Exhibit No .:

٦

ĝ

Issues: Revenues; Weather Normalization of Usage; Customer Growth/Loss Annualization; Large Customer Annualization; Elimination of Unbilled Revenues; Elimination of Interim Energy Charge Revenues; Elimination of Steam Revenues; Other Revenue Account Adjustments; and Uncollectibles (Bad Debt) Expense Witness: Amanda C. McMellen Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2005-0436 Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2005

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION



# **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

## OF

Missouri Public Bervice Commission

# AMANDA C. McMELLEN

# AQUILA, INC. d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS – ELECTRIC AND AQUILA NETWORKS – L&P – ELECTRIC

CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2005

Exhibit No. Case No(s). Date - OS- () Pro-ZB

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of Aquila, Inc., to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in Its MPS and L&P Missouri Service Areas.

Case No. ER-2005-0436 Tariff No. YE-2005-1045

#### AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA C. MCMELLEN

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )

Amanda C. McMellen, being of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\underline{H}$  pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

CMMe

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12 day of October 2005.

Notary

TONI M. CHARLTON Notary Public - State of Missouri My Commission Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County Commission #04474301



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	AMANDA C. McMELLEN
4	AQUILA, INC.
5	d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric)
6	and AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P (Electric)
7	CASE NO. ER-2005-0436
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
9	REVENUES 4
10	WEATHER NORMALIZATION OF USAGE
11	CUSTOMER GROWTH/LOSS ANNUALIZATION
12	LARGE CUSTOMER ANNUALIZATION 10
13	ELIMINATION OF UNBILLED REVENUES
14	ELIMINATION OF INTERIM ENERGY CHARGE REVENUES 12
15	ELIMINATION OF STEAM REVENUES
16	OTHER REVENUE ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS
17	UNCOLLECTIBLES (BAD DEBT) EXPENSE 13

18

		·		
1	DIRECT TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	AMANDA C. McMELLEN			
4		AQUILA, INC.		
5	d/b/a AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS (Electric)			
6	and AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P (Electric)			
7	CASE NO. ER-2005-0436			
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
9	А.	Amanda C. McMellen, 200 Madison Street, Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO		
10	65102.			
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
12	А.	I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission		
13	(Commission).			
14	Q.	Please describe your educational and employment background.		
15	А.	I graduated from DeVry Institute of Technology in June 1998 with a Bachelors		
16	of Science de	egree in Accounting. Before coming to work at the Commission, I worked as an		
17	accounts receivable clerk. I commenced employment with the Commission Staff (Staff) in			
18	June 1999.			
19	Q.	What has been the nature of your duties while employed by the Commission?		
20	А.	I am responsible for assisting in the audits and examinations of the books and		
21	records of ut	ility companies operating within the state of Missouri.		
22	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?		
ļ				
1				

6

11

12

1	Α.	Yes, please refer to Schedule 1, attached to this direct testimony, for a list of
2	the major aud	its on which I have assisted and filed testimony.

Q. Have you made an examination of the books and records of Aquila
Networks-MPS (MPS) and Aquila Networks-L&P (L&P), divisions of Aquila, Inc. (Aquila or
the Company) for purposes of this case?

A. Yes, in conjunction with other members of the Staff.

7 Q. Please describe your areas of responsibility in this case, Case No.
8 ER-2005-0436.

9 A. I am responsible for the areas of electric revenue and uncollectibles (bad debts)
10 expense for both MPS and L&P.

Q. Please describe what adjustments you are sponsoring in this case.

A. I am sponsoring the following Income Statement adjustments:

13 14	Revenues	MPS S-1.1, S-1.3, S-1.4, S-1.6 L&P S-1.1, S-1.3, S-1.4, S-1.8, S-8.1
15 16	Uncollectibles/Bad Debts	MPS S-70.1 L&P S-68.1

Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training and education do you have related
to your audit assignments in this case?

A. My college education provided a fundamental knowledge base, which I have
utilized in my assigned duties at the Commission. I have attended training courses and
reviewed in-house training materials while at the Commission. I have continually received
guidance from the Senior Auditors in the Auditing Department on my assignments. I have
reviewed the testimony and workpapers from previously filed cases on this issue. I reviewed
the Company's testimony, workpapers and data request responses for this case. I have been

assigned to previous Aquila cases, specifically Case Nos. ER-2001-672 and ER-2004-0034.
In the last Aquila case I, along with other Staff members, was responsible for the revenues
adjustments similar to my assignment in this case. I have also been involved in the area of
revenues in previous cases. Finally, my previous work assignments at the Commission have
provided a knowledge base upon which I rely to develop my assigned areas in this rate
proceeding.

