FILED³ SEP 2 9 2006

٦

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.:

Issue: Accounting Schedules, True-up period, Rate Design and Fuel Adjustment Witness: W. Scott Keith Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District Case No. Date Testimony Prepared: February 2006

Before the Public Service Commission

of the State of Missouri

Direct Testimony

of

W. Scott Keith

February 2006

Exhibit No._ Case No(s). ER-2006-0315 Date 9-05-06 Rptr DF

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF W. SCOTT KEITH THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SUBJECT	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
POSITION	1
PURPOSE	3
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES	4
LOSS STUDY	21
PROPOSED TARIFFS	22
ENERGY COST RECOVERY (ECR)	23

i

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF W. SCOTT KEITH THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO.

1 INTRODUCTION

š

- 2 Q. STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS PLEASE.
- 3 A. My name is W. Scott Keith and my business address is 602 Joplin Street, Joplin,
- 4 Missouri.

POSITION

5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

- 6 A. I am presently employed by The Empire District Electric Co. ("Empire" or "the
- 7 Company") as the Director of Planning and Regulatory. I have held this position
- 8 since August 1, 2005. Prior to joining Empire I was Director of Electric Regulatory
- 9 Matters in Kansas and Colorado for Aquila, Inc. from 1995 to July 2005.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND FOR THE
 COMMISSION.
- A. In August 1973, I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree with a
 major in Accounting at Washburn University, Topeka, Kansas. I have been
 involved in the public utility industry for over thirty years.

Q. WHAT EXPERIENCE HAVE YOU HAD IN THE FIELD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES?

17 A. In 1973, I accepted a position in the firm of Troupe Kehoe Whiteaker & Kent as a

l

staff accountant. I assisted in or was responsible for fieldwork and preparation of
 exhibits for rate filings presented to various regulatory commissions and audits
 leading to opinions on financial statements of various types of companies including
 utility companies.

In September 1976, I accepted a position with the staff of the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC"). My responsibilities at the KCC included the investigation of utility rate applications and the preparation of exhibits and presentation of testimony in connection with applications that were under the jurisdiction of the KCC. The scope of the investigations I performed on behalf of the KCC included the areas of accounting, cost of service and rate design.

In March of 1978, I joined the firm of Drees Dunn & Company and continued to perform services for various utility clients with that firm until it dissolved in March of 1991.

From March of 1991 until June of 1994, I was self-employed as a utility consultant and continued to provide clients with analyses of revenue requirements, cost of service studies and rate design. In connection with those engagements I also provided expert testimony and exhibits to be presented before regulatory commissions.

19 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PARTICIPATED IN ANY REGULATORY 20 PROCEEDINGS?

A. Yes, I have. I have testified before regulatory commissions in the States of Kansas,
Colorado, Indiana, Missouri and West Virginia. I have also testified before the
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC").

-2-

PURPOSE

1

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

My testimony will support various schedules containing financial and other 2 Α. information included in this filing, which support the Company's proposed rate 3 increase. In addition, I will describe the Company's Energy Cost Recovery rider 4 ("ECR") proposal, list the other witnesses presenting direct testimony on behalf of 5 Empire in this proceeding, explain how the Company intends to work with the Staff 6 to move forward with the implementation of a new facilities charge in its larger 7 commercial and industrial rate classes to recover the fixed costs associated with the 8 demand related components of its distribution system, and explain the proposed 9 elimination of the Experimental Green Power Rate Schedule. I will also discuss 10 the Company's proposed "True-up" period. 11

12 Q. PLEASE LIST THE OTHER WITNESSES THAT WILL BE PRESENTING

13 DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY IN THIS RATE

- 14 **CASE**,
- A. In total, eight (8) witnesses will present direct testimony on behalf of the Company
 in this rate case. In addition to me, the following witnesses will present direct
 testimony on the topics as shown in the table below:

WITNESS	TOPIC
William G. Gipson-Chief Executive Officer	General Overview
Michael E. Palmer-Vice President Commercial Operations ¹	Tree Trimming, Storms & Street
	Lighting
Todd Tarter-Manager of Strategic Planning	Fuel and ECR
James H. Vander Weide-Financial Strategy Associates	Cost of Common Equity
Sherry McCormack-Planning Analyst ¹	Demand Side Management

Jayna Long-Regulatory Analyst ¹	Missouri Retail Revenue
Laurie Delano-Controller ¹	FAS 87,FAS 106 & ADIT

¹Employee of The Empire District Electric Company

1 Q. WHAT TEST YEAR DID THE COMPANY USE IN DETERMINING RATE

2 BASE, OPERATING INCOME AND RATE OF RETURN?

- 3 A. The schedules included in this filing are based on the twelve months ending
- 4 September 30, 2005 adjusted for known and measurable changes.

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

5 Q. WHAT SCHEDULES ARE YOU SPONSORING?

- 6 A. I am sponsoring the following portions of the filing:
- Section C, Schedule 1, Comparative and Summary Information
- Section D, Schedule 1, Rate Base and Rate of Return
- 9 Section E, Schedule 1, Electric Plant in Service by Primary Plant Account
- Section F, Schedule 1, Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Electric Plant in
 Service
- Section G, Schedule 1, Page 1, Working Capital
- Section G, Schedule 1, Page 2, Materials and Supplies without Adjustments
- Section G, Schedule 1, Page 3, Prepayments with Adjustments
- Section G, Schedule 1, Page 4, Prepaid Interest
- Section G, Schedule 2, Cash Working Capital
- Section G, Schedule 3, Page 1, Income Tax Gross-up Factor
- Section G, Schedule 3, Page 2, Income Tax Lag

Section G, Schedule 3, Page 3, Interest Expense Lag Calculation 1 Section G, Schedule 3, Page 4, Calculation of Interest Offset and Income Tax . 2 Offset 3 4 Section H, Schedule 1, Capital Structure at September 30, 2005 • Section H, Schedule 2, Preferred Capital Stock 5 • Section H, Schedule 3, Long Term Debt 6 Section H, Schedule 8, Capital Costs 7 Section J, Schedule 1, Test-Year Utility Operating Income Statements and 8 Adjustments 9 Section J, Schedule 2, Explanation of Adjustments to Test-Year Revenues and 10 Expenses 11 Section K, Schedule 1, Depreciation Rates and Accruals 12 • Section K, Schedule 2, Page 1, Normalized Depreciation Expense 13 ٠ Section K, Schedule 2, Page 4, Summary of Depreciation and Amortization 14 • Section L, Schedule 1, Taxes Charged to Electric Operations 15 . Section L, Schedule 2, Page 1, Calculation of Provision for Income Taxes Payable 16 for Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2005 17 Section L, Schedule 2, Page 2, Calculation of Deferred Income Taxes for Twelve 18 19 Months Ended September 30, 2005 Section M, Schedule 1, Jurisdictional Allocation of Property and Expenses 20 • Section M, Schedule 2, Page 1, Jurisdictional Allocation of Rate Base 21 ۰ Section M, Schedule 2, Page 4, Jurisdictional Allocation of Revenue and Expenses 22

Q. WERE THESE SCHEDULES PREPARED UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION AND DIRECTION?

3 A. Yes, they were.

4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION C, SCHEDULE 1.

Section C, Schedule 1 is a summary of certain key data for the test year and Α. 5 comparison of this data with similar data from Empire's previous electric rate case, 6 Case No. ER-2004-570. As indicated, Empire is requesting an increase of \$29.5 7 million in Missouri jurisdictional revenue, or 9.63 percent above current rate 8 revenues, in this rate case. This increase will result in an overall rate of return of 9 9.55 percent and a return on equity of 11.7 percent. By far the biggest factor 10 driving the rate case is the increase in the price of natural gas used in electricity 11 generation and the cost of wholesale energy purchased on the open market for use 12 by our customers. The increases in fuel and energy costs account for approximately 13 64 percent, or \$19.0 million, of the overall increase of \$29.5 million being 14 requested in this rate filing. The current Interim Energy Charge (IEC) mechanism 15 used in Missouri has not enabled Empire to recover the actual cost of energy used 16 to supply its retail electric customers during a period of fuel and energy cost 17 volatility. As a direct result of that, Empire does not have a reasonable opportunity 18 to earn its authorized return on equity. This is evident if the history of the Empire 19 IEC mechanism is reviewed. If there had been a mechanism in place for Empire to 20 recover fuel and energy cost changes on a timely basis in Missouri, similar to those 21 it has in Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas and the FERC, it is unlikely that this general 22

1 Missouri rate filing would have been necessary.

2 Q. HOW HAS THE IEC FOR EMPIRE RECOVERED ENERGY COSTS 3 HISTORICALLY?

A. Neither of the IECs that have been used by Empire historically has resulted in the
recovery of actual energy costs. Specifically, the first IEC authorized in Case No.
ER-2001-299 resulted in a 100 percent refund of the actual IEC revenue collected,
and the current IEC has resulted in a significant under recovery of actual energy
costs, driving the need to file this rate case.

9 Q. WHAT WAS EMPIRE'S EXPERIENCE WITH THE FIRST IEC 10 AUTHORIZED IN ER-2001-299?

- 11 A. It was only in place for 14 months. The IEC established in ER-2001-299 included a 12 base cost of energy of \$25.20 per megawatt-hour ("Mwh") sold. It also established 13 a ceiling cost of energy of \$30.60 per Mwh sold. This IEC was implemented on 14 October 2, 2001 and discontinued on December 1, 2002. The first IEC was 15 somewhat different than the current IEC in that it included the capacity charges 16 associated with power purchased from third parties.
- 17 Q. WHY WAS THE IEC DISCONTINUED?

A. Subsequent to the implementation of the IEC, energy prices declined and Empire refunded 100 percent of the IEC revenue it had recovered from the customers during its term with interest. The discontinuance of the IEC meant that Empire recovered the floor cost in its base electric rates, and to the extent it was able to produce or acquire electricity at a cost below the floor of \$25.20 per Mwh, it retained the added profit, essentially the same process that had been in place prior

-7-

to the advent of the IEC.

1

2 Q. HOW MUCH OF AN ENERGY COST UNDERRECOVERY HAS 3 OCCURRED UNDER THE CURRENT IEC?

- Α. The current IEC charge of \$0.002131 per kilowatt-hour ("kWh'), which was 4 authorized in Case No. ER-2004-507, has resulted in an under recovery of Missouri 5 6 retail energy costs of approximately \$13.5 million for the period beginning March 27, 2005, through December 31, 2005. During the suspension period of this rate 7 case, the shortfall in annual energy cost recovery is projected grow and could reach 8 \$19.0 million on an adjusted test year basis (See the direct testimony of Todd W. 9 Tarter). This level of annual energy cost under-recovery is very significant and if 10 the adjusted test year levels are actually incurred, would represent approximately 58 11 percent of the \$32.9 million annual return on equity authorized by the Commission 12 in ER-2004-570. We cannot continue to have actual fuel and purchased power 13 expenses used to supply our customers significantly exceed the assumed level of 14 the expenses that are built into the rates we are allowed to charge if we are to be 15 given a reasonable opportunity to earn our authorized return on equity. Obviously, 16 this level of under-recovery of energy costs cannot be sustained by the Company for 17 an extended period of time. 18
- 19 **Q. PL**

20

PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION D, SCHEDULE 1, RATE BASE AND RATE OF RETURN.

A. Section D, Schedule 1 details the Company's electric rate base and rate of return before and after the proposed rate increase. For the test year ending September 30, 2005, end of period balances are used for electric plant in service and reserve for

Materials and supplies and prepayments are the average of the ١ depreciation. thirteen consecutive month-end balances ending September 30, 2005. Regulatory 2 assets adjusted for known and measurable changes were included. In addition, the 3 cash working capital requirement that is based on adjusted income has been added 4 to rate base. Offsets to the rate base are also displayed on Section D, Schedule 1. 5 These include: injuries and damages, deferred income taxes, Investment Tax Credit 6 balances pre-1971, customer deposits, customer advances, interest synchronization 7 offset and an income tax offset. 8

9 The total original cost electric rate base is \$625,454,772 (Line 15) which is 10 multiplied by the required rate of return of 9.55% (Line 22) to arrive at an after tax 11 operating income requirement of \$59,730,931 (Line 21). This operating income 12 requirement is subtracted from the Company's adjusted operating income of 13 \$41,547,126 (Line 16) and results in the after tax operating income deficiency of 14 \$18,183,804 (Line 17) or the pre-tax revenue deficiency of \$29,513,713 (Line 20) 15 which was requested in the filing with the Commission.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION E, SCHEDULE 1, ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE BY PRIMARY PLANT ACCOUNT.

A. Section E, Schedule 1, Pages 1 and 2 display by classified functional electric plant
in service groups, the original cost of electric plant used and useful at September
30, 2004 and 2005. Total electric plant in service at September 30, 2005, is
\$1,250,722,687 (Column E) and \$1,065,089,423 for the portion representing
Empire's Missouri retail jurisdiction (Column F).

-9-

1

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION F, SCHEDULE 1.

A. Section F, Schedule 1 is a statement of accumulated provision for depreciation of electric plant in service showing balances by functional plant groups at September 30, 2004 and 2005. The total accumulated provision for depreciation of electric plant in service at the end of the test year is \$439,468,210 (Column E) and \$375,326,329 for our Missouri jurisdiction (Column F).

7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SECTION G, SCHEDULE 1 THROUGH SCHEDULE 3.

8 Α. Section G, Schedule 1 computes test year amounts of materials and supplies using a 13-month average. Prepayments are also calculated based on a 13-month average. 9 Section G, Schedule 2 computes projected cash working capital for the twelve 10 months ended September 30, 2005. The expense and revenue lag for each 11 component is the same as used by the Staff in Case No. ER-2004-570. The 12 computation, using normalized test year expenses and revenues, results in a cash 13 working capital requirement of \$2,539,620. Section G, Schedule 3 and Schedule 4, 14 calculate the Company's income tax gross-up factor as well as cash working capital 15 associated with income taxes and interest expense. In addition, the calculations are 16 shown for interest and income tax offsets to rate base. 17

18

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION H, SCHEDULE 1.

A. Section H, Schedule 1 displays a summary of the capital structure of the Company as of September 30, 2005 and an adjusted capital structure using 51.45 percent equity, 42.45 percent long-term debt and 6.11 percent Trust Preferred Securities. The return on common equity has been set at 11.7 percent which is proposed by

-10-

Empire witness Dr. James H. Vander Weide. Based on an 11.7 percent return on equity and the Company's current capital structure, the Company's overall requested return on rate base is 9.55 percent.

4

O.

PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION H, SCHEDULE 2.

A. Section H, Schedule 2 lists the Company's trust preferred stock, which was issued
March 1, 2001.

7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN SECTION H, SCHEDULE 3.

A. Section H, Schedule 3 lists each series of the Company's first mortgage bonds
outstanding along with any associated unamortized expense, discount and premium
at September 30, 2005, in columns A and B. Columns C and D reflect the first
mortgage bonds that would be necessary to meet the adjusted capital structure as
reflected in Section H, Schedule 1.

13

Q. WHAT IS CONTAINED IN SECTION H, SCHEDULE 4?

A. Section H, Schedule 4, details Empire's capital structure for first mortgage bonds
 and trust preferred securities. It shows an embedded rate of 7.04 percent for first
 mortgage bonds. The rate for the trust preferred series is 8.91 percent.

17 Q. PLEASE DECRIBE SECTION J, SCHEDULE 1.

A. Section J, Schedule 1 is a test year income statement with adjustments to normalize test year electric operations. Column A reflects total Company results for the twelve months ending September 30, 2005. Column B summarizes adjustments to total Company electric operations. Column C is the total Company income statement as adjusted for purposes of this rate case. Column D reflects the as

-11-

recorded Missouri jurisdictional results for twelve months ending September 30, 2005. Column E displays the Missouri jurisdictional electric operating statement adjustments and Column F summarizes the as adjusted Missouri jurisdictional income statement. As indicated, after the posting of the various adjustments to the Missouri jurisdictional operations, the current rates are expected to produce \$41,547,126 in Net Operating Income ("NOI") or an overall return on rate base of 6.64 percent.

8

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS SECTION J, SCHEDULE 2.

Section J, Schedule 2 summarizes the following adjustments to the electric 9 Α. operations test year amounts as shown on Section J, Schedule 1: Total Company 10 and Missouri revenues are adjusted to reflect customer numbers at September 30, 11 2005, normal weather for the test year, to exclude water related revenues, to 12 eliminate a discount given to a large industrial customer, to eliminate the impact of 13 prior period billing corrections and to reflect a full year of the rate increase granted 14 by the Commission in ER-2004-570. In addition, off-system sales revenue has 15 been adjusted to reflect a five-year average. The year-end customer adjustment 16 annualizes the revenues to reflect what would have been received if the year-end 17 level of customers had been served by the Company for the entire test year. Ms. 18 Javna Long of the Company will describe the retail revenue adjustments in greater 19 detail in her direct testimony including the process used to adjust the Missouri 20 jurisdictional revenue for customer growth, the recently authorized Missouri 21 22 electric rates and weather normalization.

-12-

1

ł

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENTS TO EXPENSES.

Α. Total Company production costs have been increased by \$24,953,037 which factors 2 down to \$20,516,120 for the Missouri retail jurisdiction. Included in this total are 3 two adjustments related to amortization, the Asbury Relocation Cost for \$135,420 4 5 and Operating Plant Service Agreement ("OPSA") amortization of \$151,484. Also included is an adjustment to normalize test year payroll costs. This adjustment 6 increases the pro forma production expense for the Company by a total of \$260.344 7 with \$214,052 being for the Missouri jurisdictional portion of production expenses. 8 The adjusted payroll expense included in the filing reflects the wages at October 16, 9 2005, adjusted for known changes, positions that are currently authorized but 10 11 unfilled, the union increase that occurred in November 2005, and non-union payroll 12 increases scheduled to go into effect in the spring of 2006. Fuel and purchased power costs have been normalized to reflect customer growth, weather and future ì3 14 fuel and energy prices. Mr. Tarter will discuss this adjustment in greater detail in his direct testimony. The fuel and purchased power energy adjustment resulted in 15 an increase in total production expense of \$24,405,789 with \$20,066,179 of that 16 attributable to the Company's Missouri jurisdictional operations. As I noted at the 17 outset, the increase in fuel and energy prices is the primary factor driving the need 18 for Empire to file this general rate case. 19

20Q.PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO THE21TRANSMISSION EXPENSE LEVELS.

22 A. The Missouri jurisdictional transmission expenses were increased by \$27,465 to

- reflect the annualized payroll costs. Missouri jurisdictional transmission expenses
 were also reduced \$195,175 to remove a Flint Creek billing. This represents a
 billing for work performed in a prior period.
- 4

5

ł

ł

Q.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO THE DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES.

