FILED August 25, 2021 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

## Exhibit No. 40

Spire – Exhibit 40 Scott Weitzel Supplemental Direct Testimony File No. GR-2021-0108

Exhibit No.:		
Issue:	Rule Changes	
Witness:	Scott A. Weitzel	
Type of Exhibit:	<b>Supplemental Direct</b>	
	Testimony	
<b>Sponsoring Party:</b>	Spire Missouri Inc.	
Case No:	GR-2021-0108	
<b>Testimony Date:</b>	March 12, 2021	

## **SPIRE MISSOURI INC.**

## CASE NO. GR-2021-0108

## SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

#### OF

## SCOTT A. WEITZEL

## SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SCOTT A. WEITZEL RULE CHANGES

1	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?		
2	A.	My name is Scott A. Weitzel and my business is 700 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri		
3		63101.		
4	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT POSITION AT SPIRE MISSOURI INC.?		
5	A.	I am the Managing Director of Regulatory and Legislative Affairs for Spire Missouri Inc.		
6		("Spire" or "Company").		
7 8	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PROPOSED ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES AND REGULATIONS?		
9	A.	Yes. The Company proposed several clean up edits and updates throughout the Rules and		
10		Regulations filed with its Direct Testimony on December 11, 2020. Several of these edits		
11		were to simply bring outdated language current, either from an economic standpoint or to		
12		reflect changes in customer rate classes. Many of Spire's proposed modifications were		
13		made to create consistency throughout the document and to finish transitioning language		
14		from the two legacy companies into one Spire Missouri Inc. Additionally, some of these		
15		proposed changes were meant to make the Rules and Regulations, consistent, clear, and		
16		aligned with the language found in Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13 ("Chapter 13").		
17		Finally, some of these proposed changes provide more flexibility for the Company to		
18		continue to provide excellent customer service and benefit the consumer with more clear		
19		rules and regulations. See the summary table below for the list of rule changes included in		
20		my supplemental direct testimony:		

Tariff Sheet Title and No.	Paragraph	Name of Tariff /General Provision	
R-6.3, R-6.4	В	Rendering and Payment of bills	
R-7	7	Automated Meter Reading Opt-Out Choice (formerly Adjustment for Heat Content)	
R-8, 8.3	9, 11	Resale, Meter Testing and Billing Adjustments, Piping Equipment	
R-9		Customer Liability	
R-11.4	G	Discontinuance of Service	
R-13	А	Reconnection and Company Inspection of Customer Premises	
R-15.1	D	Extension of Distribution Facilities	
R-17	21.1	Curtailment	
R-18	23	Meter Reading, Collection Trip and Non- Access	
R-19		Insulation Financing Program	
R-23	A(1)	Promotional Practices	
R-24		Budget Billing Plan	
R-25	30	Usage Estimation Procedure	
R-30.12		Conservation and Energy Efficiency Programs	
R-32, 32.1		Economic Development Rider	
R-33		Negotiated Gas Service Rider	

24

28

36

38

# Q. WHY IS THE COMPANY FILING SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY CONCERNING ITS PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES AND REGULATIONS?

29 A. During recent discussions with the Commission Staff regarding the Company's proposed

30 Combined Heat and Power ("CHP") and Carbon Neutral programs, along with related

discovery, we felt that the provision of supplemental direct testimony concerning Spire's

32 proposed modifications to its Rules and Regulations would result in a more comprehensive

33 record in this proceeding.

# 34Q.WILL YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT35TESTIMONY IS ORGANIZED?

A. Yes. The Company's proposed modifications to its rules generally fall into four categories:

- 39 (1) Rule modifications that provide clarity, consistency or flexibility to the consumer;
- 40 (2) Rule modifications to ensure Spire maintains compliance with Chapter 13;