7

8

-

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

Q. Please provide a brief summary of your testimony.

A. My testimony covers the areas of revenues and uncollectibles. I reviewed the
Company's revenues and developed adjustments to annualize revenues for Aquila's MPS and
L&P divisions' operations separately. I developed adjustments to reflect additional growth of
MPS and L&P related to additional customers connecting to each of Aquila's electric
operating divisions. I also normalized the level of uncollectibles using actual results
experienced by MPS and L&P divisions.

15

Q. Please provide a general outline of your discussion of revenues.

A. A utility's test year revenues, like its expenses, must be annualized and normalized in order to develop a cost of service that is representative of the company's ongoing operations. As long as MPS and L&P have two separate sets of rate schedules with different rates, the revenues of each division must be reviewed separately and any proposed adjustments to annualize and normalize revenues must be calculated separately for each of these entities for purposes of setting rates.

Generally, my discussion of revenues will be developed in five parts. My analysis of
 revenues followed the same approach for both MPS and L&P. First, I will discuss the general

operations of MPS and L&P as they relate to the area of revenues. Second, I will describe the types of adjustments the Staff is proposing in this case. Third, I will discuss some of the specific adjustments and reference the Staff members who assisted in developing the revenue analysis and adjustments. Fourth, I will describe the approach I performed regarding the determination of customer levels for purposes of revenue annualizations. Lastly, I will describe the specific general service adjustments for MPS and L&P by customer class.

7

Please describe your testimony related to uncollectibles (bad debts).

8 A. I analyzed MPS's and L&P's bad debt expenses over the last five years to
9 determine a normalized level.

### 10 **REVENUES**

**Q**.

**Q**.

11

Why did the Staff annualize the revenues of MPS and L&P?

A. The Staff annualizes revenues to determine a company's cost of service. All relevant and material components to the revenue requirement must be examined for possible adjustment through the annualization and normalization processes. Just as expense and rate base items have to be included in the rate case at proper levels, an annual level of revenues must be calculated to maintain the all important revenue – expense—rate base relationship.

17

Q. What are annualizations?

A. Annualizations are made to reflect a full 12-month period of revenues and expenses in the development of the proper revenue requirement. Examples of this type of adjustment relate to additions and disconnections of service through the test year and update period. The annualization process is also commonly used to adjust expense levels such as payroll increases and lease payments. Anytime an event occurs during the test year or update period that causes revenue and expense levels to go up or down, an annualization is necessary.

1 The event could be a price change for salaries and wages, a change in fuel prices or a change 2 in depreciation levels for new plant additions. If new customers taking service from the 3 Company have only a partial year of usage reflected in the test year, revenues must be 4 annualized to reflect a full year's revenue for the customer. The Staff's revenue requirement 5 recommendation would be overstated if revenues don't reflect a full twelve month level of 6 current customer usage.

7

### Q. What are normalizations?

8 Α. Normalization adjustments are made to ensure that the revenue requirement 9 properly reflects "normal" levels of revenues and expenses. Adjustments are made to remove 10 abnormalities that do not reflect the Company's ongoing operations. Examples of 11 normalizations adjustments are those adjustments made for "normal" weather for those 12 classes of customers whose utility usage is sensitive to winter and summer temperatures. 13 Another example of normalization adjustments is when revenues or usage is high or low as a 14 result of unusual events, such as outages or down-turns in the economy. Maintenance 15 expense may be unusually high because a power plant may be out of service for an unusually 16 long period of time requiring a normalization of maintenance costs to reflect an appropriate 17 level of costs in the case.

18

Q. Please describe MPS and L&P operations.

A. For purposes of recording revenues and levels of customers (numbers),
customers are divided into three basic customer classes of residential, commercial, and
industrial. To further differentiate between customers, these three classes are separated based
on general consumption habits that are under separate tariffs; e.g., space heating, large and
small volume energy consumers and primary and secondary services.

Q. Please describe and discuss the types of adjustments the Staff developed to
 determine annualized revenues.

A. The Staff's annualized and normalized revenues reflect the following adjustments:

- 1) For normalized weather
- 2) For customer growth or loss
- 3) For customer load changes
- 4) For any price changes resulting from changes in rates

## WEATHER NORMALIZATION OF USAGE

10

Q.

9

3

4

5

6

7

8

÷

Why is it appropriate to adjust revenues for normalized weather?