A. Missouri jurisdictional distribution expenses were increased by \$167,484 to reflect
the annualized payroll costs.

8 Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH AN EXPLANATION OF THE
9 ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE.

10 A. Missouri jurisdictional customer accounts expense was adjusted to reflect an 11 increase in payroll expense of \$73,424. In addition, Missouri jurisdictional 12 customer accounts expense was increased to reflect the recent increase in postage 13 costs of \$35,223 and an increase in the billing costs associated with customer 14 growth of \$5,987.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT MADE TO CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE AND SALES EXPENSES.

- A Each of the expense levels in these areas was increased to reflect the ongoing level
 of payroll costs. Specifically, Missouri jurisdictional customer assistance was
 increased by \$18,312 and Missouri jurisdictional sales expense was increased by
 \$5,768.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO ADMINISTRATIVE
 AND GENERAL EXPENSES.

-14-

Missouri jurisdictional administrative and general expenses were increased by a 1 Α. total of \$1,826,994 through a series of ten (10) adjustments. Of the total, \$7,956 2 3 was associated with increased 401(k) costs. The amortization of the FAS 87 tracking asset resulted in an increase in costs of \$194,077. The method used to 4 calculate the adjustment for FAS 87 and the Company's recommendation 5 6 concerning future FAS 106 costs are discussed in the direct testimony of Company witness Ms. Laurie Delano. Common stock expenses were amortized over three 7 8 years resulting in an increase in expenses of \$1,161,284. Missouri jurisdictional 9 administrative and general expenses have been increased by \$148,236 to reflect 10 adjusted payroll expense. Missouri jurisdictional property insurance expenses were reduced by \$109,399 to reflect ongoing property insurance costs. 11 Missouri jurisdictional administrative and general expenses were reduced by \$5,520 to reflect 12 13 the current level of the Commission's annual assessment. Missouri jurisdictional 14 administrative and general expenses have been reduced \$56,745 to reflect a 15 reduction in the banking fees associated with the Company's new Line-of-Credit ("LOC"). Missouri jurisdictional outside services expenses were increased \$50,000 16 to reflect the consulting expenses expected to be incurred in connection with the 17 Company's upcoming Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP"). In addition, 18 administrative and general expenses have been increased \$5,300 to reflect the 19 amortization of a regulatory asset that is associated with the Company's authorized 20 Demand-Side Management programs. Ms. Sherry McCormack of the Company 21 will address the DSM adjustment in more detail in her direct testimony. Rate case 22

-15-

expenses were also increased by \$431,805 to reflect the costs associated with the current rate case and a proposal to shorten the amortization period from three years to two years. In addition, the rate case expenses and associated amortization expense associated with the prior rate case, ER-2004-570 have been adjusted to reflect a two-year amortization period instead of the three-year amortization period included in the last rate case.

7 Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY TO ADJUST THE AMORIZATION PERIOD 8 FOR THE RATE CASE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRIOR 9 RATE CASE?

A. The adjustment to the amortization period is needed to more accurately reflect rate 10 11 case expenses on a going forward basis. Without this adjustment the amortized rate case expenses would reflect a three-year amortization period for the prior rate case 12 13 and two-year amortization period for the current rate case. By making the 14 remaining amortization period consistent for each rate case the Company can 15 simplify its amortization accounting related to rate case expense and better match 16 rate case expense recovery with the rates coming out of this rate case. The two-year amortization proposal is conservative given the projected completion date 17 associated with the Company's new generation unit at Riverton. The new unit is 18 19 expected to be in service by the late spring of 2007 and in all likelihood this 20 investment will necessitate a new general rate filing to reflect the substantial new 21 investment in the Company's cost of service. This would mean that the rates coming out of this filing, assuming an effective date of December 2006, could be in 22

1 place less than one year.

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO DEPRECIATION 3 EXPENSE.

A. The Depreciation expense adjustment resulted in an increase of \$3,466,844 and
\$3,065,531 for the total Company and the Missouri jurisdiction, respectively. The
increase incorporates the results of the depreciation rates authorized by the
Commission in ER-2004-570 and the plant in service balances at September 30,
2005.

9 Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR DESCRIPTION OF SECTION J, 10 SCHEDULE 2.

- 11 A. Taxes other than income taxes have been increased by \$246,547 for the total 12 Company or \$209,674 for the Missouri jurisdiction to reflect the impact of plant in 13 service at September 30, 2005 on ad valorem taxes, and to include the impact of the 14 projected change in payroll taxes due to the annualized payroll expense.
- The next five adjustments to the statement of operations are related to income taxes as a result of the adjustments that were made above and also to adjust book income taxes to income taxes calculated on a Missouri regulatory basis.
- 18 The last adjustment involves interest on customer deposits and is made to move the 19 interest associated with these deposits above the line, which is consistent with past 20 Missouri Staff adjustments.
- Q. IN SOME INSTANCES, THE ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE MISSOURI
 JURISDICTION AND TOTAL COMPANY ARE THE SAME; WOULD
 YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN?

-17-

1 A. Several of the adjustments are calculated for the Missouri jurisdiction only for 2 purposes of this case, which is why some of the adjustments are the same. For 3 example, rate case expense was calculated for the Missouri jurisdiction only.

4 Q. WILL YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION K, SCHEDULE 1?

A. Section K includes the plant investment information and related depreciation rates
used to calculate the adjustment associated with depreciation expense. Section K,
Schedule 1, Column A lists, by plant account number, the authorized depreciation
rates. Columns B and C show the total Company and Missouri jurisdictional test
year depreciation accruals.

10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION K, SCHEDULE 2.

A. Section K, Schedule 2 displays Empire's normalized depreciation on electric plant
 in service at September 30, 2005. Page 4 of Section K, Schedule 2 is a summary of
 the depreciation accruals and expense adjustments. It shows the proposed Missouri
 jurisdictional depreciation expense adjustment of \$3,065,531.

15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION L OF THE SUPPORTING SCHEDULES.

A. Section L of the Supporting Schedules reflects the information necessary to properly reflect the ongoing level of income taxes for purposes of this rate case. It is comprised of two schedules which contain the information necessary to develop the ongoing income tax levels. Schedule 1 is a statement of taxes charged to electric operations including the effects of the pro forma adjustments on the test year operations. Schedule 2 starts with net income. Income taxes have been added to arrive at net operating income before income taxes. From this point, the income

1		before income taxes is adjusted to take into account various additions and	
2		deductions from income to arrive at taxable income. In addition, Schedule 2	
3		displays the calculation of federal and Missouri income taxes payable for the twelve	
4		months ending September 30, 2005, the test year Empire has utilized in this filing.	
5		Lines 24 and 28 (Column D) include the current portion of total federal and	
6		Missouri state income taxes charged to electric operations for determining the rate	
7		of return.	
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION FACTORS	
9		DISPLAYED IN SECTION M OF THE SUPPORTING SCHEUDLES.	
10	A.	Section M, Schedule 1 contains a summary description of the Empire jurisdictional	
11		allocation process and some of the basic reasons a particular allocation factor is	
12		utilized. It explains what allocations are necessary and defines the allocation	
13		factors used for allocating rate base, revenue and expense. In general, the types of	
14		jurisdictional allocation factors used in this rate case are identical to those used in	
15		ER-2004-570, the most recent rate case. Of course, the values used to derive the	
16		jurisdictional allocation factors have been updated to reflect the current test year	
17		values.	
18	Q.	WHAT METHOD WAS USED TO DERIVE EMPIRE'S JURISDICTIONAL	
19		DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTORS?	
20	A.	The average of twelve monthly coincident peak demands by jurisdiction was used	
21		to jurisdictionally allocate production and transmission costs.	
22	Q.	WHY HAS THE COMPANY ELECTED TO USE THIS METHOD FOR	

*

1

1

ļ

1

-19-

1

i

L

JURISDICTIONAL DEMAND ALLOCATIONS?

A. During prior rate proceedings as well as our last electric rate proceeding, the Commission accepted the use of the average monthly coincident peaks for jurisdictional allocations. Additionally, this method was used by our other four jurisdictions for jurisdictional allocations. The Company needs to keep the jurisdictional allocations consistent between our service territories to ensure full allocation of production and transmission costs.

8 Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE AVERAGE OF 9 TWELVE MONTHLY COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND ALLOCATION 10 METHOD.

- A. The monthly coincident peak (CP) demands for the test year are determined for the following jurisdictions: (a) Missouri wholesale; (b) Kansas wholesale; (c) Missouri retail; (d) Kansas retail; (e) Oklahoma retail; and (f) Arkansas retail. An average of the monthly CP demands is calculated for each of the above jurisdictions. These average monthly CP demands are then used to allocate production and transmission costs to each of the Company's jurisdictions, see Section M Schedule 2 attached to this testimony.
- 18 Q. HOW WERE THE MONTHLY COINCIDENT DEMANDS BY
 19 JURISDICTION OBTAINED?

A. In 1980, the Company installed metering at points where transmission and distribution lines crossed state boundaries. The demand readings at the time of monthly system peak for each of the metering points are combined with generation

-20-

and tie line data to calculate the jurisdictional demands.

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SECTION M, SCHEDULE 2, CONSISTING OF 3 EIGHT PAGES.

A. Empire operates as an integrated company in contiguous areas of Kansas, Missouri,
Oklahoma and Arkansas. With very few exceptions, the Company's operations and
costs are uniform throughout its service area and allocations of property and
expenses are made only for the purpose of presenting the results of operations by
individual state. These allocations are consistent with prior rate cases filed by the
Company.

Section M, Schedule 2 shows the many components of rate base, revenue and expense as they are allocated to the various ratemaking jurisdictions under which we operate. The dollar amounts and percentages applicable to each jurisdiction are shown for each item, as well as a reference to the item number in this schedule that serves as the basis for allocation of the total Company dollar amount. Such allocations are necessary for a determination of net electric operating income by state in order to derive a rate of return on rate base for each state.

17 LOSS STUDY

1

Q. HAS THE COMPANY CONDUCTED A STUDY TO DETERMINE LOSS PERCENTAGES AT THE VARIOUS VOLTAGE LEVELS?

A. Yes, the Company conducted a loss study on April 12, 2004. This loss study derived losses for the following service levels: (a) transmission/substation load and no-load; (b) distribution primary load and no-load; and (c) distribution secondary

load and no-load.

2 PROPOSED TARIFFS

1

3 Q. IS THE COMPANY RECOMMENDING OTHER CHANGES TO THE 4 TARIFF SHEETS?

5 A. Yes. In addition to the implementation of an ECR, the Company is recommending 6 that the Green tariff be eliminated. Finally, the Company is recommending that the 7 existing Street Lighting tariffs be reviewed to reflect the impact of competition 8 from non-regulated rural electric cooperatives ("REC's"). Mike Palmer of Empire 9 will address this situation in his direct testimony.

10 Q. HOW IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO SPREAD THE REQUESTED 11 INCREASE AMONG ITS CURRENT RATES?

A. Due to the very short life of the rates coming out of the last rate case, ER-2004-507, Empire has proposed to spread the rate increase to all of the charges in its tariffs in the form of an across the board increase, with an equal percentage increase to each rate class.

Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES IN ITS CAPACITY CHARGES IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Not in its initial filing. Empire has retained an outside consultant, Mr. Ed Overcast of R.J. Rudden & Associates, to assist in the analysis of its embedded distribution costs and the propriety of instituting a separate demand/facilities charge to recover a portion of these distribution costs. This process was agreed to by Empire in its last general rate proceeding, but the timing of the next rate case was expected then to 1 occur near the end of 2006, not the beginning. The timing of this general rate filing 2 has not allowed Empire to complete its distribution facilities charge analysis and 3 include the results in its initial rate filing. We expect our analysis to be completed 4 by the second quarter of 2006 and will make the results available to the various 5 parties that become involved in this case and express an interest in reviewing the 6 results. The timing of the completion of our analysis should enable it to be used in 7 the design of the final rates that come out of this proceeding.

8

ł

ENERGY COST RECOVERY (ECR)

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ECR TARIFF THE COMPANY IS PROPOSING 10 IN THIS CASE.

11 A. The Company's proposed ECR tariff has been included in the proposed tariffs as 12 Sheet _____Of Sheet _____. As indicated, the tariff sheet describes just how the proposed 13 ECR mechanism will work. I have attached a copy of the proposed ECR tariff 14 sheet to my testimony as Schedule WSK-3. Several of the major features of the 15 tariff are:

- Changes in the ECR factor will be based upon the historical difference between
 the cost of fuel and energy that is built into base rates and the actual cost of fuel
 and energy
- Costs included in the ECR calculation will be based upon the actual historical
 expenses recorded in FERC accounts 501, 547 and 555. In addition, the ECR
 will include the recovery of emission allowance costs (sulfur dioxide) recorded
 in FERC account 509.
- 23
- Costs included in the ECR calculation will exclude the capacity charges

- associated with purchased power contracts whose term is greater than one year 1 in length. 2 Only two changes in the ECR factor will be made each year, one in June and 3 one in December. 4 5 The base cost of energy under the ECR will be established at \$0.02999 per kilowatt-hour sold 6 Over/under recoveries of energy costs will be refunded/collected automatically 7 8 from Missouri retail customers through the operation of the tariff Over/under recoveries of energy costs will be recorded on the books of the 9 Company in FERC accounts using an asset/liability account to track over/under 10 recoveries of energy costs on the balance sheet and an offsetting revenue 11 account to reflect the over/under recoveries of energy costs on the income 12 This will ensure that net operating income is not distorted by 13 statement. over/under recoveries of energy costs. In addition, this accounting process will 14 leave an audit trail for internal and external auditors. This feature will be very 15 useful if any periodic prudence reviews are included as part of the 16 Commission's final fuel adjustment rule. 17 WAS THE ECR BEING PROPOSED BY EMPIRE IN THIS RATE CASE 18 **Q**. AND THE INFORMATION BEING SUBMITTED WITH THE ECR 19 **DESIGNED TO COMPLY WITH MISSOURI LAW?** 20
- A. I believe they were. Empire has designed its proposed ECR to comply with Section
 386.266 of the Missouri statutes, which some may refer to as Senate Bill 179, and

.

1		what we understand will be the Commission rules implementing the new law. To		
2		the extent that changes take place between now and the approval of a final version		
3		of the rules, Empire intends to modify its ECR proposal to comply.		
4	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT HAS		
5		BEEN INCORPORTATED IN THE FILING TO COMPLY WITH		
6		EMPIRE'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMMISSION'S RULE.		
7	A .	We have included information associated with the following:		
8		• Proposed ECR tariff, Schedule WSK-3		
9		• An example customer billing with a separate line item for the ECR factor,		
10		Schedule WSK-4		
11		• Customer notice of proposed implementation of an Energy Cost Recovery rider,		
12		Schedule WSK-5		
13		 Testimony regarding business risk and the ECR 		
14		• Testimony concerning the resource mix that Empire expects to use to meet its		
15		customers electric requirements over the next four years		
16		Testimony describing Empire's long-term resource planning process		
17	Q.	WILL THE ECR TARIFF AND THE RECOVERY/REFUND MECHANISM		
18		PROVIDE EMPIRE SUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO EARN A FAIR		
19		RETURN ON EQUITY?		
20	A.	I believe so. The adjustment mechanism Empire has proposed is a significant		
21		improvement over the current fuel and energy cost recovery mechanism Empire		
22		uses in Missouri, the IEC. During periods of extreme fuel and energy price		

ļ

I

.

1		fluctuations, the ECR will recover increases in energy costs and refund decreases in		
2		energy costs in such a way that the Missouri retail customers will only reimburse		
3		Empire for its actual prudently incurred fuel and energy costs.		
4	Q.	WAS THE ECR PROPOSED BY EMPIRE DESIGNED TO WORK WITH		
5		ANY PRUDENCE REVIEW PROCEDURES ENACTED BY THE		
6		COMMISSION?		
7	A.	Yes. The proposal is flexible and will allow the Commission to adjust the amount		
8		of ECR recovery if any cost disallowances are made as the result of a prudence		
9		review. As I mentioned earlier, the accounting procedures will involve an audit		
10		trail that should facilitate the audit process associated with prudence reviews.		
11	Q.	DOES THE ACCOUNTING AND BILLING PROCESS ENVISIONED IN		
12		THE ECR PROPOSAL ENABLE EMPIRE TO TRACK ECR REVENUES		
13		AS A DISCRETE REVENUE STREAM?		
14	A.	Yes. ECR revenue will be billed as a separate line item on each customer's bill and		
15		the ECR revenue will be segregated on the Empire books and records to facilitate		
16		the accounting and audit process.		
17	Q.	WERE OFF-SYSTEM SALES REVENUES CONSIDERED AS A		
18		COMPONENT OF THE PROPOSED ECR?		
19	A.	No. Off-system sales have been addressed entirely as a component of base electric		
20		rates.		
21	Q.	HAVE THE EMPIRE CUSTOMERS BEEN NOTIFIED OF THE REQUEST		
22		TO IMPLEMENT AN ENERGY COST RECOVERY RIDER?		

.

1

ł

:

ı.

,

- A. Yes. In addition, to the normal notice requirements that go with any general rate
 filing, Empire has prepared a notice that describes the request to implement an
 ECR. I have attached a copy of this notice as Schedule WSK-5.
- 4

5

Q. WAS THE ECR DESIGNED TO PRODUCE A DIFFERENT ECR FACTOR FOR EACH RATE OR CUSTOMER CLASS?

- A. No. Each customer class will have the same ECR factor under the Empire
 proposal. This will greatly simplify the process in terms of calculation, the true-up
 of any over or under recovery balances and customer notification requirements. In
 addition, this represents no change from the current IEC process. There is only one
 IEC to track, not multiple IECs.
- Q. DOES THE IEC AS CURRENTLY CONFIGURED RECOVER THE
 ACTUAL COST OF PRUDENTLY INCURRED FUEL AND ENERGY
 COSTS?
- Α. No. The mechanism is inflexible. Energy costs recovered in rates are essentially 14 15 fixed, although within a spectrum, and it does not track the changes in energy costs 16 as they are incurred. History shows that energy costs are volatile and the IEC does not properly account for that fact. The IEC as currently authorized for use by 17 Empire does not recover 100 percent of prudently incurred fuel and purchased 18 power costs. It does not necessarily enable Empire to recover 100 percent of the 19 increases in energy costs and it has the potential to only partially refund 100 percent 20 of decreases in energy costs if energy costs decline. 21
- 22 Q. COULD YOU ELABORATE ON THAT?