41		(3) Rule modifications to continue the Company's efforts to consolidate its legacy		
42		MGE and Laclede tariffs into one Spire; and		
43		(4) Rule modifications to either update rules to reflect current economics or to reflect		
44		changes to Spire's rate classes.		
45		To the extent a proposed rule modification would fall into more than one of the above		
46		categories, that will be noted in the discussion below.		
47 48 49 50		I. Rule Modifications to Provide Clarity, Consistency or Flexibility to Consumers		
51	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 3.		
52	А.	In Sheet No. 3, Section 1, "Definitions", the Company proposed adding definitions for		
53		"business day" and "working day" defining both as "Monday through Friday, excluding		
54		holidays," and the proposal makes these terms interchangeable. This change provides		
55		clarity and allows consistent application when working with customers or managing		
56		scheduling within the Company. These changes are reflected on Sheet Nos. R-3. and R-		
57		3.3.		
58				
59		The Company also proposed editing the definition of "Due Date" on Sheet No. R-3.1 to		
60		add, "This is the same as delinquent date." Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.020(9)		
61		requires the due date and delinquent date, if different. Spire wants to have one date on a		
62		customer's bill and prefers the term "delinquent date" to be on the bill, so this proposed		
63		change adds to the definition of "due date" to make it clear that those dates are the same,		
64		as permitted by Chapter 13.		
65				

The Company has also proposed an edit to the definition of "Extension Agreement" on Sheet No. R-3.1 by removing the reference to "due date" and the fifteen-day limitation, while leaving "delinquent date" in place. As explained above, the Company plans to use delinquent date, so use of the term due date is unnecessarily duplicative. The other proposed modifications allow the Company greater flexibility to work with customers based on each customer's specific situation with a hard timeline.

72

### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 5.

On Sheet No. R-5, "Applications," the Company proposed deleting, "The customer will 73 A. elect which of the applicable rates is best suited to his requirements. Upon request, the 74 Company will assist the customer in making such election." This proposal was made 75 because the language is not required by any of the Commission Rules. The Company's 76 77 rate classes has been reduced over time and as a result, the Company has made adjustments to its rate classes. During the Company's last rate case there were provisions put in several 78 rate tariffs specifying volumes and a corresponding rate class offering. This is adjusted 79 80 annually. This proposed modification removes the burden of selection from the consumer 81 and places it back on the Company. The Company can then utilize the requirements set forth in its tariff to prescribe the appropriate rate class to its customers.. 82

#### 83 Q. DID THE COMPANY MAKE ANY OTHER MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 5?

A. Yes. In the "Deposits" section the Company proposed a few changes. On Sheet No. R-5.1
(3), the Company proposes to delete "724 or below" and to add "lower than an acceptable
score with the Company's credit scoring agency or its own internal payment scoring
system." Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.030 (1)(c) permits a utility to require a deposit
or other guarantee as a condition of new residential service if the applicant is unable to
establish an "acceptable credit rating under standards contained in the utility's commission-

approved tariff." The current language includes a very specific Equifax Advanced Energy
Risk Score, which is not required under Commission Rules. The Company's proposal
provides a more general description of that score and one that allows for flexibility for the
Company and customers while maintaining compliance with Chapter 13.

94

95 Under Section D (1) Sheet No. 5.2, the Company added "two (2) times the highest bill or" because that language is used in Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.030 (4)(A). Similarly, 96 on Sheet No R-5.3, Section (D)(6), the Company added "within five (5) years following 97 the date that the customer is due for a deposit return" to make the Company's language 98 consistent with Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.030(4)(G). Finally, on Sheet No. R-99 5.3 (8), the Company added "because of prior unauthorized interference or diversion of 100 service" to better incorporate Commission Rule 20 SR 4240-13.030(I) into the Company's 101 Rules and Regulations. 102

103

On Sheet No. R-5.4, the Company added "two (2) times the highest bill or" because that 104 language is used in Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.030(4)(A) and the Company 105 106 believes it provides more guidance to the customer and the Company. Finally, under "Interest Rate", the Company deleted "Only 3% per annum" and added "equal to the prime 107 lending rate minus two percentage points, but not less than zero, as published in the Wall 108 109 Street Journal for the last business day of the preceding calendar year, compounded annually." This language allows the interest rate to be more current with economic times 110 111 and gives a direct source and support for using that interest rate. This provision is also in 112 the PGA tariff Sheet 11.8.