11 A. Temperature levels experienced during any twelve-month period may have a 12 significant impact on the Company's revenues. If the overall temperature was very hot during 13 the summer season or cold during the winter season of the test year, the Company's revenue 14 would be overstated in relation to "normal" weather. Conversely, if the overall temperature 15 was cool during the summer season and mild during the winter season of the test year, the 16 Company's revenues would be understated in relation to "normal" weather. Therefore, the 17 Staff normalized revenues for weather to eliminate the effects of abnormal temperatures 18 during the test year.

19

20

21

Q. Did the Staff apply a weather normalization analysis to both MPS and L&P?

A. Yes.

Q. What methodology did the Staff use to normalize for weather?

A. Staff witness Shawn Lange of the Energy Department, discusses in his direct
testimony the Staff's proposed adjustment for weather normalization for both MPS and L&P.

1 2

3

4

5

÷

T

### CUSTOMER GROWTH/LOSS ANNUALIZATION

Q. Why is it appropriate to adjust revenues for customer growth or loss?

A. Customer growth or loss impacts the company's revenues. It is appropriate to adjust for customer growth or loss in order to reflect the most current ongoing level of revenues in the Staff's overall determination of MPS's and L&P's cost of service.

Q. Did the Staff adjust revenues of both MPS and L&P for customer growth or
7 loss?

A. Yes. The Staff analyzed customer growth or loss for each of the rate classes included in the weather normalization analysis of MPS for residential rate codes MO860 and MO870; for small general service rate codes MO710 & MO711 (combined) and MO740; and for large general service rate code MO720. For L&P, customer growth or loss was computed for residential rate codes MO910, MO913, MO915, MO920, MO922; for small general service rate codes MO930, MO931, MO932, MO933, MO934, MO941; and for large general service rate code MO940.

Q. Please explain your analysis related to customer growth/loss for the MPS and
L&P customer classes.

A. The customer growth adjustments are comprised of two components. First, the Staff determines the change in the number of customers that occurred between the 2004 calendar test year months and update period months for this case, June 30, 2005. The second component calculates the weather normalized change in kilowatt-hour (kWh) sales and related revenues and adjusts for the change in customer levels.

Q. Why were the two Small General Service rate codes (MO710 and MO711) for
MPS combined in your analysis?

A. These two rate codes represent small commercial customers taking service at secondary voltage. MO710 includes those customers who do not have demand metering equipment installed on its premise; while MO711 represents those who do. Despite this distinction, each MO711 customer is billed on both the MO710 and MO711 rates and is charged to the "lesser of" the two amounts. Thus, the relationship between the number of customers, sales and revenues for the two rate codes is valid on a combined basis but each rate code is misleading when examined independently.

8 In the past few years, Aquila has pursued a policy of installing demand meters on 9 many of the MO710 customers. As a consequence, the current data shows an overly high rate 10 of growth of MO711 customers and a decline in MO710 customers. The Staff's methodology 11 for calculating the increase (decrease) in sales and revenues based on the rate of growth in the 12 number of customers will overstate Small General Service revenues, if computed separately. 13 Because of the potential for overstatement of revenues in these two rate classes, the Staff has combined them for annualization purposes. The Company has also combined these two rate 14 15 classes when calculating annualized revenues.

16

Q. Please explain how the Staff annualized the level of customers.

A. In order to determine the annualized level of customers, the Staff issued Data
Request Nos. 27 (MPS) and 29 (L&P) to the Company, requesting for all rate classes
customer counts from the Company for each month from January 2004 through June 2005.

20

Q. How did the Staff calculate the growth or loss in kWh sales and revenues?

A. The Staff's revenue annualization consists of two components. First the test year kWh sales for each of the rate classes were adjusted each month for weather to arrive at a normalized kWh level. The normalized kWh sales were then divided by the actual 2004

customer count to calculate a normalized kWh usage per customer for each month of the test year ending December 31, 2004. The customer count at the end of the June 30, 2005 update period was then compared to the 2004 test year monthly customer counts. The difference in customer count was then multiplied by the normal use per customer to calculate the growth or loss in kWh sales for each month of the test year. The total test year kWh growth/loss for each rate class was then calculated.

7

Q. Please describe the second component of the revenue annualization.

Α. 8 The second component of the revenue annualization adjusts the total test year 9 revenues for each of the rate classes for weather to arrive at a normalized revenue level. The 10 total normalized revenue dollars were then divided by the actual customer count to calculate a 11 normalized revenue per customer bill amount for each month of the test year. The customer 12 count at the end of the June 30, 2005 update period was then compared to the test year 13 monthly customer counts. The difference in customer count was then multiplied by the 14 normal revenue dollars per customer bill to calculate the growth or loss in revenue dollars for 15 each month of the test year. The total test year revenue growth/loss for each rate class was 16 then calculated. The methodology for determining weather normalized revenue dollars is discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Lange. 17

18

Q. What is the purpose of Adjustment S-1.3 (MPS and L&P)?

A. This adjustment increases test period kWh sales and revenues to reflect the
customers added to or removed from the MPS and L&P residential and small commercial rate
schedules through June 30, 2005. Each new customer is assumed to increase test period sales
at the average normalized usage per customer value from the calendar 2004 test year period.