23 A. The current IEC has not allowed Empire to recover all of the increases in energy

costs that have occurred since March of 2005, thus driving the need for the current
 general rate filing. The current IEC mechanism and its recovery of energy costs is
 not that much different from base rate recovery of fuel and energy costs.

4 Q. PLEASE COMPARE THE IEC AND IT RECOVERY OF ENERGY COSTS 5 TO THE ENERGY COST RECOVERY IN PLACE PRIOR TO THE 6 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE IEC.

7 Prior to the implementation of the IEC, base electric rates were designed to recover Α. 8 a certain level of fuel and energy costs in each rate case. Various parties to a rate case each presented their estimates of future fuel and energy costs and the 9 10 Commission was usually forced to choose between one or a combination of the various positions unless a settlement of this issue was reached between the various 11 parties. Thus, the average level of energy costs recovered per kWh was fixed until 12 the next general rate proceeding. Any variation in the average cost of energy was 13 absorbed by the utility. The current IEC mechanism is not that much different. It 14 establishes a floor and a ceiling in the average cost of energy collected in base 15 electric rates within the context of a general rate proceeding. To the extent the 16 average cost of energy exceeds the ceiling, the utility still absorbs the increase as it 17 did prior to the implementation of the IEC and to the extent average costs decline 18 below the floor the utility retains the added margin, just as it did prior to the IEC. It 19 is only when the actual cost of energy incurred by the utility is between the floor 20 and the ceiling that the IEC results in the recovery of the actual average cost of 21 energy. During periods of extreme price volatility, the recovery of a utility's actual 22

-28-

energy cost through an IEC is unlikely, while the ECR proposed by Empire matches
 rate recovery with the actual cost incurred. The fact that the ECR only recovers the
 actual cost of energy can produce benefits for all parties, including the Missouri
 retail customer.

Q. DOES THE USE OF AN IEC IN MISSOURI ELIMINATE THE NEED TO FORECAST AN AVERAGE COST OF ENERGY IN A GENERAL RATE PROCEEDING?

No. In fact, the IEC has the potential to double this forecasting requirement. The Α. 8 Commission must not only establish the base cost of energy to be recovered in base 9 electric rates, the floor, the Commission must establish a reasonable energy cost 10 ceiling in the IEC rate if the utility is to have any reasonable chance to earn the rate 11 of return authorized in the rate case. Thus, the need to forecast future changes in 12 energy costs is in no way diminished by the use of an IEC. During periods of 13 extreme fuel and energy price volatility, the accurate forecast of future energy costs 14 and prices is extremely difficult if not impossible. This fact was brought out in the 15 testimony of the Commission Staff during the last Empire rate case, as mentioned 16 17 in the direct testimony of Mr. Tartar.

Q. WHAT BENEFITS DO YOU SEE ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF THE ECR PROPOSED BY EMPIRE?

A. I believe the benefits are significant for all of the stakeholders. First, Empire will
 benefit by being able to recover its actual fuel and energy costs through the ECR.
 This will strengthen its financial profile and ability to attract the financing
 necessary to meet its growing customer needs at the best rates possible. In addition,

-29-

the need to file general rate cases for the primary purpose of recovering increases in
 fuel and energy costs will be eliminated. A reduction in the number of general rate
 cases will ultimately lower the cost of service to the customer.

4

Q. HOW WILL THE COMMISSION BENEFIT?

The Commission will benefit in a couple of areas. First, the need to forecast fuel 5 A. and energy expenses during periods of extreme price volatility in a general rate 6 proceeding will be eliminated. This will eliminate one of the most contentious 7 issues that can arise during rate proceedings, and produce protracted levels of 8 direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony, with everyone trying to guess the future 9 accurately. Eliminating that aspect with the ECR means all parties to the rate 10 proceeding will save time and money. The result is a process that is ultimately fair 11 to all sides. The utility will collect its actual cost of fuel and energy and the 12 customer will pay for no more than the actual prudently incurred fuel and energy 13 cost. The customer will benefit automatically if prices decline. We understand the 14 rule proposed by the Commission will include an enhanced surveillance reporting 15 requirement that will enable the Commission to track overall earnings trends of the 16 utilities using an ECR and guard against excessive utility earnings. 17

18

Q. HOW WILL THE ECR BENEFIT THE CUSTOMER?

19 A. In the long run the customer will benefit from the implementation of a properly 20 designed ECR. The customer will only reimburse Empire for the actual cost of fuel 21 and energy, not an estimate of future energy costs. Thus, there will be no over or 22 under reimbursement of cost, and no winners and losers. Empire will have a

-30-

stronger financial profile and be able to attract the capital necessary to operate its utility system at the best rates possible. Ultimately, this will lower the cost of operations from where it would have been without the ECR. Over the long run the reduction in the number of general rate proceedings and the lower financing costs will lower Empire's cost of doing business and lower the electric rates it needs to charge to operate the system from what it otherwise would be without the ECR.

7

8

Q. IS EMPIRE PROPOSING THE ELIMINATION OF THE GREEN POWER SCHEDULE, RIDER EGP, IN THIS RATE CASE?

Yes. Empire is proposing to remove the Experimental Green Power Schedule, Α. 9 Rider EGP, from the electric tariff. According to that schedule, in order for it to 10 become effective, a minimum of 1,000 blocks of Green Power had to have been 11 requested by April 1, 2005, or the tariff would be discontinued effective May 1, 12 2005. This minimum level of participation was not reached and the program was 13 discontinued, so there is no need for the schedule. In addition, Empire is now 14 purchasing 150 megawatts of wind power for its system on a regular basis. This 15 means that a portion of our customer's usage will be provided from this renewable 16 or "green" resource, anyway. We are proposing to remove the rider and make the 17 tariff sheets blank. 18

Q. IS EMPIRE REQUESTING A TRUE-UP PERIOD AS PART OF ITS REQUEST IN THIS CASE?

- A. Yes. Empire is requesting that the financial information be updated as of March 31,
 2006.
- 23 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF A TRUE-UP?

-31-

l	A.	The true-up will enable all of the parties to the proceeding to use financial
2		information that is closer to the effective date of the new tariffs that will become
3		effective as part of this rate case. All of the major components used to develop the
4		new revenue requirement should be updated, including rate base, operating
5		revenues and operating expenses.

6 Q. WHAT AREAS OF THE EMPIRE REVENUE REQUIREMENT SHOULD 7 BE UPDATED THROUGH MARCH 31, 2006?

- 8 A. The revenue requirement should be updated to recognize all of the significant 9 changes that have occurred through March 31, 2006. Among those areas where 10 significant changes can occur are:
- Net Plant in Service
- 12 Revenue

13

ļ

I

- Fuel and Purchased Power Cost
- Payroll Cost
- 15 Depreciation
- Capital Cost

17 Q. IS THIS A COMPLETE LIST OF ALL OF THE ITEMS THAT MAY BE

- 18 **INVOLVED IN THE TRUE-UP?**
- A. No. Empire anticipates working with all of the parties that become involved in the
 rate case to develop a complete list of items that will be included in the true-up.
- 21 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 22 A. Yes.

W. SCOTT KEITH DIRECT TESTIMONY

LIST_OF SCHEDULES

-

Schedule No.

1

ļ

i

1

Description

WSK-1	Schedules Supporting Revenue Requirement
WSK-2	Proposed ECR Base
WSK-3	Energy Cost Recovery Tariff
WSK-4	Example Customer Bill with an ECR factor
WSK-5	Notice

W. SCOTT KEITH DIRECT TESTIMONY

INDEX TO WSK-1

Section No.	Schedule No.	Description
С	1	Comparative and Summary Information
D	1	Rate Base and Rate of Return
E	1	Electric Plant in Service by Primary Account
F	1	Accumulated Provision for Depreciation
G	1	Working Capital
G	2	Cash Working Capital
G	3	Income Tax and Interest Expense Factors
Н	1	Capital Structure at September 30, 2005
H	2	Preferred Stock
Н	3	Long-Term Debt
Н	8	Capital Costs and Structure
J	1	Test Year Operating Income Statements
J	2	Test Year Adjustments
К	1	Depreciation Rates and Accruals
K	2	Normalized Depreciation Expense
IX IX	-	
L	1	Taxes Charged to Electric Operations
L	2	Income Tax Calculation
M	1	Bases of Allocation
M	2	Allocation of Rate Base Items
М	2	Anocation of Rate Dase Roms

The Empire District Electric Company Comparative and Summary Information	Section C Schedule 1 01/17/2006		
1. Total test year revenues at existing rates	\$306,470,019		
2. Total test year revenues at proposed rates	\$335,983,732		
3. Percentage change in revenues	9.63%		
4. Test year rate base	\$625,454,772		
5. Rate base as filed in case no. ER-2004-0570	\$611,396,947		
6. Return on rate base during the test year under existing rates	6.64%		
7. Return on rate base under proposed rates	9.55%		
8. Return on equity during the test year under existing rates	6.05%		
9. Return on equity under proposed rates	11.70%		
10. Total operating expenses as filed in case no. ER-2004-0570	\$232,266,214		
11. Total operating expenses under proposed rates	\$264,922,893		

.

٠

.

Ļ

•

•

The Empire District Electric Company Rate Base and Rate of Return

Ŧ

}

h

ş

٠

Ì

Section D Schedule 1 01/17/2006

	Missouri <u>Jurisdictional</u>	Reference
1. Electric Plant in Service	\$1,065,089,423	E-1
2. Less: Reserve for Depreciation	375,326,329	F-1
3. Net Electric Plant in Service	689,763,093	
4. Materials and Supplies (13-Month Average)	24,619,917	G-1
5. Regulatory Asset (FAS 87)	16,910,789	
6. Prepayments (13-Month Average)	1,705,358	G-1
7. Cash Working Capital	2,539,620	G-2
Less: 8. Injuries and Damages	1,434,630	
9. Deferred Taxes	92,987,947	
10. Investment Tax Credit - Pre-1971	575	M-2
11. Customer Deposits	5,481,261	M-2
12. Customer Advances	5,762,032	
13. Interest Offset	3,211,966	G-4
14. Income Tax Offset	<u>1,205,594</u>	G-4
15. Total Original Cost Rate Base	\$625,454,772	·
16. Net electric Operating Income Before Effect of Proposed Increase	\$41,547,126	J-1
17. Indicated Rate of Return Before Proposed Increase	6.64%	
 Proposed Increase (After Taxes) Income Tax Gross-up Factor Proposed Increase (Revenue Requirement) 	\$18,183,804 1.62308 \$29,513,713	
21. Net Electric Operating Income After Effect of Proposed Increase	\$59,730,931	
22. Indicated Rate of Return After Effect of Proposed Increase	9.55%	H-1

The Empire District Electric Company Electric Plant in Service by Primary Plant Account

Section E Schedule 1 Page 1 of 2 01/17/2006

.

-

	Account	A 09/30/2004 Total	B Missouri	C 09/30/2005 Total	D	E Pro	F Missouri
Numbe	er <u>Name</u>	Company	Jurisdictional	Company	Adjustments	Forma	Jurisdictional
	Intangible Plant						
301	Organization	\$8,001,696	6,792,623	\$8,838,777		\$8,838,777	\$7,526,918
	Production Plant						
	Steam Production Plant						
310	Land and Land Rights	907,690	743,044	907,690		907,690	745,291
311	Structures and Improvements	22,030,871	18,034,694	22,357,966		22,357,966	18,357,811
312	Boiler Plant and Equipment	120,454,404	98,605,194	126,653,192		126,653,192	103,993,150
312	Unit Coal Trains	5,580,296	4,568,087	5,580,296		5,580,296	4,581,903
314	Turbo Generator Units	35,498,856	29,059,723	36,017,230		36,017,230	29,573,240
315	Accessory Electric Equipment	11,337,901	9,281,320	7,366,923		7,366,923	6,048,876
316	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	3,591,340	<u>2.939,907</u>	<u>3.804,119</u>		3,804,119	3.123.508
	Total Steam Production Plant	199,401,358	163,231,970	202,687,416	0	202,687,416	166,423,779
	Hydraulic Production Plant						
330	Land and Land Rights	224,480	183,761	224,480		224 400	104.217
331	Structures and Improvements	556,389	455,465	556,389		224,480	184,317
332	Reservoirs, Dams and Waterways	1,484,577	1,215,290	1,469,845		556,389	456,843
333	Water Wheels, Turbines & Generators	1,305,038	1,213,290	1,631,522		1,469,845	1,206,869
334	Accessory Electric Equipment	812,324	664,977	812,324		1,631,522 812,324	1,339,620
335	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	348,853	285,575	<u>349,181</u>		<u>349,181</u>	666,988 <u>286,707</u>
	Total Hydraulic Production Plant	4,731,661	3,873,385	5,043,741	0	5,043,741	4,141,344
	Other Production Plant						
340	Land and Land Rights	451.651	369.726	451,651		451 (51	270.044
341	Structures and Improvements	14,528,018	11,892,783	451,651		451,651	370,844
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	12,649,038	10,354,631			14,591,935	11,981,232
343	Prime Movers	162,439,733	132,974,809	12,688,510 163,794,773		12,688,510	10,418,357
344	Generators	80,130,289	65,595,465	80,221,240		163,794,773	134,489,578
345	Accessory Electric Equipment	14,380,706	11,772,191	14,423,526		80,221,240	65,868,529
346	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	<u>13,842,360</u>	11,331,496	13,953,645		14,423,526 <u>13,953,645</u>	11,842,954 <u>11,457,141</u>
	Total Other Production Plant	298,421,795	244,291,101	300,125,280	0	300,125,280	246,428,634
	Total Production Plant	502,554,814	411,396,456	507,856,437	0	507,856 ,4 37	416,993,757
	Transmission Plant						, .
	Land and Land Rights	8,677,615	7,103,583	8 200 751		0 200 054	A 1 1A A
	Structures and Improvements	2,335,614	1,911,957	8,698,254		8,698,254	7,142,014
	Station Equipment	81,890,654	67,036,518	2,335,614		2,335,614	1,917,740
	Towers and Fixtures	777,080	636,125	81,958,723		81,958,723	67,295,151
	Poles and Fixtures	27,607,463	22,599,748	777,080		777,080	638,049
	Overhead Conductors and Devices	<u>52,096,276</u>	<u>42,646.538</u>	28,165,538 <u>52,906,168</u>		28,165,538 <u>52,906,168</u>	23,126,326 <u>43,440,508</u>
	Total Transmission DI						
	Total Transmission Plant	173,384,700	141,934,470	174,841,377	0	174,841,377	143,559,788

-

The Empire District Electric Company Electric Plant in Service by Primary Plant Account

Section E Schedule 1 Page 2 of 2 01/17/2006

		A 09/30/2004	В	C 09/30/2005	D	E	F
	Account	Total	Missouri	Total		Pro	Missouri
<u>Numbe</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Jurisdictional</u>	<u>Company</u>	Adjustments	<u>Forma</u>	Jurisdictional
	Distribution Plant						
360	Land and Land Rights	1,611,606	1,437,371	1,651,978		1,651,978	1,475,374
361	Structures and Improvements	9,040,332		, ,		9,244,200	
362	Station Equipment	60,164,614	53,660,045			62,347,983	
364	Poles, Towers and Fixtures	91,490,110	81,598,852			97,742,452	, ,
365	Overhead Conductors and Devices	107,762,719	96,112,182			111,652,405	• •
366	Underground Conduit	16,659,905	14,858,755	, ,		18,409,899	
367	Underground Conductors and Devices	34,676,506	30,927,530			38,371,547	• •
368	Line Transformers	68,471,433	61,068,790			71,274,329	63,654,777
369	Services	47,289,934	42,177,284	50,310,593		50,310,593	44,932,160
370	Meters	15,799,659	14,091,512	15,843,759		15,843,759	14,149,989
371	Installations on Customers' Premises	12,662,856	11,293,839	13,218,212		13,218,212	11,805,124
373	Street Lighting and Signal Systems	10,599,090	9,453,192			11,368,205	10,152,892
	Total Distribution Plant	476,228,766	424,742,307	501,435,562	0	501,435,562	447,829,805
	General Plant						
101.1	Capital Lease	815,081	691,921	815,081		815,081	694,106
389	Land and Land Rights	691,217	586,772	691,217		691,217	588,626
390	Structures and Improvements	9,239,177	7,843,118	9,301,493		9,301,493	7,920,958
391.1	Office Furniture and Equipment	3,453,330	2,931,524	3,459,481		3,459,481	2,946,022
391.2	Computer Equipment	9,419,321	7,996,041	11,049,742		11,049,742	9,409,730
392	Transportation Equipment	6,276,102	5,327,769	6,964,149		6,964,149	5,930,524
393	Stores Equipment	343,778	291,832	343,778		343,778	292,754
394	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	2,963,796	2,515,960	3,386,661		3,386,661	2,884,010
395	Laboratory Equipment	889,450	755,052	903,300		903,300	769,232
396	Power Operated Equipment	10,036,642	8,520,083	10,165,351		10,165,351	8,656,602
397	Communication Equipment	10,137,347	8,605,573	10,396,845		10,396,845	8,853,737
398	Miscellaneous Equipment	231,871	196,835	273,437		273,437	232,854
	Total General Plant	54,497,111	46,262,481	57,750,535		57,750,535	49,179,154
	Total Electric Plant in Service	\$1,214,667,087	\$1,031,128,337	\$1,250,722,687	\$0	\$1,250,722,687	\$1,065,089,423

The Empire District Electric Company Accumulated Provision for Depreciation of Electric Plant in Service

Section F Schedule 1 01/17/2006

.

