 33:12

remember 35:21

remind 12:10

reminder 17:19

remiss 57:5

remote 66:16

report 13:4,17,23,25 14:12 18:10,16,23 20:13 36:7,8,11,12,13, 15,17 39:17 42:12,14 45:10 46:1,3,10 55:16 60:6 69:1,18

reporter 12:5 32:24 44:9,11 48:2 52:18

reports 11:6 18:25 45:11,15,21

represent 42:17

representative 58:17

Representing 13:18

represents 35:5

request 54:7,10 64:2,

3 70:12

require 26:9 40:19

required 32:3 34:8

requiring 48:14 50:9

research 17:21 22:3

34:19 46:15

reserved 48:9

residential 52:23

56:21

resources 57:20

respect 16:5 19:20 25:9 30:3 35:25 43:12

45:1,17

respond 15:21 26:15 29:3 37:5 43:24 68:1

responding 21:19

response 38:8 42:21

46:24

responsible 36:7

rest 36:19

result 43:4

results 20:20 25:13, 14 54:2 56:23 58:24

retained 22:1

retaining 49:9

retention 23:8

return 33:24 65:14

revenue 51:23

reverse 64:16

review 39:1

reviewed 19:6

Revised 48:12

rider 50:18

risk 28:22 54:14

RLJ 13:2

road 67:15

Roger 11:14

role 44:3 45:5

roll 23:17 48:14

rolled 17:20

rollout 65:16

rollouts 37:2

room 12:7 14:24 54:15 55:19

roughly 17:7 57:24 58:5,15

round 27:17 46:14

rounding 42:1

route 45:10

routinely 61:25

run 68:2

Rupp 32:22,23,24 33:14 35:8 36:2 38:7, 19 52:15,17,19 53:1,7, 21 54:9,25 55:2 65:24, 25 67:3,5,9

