

Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice (314) 259-2543 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

FILED⁴ DEC 2 9 2006

Bewies Summission

One Metropolitan Square 211 North Broadway

Bryan Cave LLP

211 North Broadway Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2750 Tel (314) 259-2000 Fax (314) 259-2020 www.bryancave.com

Chicago Hong Kong Irvine Jefferson City Kansas City Kuwait Los Angeles New York Phoenix Shanghai St. Louis Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave, A Multinational Partnership, London

BY HAND DELIVERY

December 29, 2006

Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Administrative Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Case No. ER-2007-0002

Dear Judge Dale:

Attached for filing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers in the above-referenced case are an original and eight (8) copies each of the following:

- Direct Testimony of William Hinckley
- Direct Testimony of Gareth Kajander,
- Direct Testimony of Albert Owen
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Cost of Service, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Maurice Brubaker on Fuel Adjustment, and
- Direct Testimony and Schedules of Jim Dauphinais (NP and HC versions)

Thank you for your assistance in bringing these filings to the attention of the Commission.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vnylstike

Diana M. Vuylsteke DMV:ln

Attachments cc: All Parties



DEC 29 2006

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.: Witness: Type of Exhibit: Issue: Sponsoring Parties: Case No.:

Gareth R. Kajander Direct Testimony Rate Design Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers ER-2007-0002

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of

Gareth R. Kajander

On Behalf of

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers

December 29, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the **Company's Missouri Service Area**

)

Case No. ER-2007-0002

STATE OF MISSOURI

COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS

Affidavit of Gareth R. Kajander

Gareth R. Kajander, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

SS

1. My name is Gareth R. Kajander. I am Manager for Energy Procurement with Monsanto Company. My principal place of business is 800 North Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis. Missouri 63167. Monsanto is a member of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) and is a party to this proceeding.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2007-0002.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that this testimony is true and correct and shows the matters and things purported herein.

Jareth R. KAJanon

Subscribed and sworn to before this 28th day of December, 2006.

in

Notary Public

James R. Finks - Notary Public Notary Seal, State of Missouri - St. Charles County Commission #06435147 My Commission Expires 5/25/2010

My Commission Expires:

I

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2007-0002

Direct Testimony of Gary R. Kajander

1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- 2 A My name is Gareth R. Kajander and my business address is 800 North Lindbergh
- Blvd., C2NF, St. Louis, Missouri 63167.

4 Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?

5 A I am Manager, Energy Procurement for Monsanto Company.

6 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPER-7 IENCE.

8 A These are set forth in Appendix A to my testimony.

9 Q ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?

- 10AThis testimony is presented on behalf of the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers11(MIEC). Member companies purchase substantial quantities of electricity from
- 12 AmerenUE, principally under the Large Primary Service (LPS) Rate Schedule,
- 13 Rate 11.

14 Q WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A My testimony discusses the impact of AmerenUE's rate increase proposal on
 Monsanto and other Missouri companies.

Gareth R. Kajander Page 1 The fact that I do not address an issue should not be interpreted as approval of any
 position taken by AmerenUE.

3 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATION.

A I recommend that the Missouri Public Service Commission carefully consider the
adverse impact of AmerenUE's proposals in this case on Monsanto and other
Missouri industrial companies, as well as the potential harm of those proposals to the
State's economy.

8 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE MONSANTO AND THE BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IN 9 WHICH IT OPERATES.

A Monsanto is an agricultural products company headquartered in Creve Coeur,
 Missouri. Monsanto produces herbicides, seed brands, and seed trait technologies.
 Monsanto employs approximately 5,000 Missourians. Last year, Monsanto paid over
 \$5.5 million in Missouri taxes and made charitable contributions of nearly \$3.8 million.
 Monsanto operates in a globally competitive environment and has no assurance that
 it can pass the cost of doing business on to customers in its prices.

16 Q HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSALS IMPACT MONSANTO?

AmerenUE proposes a rate increase of 43 percent for its Large Primary customers (Rate 11). This is an extremely large increase. I have managed energy procurement for over twenty years and I can't recall ever seeing such a large rate increase proposal by a regulated electric utility applied in a manner which is detrimental to so many Missouri businesses. Such a large rate increase is disruptive to planning, undermines opportunities for expansion, and makes Monsanto less competitive.

As Monsanto develops new agricultural traits and products to supply the increasing demand from farmers, food companies and consumers, we have begun developing plans to expand our research and development facilities. We have many research facilities around the country, and thus many options for where we build our R&D expansion facilities. A 43 percent rate increase in an energy-intensive business like
 Monsanto's biotechnology and molecular breeding R&D operations would be a
 deterrent to siting our expansion in Missouri.

4

Q HOW WILL AMERENUE'S RATE PROPOSAL IMPACT MISSOURI'S ECONOMY?

5 Reasonable and stable electric rates are crucial to Monsanto's financial health and to 6 the strength of all industrial companies in Missouri. A rate increase of the magnitude 7 proposed by AmerenUE in this case will harm the state's business climate. This is 8 particularly true with respect to the biotechnology industry. Missouri biotechnology 9 accounts for more than 390 plant and life science enterprises, generates over 10 170,000 direct jobs and supports 260,000 indirect jobs¹.