Functional Group	A 09/30/2004 Total <u>Company</u>	B Missouri <u>Jurisdictional</u>	C 09/30/2005 Total <u>Company</u>	D Adjustments	E Pro <u>Forma</u>	F Missouri <u>Jurisdictional</u>
Production: 1. Steam	\$99,734,890	\$81,643,984	\$101,458,412	0	\$101,458,412	\$83,306,071
2. Hydro	\$2,208,588	1,807,972	2,244,998	0	2,244,998	1,843,336
3. Other	54,153,896	44,330,923	61,604,570	0	61,604,570	50,582,644
4. Total Production	\$156,097,374	\$127,782,879	\$165,307,980	0	165,307,980	135,732,051
5. Transmission	\$49,988,667	40,921,225	\$52,747,500	0	52,747,500	43,310,228
6. Distribution	\$173,555,683	\$154,766,511	\$186,929,660	0	186,929,660	166,918,985
7. General	\$25,965,100	22,041,718	\$29,364,490	0	29,364,490	25,006,189
8. Amortization of Electric Plant	<u>4,340,626</u>	<u>3,684,748</u>	<u>5,118,580</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5,118,580</u>	<u>4,358,876</u>
9. Total	\$409,947,451	\$349,197,081	\$439,468,210	\$0	\$439,468,210	\$375,326,329

The Empire District Electric Company Working Capital

Section G Schedule 1 Page 1 of 4 01/17/2006

	A Total	B Missouri
	<u>Company</u>	Jurisdictional
Materials and Supplies (13-Month Average) Production:		
1. Fuel	\$9,134,544	\$7,510,324
2. Adjustments	348,007	286,128
3. Fuel Adjusted	9,482,551	7,796,452
4. Other Production Materials	9,015,671	7,402,642
5. Adjustments	0	0
6. Other Production Materials Adjusted	9,015,671	7,402,642
7. Total Production	18,150,215	14,912,966
8. Total Production Adjustments	348,007	286,128
9. Total Production Adjusted	18,498,222	15,199,094
10. Transmission and Distribution	10,514,594	9,390,536
11. Adjustments (Remove water inventory)	(28,067)	(25,067)
12. Total Transmission and Distribution Adjusted	10,486,527	9,365,469
13. Clearing Account Materials	64,467	55,354
14. Total Materials and Supplies	28,729,276	24,358,856
15. Total Adjustments	319,940	261,061
16. Total Materials and Supplies Adjusted	\$29,049,216	\$24,619,917
Prepayments (13-Month Average)		
Prepaid Insurance:		
17. Boiler and Machinery Breakdown	\$701,506	\$597,388
18. Comprehensive Bond	10,778	9,179
19. P.B.G.C.	10,980	9,351
20. Auto Bodily Injury and Property Damage	84,142	71,654
21. Fixed and Nonfixed Property	32,338	27,539
22. Directors and Officers Liability	202,125	172,125
23. Excess Liability	343,678	292,669
24. Excess Workers Compensation	74,835	63,728
25. Total Prepaid Insurance	1,460,384	1,243,633
26. Other Prepayments	368,012	
27. Prepaid Interest	3,741	3,185
28. Prepaid Fuel	170,446	
29. Total Prepayments	2,002,583	1,705,358
30. Adjustments		
31. Total Prepayments Adjusted	\$2,002,583	\$1,705,358

 $\frac{1}{2}$

١

i.

.

The Empire District Electric Company Materials and Supplies Without Adjustments

`.

20

٠

.

	A	B Other	С	D Transmission and	E Clearing Account	F
Date	Fuel	<u>Materials</u>	<u>Total</u>	Distribution	<u>Materials</u>	<u>Total</u>
September, 2004	8,682,807	8,940,324	17,623,131	9,925,650	22,462	27,571,244
October, 2004	9,282,790	8,973,838	18,256,628	10,380,346	7,424	28,644,398
November, 2004	9,959,397	9,025,035	18,984,432	10,641,100	(19,919)	29,605,613
December, 2004	9,868,404	9,031,931	18,900,335	10,428,888	60,398	29,389,621
January,2005	9,319,258	9,053,224	18,372,482	10,401,415	159,181	28,933,078
February,2005	9,471,684	9,053,356	18,525,041	10,496,561	141,860	29,163,462
March,2005	10,052,911	9,021,278	19,074,189	10,806,797	132,018	30,013,004
April,2005	9,942,045	9,001,188	18,943,232	10,564,219	120,731	29,628,182
May,2005	10,517,352	9,041,877	19,559,229	10,611,963	81,428	30,252,620
June,2005	10,415,720	9,028,954	19,444,675	10,588,957	64,091	30,097,723
July,2005	8,720,046	9,034,583	17,754,629	10,356,530	39,380	28,150,539
August,2005	6,435,850	9,044,744	15,480,593	10,409,763	18,096	25,908,452
September,2005	6,080,805	8,953,398	15,034,203	11,077,534	10,919	26,122,656
13-Month Total	\$118,749,070	\$117,203,729	\$235,952,799	\$136,689,721	\$838,070 \$	373,480,591
Average	\$9,134,544	\$9,015,671	\$18,150,215	\$10,514,594	\$64,467	\$28,729,276

< >

The Empire District Electric Company Prepayments with Adjustments

_

Section G Schedule 1 Page 3 of 4

~

•

¥.

	A	В	С	D Auto Bodily	E	F	G	н	I
Date	Boiler and Machinery <u>Breakdown</u>	Comprehensive <u>Bond</u>	Various	Injury and Property <u>Damage</u>	Fiducíary Coverage <u>Liability</u>	Directors and Officers <u>Liability</u>	Excess Liability	Excess Wotkers <u>Compensation</u>	Total <u>Prepayments</u>
September, 2004	1,463,106	19,500	9 ,397	39,256	37,969	254,667	415,890	92,665	2,332,449
October, 2004	1,334,184	16,500	7,752	25,977	32,906	215,487	359,673	78,964	2,071,445
November, 2004	1,158,672	13,500	6,107	26,869	27,844	176,308	303,456	65,264	1,778,019
December, 2004	1,021,971	10,500	4,462	13,591	22,781	137,128	247,238	51,563	1,509,234
January,2005	871,384	7,500	11,979	180,758	17,719	97,949	191,021	37,863	1,416,172
February,2005	735,678	4,500	11,660	161,696	12,656	58,769	134,804	24,162	1,143,925
March,2005	578,672	1,500 -	9,966	142,634	7,594	19,590	78,586	10,462	849,003
April,2005	421,665	(1,500)	10,459	123,572	2,531	(19,590)	22,369	(3,239)	556,268
May,2005	264,659	16,651	8,703	104,510	63,164	412,455	669,357	156,106	1,695,605
June,2005	142,524	15,138	7,755	84,868	57,422	374,959	601,143	135,843	1,419,652
July,2005	39,521	13,624	20,347	80,025	51,680	337,463	541,662	121,924	1,206,246
August,2005	(110,188)	12,110	18,168	63,374	45,938	299,968	481,466	107,736	918,570
September,2005	1,197,737	10,596	15,989	46,722	40,195	262,472	421,151	93,547	2,088,410
13-Month Total	\$9,119,584	\$140,119	\$142,743	\$1,093,852	\$420,399	\$2,627,625	\$4,467,817	\$972,859	\$18,984,998
Average	\$701,506	\$1 0,778	\$10,980	\$84,142	\$32,338	\$202,125	\$343,678	\$ 74,835	\$1,460,384

-

× 1

....

......

1.00

. .

. ·

The Empire District	Electric Company
Prepaid Interest	

Section G Schedule 1 Page 4 of 4

1

.

.

Date	A Other <u>Prepayments</u>	B Prepaid Interest	C Prepaid <u>Fuel</u>
September, 2004	321,512	0	145,900
October, 2004	274,991	0	49,844
November, 2004	228,471	0	51,491
December, 2004	223,617	0	73,528
January,2005	300,639	0	540,936
February,2005	245,101	0	49,844
March,2005	189,564	0	134,343
April,2005	150,068	8,646	163,705
May,2005	178,405	9,556	49,844
June,2005	517,465	21,478	158,250
July,2005	973,505	8,947	267,238
August,2005	603,850	(0)	288,246
September,2005	576,972	0	242,622
13-Month Total	\$4,784,158	\$48,627	\$2,215,792
Average	\$368,012	\$3,741	\$170,446

 $\sim - -$

The Empire District Electric Company Cash Working Capital

٠

.

Description	A Revenue <u>Lag</u>	B Expense <u>Lag</u>	C Cash Working Capital <u>Lag</u>	D Cash Working Capital <u>Factor</u>	E Normalized Test Year <u>Expense</u>	F Cash Working Capital <u>Requirement</u>
Fuel - Coal	38.1500	18.9386	19.2114	0.052634	26,410,155	1,390,071
Fuel - Gas	38.1500	36.3005	1.8495	0.005067	57,336,309	290,530
Fuel - Oil	38.1500	28.3766	9.7734	0.026776	358,310	9,594
Purchased power	38.1500	34,9314	3.2186	0.008818	49,820,167	439,318
Health care expense	38.1500	11.5591	26.5909	0.072852	5,080,512	370,124
Payroll expense	38.1500	12.0320	26.1180	0.071556	25,267,649	1,808,056
FICA Withheld	38.1500	14.3822	23.7678	0.065117	1,867,248	121,590
Federal Income Tax Withheld	38.1500	13.1202	25.0298	0.068575	3,957,137	271,360
State Income Tax Withheld	38.1500	7.0822	31.0678	0.085117	1,183,747	100,757
Employees 401K withheld	38.1500	11.9601	26.1899	0.071753	1,504,061	107,921
Employers 401K matchings	38.1500	41.5921	-3.4421	-0.009430	575,532	(5,428)
Cash vouchers	38.1500	33.0649	5.0851	0.013932	32,835,596	457,458
Total O&M expenses (less depreciation)					206,196,423	5,361,352
Property taxes	38.1500	182.5000	-144.3500	-0.395479	9,191,722	(3,635,137)
Federal Unemployment	38.1500	72.6228	-34.4728	-0.094446	22,966	(2,169)
State Unemployment	38.1500	72.6228	-34.4728	-0.094446	16,042	(1,515)
Employer FICA	38.1500	14.3822	23.7678	0.065117	1,867,248	121,590
Gross Receipts Taxes	38.1500	20.5300	17.6200	0.048274	5,723,124	276,278
Sales & Use taxes	38.1500	19.1500	19.0000	0.052055	8,053,463	419,221
Total customer supplied funds						(2,821,732)

Net cash working capital

2,539,620

The Empire District Electric Company	Section G
Income Tax Gross-up Factor	Schedule 3
	Page 1 of 4
	01/17/2006
Formulas:	

S. 2

٠

٠

~

FIT = (Taxable Income - Missouri Tax).35 SIT = (Taxable Income -(.5*FIT).0625	
Federal Income Tax: FIT = (Taxable Income - ((Taxable Income -(.5*FIT)).0625)).35 FIT = (TI0625TI+.0625(.5*FIT)).35 FIT =	0.331754
State Income Tax: SIT = (Taxable Income - (.5*FIT)).0625 SIT =	0.052133
Gross-up Factor: After Tax Income = Taxable Income - FIT - SIT ATI =	1.62308
Effective Income Tax: Effective Income Tax = FIT + SIT EIT =	0.38389

The Empire District Electric Company Section G Income Tax Lag Calculation Schedule 3 Page 2 of 4 01/17/2006 С Е F В D A C*D Weighted % Due Year Lag <u>Days</u> <u>Days</u> <u>Date</u> **Midpoint** <u>Days</u> Payment FEDERAL: 07/02 First payment 04/15 77.5 15.0% 11.63 07/02 Second payment 06/15 16.5 15.0% 2.48 Third payment 09/15 07/02 -75.5 -7.55 10.0% Fourth payment 07/02 60.0% -99.90 12/15 -166.5 -0.00 Final installment 07/02 0.0% 03/15 -256.5 Income tax days lag -93.35 -80.67 STATE: 04/15 07/02 17.44 First payment 77.5 22.5% Second payment 06/15 07/02 16.5 22.5% 3.71 Third payment 09/15 07/02 -75.5 22.5% -16.99 07/02 Fourth payment 12/15 -166.5 22.5% -37.46 Final installment 04/15 07/02 -287.5 10.0% -28.75 -62.05 Income tax days lag -8.43 Weighted tax days lag -89.10 Revenue days lag 38.15

· -

Net lag

Percent lag

50.95

.

.

· ...

13.9587%

The Empire District Electric Company Interest Expense Lag Calculation	Section G Schedule 3 Page 3 of 4 01/17/2006
1. Number of days in year	365
 Interest is payable semi-annually, divide by 2 	2
3. Days covered by payment $(1/2)$	182.5
4. Divide by 2 to find average days lag	2
5. Average days payment lag (3 / 4)	91.25
6. Revenue days lag7. Payment lag minus revenue lag (5 - 6)	38.15 53.1
8 Demonstrate $(7/1)$	

8. Percent lag (7 / 1)

...

14.5479%

~

The Empire District Electric Company Calculation of Interest Offset and Income Tax Offset	Section G Schedule 4 Page 4 of 4 01/17/2006
	Missouri
	Jurisdictional
Interest Offset:	
Weighted cost - preferred stock	0.5400%
Weighted cost - bonds	2.9900%
Weighted cost - short-term debt	0.0000%
Total weighted cost	3.5300%
Rate base (section D, line 14)	\$625,454,772
Total weighted cost x rate base	\$22,078,553
Interest expense lag	14.5479%
Interest Offset	\$3,211,966
income Tax Offset: Federal income tax - current	\$7,463,936
State income tax - current	1,172,904
Total current income tax	\$8,636,841
Income tax lag	13.9587%
Income Tax Offset	\$1,205,594

.

•

.

~·.

The Empire District Electric Company Capital Structure @ 09/30/2005

L

ł

Section H Schedule 1 01/17/2006

	A Amount <u>Outstanding</u>	B % of <u>Total</u>	C Cost <u>Rate</u>	D Weighted Return on <u>Tariffs Filed</u>
1. Long-term Debt	\$336,451,466	42.45%	7.04%	2.99%
2. Trust Preferred Stock	48,402,818	6.11%	8.91%	0.54%
3. Common Equity	407,802,444	51.45%	11.70%	6.02%
4. Short-term Debt	0	-0.00%	3.55%	0.00%
5. Total	\$792,656,728	100.01%		9.55%

Adjusted Capital Structure: Weighted Amount % of Cost Return on Outstanding <u>Total</u> Rate Tariffs Filed 1. Long-term Debt \$336,451,466 42.45% 7.04% 2.99% 2. Trust Preferred Stock 48,402,818 8.91% 0.54% 6.11% 3. Common Equity 407,802,444 51.45% 11.70% 6.02%4. Short-term Debt 0 0.00% 0.00% 3.55% 5. Total \$792,656,728 100.01% 9.55% The Empire District Electric Company Preferred Capital Stock

. .

1

ł

ł

ł

į

./

Section H Schedule 2 01/17/2006

	A	В	C Net	D
Series	Principal Amount <u>Issued</u>	Percentage Annual Dividend <u>Requirement</u>	Discount, Premium and Issuance <u>Expense</u>	Amount Outstanding at <u>09/30/2005</u>
1. Trust Preferred (Issued March 1, 2001)	\$50,000,000	8.5	(\$1,597,182)	\$50,000,000
2. Total	\$50,000,000		(\$1,597,182)	\$50,000,000

The Empire District Electric Company Long-Term Debt

õ

1

•

7

Section H Schedule 3 01/17/2006

	A 09/30/2005 Unamortized	В	C 09/30/2005 Projected Unamortized	D
	Expense, Discount and	Principal Amount	Expense, Discount and	Principal Amount
Series	Premium	<u>Outstanding</u>	Premium	<u>Outstanding</u>
Bonds and Unsecured Notes:				
1. 7.2% Series, Due 2016	(265,123)	25,000,000	(265,123)	25,000,000
2. 5.2% Pollution Control Series, Due 2013	(221,193)	5,200,000	(221,193)	5,200,000
3. 5.3% Pollution Control Series, Due 2013	(310,736)	8,000,000	(310,736)	8,000,000
4. 7.05% Series, Due 2022	(1,454,761)	49,937,000	(1,454,761)	49,937,000
5. 6.7% Series, Due 2023	(2,767,454)	62,000,000	(2,767,454)	62,000,000
6. 5.8% Series, Due 7/1/2035	(5,904,962)	40,000,000	(5,904,962)	40,000,000
7. 6-1/2% Series, Due 2010	(330,939)	50,000,000	(330,939)	50,000,000
8. 4.5% Series, Due 2013	(10,328,599)	98,000,000	(10,328,599)	98,000,000
9. 8-1/8% Series, Due 2009	(101,768)	20,000,000	(101,768)	20,000,000
10. Total	(\$21,685,534)	\$358,137,000	(\$21,685,534)	\$358,137,000

The Empire District Electric Company Capital Costs

.

ΖŅ

;

;

.

Section H Schedule 4 01/17/2006

Bonds and Unsecured Notes Series:	A 09/30/2005 Amount <u>Outstanding</u>	B Annual <u>Cost</u>	C 09/30/2005 Projected Amount <u>Outstanding</u>	D Annual <u>Cost</u>
1. 7.2% Series, Due 2016	25,000,000	1,800,000	25,000,000	1,800,000
2. 5.2% Pollution Control Series, Due 2013	5,200,000	270,400	5,200,000	270,400
3. 5.3% Pollution Control Series, Due 2013	8,000,000	424,000	8,000,000	424,000
4. 7.05% Series, Due 2022	49,937,000	3,520,559	49,937,000	3,520,559
5. 6.7% Series, Due 2033	62,000,000	4,154,000	62,000,000	4,154,000
6. 5.8% Series, Due 7/1/2035	40,000,000	2,320,000	40,000,000	2,320,000
7. 8-1/8% Series, Due 2009	20,000,000	1,625,000	20,000,000	1,625,000
8. 6-1/2% Series, Due 2010	50,000,000	3,250,000	50,000,000	3,250,000
9. 4.5% Series, Due 2013	98,000,000	4,410,000	98,000,000	4,410,000
10. Premium, Discount and Expense	(21,685,534)	1,917,553	(21,685,534)	1,917,553
11. Total	\$336,451,466	\$23,691,511	\$336,451,466	\$23,691,511
12. Annual Cost Rate		7.04%		7.04%
Trust Preferred Series:				
13. Trust Preferred	\$50,000,000	\$4,250,000	\$50,000,000	\$4,250,000
14. Premium and Expense	(\$1,597,182)	62,840	(\$1,597,182)	62,840
15. Total	\$48,402,818	\$4,312,840	\$48,402,818	\$4,312,840
16. Annual Dividend Requirement Rate		8.91%		8.91%

.

The Empire District Electric Company Test-Year Utility Operating Income Statements and Adjustments

.

+

.

