In the Matter of:

The First Prudence Review of KCP&L

EO-2018-0364 EO-2018-0363

December 06, 2018



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	Procedural Conference
7	December 6, 2018 Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1,
9	In the Matter of the First)
10	Prudence Review of KCP&L Greater) Missouri Operations Company's)
11	("GMO") Implementation of Its) EO-2018-0364 Cycle 2 Energy Efficiency)
12	Programs in Furtherance of) Missouri Energy Efficience)
13	Investment Act ("MEEIA"))
14	In the Matter of the First) Prudence Review of Kansas City)
15	Power and Light Company's ("KCPL")) EO-2018-0363 Implementation of its Cycle 2)
16	Energy Efficience Programs in) Furtherance of the Missouri Energy)
17	Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA"))
18	
19	JOHN CLARK, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	Ashley M. Rouse, CCR No. 1403
23	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24	
25	1

1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT: 3 4 JAMES M. FISCHER ROGER W. STEINER (Via phone) 5 Attorneys at Law FISCHER & DORITY 101 Madison Street, Suite 400 6 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 7 Telephone: 573-636-6758 Facsimile: 573-636-0383 E-mail: jfischerpc@aol.com 8 9 FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION: 10 ROBERT S. BERLIN 11 Staff of Missouri Public Service Commission 12 GOVERNOR OFFICE BUILDING 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 13 Telephone: 573-526-7779 14 Facsimile: 573-751-9285 E-mail: bob.berlin@psc.mo.gov 15 16 FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL: 17 18 CALEB HALL & RYAN SMITH General Counsel GOVERNOR OFFICE BUILDING 19 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 20 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230 Telephone: 573-526-1445 21 Facsimile: 573-751-5562 E-mail: caleb.hall@ded.mo.gov 22 ryan.smith@ded.mo.gov 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE CLARK: Today's date is
3	December 6, 2018, and the current time is 9:00 a.m.
4	We're in Room 305 of the Governor Office Building. The
5	Commission has set aside this time for a procedural
6	conference, and the case is captioned as In the Matter
7	of the First Prudence Review of Kansas City Power and
8	Light Companies implementation of its Cycle 2 Energy
9	Efficiency Programs, in furtherance of the Missouri
10	Energy Efficiency Investment Act, or MEEIA, File
11	No. EO-2018-0363, and In the Matter of the First
12	Prudence Review of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operation
13	Company's Implementation of its Cycle 2 Energy
14	Efficiency Program, in furtherance of Missouri Energy
15	Efficiency Investment ACT File No. EO-2018-0364.
16	My name's John Clark. I'm the regulatory law
17	judge in this matter. I'm going to begin by asking the
18	attorneys to make their entries of appearance for the
19	record, starting with Kansas City Power and Light.
20	MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge, let the record
21	reflect the appearance of Roger W. Steiner, on the
22	telephone, and James M. Fischer for Kansas City Power
23	and Light Company, and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operation
24	Company. Our contact information is provided to the
25	court reporter.

Thank you. And for the 1 JUDGE CLARK: 2 Commission Staff. MR. BERLIN: Yes, Judge, appearing on behalf 3 of the Staff of the Commission is Robert S. Berlin, and 4 5 my contact information is with the court reporter and it is at the office of the Commission. 6 7 JUDGE CLARK: And for the office of public counsel. 8 9 MR. HALL: Thank you, Judge. Caleb Hall, appearing on behalf of public counsel. Our contact 10 11 information has also been provided to the court 12 reporter. 13 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you. I asked off 14 the record, but I'm going to go ahead and ask now 15 anyway. Is there anybody I'm missing? I don't hear 16 from anyone. 17 There's a few things I wanted to discuss 18 today. First of all, are there any preliminary matters 19 that need to be taken up at this time? 20 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I would just mention to 21 you before you get too far, that the parties are in 22 discussions to try to resolve the issues in this case. 23 And it's at least Kansas City Power and Light's hope 24 that there won't be a need for a litigated proceeding 25 in this. And I think we would request that we'd be

given an opportunity to continue our talks, and have a perhaps file status report for -- so you'll know where things are at. But it's certainly up to the Commission and your discretion. But that's what -- that's what our recommendation would be, I guess.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay.