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

# LARGE CUSTOMER ANNUALIZATION

Q. Are the test year kWh sales for the large commercial and industrial classes typically adjusted to reflect normal weather?

- A. No. The loads for large commercial and/or industrial customers are not considered weather sensitive and, therefore, no attempt is made to adjust for weather impacts.
- 6

7

8

How does the Staff typically annualize large volume customer rate classes?

A. The Staff annualizes large volume customer rate classes based on a review of monthly consumption for each customer during the test year and update period.

9 Large customers require detailed study rather than generalized (average usage) 10 adjustment for several reasons. First, when MPS and/or L&P add a new large customer, that 11 customer's usage is not reasonably estimated by simple reference to average usage levels for 12 all other industrial customers. Second, MPS makes available to qualifying new customers 13 the rate benefits of its Economic Development Rider (EDR), which causes average-revenue-14 per-kWh statistics to be imprecise in predicting ongoing revenue levels for new large 15 customers. Finally, new large customers may initially have erratic load levels until stable 16 patterns of demand are established. Specific analysis of individual large customers is required to deal with these concerns. 17

18

Q. What process does the Staff use in its analysis of large volume customers?

A. The Staff's process is to analyze changes in specific individual customer usage. These changes occur because of new customers coming on to, and existing customers leaving, the electric system. In addition, the Company provides information to the Staff regarding expected changes in customer loads due to expansion projects, downsizing or any other change known to affect a specific customer's usage. The Staff also examines other

sources of customer growth for large customers, such as annual reports, Securities and
 Exchange Commission filings, business plans, budgets and news media (newspaper articles
 and other news outlets). The Staff annualizes these changes to reflect their impact for a full
 year.

Q. Please explain Adjustment S-1.4 related to large volume customers for MPS
and L&P.

A. Detailed monthly billing information was requested by the Staff from MPS and L&P (Data Request Nos. 28 and 363 for MPS and Nos. 30 and 364 for L&P) for all large volume customer rate classes since January 2004. MPS and L&P experienced changes in customer loads for several large customers as of June 30, 2005, which is the end of the update period in this case. In addition, consistency with the Staff's June 30, 2005 update for fuel costs, rate base and other test year annualizations requires that customer and sales levels be annualized at the same point in time, at the end of the update period.

14

#### **ELIMINATION OF UNBILLED REVENUES**

Q. What are unbilled revenues and why is it appropriate to remove the effects ofunbilled revenues in a rate case?

A. Unbilled revenues represent accounting estimates booked by the Company at the end of each month to account for the kWh sales to customers through the last day of the month, even though meters are not read on the last day to render actual billings for such sales. The cycle billing process employed by MPS and L&P contributes to a continuous change in the amount of sales that the two divisions have recognized at any given month-end that have not been billed to customers. In the test year, the net change in unbilled kWh sales and related revenue from month-end December 2003 to month-end December 2004 is recorded as

calendar 2004 revenue on the books. Elimination of these accrued estimated revenues allows
 the Staff to analyze test year revenues based upon actual billed data.

Q. Was unbilled revenue eliminated in MPS's and L&P's last rate case, No. ER-2004-0034?

A. Yes. The adjustments I propose in this case are comparable to what the Staff
proposed in the last MPS and L&P rate case.

Q. What is the source for the eliminated unbilled revenue amount in the
8 Adjustment S-1.1 (MPS and L&P) that you sponsor?

9 A. The source for the eliminated unbilled revenues for MPS and L&P is the actual
10 per books amount of calendar year 2004 unbilled revenues, as reflected in the Company's
11 general ledger. The Staff's and Company's adjustment for unbilled revenues in this case, are
12 the same.

#### 13

3

4

### ELIMINATION OF INTERIM ENERGY CHARGE REVENUES

Q. What is the Interim Energy Charge (IEC) and why is it appropriate to remove
the effects of IEC revenues in a rate case?

A. The IEC represents a mechanism intended to address fuel costs volatility in the
last case. Therefore, the IEC revenues reflected in the 2004 test year should be eliminated
because they are not an ongoing revenue source for the MPS and L&P electric operations.