		А	В	С	D	Е	F
				welve Months Ende			
	Account		Total Company		Ν	Aissouri Jurisdictio	
<u>Number</u>	Name	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Pro Forma</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u> Pro Forma</u>
	Electric Utility Operating Revenues:						
440	Residential	\$142,962,690	\$7,454,572	\$150,417,262	\$127,483,555	\$7,454,572	\$134,938,127
442.1	Commercial	101,893,580	\$2,079,036	103,972,616	93,596,630	\$2,079,036	95,675,666
442.2-6	Industrial	57,004,923	\$8,833,184	65,838,107	47,130,367	\$8,833,184	55,963,551
444	Public Street & Highway Lighting	2,341,488	0	2,341,488	2,120,947	0	2,120,947
445	Other Sales to Public Authorities	5,710,951	0	5,710,951	5,041,934	0	5,041,934
448	Interdepartmental	95,345	0	95,345	95,345	0	95,345
447.2,4	Sales for Resale - On-System	15,393,739	0	1 5,393, 739	0	0	0
447.1,3	Sales for Resale - Off-System	<u>13,201,035</u>	<u>(1,322,166)</u>	<u>11.878.869</u>	<u>10,839,184</u>	(1.085.612)	<u>9.753.572</u>
	Total Sales of Electricity	338,603,750	17,044,626	355,648,376	286,307,962	17,281,180	303,589,142
450-456	Other Electric Operating Revenues	3,247,123	(11,984)	3,235,139	2,890,717	(9,840)	2,880,877
	Less: Provision for Rate Refund	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
	Total Sales of Electricity	341,850,873	17,032,642	358,883,515	289,198,679	17,271,340	306,470,019
	Electric Utility Operating Expenses:						
401-2	Production	167,294,205	24,953,037	192,247,242	137,505,324	20,516,120	158,021,444
401-2	Transmission	3,717,346	(204,253)	3,513,093	3,052,261	(167,710)	2,884,551
401-2	Distribution	12,643,835	187,532	12,831,366	11,292,151	167,484	11,459,634
401-2	Customer Accounts	7,527,329	129,977	7,657,306	6,595,379	114,634	6,710,013
401-2	Customer Assistance	1,074,076	20,899	1,094,975	941,096	18,312	959,408
401-2	Sales	333,602	6,491	340,093	296,439	5,768	302,207
401-2	Administrative & General	29,048,147	2,026,800	31,074,947	24,032,172	1,826,994	25,859,167
403	Depreciation	32,187,116	3,466,844	35,653,960	27,588,383	3,065,531	30,653,914
408.1	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	19,141,475	246,547	19,388,022	16,611,427	209,674	16,821,101
409.1	Income Taxes - Federal	8,558,228	(2,103,030)	6,455,198	7,614,029	(150,093)	7,463,936
409.1	Income Taxes - State	900,549	113,839	1,014,388	801,195	371,709	1,172,904
410.1	Provision for Deferred Income Taxes	12,700,541	(9,019,733)	3,680,808	11,343,257	(8,223,937)	3,119,319
411.1	Provision for Deferred Income Taxes - Cr.	(8,273,878)	7,861,331	(412,547)	(7,389,663)	7,022,631	(367,032)
411.4	Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net	(552,959)	13,152	(539,807)	(493,865)	13,613	(480,252)
431.1	Interest on Customer Deposits	Q	342.579	342.579	Q	342,579	342,579
	Total Electric Utility Operating Expenses	286,299,611	28,042,012	314,341,623	239,789,583	25,133,310	264,922,893
	Net Electric Utility Operating Income	55,551,262	(11,009,370)	44,541,891	49,409,096	(7,861,970)	41,547,126

< . <

The Empire District Electric Company Test-Year Utility Operating Income Statements and Adjustments

.

.

ł

1

Ţ

:

NumberAccount NameNumberWater Utility Operating Revenues:414.1Operation414.2Maintenance403Depreciation404.1Taxes Other than income Taxes409.1income Taxes - Federal409.1income Taxes - State410.1Provision for Deferred Income Taxes401.4Provision for Deferred Income Taxes41.4Newstment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses41.4Other Income: Nonutility revenue41.7Other Income: Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Other Non-operating Income41.7Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Other Non-operating Income Other Non-operating Income	Actual	Total Company Adjustments	weive Months Ended		1.23/0.3	
NumberNameNumberWater Utility Operating Revenues:Water Utility Operating Expenses:414.1Operation414.2Maintenance403Depreciation404.1Taxes Other than income Taxes409.1Income Taxes - Federal409.1Income Taxes - State410.1Provision for Deferred Income Taxes411.4Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses411.4Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses411.7Other Income: Nonutility revenue 	Actual				Missouri Jurisdictiona	
Water Utility Operating Revenues: Water Utility Operating Expenses: 414.1 Operation 414.2 Maintenance 403 Depreciation 404.1 Taxes Other than Income Taxes 409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 Income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Orher Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	/ Island		Pro Forma	Actual	Adjustments	n Pro Forma
Water Utility Operating Expenses: 414.1 Operation 414.2 Maintenance 403 Depreciation 408.1 Taxes Other than income Taxes 409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income			1.10.1.01114	<u>Arcinell</u>	Adjustitiento	11010118
414.1 Operation 414.2 Maintenance 403 Depreciation 408.1 Taxes Other than income Taxes 409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 Income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	1,421,640		1,421,640			
414.2 Maintenance 403 Depreciation 408.1 Taxes Other than income Taxes 409.1 income Taxes - Federal 409.1 income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income						
403 Depreciation 408.1 Taxes Other than income Taxes 409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	502,285		502,285			
408.1 Taxes Other than income Taxes 409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plat Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	464,385		464,385			
409.1 Income Taxes - Federal 409.1 Income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	227,557		227,557			
409.1 Income Taxes - State 410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	89,592	(0)	89,591			
410.1 Provision for Deferred Income Taxes 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	(135,827)		(135,827)			
 411.4 Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income 	(19,177)		(19,177)			
Total Water Operating Expenses Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	125,810		125,810			
Net Water Utility Operating Income Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	<u>(2.208)</u>		<u>(2.208)</u>			
Other Income: 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	1,252,417	(0)	1,252,417			
 417 Nonutility revenue 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income 	169,223	0	169,223			
 417 Nonutility costs and expenses Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Ine Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income 						
Other Income and Deductions Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	24,080,219		24,080,219			
Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow PInt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	<u>25.716.540</u>		<u>25.716,540</u>			
Allowance for Equity Funds used During Construction Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Pint Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	(1,636,321)		(1,636,321)			
Interest Income Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Pint Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income						
Prov Def Inc Tx Disallow Plnt Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	178,432		178,432			
Minority Interest Other Non-operating Income	318,546		318,546			
Other Non-operating Income	(323.214)		(323,214)			
	231,306		231,306			
Other Non-operating Expense	0		0			
	<u>(1.026,729)</u> (621,659)		<u>(1.026.729)</u> (621,659)			
Interest Charges						
Long Term Debt						
Trust Preferred Distributions by Subsidiary						
Holding Solely Parent Debentures	4,250,000		4,250,000			
Other	24,252,171		24,252,171			
Allowance for Borrowed Funds Used During Construction	(188,685)		(188,685)			
Other	<u>690.251</u>		<u>690.251</u>			
	29,003,737		29,003,737			
Net Other Income and Deductions	(29,625,396)		(29,625,396)			
Net Income	24,458,767		13,449,397			•
Preferred Dividend	24,438,707		0			
Net to common	24,458,767		13, 449,39 7			
End of period earnings per share	\$0.95					
Weighted Average Number of Comm Shares - Basic	25,796,938					

The Empire District Electric Company Explanation of Adjustments to Test-Year Revenues & Expenses

.

`\

l

ł

		ncrease (Decrease)	
	Total Company	Missouri Ju	risdictional
	Revenues Expens	ses <u>Revenues</u>	Expenses
Revenue:			
. To adjust customer growth - Res	1,296,650	1,296,650)
 To adjust customer growth - Comm 	269,439	269,439	•
3. To adjust customer growth - Ind	2,766,150	2,766,150	ł
I. To normalize weather - Residential	(1,615,613)	(1,615,613)
5. To normalize weather - Commercial	(408.588)	(408,588)
 To normalize weather - Industrial 	(580,955)	(580,955)
7. To reflect rate increase - Res	6,126,416	6,126,416	,
 To reflect rate increase - Com 	1,735,481	1,735,481	
To reflect rate increase - Ind	4,436,467	4,436,467	1
0. To relect additional IEC revenue - Res	1,647,119	1,647,119)
1. To relect additional IEC revenue - Com	482,704	482,704	ŀ
2. To relect additional IEC revenue - Ind	1,857,197	1,857,197	7
3. To add back Praxair Revenue Adjustment	100,320	100,320)
4. To remove water revenue from other revenue	(11,984)	(9,840))
5. To normalize off-system revenue to 5 year avg	(1,322,166)	(1,085,612	2)
6. To adjust billing errors	254,005	254,005	5
Total Revenue Adjustment	17,032,642	17,271,340)
Production:			
7. To amoritize the Asbury Transfer Relocation Cost	1	35,420	111,3
8. To normalize test year payroll		60,344	214,0
9. To amortize the OPSA Catch Up Payments		51,484	124,5
20. To reflect normalization of Fuel/PP		05,789	20.066.1
Total Production		153,037	20,516,1
Transmission:			
21. To normalize test year payroll		33,450	27,4
22. To remove Flint Creek billing		237,703)	(195,
Total Transmission		204,253)	(167,
Distribution:			
23. To normalize test year payroll	1	87.532	167.
Total Distribution		187,532	167,
Customer Accounts			
24. To normalize test year payroll		83,790	73,
25. To adjust bill cost for customer growth		5,987	5,
26. To adjust postage for rate increase		40,200	35.
Total Customer Accounts	1	129,977	114,
Customer Assistance			
27. To normalize test year payroll		20,899	18,
Total Customer Assistance		20,899	18,
Sales Expense:			
28. To normalize test year payroll		<u>6,491</u>	<u>5.</u>
Total Sales Expense		6,491	5,

The Empire District Electric Company Explanation of Adjustments to Test-Year Revenues & Expenses

.

1

.

λ.

£

Section J Schedule 2 Page 2 of 2 01/17/2006

		Increase (D	ecrease)	
	Total Com	ралу	Missouri Jur	isdictional
	<u>Revenues</u>	Expenses	Revenues	Expenses
Administrative & General:				
29. To reflect reduced property insurance expense		(131,884)		(109,399)
 To normalize test year 401k costs 		9,563		7,956
31. To normalize test year payrol!		178,184		148,236
32. To amortize regulatory asset for Customer Demand Programs		5,300		5,300
33. To adjust PSC Assessment Cost		(5,520)		(5,520)
34. To amortize FAS 87 Regulatory Asset		194,077		194,077
35. To reduce banking fees for new LOC		(68,408)		(56,745)
 To adjust outside services for Resource Planning 		50,000		50,000
37. To reflect amortization of common stock expense		1,363,683		1,161,284
38. To reflect amortization of rate case expenses*		<u>431,805</u>		431,805
Total Administrative & General		2,026,800		1,826,994
Depreciation:				
39. To annualize depreciation expense		<u>3,466,844</u>		<u>3,065,531</u>
		3,466,844		3,065,531
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes:				
To annualize property taxes		108,966		92,794
 To recognize FICA taxes from wage increase (decrease) 		137,517		116,828
42. To recognize FUTA tax from wage increase (decrease)		315		262
 To recognize SUTA tax from wage increase (decrease) 		<u>(251)</u>		<u>(209)</u>
Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		246,547		209,674
Income Taxes - Federal:				
44. To adjust book taxes		(2,103,030)		<u>(150,093)</u>
Total Taxes - Federal		(2,103,030)		(150,093)
Income Taxes - State:				
45. To adjust book taxes		<u>113,839</u>		<u>371,709</u>
Total Taxes - State		113,839		371,709
Provision for Deferred Income Tax:				
46. To adjust book taxes		<u>(9,019,733)</u>		<u>(8,223,937)</u>
Total Provision for Deferred Income Tax		(9,019,733)		(8,223,937)
Provision for Deferred Income Tax Cr.:				
47. To adjust book taxes		<u>7.861.331</u>		<u>7,022,631</u>
Total Provision for Deferred Income Tax Cr.		7,861,331		7,022,631
Provision for Investment Tax Credit:				
To adjust book taxes		<u>13,152</u>		<u>13,613</u>
Total Provision for Investment Tax Cr.		13,152		13,613
Interest on Customer Deposits:				
49. To include interest on Missouri customer deposits at 6.25% *		<u>342,579</u>		<u>342,579</u>
Total Interest on Customer Deposits		342,579		342,579
		_		.
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS	17,032,642	28,042,012	17,271,340	25,133,310

The Empire District Electric Company Depreciation Rates and Accruals

.

.

1

;

Section K Schedule 1 Page 1 of 2 01/17/2006

		А	В	С
			Test Year Accrual	
Plant		Actual		
Accou	int	Depreciation	Total	Missouri
Numb	er Plant Account	Rates	<u>Company</u>	Jurisdictional
311 5	structures and Improvements	1.05		
312	Boiler Plant and Equipment	1.86		
314	Turbo Generator Units	1.59		
315	Accessory Electric Equipment	1.79		
316	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	1.96		
	Total Steam		\$3,326,788	2,731,579
331	Structures and Improvements	1.66		
332	Reservoirs, Dams and Waterways	1.67		
333	Water Wheels, Turbines & Generators	1.47		
334	Accessory Electric Equipment	1,44		
335	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	2.44		
	Total Hydro		75,358	61,875
341	Structures and Improvements	1.82		
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	3.85		
343	Prime Movers	1.92		
344	Generators	1.82		
346	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	4.00		
	Total Other Production		7,384,816	6,063,568
	Total Production		10,786,962	8,857,022
352	Structures and Improvements	2.09		
353	Station Equipment	2.20		
354	Towers and Fixtures	1.92		
355	Poles and Fixtures	3.33		
356	Overhead Conductors and Devices	2.15		
	Total Transmission		3,435,581	2,820,907
361	•	2.08		
362	• •	1.89		
	Poles, Towers and Fixtures	4.35		
	Overhead Conductors and Devices	3.77		
	Underground Conduit	3.92		
367		3.59		
	Line Transformers	2.78		
369		5.00		
	Meters	2.27		
371		5.80 3.13		
373	Street Lighting and Signal Systems	3.13		
	Trank Distriktor		14 707 51	7 12712 <u>45</u> 0

Total Distribution

14,797,512 13,213,450

The Empire District Electric Company Depreciation Rates and Accruals

*

.

ţ

i

ţ

÷

Section K Schedule 1 Page 2 of 2 01/17/2006

		_	
	A	В	С
		Test Year Accrual	
Plant	Actual		
Account	Depreciation	Total	Missouri
Number Plant Account	<u>Rates</u>	Company	Jurisdictional
390 Structures and Improvements	2.75		
391.1 Office Furniture and Equipment	5.00		
391.2 Computer Equipment	10.00		
393 Stores Equipment	3.17		
394 Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	4.50		
395 Laboratory Equipment	2.63		
397 Communication Equipment	4.00		
398 Miscellaneous Equipment	4.55		
Total General		2,389,108	2,034,514
Amortization of Electric Plant		777,954	662,489
Amount Charged to Operations		32,187,116	27,588,383
312.5 Unit Coal Trains (Iatan)		0	0
312.7 Unit Coal Trains (Asbury)	6.67	372,142	305,561
392 Transportation Equipment	7.08	550,904	469,139
396 Power Operated Equipment	6.33	656,010	558,644
Total Depreciation Provision less amount charged to fuel		\$33,394,031	\$28,616,166

The Empire District Electric Company Normalized Depreciation Expense

.

.

1

ł

T T Section K Schedule 2 Page 1 of 4 01/17/2006

1

Plant	Α	В	C 09/30/2005	D	Е
Account	Total	Missouri	Approved	Proposed	
Number Plant Account	Company	Jurisdictional	Rate	Rate	Normalized
Riverton Station (Steam Production)	~~~~~ <u>~~~~</u>	Terroriter days	13410	1000	110110010000
310 Land and Land Rights	397,725	326,566			
311 Structures and Improvements	8,574,541	7,040,435	1.05	1.05	73,925
312 Boiler Plant and Equipment	22,244,568	18,264,701	1.86	1.86	339,723
314 Turbo Generator Units	6,514,048	5,348,593	1.59	1.59	85,043
315 Accessory Electric Equipment	1,299,877	1,067,310	1.79	1.79	19,105
316 Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	1,078,074	885,192	1.96	1.96	17,350
Total Riverton	40,108,833	32,932,797			535,145
Asbury Station (Steam Production)					
310 Land and Land Rights	387,547	318,209			
311 Structures and Improvements	9,324,073	7,655,865	1.06	1.06	81,152
312 Boiler Plant and Equipment	72,992,798	59,933,357	1.87	1.87	1,120,754
312.7 Unit Train	5,580,296	4,581,903	6.67	6.67	305,613
314 Turbo Generator Units	21,103,409	17,327,711	1.60	1.60	277,243
315 Accessory Electric Equipment	2,373,177	1,948,583	1.79	1.79	34,880
316 Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	1,815,131	1,490,379	1.95	1.95	29,062
Total Asbury	113,576,433	93,256,007			1,848,704
latan Station (Steam Production)					0
310 Land and Land Rights	122,418	100,516			
311 Structures and Improvements	4,017,989	3,299,114	1.06	1.06	34,971
312 Boiler Plant and Equipment	31,415,826	25,795,092	1.89	1.89	487,527
312.5 Unit Train	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
314 Turbo Generator Units	8,399,773	6,896,935	1.62	1.62	111,730
315 Accessory Electric Equipment	3,693,869	3,032,983	1.81	1,81	54,897
316 Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	910,913	747,938	1.95	1.95	14,585
Total latan	48,560,788	39,872,578			703,710
Total Steam Production	202,246,053	166,061,382			3,087,559

The Empire District Electric Company Normalized Depreciation Expense

•

.

ı.

;

ţ.

7

.