S-T-O-K-E-S 11:19

safety 14:3

sample 58:15

satisfaction 18:7

23:12,14

save 29:3

saving 20:25

savings 17:8,13 20:23 28:23 49:18 59:1 61:5 64:17

screen 13:8

search 59:20 69:10

season 20:16,22

seasonal 35:23 36:6

50:14

seasons 27:4 35:25

secondary 42:24

Section 47:23 48:12

seek 24:6 25:15

seeking 25:6

segment 26:5 29:8 30:18

__

sending 45:11,16 50:22

Senior 13:20

sense 25:7 37:21 40:7 53:16

sensitive 25:5

sentiments 34:13

separate 48:20 50:15 52:3

September 14:6 64:20

• .._-•

series 23:25 29:13

serve 66:23

service 32:3 45:9 50:19 57:2 59:3

set 14:16 15:21 16:1 29:13,25 30:7,21 31:12 34:8,9

sets 14:7 27:9 34:10

setting 15:12

shadow 43:25 44:1,4 45:1,5,23 68:20

shape 14:17

share 13:7,22 48:22

shared 41:13 60:8

shares 42:12

sharing 60:11

shift 28:9 51:22

shifting 26:22 42:17

short 14:3

shoulder 48:20 50:16

52:4

show 42:23

showed 51:2

shown 17:1

side 28:3 30:15 66:21 67:21

sight 30:25

signal 50:23 61:13

signals 26:15 49:22

signed 59:22

significant 45:2 59:1

significantly 51:23

signing 28:7

SILVEY 31:24 32:19 52:13 65:23

similar 14:11 27:22 28:17 46:12 55:15 63:1 65:12 69:3

similarly 12:13

simple 19:17 28:4

simply 25:2 26:18

59:20

sir 12:17,23 13:12 34:17 35:3 39:5,14 41:12 43:20 47:1

situations 35:18

slide 14:5 16:3 17:15 19:3,20 23:25 24:19 26:25 28:1 29:19

35:20

slides 14:6 28:11

30:17

slow 48:3

slowly 63:5

small 43:1 54:16

63:22

social 20:3

soften 25:25

software 57:13 59:16

65:5

solar 31:2 62:6,11

solution 40:3

solutions 13:21

16:12 29:15

sort 65:14 69:11

sought 14:25

sources 21:16

space 56:22

speak 12:4 40:6 44:12 59:4 62:15 63:9 66:1

speaking 12:6,19 17:17 59:17 61:2 63:17 65:4

speaks 56:25

special 38:21

specific 37:11 42:6

specifically 39:16,18

62:18

spectrum 28:20,21 29:4 30:16

spend 17:18 29:18 61:1

spread 58:25

spring 50:15

Staff 11:17,20 12:13 32:1,7 33:8 37:10 47:10,12,18 48:11,17 49:2,4,7,10 50:5,6,17, 20 51:13,14 53:5,14 55:1,14 60:6 62:15,20 63:18 66:15 71:1,4,11

Staff's 34:9 51:11 62:23,24

stages 42:1

62:10

stakeholders 19:6 20:14 23:5 45:3,22

stand 30:15 47:3 56:14

standalone 36:10

standpoint 33:19

start 41:10 44:12 64:25 65:2

started 66:3

starting 22:15

starts 39:24

state 24:11 28:18 30:10 57:16 66:21 67:21

stated 60:18

status 25:2 32:5 51:11

statute 39:24 40:12, 17,23 41:4 42:2

statutes 39:25 48:13

statutory 40:20 41:7,

Steiner 11:14,16

11

step 54:23 66:4

steps 70:11

stipulation 16:21 36:4,16,20 50:8

stipulations 31:3 32:4 33:4 35:13 60:8 62:7

Stokes 11:18,19 47:12,14,17,18 48:3,6 52:9,19,24 53:4

strategies 44:5

strategy 44:2

Street 11:12,20

strictly 25:9

strong 26:8

structure 70:11

structures 30:13

studies 24:1,4 32:12, 15 57:6,8 64:5,8 67:10,17

study 32:3,5 50:12,13 65:9 67:3,7,13

stuff 35:22 36:19 38:21

style 61:13,17

subject 54:3

subscription 30:14 46:10,18,23 47:1,3 61:7,9,11,18,24 69:2, 14,19

subset 42:4

substantial 42:13

success 14:20 17:17 21:3,7 25:11 26:9

successes 15:22 35:15

successful 25:18 31:9 40:22

successfully 16:20

sufficiently 49:24

suggesting 71:1

Suite 11:12

summarized 56:17

summary 48:8

summer 20:16,22 27:12 67:6

super 17:1 66:10

support 47:22 70:14 71:5

supposed 33:3,16

surveys 18:5,6,12 64:9

suspend 60:3

sustainability 15:23 29:23

sync 64:13

system 20:24 34:16, 22 37:1 48:14 55:25 59:25

systems 34:25

Т

tag 19:17,21

takeaways 22:8

taking 38:6 60:19 70:11

talk 16:7,16 21:4 44:3

talked 28:13 30:17 35:20 45:22

talking 35:24 63:21 67:19 69:9

target 16:21,22

targeted 19:13

tariff 40:1,6,7,8,14,25 41:3,22 42:3,4

tariffs 47:20

Team 16:12

Technically 54:9

technology 34:2 35:10 58:13 59:5,8 61:24

tend 23:7 35:14

term 30:10 62:22

terms 50:16 56:14 58:3 59:12 60:4,11 61:7 62:6,15,19

testimony 51:8

thermostat 43:7 69:13

thing 22:21 60:23 67:18

things 15:8 16:15 20:7 22:6,7,22 24:13 33:3 34:4,6,20 36:16 37:8,23 38:5,12,24 52:20 57:3 59:11 67:18

thinking 19:4

thought 33:4

thoughts 54:11

til 19:18 66:7

time 11:4,5 13:3,16,24 14:1 16:3 17:18 20:11, 17 22:25 24:2 25:10, 21 26:15,16 27:13 29:10,18 30:4 32:8 35:1 36:12 37:8,18 39:4,18,20 40:2 42:6 45:9 48:4,15,19 49:23 50:3,11,12,18,22 51:4, 5,8,9,10,12,16,19,24 52:2,7 53:15,17,19 54:12,18 55:12 56:14 57:15,17,19 59:19 60:13,16,20,25 61:15 62:25 63:3,13 64:25 65:2,16 68:1