6

7 MR. STEINER: Yeah, Your Honor, we -- this is 8 Roger. We've had one meeting with the parties already 9 and it looked like the staff was needing --10 was needing some additional information about the 11 disallowed activities and products. And we've -- we've 12 pretty much got that all put together. We haven't 13 provided it yet, but I anticipate that happening by the 14 end of the week. And we'd like -- as Mr. Fischer said, 15 we'd like to have some more meetings with the parties. 16 We think there's a good chance it can get resolved, so 17 there's a little color there.

18 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Steiner. 19 A Commission order was required on this case unless a 20 hearing was requested by December 31st. Since Kansas 21 City Power and Light and GMO requested a hearing, that 22 time requirement is no longer necessary. I'd 23 originally -- as I usually do in these kinds of 24 procedural conferences, I was going to request that the 25 parties put together a procedural schedule. But I'm

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

5

Г

1	certainly mindful if the parties are attempting a
2	resolution of the matter. That's obviously a better
3	outcome overall.
4	But that leaves me with a couple of questions
5	that I had in regards to any potential hearing. No. 1,
6	is is while I have attorneys representing both
7	companies in this matter, is there going to be any
8	opposition if this goes to hearing that you foresee to
9	doing these together as one hearing for both GMO and
10	KCP&L?
11	MR. FISCHER: No, Judge, I think that'd be
12	very efficient.
13	JUDGE CLARK: Because I think they're going
14	to be staying witnesses.
15	MR. BERLIN: Staff agrees.
16	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. No. 2, because up until
17	now, that's just resulted in, you know, staff
18	essentially filing their their report regarding the
19	Prudence Review, there hasn't been any notice that's
20	gone out in regards to interveners. I anticipate
21	I'll probably send out something in case somebody wants
22	to intervene anyway, because requesting the hearing
23	makes this a contested case even if the parties are
24	attempting to work out a resolution. I don't know if
25	that's usual, but that's what I'm going to do.

1	MR. STEINER: I I'll have to look, Your
2	Honor. I thought all parties were aware that are
3	that were that were part of MEEIA had had the
4	opportunity to intervene in this, but that may not be
5	the case. So I'll I understand what you're saying.
б	JUDGE CLARK: Okay. And I appreciate that.
7	I'll look at that; if that's the case, then I may not
8	issue anything. But if there's any ambiguity, I'll
9	give I will give parties an opportunity to
10	intervene.
11	In regards to attempting to work this out in
12	a status report, how much time would you be asking for?
13	MR. FISCHER: Roger, is 30 days reasonable,
14	from your perspective?
15	MR. STEINER: I think that will work. I
16	don't know, Bob, Caleb, do you seem to think that will
17	work? I don't know. That seems right.
18	MR. BERLIN: So a status report would be due,
19	what date then?
20	MR. HALL: Right.
21	MR. BERLIN: Why don't we why don't we
22	MR. HALL: Do we want to go to January 4th?
23	I mean, that's oh, wait, no. I've got yeah,
24	that's I was thinking three, not four weeks, so
25	the 11th would be 30 days or a little over.

MR. BERLIN: I would think 11 would work. 1 2 MR. HALL: Yeah. 3 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Is there any party 4 opposed to doing that? Okay. That's fine. Then I'm 5 going to -- I will request that the parties file a status report or settlement agreement by the 11th of 6 7 January 2019. 8 That kind of shortcuts the rest of this. So 9 are there any other issues that need to be addressed by the Commission at this time? Staff? 10 MR. BERLIN: None, Judge, thank you. 11 12 JUDGE CLARK: Companies? 13 MR. FISCHER: Not that I know of. MR. STEINER: I don't -- I don't think so. 14 15 Might request that the line just be left open so we can 16 just have some more discussions after you -- after you 17 leave the room, Judge, just on this information that 18 we're going to get to the parties. 19 JUDGE CLARK: And I usually leave the line 20 open for a little while. I believe I have the line scheduled open until 10:15 a.m. 21 22 MR. STEINER: It'll -- it will be short -- a 23 lot shorter than that. 24 JUDGE CLARK: Well, if it's not, like I said, you can go up until 10:15, and then I don't know who's 25