ł

331		. .		09/30/2005		
330 331	er Plant Account	Total	Missouri	Approved	Proposed	
331		Company	Jurisdictional	Rate	Rate	Normalized
331	Ozark Beach Station (Hydroelectric)					
	Land and Land Rights	224,480	184,317			
	Structures and Improvements	556,389	456,843	1.66	1.66	7,58
	Reservoirs, Dams and Waterways	1,469,845	1,206,869	1.67	1.67	20,15
333	Water Wheels, Turbines & Generators	1,631,522	1,339,620	1.47	1.47	19,69
334	Accessory Electric Equipment	812,324	666,988	I.44	1.44	9,60
335	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	349,181	286,707	2.44	2.44	6,99
	Total Ozark Beach	5,043,741	4,141,344			64,03
341	Riverton Station (Combustion Turbine) Structures and Improvements	102.357				
	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	193,357	158,763	1.82	1.82	2,8
343	Prime Movers	87,123	71,535	3.85	3.85	2,7:
344 344	Generators	10,161,539	8,343,496	1.92	1.92	160,1
345	Accessory Electric Equipment	926,850	761,024	1.82	1.82	13,85
346	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	315,835	259,328	3.57	3.57	9,2
) 1 0		93,475	76,751	4.00	4.00	3,07
	Total Riverton	11,778,180	9,670,898			192,0
340	Energy Center (Combustion Turbine) Land and Land Rights	1/2 005				
341	Structures and Improvements	163,097	133,916			
342	•	1,933,279	1,587,388	1.82	1.82	28,8
343	Prime Movers	1,238,497	1,016,912	3.85	. 3.85	39,1
344 344	Generators	26,425,192	21,697,352	1.92	1.92	416,5
345		4,516,458	3,708,400	1.82	1.82	67,4
345 346	Accessory Electric Equipment Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	339,416 1,273,734	278,690 1,045,845	3.57 4.00	3.57 4.00	9,9 41,8
	Total Energy Center Combustion Turbine	35,889,672	29,468,503			603,9
	Energy Center Aero Units					
341	Structures and Improvements	1,116,141	916,447	1.82	1.82	16,6
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	10,327	8,479	0.00	0.00	,.
344	Generators	40,181,059	32,992,101	1.82	1.82	600,4
345	Accessory Electric Equipment	2,275,485	1,868,369	3.57	3.57	66,7
346	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	12,329,672	10,123,720	3.99	3.99	403,9
	Total Energy Center Aero Units	55,912,683	45,909,115			1,087,7
	State Line (Combustion Turbine)					
340	Land and Land Rights	288,554	236,928			
341	Structures and Improvements	4,133,564	3,394,011	1.82	1.82	61,7
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	3,380,804	2,775,930	3.85	3.85	106,8
343	Prime Movers	43,003,986	35,309,966	1.93	1.93	681,4
344	Generators	11,268,284	9,252,229	1.82	1.82	168,3
345 346	Accessory Electric Equipment Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	3,710,093 154,983	3,046,305 127,254	3.57 3.99	3.57 3.99	108,7 5,0
	Total State Line Combustion Turbine	65,940,267	54,142,623			1,132,3
	State Line (Combined Cycle)					
341	Structures and Improvements	7,215,595	5,924,623	2.86	2.86	140.4
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Accessories	7,971,760	6,545,500	2.86	2.86	169,4
343		84,204,057	69,138,763	2.86		187,2 1,977,3
344	Generators	23,328,589	19,154,775	2.86	2.86	· ·
	Accessory Electric Equipment	7,782,697	6,390,262	2.86	2.86	547,8
346	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	101,781	-		2.86	182,7
	Total State Line CC	130,604,478	83,571 107,237,495	2.85	2.85	2,3 3,066,9
	Total Production Plant	507,415,074	416,631,360			9,234,6

The Empire District Electric Company Normalized Depreciation Expense

.

,

1

• 1

.

Plant		А	В	C 09/30/2005	D	Е
Αссοι	int	Total	Missouri	Approved	Proposed	
Numt	er Plant Account	Company	Jurisdictional	Rate	Rate	Normalized
	Transmission Plant		<u>,</u>	<u>I they</u>	121110	1.0111010.00
350	Land and Land Rights	8,698,254	7,142,014			
352	Structures and Improvements	2,335,614	1,917,740	2.09	2.09	40,081
353	Station Equipment	81,958,723	67,295,151	2.20	2.20	1,480,493
354	Towers and Fixtures	777,080	638,049	1.92	1.92	12,251
355	Poles and Fixtures	28,165,538	23,126,326	3.33	3.33	770,107
356	Overhead Conductors and Devices	52,906,168	43,440,508	2.15	2.15	933,971
	Total Transmission	174,841,377	143,559,788			3,236,902
	Distribution Plant					
360	Land and Land Rights	1,651,978	1,475,374			
361	Structures and Improvements	9,244,200	8,255,953	2.08	2.08	171,724
362	Station Equipment	62,347,983	55,682,698	1.89	1.89	1,052,403
364	Poles, Towers and Fixtures	97,742,452	87,293,336	4.35	4.35	3,797,260
365	Overhead Conductors and Devices	111,652,405	99,716,252	3.77	3.77	3,759,30
366	Underground Conduit	18,409,899	16,441,796	3.92	3.92	644,51
367	Underground Conductors and Devices	38,371,547	34,269,453	3.59	3.59	1,230,27
368	Line Transformers	71,274,329	63,654,777	2.78	2.78	1,769,60
369	Services	50,310,593	44,932,160	5.00	5.00	2,246,60
370	Meters	15,843,759	14,149,989	2.27	2.27	321,20
371	Installations on Customers' Premises	13,218,212	11,805,124	5.80	5.80	684,69
373	Street Lighting and Signal Systems	11,368,205	10,152,892	3.13	3.13	317,78
	Total Distribution	501,435,562	447,829,805			15,995,38
	General Plant					
389	--------	691,217	588,626			
390		9,301,493	7,920,958	2.75		217,82
	1 Office Furniture and Equipment	3,459,481	2,946,022	5.00		147,30
	2 Computer Equipment	11,049,742	9,409,730	10.00		940,97
392	Transportation Equipment	6,964,149	5,930,524	7.08		419,88
393	Stores Equipment	343,778	292,754	3.17		9,28
394	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	3,386,661	2,884,010	4.50		129,78
395		903,300	769,232	2.63	-	20,23
396	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10,165,351	8,656,602	6.33		547,96
397		10,396,845	8,853,737	4.00		354,14
398		273,437	232,854	4.55	4.55	10,59
	Total General	56,935,453	48,485,048			2,797,98
	Total Depreciable Plant	\$1,240,627,466	\$1,056,506,001			\$31,264,88

The Empire District Electric Company Summary of Depreciation and Amortization

٠

Ì,

Section K Schedule 2 Page 4 of 4 01/17/2006

.

Total Depreciation Total Amortization	Missouri <u>Jurisdiction</u> \$31,264,881 <u>662,489</u>	Total <u>Company</u> \$36,384,741 <u>777,954</u>
Total depreciation & amortization	31,927,371	37,162,695
Amount Cleared: (Account 392 & 396) Unit Train Depreciation - Asbury Unit Train Depreciation - Iatan	967,844 305,613 0	1,136,528 372,206 0
Total Depreciation Charged to Operations less fuel and clearings	30,653,914	35,653,960
Total Book Depreciation Charged to Operations	27,588,383	32,187,116
Depreciation Adjustment	\$3,065,531	\$3,466,844

The Empire District Electric Company Taxes Charged to Electric Operations

-

_

~

.

.

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	
	Twelve Months Ended September 30,2005						
		Total Company		-	Missouri Jurisdictio	nal	
	<u>Actual</u>	Adjustments	<u>Pro Forma</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Adjustments	<u>Pro Forma</u>	
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes							
1. Real and Property	\$10,684,770	\$108,966	\$10,793,737	\$9,098,928	\$92,794	\$9,191,722	
2. Federal Insurance Contribution Act	2,110,197	137,517	2,247,714	1,750,420	116,828	1,867,248	
3. Federal Unemployment	27,371	315	27,686	22,704	262	22,966	
4. State Unemployment	19,590	(251)	19,340	16,250	(209)	16,042	
5. Corporation Franchise	211,013	0	211,013	191,705	0	191,705	
6. City Tax or Fee	6,088,533	0	6,088,533	5,531,419	0	5,531,419	
7. Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	19,141,475	246,547	19,388,022	16,611,427	209,674	16,821,101	
8. Federal Income Taxes	12,431,932	(3,248,280)	9,183,652	11,073,758	(1,337,786)	9,735,972	
9. State Income Taxes	900,549	113,839	1,014,388	801,195	371,709	1,172,904	
10. Total Taxes Charged to Electric Operations	\$32,473,956	(\$2,887,893)	\$29,586,062	\$28,486,379	(\$756,402)	\$27,729,977	

N 2

-- ---

The Empire District Electric Company Calculation of Provision for Income Taxes Payable Twelve Months Ended September 30,2005

.

ť

2

ī.

	A		A. P	В	с	D
	Total		Adjusted Total	Missouri		Adjusted
	Company	Adjustments	Сотралу	Jurisdictional	Adjustments	Missourí
. Net Income from Section J, Schedule 1 . Adjustments	\$55,551,262	(\$11,009,370)	\$44,541,891	\$49,409,096	(\$7,861,970)	\$ 41,547,126
Adjusted Net Income Add:	55,551,262	(11,009,370)	44,541,891	49,409,096	(7,861,970)	41,547,120
Current Income Tax	9,458,777	(1,989,191)	7,469,586	8,415,224	221,616	8,636,84
5. Deferred Income Taxes	3,873,704	(1,145,250)	2,728,454	3,459,728	(1,187,693)	2,272,03
i. Total Income Tax	13,332,481	(3,134,441)	10,198,040	11,874,952	(966,076)	10,908,87
 Net Operating Income Before Income Taxes Add: 	68,883,743	(14,143,811)	54,739,932	61,284,048	(8,828,046)	52,456,00
8. Book Depreciation	33,394,031	3,466,844	36,860,875	28,616,166	3.065.531	31,681,69
Nondeductible Expenses (Meals)	100,650		100,650	89,546	0	89,54
0. Contributions in Aid of Construction	1,364,982		1,364,982	1,214,388	0	1,214,38
1. Non-deductible Club Dues	21,540		21.540	19,164	0	19,16
2. Total Additions Less:	34,881,203	3,466,844	38,348,047	29,939,264	3,065,531	33,004,79
Interest Sync	25,959,652		25,959,652	22,078,553		22,078,55
4. Tax Depreciation	46,639,370	0	46,639,370	39,966,423	0	39,966,42
5. Excess Cost of Removal over Salvage	1,031,146	0	1,031,146	917,383	0	917,38
6. Total Deductions	73,630,168	0	73,630,168	62,962,360	0	62,962,36
7. Net Taxable Income	30,134,778	(10,676,967)	19,457,811	28,260,952	(5,762,515)	22,498,43
Provision for Federal Income Tax:						
8. Income Before Income Taxes	30,134,778	(10,676,967)	19,457,811	28,260,952	(5,762,515)	22,498,43
9. Less: Missouri Income Tax - 100%	1,571,007	(556,619)	1,014,388	1,473,320	(300,415)	1,172,90
20. Federal Taxable income	28,563,770	(10,120,348)	18,443,423	26,787,632	(5,462,100)	21,325,53
21. Federal Income Tax @ 35%	9,997,320	(3,542,122)	6,455,198	9,375,671	(1,911,735)	7,463,92
Provision for Missouri Income Tax:						
22. Income Before Income Taxes	30,134,778	(10,676,967)	19,457,811	28,260,952	(5,762,515)	22,498,4
23. Less: One-Half of Federal Income Tax	4,998,660	(1,771,061)	3,227,599	4,687,836	(955,867)	3,731,9
24. Missouri Taxable Income	25,136,118	(8,905,906)	16,230,212	23,573,117	(4,806,648)	18,766,4

The Empire District Electric Company Calculation of Provision for Income Taxes Payable Twelve Months Ended September 30,2005

4

.

÷

ł

ţ

r

	A		h diwata d	В	с	D
	Total	t dime	Adjusted Total	Missouri	.	Adjusted
	Company	Adjustments	Company	Jurisdictional	Adjustments	Missouri
Deferred Taxes:						
1. Depreciation - Tax	\$46,639,370	\$0	\$46,639,370	\$39,966,423	\$0	\$39,966,423
2. Depreciation Book	33,394,031	3,466,844	36,860,875	28,616,166	3,065,531	31,681,697
3. Depreciation - S/L Life Differences	835,000	0	835,000	715,532	0	715,532
4. Total Depreciation (1-2+3)	14,080,339	(3,466,844)	10,613,495	12,065,789	(3,065,531)	9,000,258
5. Interest Capitalized for Tax (Excess over AFUDC Debt)	0	0	0	0	ข้	Ũ
6. Contributions in Aid of Construction	(1,364,982)	0	(1,364,982)	(1,214,388)	0	(1,214,388)
7. Total Deferral Items	\$12,715,357	(\$3,466,844)	\$ 9,248,513	\$10,851,401	(\$3,065,531)	\$7,785,870
8. Deferral Rate	0.3839	0.3839	0.3839	0.3839	0.3839	0.3839
9. Amount Deferred (7x8)	\$4,881,251	(\$1,330,874)	\$3,550,377	\$4,165,704	(\$1,176,815)	\$2,988,888
 State Tax Flowed Through Prior to 8/15/94 	130,431	0	130,431	130,431	0	130,431
11. Amortization of Excess Deferred	(412,547)	0	(412,547)	(367,032)	0	(367.032)
12. Deferred Taxes (9+10+11)	4,599,135	(1,330,874)	3,268,261	3,929,102	(1,176,815)	2,752,287
13. ITC - Net	(539,807)	0	(539,807)	(480,252)	0	(480,252)
14. Net Deferred Taxes (12+13)	\$4,059,328	(\$1,330,874)	\$ 2,728,454	\$3,448,850	(\$1,176,815)	\$2,272,035

Section M Schedule 1 Page 1 of 3

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

Bases of Allocation of Property and Expenses

Section M, Schedule 2 shows in detail the bases of allocation, total Company pro forma figures and the pro forma amounts allocated to each of the four states with each separate item necessary to properly allocate rate base components and net electric operating revenue components to each of the four states.

In this process of allocation by states, there are two exceptions to the basic uniform procedure. Those portions of the rate base components and net operating revenue components which relate to off-system wholesale and to on-system wholesale are allocated by procedures using different factors than those used for all other customers.

Kilowatt-hour sales by states are used as the basis of allocating energy costs. However, large volumes of kilowatt-hours have been sold to other utility companies and systems for resale, with such transactions carried out over Company high-voltage transmission facilities installed, used and necessary for on-system operation and protection of service continuity to Empire's own customers. Such off-system kilowatthour sales for resale are not a proper basis for allocation of property or operating expenses related to the Company's retail business and are; therefore, deducted from the total.

Three towns in Missouri and one town in Kansas are supplied by Empire at wholesale rates. Amounts of fixed generation property and expense and common transmission property and expense allocated to these on-system wholesale transactions are assigned on the basis of coincident peak demands of these wholesale customers in relation to the total Company system demand. All other property and expense allocations to these seven wholesale customers are made on the same uniform bases used for retail customers.

The Company's generation and transmission system is required by and was constructed to meet the needs of the Company's own customers. Since amounts earned from these off-system wholesale transactions are made possible by the use of these facilities constructed for service to and supported by all of the Company's customers in all four states, the net operating revenue from these off-system wholesale transactions is allocated to on-system jurisdictions based on a twelve-month average coincident peak demand.

After deductions for property and expenses applicable to wholesale transactions, the remaining property and operating costs are then allocated on uniform bases to all retail customers in each of the four states.

Variable production expenses are allocated on the basis of kilowatt-hour sales by jurisdiction. Fixed production expenses are allocated based on a twelve-month average coincident peak demand.

Twelve-month average retail coincident peak demands by states are used as the basis for allocation of remaining property and expenses related to generation and transmission facilities.

All distribution property and related expenses are allocated to states on the basis of actual physical location, except that those portions applicable to on-system wholesale are assigned separately.

Customer accounts expenses are allocated to states on the basis of the number of customers served.

Customer assistance expenses are allocated on the basis of a composite of revenues and number of customers served.

Sales expenses are allocated on the basis of on-system revenues by states.

General property is allocated on the basis of the ratios by states of the sum of all other classes of property as allocated.

Administrative and general expenses are allocated on the basis of the ratio by states of the sum of all other operation and maintenance expenses as allocated except Electric Power Research Institute research and development costs, franchise requirements and regulatory commission expenses, which are assigned directly to jurisdiction of origin.

Depreciation expense is allocated by functional groups of property on the basis of depreciable electric plant in service by functional classes as allocated by states.

Real and personal property taxes are allocated on the basis of electric plant in service as allocated, payroll taxes on the basis of allocated operation and maintenance expenses, and other taxes by state of origin.

Income taxes are calculated on the basis of taxable income by states.

Prepayments are allocated on the basis of electric plant in service as allocated by states.

Fuel inventory is allocated on the basis of kilowatt-hour sales.

Section M Schedule 1 Page 3 of 3

Other materials and supplies related to generating plants are allocated on the same basis as allocated generation plant, with the remainder of materials and supplies on the basis of distribution property by states.

Deferred income tax and investment tax credit balances are allocated on the basis of total electric plant in service.

Customer deposits are directly assigned to state of origin.

1

	The Empire District Electric Company Allocation of Rate Base							Section M Schedule 2 Page 1 of 8 01/17/2006	
	A Basis of Allocation	B Total	C On-System Whole:	D salc	E	F	G Retail	н	I
	Reference	Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
Electric Utility Plant: 1. Production Plant Adjustments	22	\$07,856,437 0	30,875,998 0	1,599,969 0	475,380,469 0	416,993,757 0	29,490,007 0	13,373,601 0	15,523,104 0
Production Plant Adjusted		507,856,437	30,875,998	1,599,969	475,380,469	416,993,757	29.490.007	13,373,601	15,523,104
%		100.0000%	6.0797%	0.3150%	93.6053%	82.1086%	5.8068%	2,6333%	3.0566%
2. Transmission Plant	22	174,841,377	10,629,780	550.827	163,660,771	143,559,788	10,152,620	4,604,173	5,344,189
Adjustments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transmission Plant Adjusted		174,841,377	10,629,780	550,827	163,660,771	143,559,788	10,152,620	4,604,173	5,344,189
%		100.0000%	6.0797%	0.3150%	93.6053%	82.1086%	5.8068%	2,6333%	3.0566%
3. Distribution Plant	58	501,435,562	2,304,580	97,861	499,033,120	447,829,805	28,267,868	13,146,719	9,788,728
Adjustments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distribution Plant Adjusted		501,435,562	2,304,580	97,861	499,033,120	447,829,805	28,267,868	13,146,719	9,788,728
%		100.0000%	0.4596%	0.0195%	99.5209%	89,3095%	5.6374%	2.6218%	1.9521%
Production, Transmission & Distribution 4. Plant Subtotal Adjustments Production, Transmission & Distribution		1,184,133,376 0	43,810,359 0	2,248,657 0	1,138,074,360 0	1,008,383,350 0	67,910, 495 0	31,124,494 0	30,656,021 0
Transmission Plant Adjusted		1,184,133,376	43,810,359	2,248,657	1,138,074,360	1,008,383,350	67,910,495	31,124,494	30,656,021
%		100.0000%	3.6998%	0.1899%	96.1103%	85.1579%	5.7350%	2.6285%	2,5889%
5. General Plant* Adjustments	4	57,750,535 0	2,136,644	109,668 0	55,504,223 0	49,179,154 0	3,312,015 0	1,517,951 0	1,495,103 0
General Plant Adjusted		57,750,535	2,136,644	109,668	55,504,223	49,179,154	3,312,015	1,517,951	1,495,103
%		100.0000%	3.6998%	0,1899%	96.1103%	85.1579%	5.7350%	2.6285%	2.5889%
6. Intangible Plant	4,59	8,838,777	327,016	16,785	8,494,976	7,526,918	506,907	232,324	228,827
Adjustments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intangible Plant Adjusted %		8,838,777 100.0000%	327,016 3.6998%	16,785 0,1899%	8,494,976 96.1103%	7,526,918 85.1579%	506,907 5.7350%	232,324 2.6285%	228,827 2.5889%
7. Total Electric Utility Plant		1,250,722,687	46,274,018	2,375,109	1,202,073,560	1,065,089,423	71,729,417	32,87 4,76 8	32,379,952
Adjustments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Electric Utility Plant Adjusted		1,250,722,687	46,274,018	2,375,109	1,202,073,560	1,065,089,423	71,729,417	32,874,768	32,379,952
%		100.0000%	3.6998%	0,1899%	96.1103%	85.1579%	5.7350%	2.6285%	2.5889%

*Includes \$815,082 of property under capital lease.

i

.