Biotechnology companies are heavily involved in research and development, and are 11 12 very energy intensive. Specialized equipment used by these companies includes 13 powerful servers, centrifuges, enclaves for sterilization, mass spectrometers, walk-in 14 growth chambers, freeze dryers, magnetic resonance imaging and fume exhaust hoods. In addition to specialized equipment, industry-imposed safety regulations for 15 16 wet laboratories and clean room manufacturing centers require large amounts of 17 power for air handling, exhausting and exchange. These laboratories often require a 18 constant, complete recirculation of fresh air to protect workers and reduce product spoilage due to contamination. Energy used to maintain certain heat loads and fan 19 20 air movement places large demands on chillers. In the case of biologics, air 21 temperatures are usually maintained at or near refrigeration levels. In addition to air 22 movement requirements, there is a great amount of fluid pumped through clean 23 manufacturing centers for heat sanitation and cleaning operations, as well as steam 24 exchange. A high tech life science laboratory will have nearly ten times the power

¹ Blunt Touts Missouri's Biotech Industry During Meetings with Hungarian Officials, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, March 22, 2006.

1 requirements of a similarly sized standard office building. Because biotechnology 2 companies are so energy intensive, energy costs are a major factor in their decisions 3 on where to expand or locate new facilities. Missouri will be less attractive to biotech 4 companies considering location or expansion if AmerenUE's proposals in this case 5 are adopted.

6 Missouri has sought to become a world leader in the biotechnology industry. 7 Governor Blunt has promoted Missouri biotechnology worldwide, and has 8 emphasized the importance of the industry's development to the state's economy². 9 On December 19, Governor Blunt stated that "[a]bove all else, plant biotechnology 10 and emerging opportunities for value-added agriculture hold the most potential for our 11 state's future economic success". He charged his Advisory Council on Plant 12 Biotechnology to analyze the state's life sciences environment to better capitalize on 13 the industry's potential and to aggressively recruit new life-science companies to 14 Missouri³. AmerenUE's rate increase proposal will take Missouri in the wrong 15 Increased electricity rates will weaken efforts to bring biotechnology direction. 16 investment to the state, and undermine Missouri's strong policy favoring the growth of 17 the biotechnology industry.

18 Q WHAT ARE THE KEY CONCERNS THT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER TODAY AS

19

9 YOU PERFORM YOUR JOB WITH MONSANTO?

A I am concerned about the limited supply of domestically produced natural gas. I am concerned about the slow development of new low-cost sources in this country including coal and nuclear based technologies which can be accomplished in environmentally responsible ways. I am concerned about the growing competition

² Blunt Touts Missouri's Biotech Industry During Meetings with Hungarian Officials, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, March 22, 2006.

³ Blunt to Discuss Plant Science Opportunities and Benefits, Press Announcements, Office of the Governor, December 19, 2006.

1that U.S. manufacturers face from competitors with significant advantages located in2other parts of the world including the Mideast, China, and India. Lastly, I am3concerned about the loss of high paying manufacturing jobs in the country and in4Missouri. An article by David Nicklaus appearing in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch on5December 24th touched on this last point and highlighted: 1) that the St. Louis area is6significantly lagging the national average regarding job growth and 2) that the area7lost 8,100 manufacturing and retail jobs in the past year.

Q HOW DOES MONSANTO'S BUSINESS MODEL DIFFER FROM AMEREN'S AND
 9 THE REST OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY TODAY?

10 А Monsanto is a technology company. We spend over \$1 million per day on research 11 to hire some very smart scientists to develop new products which add value to our 12 farm customers. There is no guarantee that this new technology will be accepted by 13 our customers nor that they will pay the price to allow us to recover this significant 14 expense. Conversely, electric utility generation is a mature industry with few 15 technology risks. Little has changed over the years with the basic process of 16 converting fuel to boil water, spin turbine, or to transmit power over transmission or 17 distribution lines. In addition, we have very different geographic perspectives. 18 Monsanto is targeting customers around the world and Ameren is focusing on 19 customers in Missouri and increasingly in Illinois. Monsanto faces real threats from 20 competitors all around the world. If we don't supply the best product at the lowest 21 cost, our customers will go elsewhere to spend their hard-earned dollars. Missouri 22 farmers must be very shrewd businessmen to effectively compete in their highly 23 competitive global marketplace. We have to prove ourselves to our customers every 24 planting season. Of course, utilities in Missouri face few competitive risks. They 25 have legislated franchise territories with captive customers who pay, for most part.

cost-plus prices for their power. Our businesses are totally different from a risk
 perspective and as such justify very different returns.

3 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

4 A Yes, it does.

•

ł

Gareth R. Kajander Page 6

Appendix A

Qualifications of Gareth R. Kajander

1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. 2 А Gareth R. Kajander. My business address is 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, 3 Missouri 63167. 4 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION. 5 Α I am Manager for Energy Procurement for Monsanto Company. 6 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERI-7 ENCE. I graduated from Indiana State University in 1974 with a Bachelors degree in 8 Α 9 Business with an emphasis in Marketing. My entire career has been spent in the 10 Procurement field with various entities. I have worked for the Illinois State Toll 11 Highway Authority, A.E. Staley Manufacturing Company, Riverwood International, Solutia, and my present employer the Monsanto Company. Over the past twenty five 12 13 years I have had the responsibility of managing hundreds of millions of dollars worth 14 of fuels, energy related chemicals, and utility purchases. During this time I have 15 actively participated in and/or held leadership positions in various Industrial utility 16 consumer groups including the Electricity Consumers Resource Council (Washington, 17 D.C.) and various state industrial groups in Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, 18 Louisiana, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee. I have previously testified before 19 state and Federal legislative and regulatory bodies on various utility issues.

Appendix A Gareth R. Kajander Page 1