1 going to co-op the line. 2 MR. STEINER: No, I have something I've got to do before that, so it's not going to take that long 3 4 from my perspective. 5 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Anything from the Office of Public Counsel? 6 7 MR. HALL: No, not at this time. We look 8 forward to discussions with the parties. 9 JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well, I'm pleased to see 10 that the parties are attempting to work out a 11 resolution. Obviously, if there's -- if you're unable 12 to do so, I have no problems conducting a hearing. 13 Hearing no other matters at this time, I'm 14 going to adjourn this hearing and we will go off the 15 record. 16 (Conference concluded.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)
4) ss County of boone)
5	I, Ashley M. Rouse, Certified Court
6	Reporter for the firm of Tiger Court Reporting, do
7	hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true
8	and accurate transcription, to the best of my ability,
9	of the procedural conference of the Missouri Public
10 11	Service Commission held In the Matter of the First
12	Prudence Review of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations
13	Company's ("GMO") Implementation of Its Cycle 2 Energy
14	Efficience Programs in Furtherance of Missouri Energy
15	Efficiency Investment ACT ("MEEIA"), and In the Matter
16	of the First Prudence Review of Kansas City Power and
17	Light Company's ("KCPL") Implementation of its Cycle 2
18	Energy Efficiency Programs in Furtherance of the
19	Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA"),
20	Case File Nos. EO-2018-0364 and EO-2018-0363, on
21	December 6, 2018.
22	Ashley M. Rouse
23	Ashley M. Rouse
24	TIGER COURT REPORTING
25	

EO-2018-0364 EO-2018-0363 Index: 1..hope

	agreement 8:6	Company 3:23,24	EO-2018-0363 3:11
1	agrees 6:15	Company's 3:13	EO-2018-0364 3:15
1 6:5	ahead 4:14	concluded 9:16	essentially 6:18
10:15 8:21,25	ambiguity 7:8	conducting 9:12	
11 8:1	anticipate 5:13 6:20	conference 3:6 9:16	F
11th 7:25 8:6	appearance 3:18,21	conferences 5:24	file 3:10,15 5:2 8:5
	appearing 4:3,10	contact 3:24 4:5,10	filing 6:18
2	attempting 6:1,24	contested 6:23	fine 8:4
2 3:8,13 6:16	7:11 9:10	continue 5:1	Fischer 3:20,22 4:20
2018 3:3	attorneys 3:18 6:6	counsel 4:8,10 9:6	5:14 6:11 7:13 8:13
2019 8:7	aware 7:2	couple 6:4	foresee 6:8
	B	court 3:25 4:5,11	forward 9:8
3		current 3:3	furtherance 3:9,14
30 7:13,25	begin 3:17	Cycle 3:8,13	G
305 3:4	behalf 4:3,10		G
31st 5:20	Berlin 4:3,4 6:15 7:18,	D	give 7:9
315t 5.20	21 8:1,11	date 3:2 7:19	GMO 5:21 6:9
4	Bob 7:16	days 7:13,25	good 5:16
	Building 3:4	December 3:3 5:20	Governor 3:4
4th 7:22	C	disallowed 5:11	Greater 3:12,23
6		discretion 5:4	guess 5:5
	Caleb 4:9 7:16	discuss 4:17	
6 3:3	captioned 3:6	discussions 4:22	Н
9	case 3:6 4:22 5:19 6:21,23 7:5,7	8:16 9:8	Hall 4:9 7:20,22 8:2
	chance 5:16	don't 4:15 6:24 7:16,	9:7
9:00 3:3	City 3:7,19,22 4:23	17,21 8:14,25	happening 5:13
	5:21	due 7:18	hasn't 6:19
Α	Clark 3:2,16 4:1,7,13	E	haven't 5:12
a.m. 3:3 8:21	5:6,18 6:13,16 7:6 8:3, 12,19,24 9:5,9		hear 4:15
Act 3:10,15	co-op 9:1	Efficiency 3:9,10,14, 15	hearing 5:20,21 6:5,
activities 5:11	color 5:17	efficient 6:12	8,9,22 9:12,13,14
additional 5:10	Commission 3:5 4:2,	end 5:14	Honor 5:7 7:2
addressed 8:9	4,6 5:3,19 8:10		hope 4:23
adjourn 9:14	companies 3:8 6:7	Energy 3:8,10,13,14	
	8:12	entries 3:18	