I

÷

1

The Empire District Electric Company

Allocation of Rate Base

	A Basis of Allocation	B Totai	C On-System Wholesale	D	E	F	G Retail	Н	ſ
	Reference	Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
Electric Utility Depreciation Reserve: 8. Production Reserve Adjustments	I	165,307,980 0	10,050,181	520,792 0	154,737,007 0	135,732,051 0	9,599,039 0	4.353.126 0	5,052,792
Production Reserve Adjusted		165,307,980	10,050,181	520,792	154,737,007	135,732,051	9,599,039	4,353,126	5,052,792
9. Transmission Reserve Adjustments	2	52,747,500 0	3,206,874 0	166,178 0	49,374,448 0	43, 310,228	3,062,921 0	1,389,023 0	1,612,276 0
Transmission Reserve Adjusted		52,747,500	3,206,874	166,178	49,374,448	43,310,228	3,062,921	1,389,023	1,612,276
10. Distribution Reserve Adjustments	23	186,929,660	857,505	36,098	186,036,056	166,918,985	10,550,663	4,910,872	3,655,537
Distribution Reserve Adjusted		0 186,929,660	0 857,505	0 36,098	0 186,036,056	0 166,918,985	0 10,550,663	0 4,910,872	0 3,655,537
11. General Reserve Adjustments	5	29,364,490 0	1,086,422	55,763	28,222,305	25,006,189	1,684,065	771,834	760,217
Gereral Reserve Adjusted		29,364,490	0 1,086,422	0 55,763	0 28,222,305	0 25,006,189	0 [,684,065	0 771,834	0 760,217
 Amortization of Electric Plant Adjustments 	4	5,118,580 0	189,376 0	9,720	4,919,484	4,358,876	293,552	134,540	132,515
Amortization of Electric Plant Adjusted		5,118,580	189,376	0 9,720	0 4,919,484	0 4,358,876	0 293,552	0 134,540	0 132,515
 Total Electric Utility Depreciation* Reserve and Amortization Adjustments Total Electric Utility Depreciation 		439,468,210 0	15,390,359 0	788,551 0	423,289,300 0	375,326,329 0	25,190,240 0	11,559,394 0	11,213,337 0
Reserve and Amortization Adjusted		439,468,210	15,390,359	788,551	423,289,300	375,326,329	25,190,240	11,559,394	11,213,337
14. Construction Work in Progress: Production Adjustments	I	22,737,728	1,382,379	71,634	21,283,715	18,669,628	1,320,325	598,762	695,000
Production Adjusted		22,737,728	1,382,379	71,634	21,283,715	18,669,628	1,320,325	598,762	695,000
Transmission Adjustments	2	451,052	27,422	1,421	422,209	370,352	26,192	11,878	13,787
Transmission Adjusted		0 451,052	0 27,422	0 1,421	0 422,209	0 370,352	0 26,192	0 11,878	0 13,787
Distribution Adjustments	58	5,979,920	0	0	5,979,920	5,812,835	4,064	51,744	111,277
Distribution Adjusted		5,979,920	0 0	0 0	0 5,979,920	0 5,812,835	0 4,064	0 51,744	0
General Adjustments	5	1,180,459 0	43,674 0	2,242	1,134,543 0	1,005,254	67,700 0	31,028	30,561
General Adjusted		1,180,459	43,674	2,242	1,134,543	1,005,254	67,700	0 31,028	0 30,561
Strategic Planning	5	34,317	1,270	65	32,982	29,224	1,968	902	888
Adjustments Strategic Planning Adjusted		0 34,317	0 1,270	0 65	0 32,982	0 29,224	0 1,968	0 902	0
Total Construction Work in Progress Adjustments		30,383,476 0	1,454,746 0	75,362 0	28,853,369 0	25,887,293 0	1,420,249	902 694,314 0	851,513
Total Construction Work in Progress Adjusted		30,383,476	1,454,746	75,362	28,853,369	25,887,293	1,420,249	0 694,314	0 851,513

Includes Other Flice, Other Items from Schubule IX

Section M Schedule 2 Page 2 of 8 01/17/2006

· _

.

.

.

.

The Empire District Electric Company	
Allocation of Rate Base	

20.

21.

22.

23.

	A Basis of Allocation	B Total	C On-System Wholesale	D	Е	F	G Retail	Н	I
	Reference	Сотрапу	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Okiahoma	Arkansas
15. Materials and Supplies (13-Month Avg): Fuel Adjustments	21	9,134,544	587,104	25,868	8,521,571	7,510,324	477,115	254,618	279,514
Fuel Adjusted		9,134,544	587,104	25,868	8,521,571	7,510,324	477,115	254,618	279,514
Other Production Materials Adjustments Other Production Materials Adjusted	I	9,015,673 0 9,015,673	548,123 0 548,123	28,403 0 28,403	8,439,146 0 8,439,146	7,402,642 0 7,402,642	523,519 0 523,519	237,414 0 237,414	275,572 0 275,572
Transmission & Distribution Materials Adjustments Transmission & Distribution Materials	3	10,514,594 319,940	48,325 1,471	2,052 62	10,464,217 318,407	9,390,536 285,737	592,748 18,036	275,673 8,388	205,260
Adjusted		10,834,534	49,796	2,114	10,782,624	9,676,273	610,784	284,061	211,506
Clearing Account Materials Adjustments Clearing Account Materials Adjusted	7	65,002 0 65,002	2,405 0 2,405	123 0 123	62,474 0 62,474	55,354 0 55,354	3,728 0 3,728	1,709 0 1,709	1,683 0 1,683
Total Materials and Supplies Adjustments Total Materials and Supplies Adjusted		28,729,812 319,940 29,049,752	1,185,957 1,471 1,187,428	56,447 62 56,509	27,487,408 318,407 27,805,815	24,358,856 285,737 24,644,593	1,597,110 18,036 1,615,146	769,413 8,388 777,801	762,029 6,246 768,275
16. Prepayments - 13-Month Average Adjustments Prepayments Adjusted	7	2,002,583 0 2,002,583	74,091 0 74,091	3,803 0 3,803	1,924,689 0 1,924,689	1,705,358 0 1,705,358	114,849 0 114,849	52,637 0 52,637	51,845 0 51,845
17. Cash Working Capital	58	2,539,620			2,539,620	2,539,620			
Deferred Income Taxes: 18. Liberalized Depreciation Adjustments Liberalized Depreciation Adjusted	7,58	115,239,106	4,547,801 4,547,801	233,425 233,425	110,457,880 110,457,880	97,249,994 97,249,994	7,105,577 7,105,577	3,149,448 3,149,448	2,952,860 2,952,860
Investment Tax Credit: 19. Prior 1971 Additions	7	675	25	I	649	575	39	18	17

1.

Section M Schedule 2 Page 3 of 8

~

.

é

184,767

147,953,718

3.0600%

26,600

3.0566%

9,788,728

9,773,608

1.9556%

15,120

261,731

252,548,340

5.2232%

50,533

5.8068%

28,267,868

28,208,729

5.6442%

59,139

202,357

134,775,221

2.7874%

22,917

2.6333%

13,146,719

13,129,928

2.6271%

16,791

20	Customer Deposits	58	6,130,116	0	0	6,130,116	5,481,261
21	. Kilowatt-Hour Sales Less Off-System Wholesale System Kilowatt-Hour Sales %	58	5,164,739,876 329,606,000 4,835,133,876 100.0000%	310,768,400 6.4273%	13,692,800 0.2832%	4,510,672,676 93.2895%	3,975,395,397 82.2189%
22.	12-Month Average Coincident Peak Demand %	58	870,250 100.0000%	52,908 6.0797%	2,742 0.3150%	814,600 93.6053%	714,550 82.1086%
	Depreciable Distribution Plant: Total Distribution Plant Less Nondepreciable Distribution Plant Depreciable Distribution Plant %	58	501,435,562 1,651,978 499,783,584 100.0000%	2,304,580 11,916 2,292,664 0.4587%	97,861 1,347 96,514 0.0193%	499,033,120 1,638,715 497,394,405 99.5220%	447,829,805 1,547,665 446,282,140 89.2951%

The Empire District Electric Company Allocation of Revenue and Expense

~

	A Basis of Allocation	B Total	C On-System Wholesale	D	Е	F	G Retail	н	t
	Reference	Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
Electric Operating Revenues:									
24. Residential	58	142,962,690	0	0	142,962,690	137 493 666	0 301 700		
Adjustments		7,454,572	0	0	7,454,572	127,483,555 7,454,572	8,381,700 0	4,298 ,715 0	2,798,719
Residential Adjusted		150,417,262	ŏ	ő	150,417,262	134,938,127	8,381,700	4,298,715	0 2,798,719
			Ť	•	100,417,202	154,750,127	6,501,700	4,270,713	2,790,719
25. Commercial	58	101,893,580	0	0	101,893,580	93,596,630	3,856,180	2,440,712	2,000,057
Adjustments Commercial Adjusted		2,079,036	0	0	2,079,036	2,079,036	0	0	0
Connectal Adjusted		103,972,616	0	0	103,972,616	95,675,666	3,856,180	2,440,712	2,000,057
26. Industriat	58	57.004.923	•						
Adjustments	50	57,004,925 8,833,184	0	0	57,004,923	47,130,367	3,891,310	2,584,234	3,399,013
Industrial Adjusted		65,838,107	0	0 0	8,833,184	8,833,184	0	0	0
		00,000,107	U	v	65,838,107	55,963,551	3,891,310	2,584,234	3,399,013
27. Public Street & Hwy Lighting	58	2,341,488	0	0	2,341,488	2,120,947	76,959	86,535	67.047
Adjustments		0	0	ō	0	-,0,1	0,757	0 0 0 0 0 0	57,047 0
Public Street & Hwy Lighting Adjusted		2,341,488	0	0	2,341,488	2,120,947	76,959	86,535	57,047
28. Other Public Authorities								404835	27,041
Adjustments	58	5,710,951	0	0	5,710,951	5,041,934	276,634	204,317	188,066
Other Public Authorities Adjusted		5,710,951	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		5,710,951	0	0	5,710,951	5,041,934	276,634	204,317	188,066
29. Interdepartmental	58	95,345	0	0	95,345	95,345	0	•	_
Adjustments		0	0	v	95,145	93,349 0	0	0	0
Interdepartmental Adjusted		95,345	0	0	95,345	95,345	0	0	0
				-		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	v	U	U
 On-System Wholesale 	58	15,393,739	14,714,215	679,523	0	0	0	0	0
Total On-System Revenue from								5	v
31. Sale of Electricity	58	325,402,715	14 714 014						
Adjustments	.0	18,366,792	14,714,215 0	679,523 0	310,008,977	275,468,778	16,482,783	9,614,514	8,442,901
Total On-System Revenue from		10,000,772	0	U	18,366,792	18,366,792	0	0	0
Sale of Electricity Adjusted		343,769,507	14,714,215	679,523	328,375,769	293,835,570	16,482,783	0 (14 514	
Less Provision for Rate Refund		0	0	0	0	275,055,570	10,484,785	9,614,514 0	8,442,901 0
Total On-System Revenue Adjusted					-	•	v	U	U
Less Provision for Rate Refund		343,769,507	14,714,215	679,523	328,375,769	293,835,570	16,482,783	9,614,514	8.442.901
32. Other Electric Operating Revenues	c 0								00.,701
Other Electric Operating Revenues Adjusted*	58	3,247,123	0	0	3,247,123	2,890,717	188,643	104,702	63,961
33. Total On-System Electric Revenues		3,235,139	(729)	(38)	3,235,905	2,880,877	187,947	104,387	62,694
%		328,649,838 100.0000%	14,714,215	679,523	313,256,099	278,359,495	16,671,426	9,719,216	8,505,962
Adjustments		18,354,808	4.4772% (729)	0.2068%	95.3161%	84.6979%	5.0727%	2.9573%	2.5882%
* Adjustment to Other Elec Operating Rev #32.		(11,984)	(729)	(38) (38)	18,355,574	18,356,952	(696)	(316)	(366)
Total On-System Revenues Adjusted		347,004,646	14,713,487	679,486	(11,218) 331,611,674	(9,840) 296,716,447	(696)	(316)	(366)
				019,100	551,011,074	270,710,447	16,670,730	9,718,901	8,505,595
34. Off-System Wholesale	22	13,201,035	802,579	41,589	12,356,866	10,839,184	766.552	347,629	403,502
Adjustments		(1,322,166)	(80,383)	(4,165)	(1,237,617)	(1,085,612)	(76,775)	(34,817)	403,502 (40,413)
Off-System Wholesale Adjusted		11,878,869	722,196	37,424	11,119,249	9,753,572	689,777	312,811	363,089
35. Total Electric Operating Revenues		241.040.072							
Adjustments		341,850,873	15,516,795	721,112	325,612,966	289,198,679	17,437,979	10.066,845	8,909,464
Total Electric Operating Revenues Adjusted		17,032,642 358,883,515	(81,112) 15,435,683	(4,203) 716,909	17,117,957	17,271,340	(77,471)	(35,133)	(40,780)
		556,665,515	12,422,002	/10,909	342,730,923	306,470,019	17,360,508	10,031,712	8,868,684

· . .

Section M Schedule 2 Page 4 of 8 01/17/2006

٠.

.

.....

*

.

The Empire District Electric Company Allocation of Revenue and Expense

_

~

		A Basis of Allocation	B Total	C On-System Wholesale	D	E .	F	G Retail	н	1
		Reference	Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
	Electric Operating Expenses:									
36	Production Expense:		0.570.470	521 170	27,007	8,024,294	7,038,742	497,783	225,743	262.026
	Off-System Wholesale Adjustments	22	8,572,479	521,179 0	27,007	0	0	0	0	0
	Off-System Wholesale Adjusted		8,572,479	521,179	27,007	8,024,294	7,038,742	497,783	225,743	262,026
	a. Variable Production Expense	21	129,064,250	8,295,342	365,502	120,403,405	106,115,247	6,741,274	3,597,556 0	3,949,329
	Adjustments	0		0	0 365,502	0 120,403,405	0 106,115,247	0 6,741,274	3,597,556	3,949,329
	Variable Production Expense Adjusted		129,064,250	8,295,342	305,302	120,403,403	100,115,247	0,7 11,27 1	5,551,555	
	b. Fixed Production Expense	22	29,657,476	1,803,077	93,434	27,760,965	24,351,335	1,722,139	780,983	906,508
	Adjustments		0	0	0 93,434	0 27,760,965	0 24,351,335	0 1,722,139	0 780,983	0 906,508
	Fixed Production Expense Adjusted		29,657,476	1,803,077	93,434	21,700,903	24,331,333	1,722,133	100,705	/00,000
	c. Total On-System Production Expense		158,721,726	10,098,419	458,936	148,164,371	130,466,582	8,463,413	4,378,539	4,855,838
	Adjustments		24,953,037	1,603,806	70,665	23,278,565	20,516,120	1,303,345	695,545	763,556
	Total On-System Production Expense Adjusted		183,674,762	11,702,225	529,601	171,442,936	150,982,702	9,766,758	5,074,083	5,619,393
17		2	3,717,346	226,002	11,711	3,479,633	3,052,261	215,857	97,890	113,624
37	 Transmission Expense Adjustments 	2	(204,253)	(12,418)	(643)	(191,192)	(167,710)	(11,861)	(5,379)	(6,243)
	Transmission Expense Adjusted		3,513,093	213,584	11,068	3,288,441	2,884,551	203,997	92,512	107,381
38	. Distribution Expense	3	12,643,835	58,111	2,468	12,583,256	11,292,151	712,782	331,498	246,825
.10	Adjustments	-	187,532	862	37	186,633	167,484	10,572	4,917	3,661
	Distribution Expense Adjusted		12,831,366	58,973	2,504	12,769,889	11,459,634	723,354	336,415	250,486
20	. Customer Accounts Expense	56	7,527,329	141	47	7,527,140	6,595,379	485,462	255,370	190,930
39	Adjustments	50	129,977	2	1	129,982	114,634	7,997	4,206	3,145
	Customer Accounts Expense Adjusted		7,657,306	144	48	7,657,122	6,710,013	493,459	259,576	194,075
40	Customer Assistance Expense	56	1.074.076	20	7	1,074,049	941,096	69,271	36,439	27,244
0	Adjustments	•-	20,899	0	0	20,899	18,312	1,348	709	530
	Customer Assistance Expense Adjusted		1,094,975	21	7	1,094,948	959,408	70,619	37,148	27,774
41	. Sales Expense	33	333,602	0	0	333,602	296,439	17,754	10,350	9,058
41	Adjustments		6,491	0	0	6,491	5,768	345	201	176
	Sales Expense Adjusted		340,093	0	0	340,093	302,207	18,100	10,552	9,235
10	Cultional		192.590.392	10,903,872	500,176	181,186,344	159,682,648	10,462,322	5,335,829	5,705,545
42	Less Off-System Wholesale		8,572,479	521,179	27,007	8,024,294	7,038,742	497,783	225,743	262,026
	System Subtotal		184,017,913	10,382,694	473,169	173,162,051	152,643,906	9,964,539	5,110,086	5,443,519
	%		100.0000	5.6422	0.2571	94.1007	82.9506	5.4150	2.7770	2.9581
	Adjustments		25,093,682	1,592,252	70,059	23,431,379	20,654,608	1,311,746	700,199	764,825 6,208,344
	System Sublotal Adjusted		209,111,595	11,974,946	543,228	196,593,430	173,298,515	11,276,285	5,810,286	0,208,.144

Section M Schedule 2 Page 5 of 8 01/17/2006

a'

.