times 16:25 17:17 21:24 23:19 35:13

timing 34:20

tip 66:5

today 13:2,15,18,21 14:4 16:5,11,16 32:9 37:4 41:14 57:4,9 70:4,21

today's 63:15

Tool 18:11,18

tools 17:25 18:8,9,12 19:15

top 27:3 61:21 68:23

topic 14:4

total 57:22

TOU 14:21 15:1,14 16:2,8,19,23,24 17:20, 23 18:14 19:8,12,13 21:3,9 22:4,13 23:17 24:3,21 26:1,3,13,21 27:11,14,17 28:13,25 30:16 31:8,14,16,25 35:5 36:10 37:23,24, 25 38:2 40:10,16,20 42:7 43:3,8,9 44:2 45:14 52:22 53:2,11, 17,23 66:17

touch 23:9,21

TOUS 22:10

track 38:11

trade 69:8

Traditionally 47:20

training 62:25 63:23

transformation 15:23 29:23

transition 36:23 38:15 51:9

treatment 47:24

trend 64:16

true 46:2 55:15

turn 13:4 14:5 18:9 21:4

turning 21:6

tweak 25:16 31:13

type 68:5 59:7 69:4 website 18:19 20:3 Υ 45:19 57:21 **types** 36:3 60:15 utility 45:24 69:9 websites 65:5 utilization 34:2 year 20:13,16 27:17 U wed 38:2 32:12 43:5,13 57:17 utilize 22:15 49:12 60:2 64:24 65:1,7 weekly 18:24 45:11, utilized 23:21 ultimate 26:23 67:20 70:17 20 utilizing 58:12 59:8 ultimately 14:18 years 14:22 24:4 welcomed 41:19 26:17 33:25 34:18 **unable** 51:22 West 30:12 50:9 61:6 35:7 38:11 57:7 67:16 ٧ unaddressed 32:17 wheel 63:23 unavailable 49:23 vantage 41:16 63:18 wheels 62:25 understand 17:25 variable 29:5 who've 54:17 18:2 22:4,5,17,18 variance 41:21 whomever 43:23 23:22 24:2,14,16 variant 53:15,17 26:20 28:6,8 30:20 wide 48:15 31:4 33:17 34:15,20, variation 22:7 Williams 11:24 12:1, 21 38:7 16,18 56:5 vehicle 36:10 37:14 understanding 42:12 Winslow 13:20 16:8, 21:20 24:6,10 32:1 10 42:20,22 44:6,11, 46:7 55:20 vehicles 26:5 42:11, 15,18,23,25 46:8 70:8 13 understood 23:16 winter 48:20 50:15 66:7 version 45:23 52:3 underway 32:9 versus 45:9 wise 24:12 unenrollment 18:4 video 20:3 wondering 32:4 48:3 **unfold** 43:12 view 29:24 word 35:21 59:20 **unique** 18:16,24 58:2 viewing 19:1,2 62:22 69:10 65:6 views 19:1 words 28:2 44:15 **unlike** 26:13 62:23 **unmute** 39:12 W work 15:20 21:10 23:23 30:20 34:24 unwilling 25:23 Wait 19:18 66:7 40:21 42:25 upcoming 32:18 48:9 waiver 41:21,25 worked 14:22 **update** 31:25 working 20:11 31:4 wanted 12:9 19:4,7, updating 47:25 11 28:14 32:25 53:7,9 41:9 46:13 **upper** 42:18 wanting 27:12 works 19:12 **uptake** 43:3 worried 41:18 ways 23:23 24:15 31:17 usage 15:18 19:2,9 wrap 70:1 27:19 29:10,16 48:21 weaknesses 47:4 write 53:9 51:22 52:4 **web** 19:2 writeups 69:8 user 31:12 58:2 Webex 12:2,9 utilities 28:17 38:22 46:11,23 57:14,22