

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of a Determination of Special	)	
Contemporary Resource Planning Issues to be	)	File No. EO-2020-0046
Addressed by KCP&L-GMO	)	
in its Next Triennial Compliance Filing	)	
or Next Annual Update Report	)	

**LIST OF SUGGESTED SPECIAL CONTEMPORARY ISSUES OF SIERRA CLUB**

Pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-22.080(4), Sierra Club hereby recommends the following as special contemporary issues for consideration and analysis by Kansas City Power & Light-GMO.

1. Analyze and screen electric vehicle charging infrastructure as a candidate resource option
2. Analyze, document and screen renewable energy + battery storage as an alternative to existing coal-fired generation.
3. Analyze and develop as candidate resource options the satisfaction of municipal and corporate renewable energy goals which, when enacted into law by ordinance may become a legal mandate within the meaning of 20 CSR 4240-22.060(3)(A).
4. Analyze and document the prospects for using securitization to advance the retirement of coal generation assets and channel the savings into more economical investments such as demand-side management, building wind and solar generation, and storage. Securitization is essentially lower cost, long-term bond financing that ratepayers take out and pledge to repay using a portion of their future electricity bills, that will save customers money, some of which can be used as new capital.

5. Analyzing and documenting the future capital and operating costs faced by each GMO coal-fired generating unit in order to comply with all existing, pending, or potential environmental standards, including:
  - a. Clean Air Act New Source Review provisions;
  - b. 1-hour Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard;
  - c. National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone and fine particulate matter;
  - d. Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, including the anticipated 2016 update to the rule to incorporate interstate transport requirements for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard;
  - e. Mercury and Air Toxics Standards;
  - f. Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Cooling Water Intake Standards;
  - g. Clean Water Act Steam Electric Effluent Limitation Guidelines;
  - h. Coal Combustion Waste rules including removal as well as cap-and-cover;
  - i. Clean Air Act Regional Haze requirements.
6. Analyzing and documenting the cost of any transmission grid upgrades or additions needed to address transmission grid reliability, stability, or voltage support impacts that could result from the retirement of any existing GMO coal-fired generating unit;
7. Analyzing and documenting on a unit-by-unit basis the net present value revenue requirement of the relative economics of continuing to operate each GMO coal-fired generating unit versus retiring and replacing each such unit in light of all of the environmental, capital, fuel, and O&M expenses needed to keep each such unit operating as compared to the cost of other demand-side and supply side resources;

8. Analyzing and documenting the technical, maximum achievable, and realistic achievable energy and demand savings from demand-side management, and incorporating each level of savings into GMO's resource planning process;
9. Analyzing and documenting cost and performance information sufficient to fairly analyze and compare utility scale wind and solar resources, including distributed generation, to other supply side alternatives; and
10. Analyzing the impact of emerging energy efficiency technologies throughout the planning period.
11. The Commission's investigation of utility self-scheduling practices in the RTO markets is relevant to the primary goal of minimizing long-run utility costs and tradeoffs with that goal, 20 CSR 4240-22.010(2)(B & C); to supply side retirements, 22.060(3)(C)1; to capacity and energy supplied to the grid 22.060(4)(B)3 & 6; to "Purchased power availability, terms, costs, optionality and other benefits," 22.060(5)(G) and fixed O&M costs, 22.060(5)(I); as well as the T&D analysis in 20 CSR 4240-22.045. Staff's report in the investigatory docket, EW-2019-0370, concluded that ratepayers were not being "actively harmed" by the practice of self-scheduling, but admitted that Staff lacked the data and resources to answer the fundamental questions of whether Missouri utilities are bidding into the markets at below production costs or otherwise harming ratepayers through "increased outage rates, decreased off-system sales revenue, increased operations and maintenance costs, shortened life of assets, increased outage frequency, decreased reliability, increased LMPs at the load node, and/or generally increased energy prices across the RTO's footprint" (Staff Report at 13). Empire should address these issues in its

annual update since only it possesses the necessary bid formulation and production cost data.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Henry Robertson

Henry B. Robertson  
Great Rivers Environmental Law Center  
705 Olive Street, Suite 614  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
(314) 231-4181  
hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

*Attorney for Sierra Club*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct PDF version of the foregoing was filed on EFIS and sent by email on this 13th day of September, 2019, to all counsel of record.

/s/ Henry Robertson

Henry Robertson