. -

									01/17/2000
	A Basis of	В	C On-System Wholesale	D	E	F	G Retail	н	I
	Allocation Reference	Total Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
 Administrative and General Expenses: a. Research and Development 	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustments Research and Development Adjusted		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Franchise Requirements	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustments Franchise Requirements Adjusted		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Regulatory Commission Adjustments	58	808,241 431,805	66,795	3,515	737,931 431,805	607,010 431,805	22,237	66.565 0	42,119
Regulatory Commission Adjusted		1,240,046	66,795	3,515	1,169,736	1,038,815	22,237	66,565	42,119
d. Other Administrative & General	42	28,239,905	1,593,357	72,614	26,573,934	23,425,162	1,529,186	784,208	835,378
Adjustments		1,594,995	66,122	3,013	1,525,860	1,395,189 24,820,351	63,459 1,592,646	32,544 816,752	34,667 870,045
Other Administrative & General Adjusted		29,834,901	1,659,480	75,627	28,099,794	24,820,331	1,392,040	810,752	070,010
e. Total Administrative & General Expense		29,048,147	1,660,153	76,129	27,311,865	24,032,172	1,551,423	850,773	877,497
Adjustments		2,026,800	66,122	3,013	1,957,665	1,826,994	63,459	32,544	34,667
Total Administrative & General Adjusted		31,074,947	1,726,275	79,143	29,269,530	25,859,167	1,614,882	883,317	912,164
44. Total System Electric Operating Expense		213.066.060	12,042,846	549,298	200,473,916	176,676,079	11,515,962	5,960,859	6,321,016
Adjustments		27,120,483	1,658,375	73,073	25,389,043	22,481,602	1,375,206	732,743	799,492
Adjusted System Electric Operating Expense		240,186,543	13,701,221	622.371	225,862,959	199,157,681	12.891,167	6,693,602	7,120,508
Plus Off-System Wholesale		8,572,479	521,179	27,007	8,024,294	7,038,742	497,783 13,388,951	225,743 6,919,345	262,026 7,382,534
Total Adjusted System Electric Operating Expense		248,759,022	14,222,399	649,378	233,887,253	206,196,423	13,388,931	0,919,345	1,302,334
Depreciation and Amortization Expense:		10,786,962	655,812	33,984	10,097,167	8,857,022	626,373	284,058	329,714
45. Production	L	10,786,962 87,669	5,330	276	82,063	71,984	5,091	2,309	2,680
Adjustments Production Adjusted		10,874,631	661,142	34,260	10,179,229	8,929,006	631,464	286,366	332,393
46. Transmission	2	3,435,581	208,872	10,824	3,215,885	2,820,907	199,496	90,471	105,012
Adjustments		506,640	30,802	1,596	474,242	415,995	29,419	13,342	15,486
Transmission Adjusted		3,942,221	239,674	12,420	3,690,127	3,236,902	228,915	103,812	120,498
42 Discharter	23	14,797,512	67,881	2,858	14,726,774	13,213,450	835,200	388,749	289,375
47. Distribution Adjustments	25	3,112,534	189,232	9,806	2,913,496	2,781,930	72,611	33,797	25,158
Distribution Adjusted		17,910,046	257,113	12,663	17,640,270	15,995,380	907,811	422,546	314,533
48. General	5	2,389,108	88,392	4,537	2,296,179	2,034,514	137,016	62,797	61,852
Adjustments	-	(239,999)	(14,591)	(756)	(224,652)	(204,378)	(10,616)	(4,865)	(4,792)
General Adjusted		2,149,109	73,801	3,781	2,071,527	1,830,136	126,400	57,931	57,059
49. Amortization of Electric Plant Adjustments	4	777,954	28,783	1,477	747,694	662,489	44,616	20,448	20,140
Adjustments . Amortization of Electric Plant Adjusted		777,954	28,783	1,477	747,694	662,489	44,616	20,448	20,140
50. Total Depreciation Expense		32,187,116	1,049,739	53,679	31,083,698	27,588,383	1,842,701	846,522	806,093
Adjustments		3,466,844	210,773	10,922	3,245,149	3,065,531	96,505	44,582	38,531
Total Depreciation Expense Adjusted		35,653,960	1,260,512	64,601	34,328,848	30,653,914	1,939,206	891,104	844,624

The Empire District Electric Company

Allocation of Revenue and Expense

Section M Schedule 2 Page 6 of 8 01/17/2006

∽.

. 3.0

.

The Empire District Electric Company Allocation of Revenue and Expense

...

. . .

۰.

- -

1

	A Basis of Allocation	B Total	C On-System Wholesale	D	E	F	G Retail	н	t
	Reference	Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Oklahoma	Arkansas
51. Taxes Other Than Income Taxes:	_	10,684,770	395,313	20,290	10.269.167	9,098,928	612,776	280,845	276,618
a. Property Taxes	7	10,684,770	4.032	20,290	104,728	92,794	6,249	2,864	2,821
Adjustments		10,793,737	399,345	20,497	10.373.895	9,191,722	619,025	283,709	279,439
Property Taxes Adjusted		10,795,757	377,340						
b. Payroll Taxes	42	2,157,158	121,712	5,547	2,029,900	1,789,375	116,810	\$9,903	63,812
Adjustments	••	137.581	6,850	312	130,418	116,881	6,574	3,372	3,592
Payroll Taxes Adjusted		2,294,739	128,562	5,859	2,160,318	1,906,256	123,384	63,275	67,403
		(000 F4(0	0	6,299,546	5,723,124	318,412	142,248	115,762
c. Other Taxes	58	6,299,546	U	0	0,277,540	5,725,121	5.5,	- ·_,- · ·	
Adjustments		6,299,546	0	0	6,299,546	5,723,124	318,412	142,248	115,762
Other Taxes Adjusted		0,233,340	v	·					
Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		19,141,475	517,025	25,837	18,598,612	16,611,427	1,047,998	482,997	456,191
Adjustments		246,547	10,882	519	235,146	209,674	12,824	6,236	6,413
Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Adjusted		19,388,022	527,907	26,356	18,833,759	16,821,101	1,060,821	489,232	462,604
•		(0.002.243	1,386,006	65,292	67,432,446	61,284,048	2,533,535	2,550,724	1,064,138
Net Elec Operating Income Before Inc Tax		68,883,743	201.018	10,417	3,094,955	2,714,830	191,994	87,069	101,063
Less Off-System Wholesale		3,306,390 65, <i>5</i> 77,353	1,184,989	54,875	64,337,490	58,569,219	2,341,541	2,463,656	963,075
System Net Electric Operating Income		(13,801,232)	(1,961,141)	(88,717)	(11,751,382)	(8,485,467)	(1,562,006)	(818,694)	(885,215)
Adjustments Net On-System Electric Operating Income		(13,601,232)	(1,001,141)	(00,107)	(•
Before Income Taxes Adjusted		51,776,121	(776,153)	(33,842)	52,586,108	50,083,751	779,536	1,644,962	77,859
an free land of free	60	900,549	18,120	854	881,576	801,195	33,122	33,347	13,912
53. State Income Taxes Less Off-System Wholesale	00	60,511	3,679	191	56,642	49,685	3,514	1,593	1,850
System State Income Taxes		840.038	14,441	663	824,934	751,510	29,608	31,753	12,062
Adjustments		113,839	(47,030)	(2,215)	163,084	371,709	(85,967)	(86,550)	(36,108)
System State Income Taxes Adjusted		953,877	(32,589)	(1,553)	988,018	1,123,219	(56,359)	(54,797)	(24,046)
	60	12.431,932	242,198	11,353	12,178,381	11,073,758	453,087	462,436	189,100
54. Federal Income Taxes	00	575,059	34,962	1,812	538,286	472,173	33,392	15,143	17,577
Less Off-System Wholesale		11.856.873	207,236	9,542	11,640,095	10,601,585	419,695	447,293	171,523
System Federal Income Taxes		(3,248,280)	(340,691)	(15,970)	(2,891,619)	(1,337,786)	(637,341)	(650,492)	(266,000)
Adjustments System Federal Income Taxes Adjusted		8,608,593	(133,455)	(6,429)	8,748,476	9,263,799	(217,646)	(203,199)	(94,477)
-		55,551,262	1,125,689	53,085	54,372,489	49,409,096	2,047,326	2,054,941	861,126
55. Net Electric Operating Income		2,670,819	162,377	8,414	2,500,028	2,192,972	155,088	70,332	81,636
Less Off-System Wholesale System Net Electric Operating Income		52,880,443	963,312	44,670	51,872,461	47,216,124	1,892,238	1,984,609	779,490
Adjustments (Includes Int on Cust Dep)		11,009,370	1,573,421	70,531	9,022,847	7,861,970	838,697	81,651	583,108
System Net Electic Operating Income Adjusted		41,871,072	(610,109)	(25,861)	42,849,614	39,354,154	1,053,541	1,902,958	196,382
System that Electric Operating movine (high-step									

Schedule 2 Page 7 of 8 01/17/2006

~

۰. 4

4'

		District Electric Com of Revenue and Exper							Section M Schedule 2 Page 8 of 8 01/17/2006
	A Basis of	B	C On-System Wholesal	D e	E	F	G Retail	н	I
	Allocation Reference	Total Company	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Missouri	Kansas	Okiahoma	Arkansas
56. Number of Electric Customers Less Off-System Wholesale Number of System Electric Customers	58	159,853 16 159,837	3	I	159,833	140,048	10,308	5,423	4,054
57. Off-System Wholesale: Revenues Operating Expenses Income Taxes Net Operating Income	22 22 60	13,201,035 8,572,479 635,570 3,992,985	802,579 521,179 38,641 242,760	41,589 27,007 2,002 12,580	12,356,866 8,024,294 594,928 3,737,645	10,839,184 7,038,742 521,858 3,278,584	766,552 497,783 36,906 231,863	347,629 225,743 16,737 105,149	403,502 262,026 19,427 122,049

-

58. Assigned directly on basis of location

59. Intangible plant allocated to wholesale pertains to Stockton Line

60. Income taxes calculated by applying appropriate tax rates to taxable income by jurisdictions

4) 4) 4)

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CALCULATION OF ENERGY COST RECOVERY BASE CASE NO. ER-2006-XXXX

4

i,

I

1

į

Line No			<u>Totai</u>		<u>Missouri-Retail</u>
	<u>Energy Cost:</u>				
1	Total Fuel & Purchased Power	\$	162,888,204	\$	133,908,868
2	Less: Purchased Power Demand		16,193,520		13,296,499
3	Cost of Energy	\$	146,694,684	\$	120,612,369
4	Sales-Mwh		4,915,692		4,021,170
5	Base Cost of Energy	\$	29.8421	\$	29.9943
Ū	22 2. 2	•	2010 121	•	
	Losses:				
6	NSI-Mwh		5,294,800		4,331,290
7	Sales		4,915,692		4,021,170
8	Losses		379,108	<u> </u>	310,120
•			7.400/		7 4 00/
9	Percentage of NSI		7.16%		7.16%
10	Percentage of Sales		7.71%		7.71%
					Amount
	Hypothetical ECR Factor				Anodin
	<u></u>	Mw	h Sales		
	Average Cost of Energy Per kWh		2,457,846	\$	76,193,231
	Base Cost of Energy Per kWh		2,457,846	•	73,721,491
	Difference		_,,	\$	2,471,740
					· · ·
	Six Months Sales-Est.				2,457,846
	System Average ECR Factor-Mwh			\$	1.01
	Gjotem Average Lott (actor-wiwit			Ψ	1.01

STATE OF MISSOURI, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

ForALL TERRITORY	Sec. <u>4 2nd</u> Revised Sheet No. <u>21</u> Canceling P.S.C. Mo. No. <u>5</u>
No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.	Sec. <u>4 1st</u> Revised Sheet No. <u>21</u> Which was issued <u>11-15-02</u>
EN	ERGY COST RECOVERY SCHEDULE ECR

DEFINITIONS

ACCUMULATION PERIOD:

The two six-month accumulation periods, the two six-month recovery periods and filing dates will be as follows:

	ACCUMULATION PERIOD	RECOVERY PERIOD	ACCUMULATION PERIOD	RECOVERY PERIOD	
	September October November December January February	June July August September October November	March April May June July August	December January February March April May	
!		April 1 st		October 1 st	

RECOVERY PERIOD:

The billing months during which Cost Adjustment Factor (CAF) is applied to retail customer billings on a per kilowatt-hour (kWh) basis.

COSTS:

Filing date:

Costs eligible for Energy Cost Recovery (ECR) will be the Company's allocated Missouri Jurisdictional costs for fuel consumed in Company generating units, purchased power charges and emission allowance costs. Eligible costs do not include the purchased power demand costs associated with purchased power contracts with a term in excess of one (1) year.

APPLICATION

The price per kWh of electricity sold will be adjusted subject to application of the ECR mechanism and approval by the Public Service Commission. The price will reflect accumulation period Missouri Jurisdictional costs above or below base costs specified on Sheet No. ____for:

- 1. Fuel consumed in Company electric generating plants, plus
- 2. purchased power (excluding demand¹), plus
- З. emission allowance costs, plus or minus
- 4. an adjustment for recovery period sales variation. This is based on the difference between the value of the Energy Cost Recovery as adjusted minus actual ECR revenue during the recovery period. This amount will be collected during the next recovery period.
- 5. Interest-Deferred electric energy cost shall be determined monthly. The resulting amount, whether negative or positive will be accumulated during the accumulation period. In addition, interest at a rate equal to the interest rate paid on short-term debt will be applied to the deferred electric energy costs on an average monthly basis and will be accumulated during the accumulation period. The accumulated interest shall be included in the determination of the CAF.

¹ Contracts, the term of which exceed one (1) year.

DATE EFFECTIVE March 2, 2006

P.S.C. Mo. No	5
Original Sheet No Canceling P.S.C. Mo. No	
Sheet No	
-	Canceling P.S.C. Mo. No.

The ECR will be the sum of (1), (2), (3) and (4). The Cost Adjustment Factor is the result of dividing the ECR by accumulation period billed kWh sales, rounded to the nearest \$.00000. The formula and components are displayed below.

ECR = F + P + E - B + C + I

The Cost Adjustment Factor (CAF) is as follows:

 $CAF = \frac{ECR}{S}$

Where:

F = Actual Missouri jurisdictional cost of fuel-FERC Accounts 501 & 547

P = Actual Missouri jurisdictional cost of purchased energy-FERC Account 555

E = Actual Missouri jurisdictional Emission allowance cost-FERC Account 509

B = Base cost of fuel and purchased power energy = S x \$0.02999

C = Under/Over recovery from prior accumulation period¹

S = Missouri jurisdictional sales (kWh)

1 = Interest

¹ C-The correction factor may also be adjusted to reflect any modifications made due to the annual prudence reviews.

APPLICABLE BASE COST

Company generated energy and purchased energy per kWh sold, \$0.02999. (Or amount approved in ratecase)

MISSOURI JURISDICTIONAL COST

Fuel, Purchased Power Emission costs (501,547,555 and 509) shall be allocated to the Missouri retail jurisdiction based upon the following ratio each month of the accumulation period.

Missouri kWh sales System kWh sales

= Missouri Energy Ratio

DATE EFFECTIVE March 2, 2006

STATE OF MISSOURI, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	Schedule WSK-3 P.S.C. Mo. No5
THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY ForALL TERRITORY	Sec. <u>4</u> Revised Sheet No. <u>23</u> Canceling P.S.C. Mo. No.
No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.	SecRevised Sheet No Which was issued
ENERGY COST SCHEDUL	

ACCUMULATION PERIOD ENDING, 00-00-0000

4

÷

ļ

ļ

1.	Total energy cost	\$xxx,xxx,xxx
2.	Base energy cost	\$xxx,xxx,xxx
3.	Energy Cost Recovery	\$xxx,xxx,xxx
4.	Adjustment for Over/Under recovery for the test period ending 00-00-0000	\$xxx,xxx,xxx
5.	Energy Cost Recovery as Adjusted	\$xxx,xxx,xxx
6.	Estimated recovery period sales kWh	x,xxx,xxx,xxx
7.	Cost adjustment factor to be applied to bills beginning 00-00-0000	\$o.xxxxx

DATE EFFECTIVE _____March 2, 2006

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY SAMPLE MISSOURI BILL

ł

÷

÷.

.

1

÷

Electric	acct #	For Service a	t address	Rate:	RG-Residential		
Read for	meter #	From beginn	ing date to ending date (# of days)	Curr Read # Prev	Curr Read # Prev Read #, Totaling # kWh		
Billing date	Late Pay	ment Fee	amount x percentage		x000X		
Billing date	illing date Customer Charge		1 x cust charge rate		XXXXX		
Billing date Usage Charge		narge	First block (up to 600) kWh * .xxxx	(rate)	XXXXX		
Billing date Usage charge		arge	Excess over 600 kWh * .xxx (rate)		XXXXX		
Billing date Energy Cost Recovery		Cost Recovery	Total kWh * .xxxx (monthly ECR	factor)	XXXX		
Billing date	lilling date Franchise Fee (if appl.)		**'Total Charge * fran rate		X000X		
Billing date	illing date City Tax (if appl.)		***Total Charge * tax rate		X000X		
Billing date	Silling date Other Charges (if appl.) **Total Charge * Applicable rate			20000			
			Current Months Charges:		\$ xxxxx		
			(D)), 4 (D)		·····		
			Billed Char	ges:	\$ XXXXX		

***Total Charge = Customer Charge + Usage Charges + Energy Cost Recovery

.

1

.

NOTICE

On February 1, 2006 The Empire District Electric Company filed revised electric service tariff sheets with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("MPSC"), which would increase the Company's Missouri jurisdictional annual gross revenues by approximately 9.63 percent. For a residential customer using 1000 kilowatt hours of electricity a month, the proposed increase would be approximately \$11.11 each month.

Also, the Company has asked the MPSC to authorize the implementation of an energy cost recovery mechanism ("ECR") allowing the Company to recover fuel and energy costs. The test year fuel costs will be included in the base rates. Any increase or decrease in fuel costs from the test year level will be passed on to customers through a monthly bill adjustment. This adjustment will be identified on the bills as a line item titled "Energy Cost Recovery". A customer's total kWh for the month will be multiplied by the ECR factor and added to the total bill.

Local public hearings have been set before the PSC as follows:

1) XXX

)

2) XXX

Evidentiary hearings have been set before the PSC as follows: XXX through XXX. The evidentiary hearings will be held in XXX, Jefferson City, Missouri

If you wish to comment or secure information, you may contact the Office of the Public Counsel, Post Office Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, telephone (573) 751-4857.

The buildings where the hearings will be held meet accessibility standards required by the Americans with Disabilities Act. If a customer needs additional accommodations to participate in these hearings, please call the Public Service Commission's Hotline at 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711 prior to the hearing.

1