Exhibit No:

Issue: Rate Base Deferred Tax Witness: L. Jay Williams

Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District

Case No: ER-2004-0570

Date Testimony Prepared: Nov 4, 2004

FILED³

DEC 2 8 2004

Before the Public Service Commission Of the State of Missouri

Missouri Public Service Commission

Rebuttal Testimony

Of

L. Jay Williams

November 2004

Case No(s). FR-2001-0510

Date 12-06-01 Rptr_4F

Exhibit No: ______ Issue: Rate Base Deferred Tax Witness: L. Jay Williams
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District Case No: ER-2004-0570

Date Testimony Prepared: Nov 4, 2004

Before the Public Service Commission Of the State of Missouri

Rebuttal Testimony

Of

L. Jay Williams

November 2004

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF WISSOURI)
) ss COUNTY OF JASPER)
On the 4th day of November, 2004, before me appeared L.Jay Williams, to nersonally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that he is the Senior T. Planning Manager of The Empire District Electric Company and acknowledged that I has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements there are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.
L. Jay Williams
// L. Jay Williams
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of November, 2004
Pat Settle, Notary Public

My commission expires:

L. JAY WILLIAMS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF L JAY WILLIAMS THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SUBJECT	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	l
DEFERRED TAXES – AMT	2
DEFERRED TAXES - POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSION	3

L. JAY WILLIAMS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF L. JAY WILLIAMS THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2004-0570

INTRODUCTION

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	L. Jay Williams. My business address is 602 Joplin Street, Joplin, MO.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A . '	I am employed by The Empire District Electric Company as Senior Tax Planning
6		Manager
7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND WORK
8		BACKGROUND.
9	A.	I graduated from Missouri Southern State University with a BS in Business
10		Administration (emphasis in accounting) in 1975. I hold certificate number 8047
11		from the Missouri State Board of Accountancy. Prior to joining The Empire
12		District Electric Company in 1983, I spent 6 years in public accounting primarily
13		in the income tax field. Except for a short period in Empire's Internal Auditing
14		Department, I have spent my entire tenure in the tax area of the Company. My
15		Tax experience at the Company includes the responsibility for tax compliance in
16		the areas of property, sales/use, corporate franchise and income taxes.

1	Q.	HAVE YOU FILED TESTIMONY PREVIOUSLY BEFORE THE
2		COMMISSION?
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?
5	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to rebut the Direct Testimony of John P. Cassidy
6		concerning the rate base effect of deferred taxes both as to the Alternative
7		Minimum Tax and Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions.
8	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN: THE SCOPE AND ISSUES CONCERNING STAFF'S
9		POSITION.
10	A.	The Staff's rate base adjustment for deferred taxes included items not in our
11		original filing, but omitted the deferred tax assets for the Alternative Minimum
12	•	Tax in the amount of \$1,600,000 and Post Retirement Benefits Other than
13		Pensions of \$3,547,898. The omission of these deferred tax assets which increase
14		rate base will be detrimental to the Company's annual earnings of approximately
15		\$500,000.
16	<u>DEF</u>	ERRED TAXES - "AMT"
17	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF THE ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM
18		TAX DEFERRED TAX ASSET?
19	A.	The Alternative Minimum Tax ("AMT") provides companies with a current
20		federal tax liability where the ordinary tax liability is less than the AMT liability.
21	•	The AMT is recoverable against ordinary tax in future years. It represents the
22		amount of tax liability deferred that was not actually used to decrease current
23		federal tax payments. The gross amount of deferred tax liabilities created from

L. JAY WILLIAMS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1		accelerated tax depreciation in excess of straight line book depreciation was
2		credited to the deferred tax liabilities used by the Staff to reduce rate base.
3	Q.	WAS THE "AMT" DEFERRED TAX ASSET INCLUDED IN THE
4		COMPANY'S COMPUTATION OF RATE BASE IN THE ORIGINAL
5		FILING?
6	A.	No. The Company's filings have historically taken the position that only the
7		liberalized depreciation component of deferred tax should be taken into
8		consideration in determining rate base. All other components of deferred tax are
9		excluded, i.e. Deferred Compensation and Asbury Unit 1 Five Year Maintenance.
10	Q.	DID STAFF INCLUDE OTHER COMPONENTS OF DEFERRED TAX?
11	A.	Yes. Staff selectively included components of deferred tax in the rate base
12	٠	calculation, such as Contributions in Aid of Construction, Interest Capitalized,
13		and Licensed Software. However, the AMT, which would offset some of these
14		components, was not one of the included items.
15	Q.	DOES EMPIRE AGREE WITH STAFF'S METHODOLOGY?
16	A.	No. To include only selected deferred taxes and omit an item of deferred tax that
17		reflects a component of actual net tax savings would be inconsistent at best.
18		Deferred tax liabilities, such as accelerated depreciation deferred tax, were
19		recorded in gross as if the full current tax benefit was received. The AMT
20		deferred tax reflects the amount of that benefit not actually used to reduce current
21		tax liability. Omitting the AMT component results in an understatement of rate
22		base.
23	DOST	FDETIDEMENT DENEEITS ATHED THAN DENSIANS

1	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF THE POSTRETIREMENT
2		BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSIONS (PBOP-FAS106) DEFERRED TAX
3		ASSET.
4	A.	The Company has historically funded each of its Voluntary Employee Benefit
5		Association ("VEBA") trusts for the required actuarial amounts for health care
6		benefits. For the current tax calculation, the amounts funded to the collective
7		bargaining VEBA are fully deductible but the amounts funded to the non-
8		collective bargaining VEBA are only partially deductible. The amount funded in
9		total to the VEBA's over the amount deductible for current tax create a timing
10		difference for which a deferred tax asset has been recorded on the books.
11	Q.	WHY DID THE COMPANY FUND BOTH VEBA'S WHEN NOT ALL OF
12		THE FUNDING WAS DEDUCTIBLE?
13	A.	The Company was allowed in a previous rate case to recover from ratepayers
14		amounts that were funded to both VEBA's. It is the Company's belief that both
15		the non-union and the collective bargaining unit VEBA should be funded at the
16		appropriate level for each. The Company feels that it is not in the best interest of
17		the non-union employees to over fund amounts to the collective bargaining unit
18		VEBA and under fund amounts to non-union employees VEBA.
19	Q.	HOW DID THE STAFF TREAT THE PBOP-FAS 106 DEFERRED TAX
20		ASSET IN THIS CASE?
21	A.	The deferred tax asset created from funded amounts that were not currently
22		deductible for tax purposes was not included as a component of rate base by Staff.

L. JAY WILLIAMS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

1 Q. DID STAFF CORRECTLY APPLY THE PBOP-FAS 106 DEFERRED TAX

- 2 ASSET IN THE CASE?
- 3 A. No. This deferred tax asset should be added to rate base because the Company
- 4 incurred current income tax liability.
- 5 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 6 A. Yes.