Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Fuel Expense Leon C. Bender MO PSC Staff True-Up Direct Testimony ER-2006-0314 November 7, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LEON C. BENDER

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0314

FILED²

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2006 NOV 1 7 2006

Missouri Public Service Commission

 Staff
 Exhibit No. 154

 Case No(s).
 ER - 2006 - 0314

 Date
 11606
 Rptr

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas) City Power & Light Company for) Approval to Make Certain Changes in its) Charges for Electric Service to Begin the) Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan)

Case No. ER-2006-0314

AFFIDAVIT OF LEON C. BENDER

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)

Leon C. Bender, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 2 pages of True-Up Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following True-Up Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Fin Clender

Leon C. Bender

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{3}{2}$ day of November, 2006.



SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Caliaway County Commission #06942086

Jusan Klunderm

My commission expires <u>9-21-10</u>

1 2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY				
3	OF				
4	LEON C. BENDER				
6 7	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY				
8 9	CASE NO. ER-2006-0314				
10 11 12	Q. Please state your name and business address.				
13	A. Leon C. Bender, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.				
14	Q. Are you the same Leon C. Bender who filed direct testimony in this case?				
15	A. Yes, I am.				
16	Q. What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony in this case?				
17	A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the results of the Staff's electric				
18	production cost model simulations that were run in the true-up portion of this case to				
19	establish the amount of normalized fuel and purchased power cost for Kansas City Power				
20	& Light Company (KCPL) for the test year ending December 2005, updated through June				
21	1 2006 and trued up to September 30, 2006.				
22	Q. Briefly summarize the results of the production cost model true-up				
23	simulations.				
24	A. The results of the production cost model simulations, as shown in Schedule				
25	5 1, show that the estimated base amount of annual variable cost of fuel and net purchased				
26	power is \$195,740,550.				
27	Q. Please describe the changes to the inputs to the production cost model for				
28	8 the true-up portion of this case.				
I					

.

. _ . _ _ _

ì

1

True-Up Direct Testimony of Leon C. Bender

1

1	A. The following changes were made to the inputs of the production cost				
2	model for the true-up time period.				
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Updated new fuel prices were supplied by Staff witness Charles Hyneman. Updated new weather normalized hourly load was supplied by Staff witness Shawn Lange. Hourly wind energy generated by the Spearville Wind Farm was input into the model. 				
10	Q. What hourly wind energy generated by the Spearville the Wind Farm did				
11	Staff use?				
12	A. I used the same Spearville wind energy amounts used by KCPL in its				
13	production cost model. In response to Staff DR No. 540, KCPL submitted a study				
14	performed by its consultant Ron Nierenberg, Consulting Meteorologist. The consultant				
15	estimated anticipated average energy over the long term that could be generated by the				
16	wind farm. Since no historical data yet exist for this unit, I used the same energy as				
17	KCPL.				
18	Q. What is the test year cost of fuel and net purchased power for KCPL, as				
19	determined by the Staff's production model after adjustments have been made for true-up?				
20	A. As noted earlier, the results of the production cost model simulation, as				
21	shown in Schedule 1, show that the amount of annual variable cost of fuel and net				
22	purchased power is \$195,740,550. These results were supplied to Staff witness Charles				
23	Hyneman, who used this input in the annualization of fuel expense. For further discussion				
24	of how Staff annualized the overall fuel expense in this case, please see Staff witness				
25	Charles Hyneman's true-up direct testimony.				
26	Q. Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?				
27	A. Yes, it does.				
J					

2

Schedule 1

~×, ر

Ę

Summary of Results of Staffs Production Cost Model

Totals		Fuel expenses (cost (\$))	\$158,645,510
Generation (energy (MWH))	17,024,223	Purchases (cost (\$))	\$37,095,040
Purchases (energy (MWH))	510,840	Total expense (cost (\$))	\$195,740,550
Total Normalized Load (MWH)	17,535,063	Average Cost (\$/MWH)	\$11.16