What is the source for the eliminated IEC revenue amounts in Adjustment

19

Q.

20 S-1.6 (MPS) and Adjustment S-1.8 (L&P) that you sponsor?

A. The source for the eliminated IEC revenues for MPS and L&P is the actual per
books amount of calendar year 2004 IEC revenues, as reflected in the Company's general
ledger. The Staff's and Company's adjustment for IEC revenues in this case, are the same.

1 2

3

## **ELIMINATION OF STEAM REVENUES**

Q. Why is it appropriate to remove steam revenues from L&P's books in this rate case?

A. L&P is not seeking a change in its steam heating rates in this case. The
amount for steam revenues is included within total electric revenues on L&P's books for
reporting purposes only. Therefore, the steam revenues reflected in the 2004 test year should
be eliminated because they are not a revenue source for the L&P electric operations.

8 Q. What is the source for the eliminated steam revenue amounts in Adjustment
9 S-8.1 (L&P) which you sponsor?

A. The source for the eliminated steam revenues for L&P is the actual per books
amount of calendar year 2004 steam revenues, as reflected in the Company's general ledger.

12

## **OTHER REVENUE ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS**

Q. Has the Staff reviewed the amounts booked in the Other Revenues accounts
associated with MPS and L&P?

A. Yes, the Staff has completed a review of the amounts booked in the Other
Revenues accounts of both MPS and L&P. These revenues include forfeited discounts, rents
from property and other revenues. The analysis of the Other Revenues included a review of
revenues over the last nine years and through the end of the update period. The test year
Other Revenues amounts are representative of an annualized level of revenue for each
respective category and, therefore, don't require adjustment.

## 21 UNCOLLECTIBLES (BAD DEBT) EXPENSE

22

Q. What is the purpose of Adjustments S-70.1 and S-68.1?

Q.

A. Adjustment S-70.1 and Adjustment S-68.1 normalize bad debt expense for the
 MPS and L&P divisions, respectively.

3

How was a normal level of bad debt expense calculated for MPS?

4 A. As stated in the revenue section of my testimony, I have determined annualized 5 revenues for MPS for the update period ending June 30, 2005. I analyzed the ratio of net bad 6 debt write-offs to booked revenue from 1993-2005. I arrived at a normal level of bad debt 7 expense by multiplying annualized revenue by a three-year average write-off ratio for the 8 years July 2002 through June 2005. The three-year average was developed using the 9 weighting of the actual bad debts write-offs to revenues over the same three-year period. The 10 use of the actual write-offs of a given month to the corresponding month of revenues, in 11 effect, "weights" each month's write-offs with the revenues.

Q. Why did Staff use a three-year weighted average net write-off ratio in its
calculation of bad debt expense?

A. The Staff used the three-year weighted average for bad debts to update this
item for the most current information available. Taking an updated three-year weighted
average has normalized the fluctuation in the level of bad debt write-offs over the last three
years.

18

Q. How was a normal level of bad debt expense calculated for L&P?

A. The analysis used for L&P is consistent with the analysis used for MPS. A
three-year weighted average was used to normalize the fluctuation in the level of bad debt
write-offs.

Does this conclude your direct testimony?

22

23

Q.

A. Yes, it does.

# SUMMARY OF RATE CASE TESTIMONY FILED

# Amanda C. McMellen

ł

:

I.

.

COMPANY	CASE NO.	ISSUES
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556	Plant in Service Depreciation Reserve Depreciation Expense Operation & Maintenance Expense
	WR-2000-557	Plant in Service Depreciation Reserve Depreciation Expense Operation & Maintenance Expense
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2001-299	Plant in Service Depreciation Reserve Depreciation Expense Cash Working Capital Other Working Capital Rate Case Expense PSC Assessment Advertising Dues, Donations & Contributions
UtiliCorp United, Inc./ d/b/a Missouri Public Service	ER-2001-672	Insurance Injuries and Damages Property Taxes Lobbying Outside Services Maintenance SJLP Related Expenses
BPS Telephone Company	TC-2002-1076	Accounting Schedules Separation Factors Plant in Service Depreciation Reserve Revenues Payroll Payroll Related Benefits Other Expenses

# SUMMARY OF RATE CASE TESTIMONY FILED

# Amanda C. McMellen

COMPANY Aquila, Inc. d/b/a	<u>CASE NO.</u>	<u>ISSUES</u>
Aquila Networks-MPS & Aquila Networks-L&P	ER-2004-0034	Revenue Annualizations Uncollectibles
Fidelity Telephone Company	IR-2004-0272	Revenue Revenue Related Expenses

. 1

> ı l

4