EO-2018-0364dEQ:201mplehentation..settlement

	leave 8:17,19	opportunity 5:1 7:4,9	
I	leaves 6:4	opposed 8:4	R
implementation 2.0	left 8:15	opposition 6:8	
implementation 3:8, 13	Light 3:8,19,23 5:21	order 5:19	reasonable 7:13
information 3:24 4:5,	Light's 4:23	originally 5:23	recommendation 5:5
11 5:10 8:17	litigated 4:24	outcome 6:3	record 3:19,20 4:14
intervene 6:22 7:4,10	long 9:3		9:15
interveners 6:20	longer 5:22	Р	reflect 3:21
Investment 3:10,15	looked 5:9		regulatory 3:16
issue 7:8	lot 8:23	part 7:3	report 5:2 6:18 7:12,
issues 4:22 8:9	101 0.23	parties 4:21 5:8,15,25 6:1,23 7:2,9 8:5,18	18 8:6
lt'll 8:22	M	9:8,10	reporter 3:25 4:5,12
it's 4:23 5:3 8:24 9:3		party 8:3	representing 6:6
l'd 5:22	make 3:18	perspective 7:14 9:4	request 4:25 5:24 8:5,15
I'll 6:21 7:1,5,7,8	makes 6:23	pleased 9:9	requested 5:20,21
l'm 3:16,17 4:14,15	matter 3:6,11,17 6:2,7	potential 6:5	requesting 6:22
5:25 6:25 8:4 9:9,13	matters 4:18 9:13	Power 3:7,19,22 4:23	required 5:19
l've 7:23 9:2	MEEIA 3:10 7:3	5:21	requirement 5:22
J	meeting 5:8	preliminary 4:18	resolution 6:2,24
	meetings 5:15	pretty 5:12	9:11
James 3:22	mention 4:20	problems 9:12	resolve 4:22
January 7:22 8:7	mindful 6:1	procedural 3:5 5:24, 25	resolved 5:16
John 3:16	missing 4:15	proceeding 4:24	rest 8:8
judge 3:2,17,20 4:1,3, 7,9,13,20 5:6,18 6:11,	Missouri 3:9,12,14, 23	products 5:11	resulted 6:17
7,9,13,20 5:6,18 6:11, 13,16 7:6 8:3,11,12,		Program 3:14	Review 3:7,12 6:19
17,19,24 9:5,9	N	Programs 3:9	Robert 4:4
К	nama'a 2:40	provided 3:24 4:11	Roger 3:21 5:8 7:13
<u> </u>	name's 3:16	5:13	room 3:4 8:17
Kansas 3:7,19,22	needing 5:9,10	Prudence 3:7,12 6:19	
4:23 5:20	notice 6:19	public 4:7,10 9:6	S
KCP&L 3:12,23 6:10	0	put 5:12,25	schedule 5:25
kind 8:8			scheduled 8:21
kinds 5:23	office 3:4 4:6,7 9:5	Q	send 6:21
L	open 8:15,20,21	questions 6:4	set 3:5
	Operation 3:12,23		settlement 8:6
law 3:16			
	1	1	1

EO-2018-0364 EO-2018-0361 dex: short..you're

short 8:22	week 5:14	
shortcuts 8:8	weeks 7:24	
shorter 8:23	we'd 4:25 5:14,15	
staff 4:2,4 5:9 6:15,17	we're 3:4 8:18	
8:10	we've 5:8,11	
starting 3:19	who's 8:25	
status 5:2 7:12,18 8:6	witnesses 6:14	
staying 6:14	won't 4:24	
Steiner 3:21 5:7,18 7:1,15 8:14,22 9:2	work 6:24 7:11,15,17 8:1 9:10	
T	Y	
talks 5:1	you'll 5:2	
telephone 3:22	you're 7:5 9:11	
that'd 6:11		
that's 5:4 6:2,17,19, 25 7:7,23,24 8:4		
there's 4:17 5:16,17 7:8 9:11		
they're 6:13		
things 4:17 5:3		
thinking 7:24		
thought 7:2		
time 3:3,5 4:19 5:22 7:12 8:10 9:7,13		
today 4:18		
Today's 3:2		
U		
unable 9:11		
understand 7:5		
usual 6:25		
W		
wait 7:23		
wanted 4:17		