#### 113 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 8.

In Sheet No. Rule 8, paragraph 9, the Company is proposing to change "shall to "may" and A. 114 added "or (2) gas resold or sub-metered at no mark-up, with prior consent of the Company." 115 116 The Company has had requests from real estate developers or multi-family dwelling building managers to permit the sub-metering of natural gas. This rule change allows the 117 Company to accommodate natural gas usage in certain multi-family developments where 118 it is only practical to have one meter. The added language ensures that such installations 119 occur only with the Company's permission, where they can be accomplished safely, and 120 also ensures that end users are not charged any mark-up on the re-metered gas. These 121 requests are infrequent and may only apply to a couple of unique cases. In practice, the 122 Company does not want to encourage or promote resale or sub meter at no mark up. In 123 Sheet No 8.3, paragraph 11, the Company proposed to change "shall" to "may" in order to 124 give the Company discretion as to when to perform work on customer piping and 125 equipment. This change allows for scheduling flexibility, discretion as to the amount of 126 127 work involved, and credit approval of the customer.

128

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 9.

On Sheet No. R-9, the Company is proposing to add language to provide that at least A. 129 130 annually, the Company shall attempt to secure an actual meter reading from customers 131 reporting their own usage, and if customer fails to report usage to the company, the Company will obtain a meter reading at least annually. This language is consistent with 132 Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.020(3). The additional proposed language to Sheet R-133 9 provides that the Customer shall be solely responsible for the operation, maintenance, 134 and repair of his piping and appliances beyond the meter outlet, and the Company shall 135 have no liability to Customer or any third party for operation, maintenance or repairs 136

beyond the meter outlet. This additional language more explicitly informs customers that
the Company's piping maintenance obligations, and corresponding legal duties, are
determined by facility ownership. The Company believes this clarification will help
reinforce the importance for Customers to maintain their customer-owned piping and
appliance.

142

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 11.

In Sheet No 11.3 and 11.4, the Company changed "from 48 hours to 96 hours" to "at least 143 A. 24 hours." This change was made based, in part, on language found in Commission Rule 144 20 CSR 4240-13.050(5) and (8). This language gives the Company more flexibility to give 145 earlier notice of a potential disconnection. The Company believes 24 hours' notice may be 146 appropriate in some situations, however, as an alternative, the Company proposed 147 amending the language in Sheet No 11.4. The proposed amendments state, "The Company 148 will mail, to all residential customers whose account is in jeopardy of termination on the 149 disconnection date, a notice mailed so that normal postal delivery will be made to the 150 151 customer at least 48 hours preceding potential discontinuance of service."

#### 152 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 19.

The Company has proposed to add "vermin infestation in the attic that affects the integrity 153 A. of the improvements" as part of Sheet No. 19 "Insulation Financing Program." The 154 155 language around financing for remediation of vermin infestation in the attic was added because of the effects on the integrity of the insulation improvements. The Company 156 added this language after hearing direct feedback from some of our Natural Gas Contractor 157 Network partners which provide insulation financing to our customers. The Company added 158 this because this is an issue that would impact the energy efficiency of customers making 159 this investment in their homes. The attic has an important role in regulating the heating, as 160

well as cooling, comfort levels of a customer's home. If vermin compromise this space, a 161 customer's energy efficiency and comfort levels become compromised as well. A 162 customer could see larger energy bills due to losing the efficiency achieved by the 163 insulation for not correcting this issue during the installation. Permitting this work to be 164 financed by the customer offers greater flexibility to the customer and clarifies that any 165 166 work financed to address vermin infestation is intended to not exceed the scope of a minor repair. 167

#### PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 23. 168 0.

The Company proposed to add, "or landlords renting to residential and commercial 169 A. customers of the Company" and added "tenant" and "plumbers" to the language of Rule 170 23, the EnergyWise Dealer Program. The Company added the landlord and tenant 171 172 language to clarify that the EnergyWise Program provides financing to eligible residential and commercial owners of premises served by the Company, regardless of whether the 173 owner occupies that premise. It is common for a gas account to be in the name of the 174 175 tenant, rather than the landlord, so the Company proposed these changes to provide further 176 clarification on eligibility for the program.

#### PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 25. 177 0.

In R-25, the Company deleted a large portion of the Usage Estimating Procedure because 178 A. 179 it was complex in its wording and the formula was not helpful in implementation. The more streamlined version the Company proposed is easier for customers to understand and 180 calculate and offers flexibility to the Company. The proposed changes also align with 20 181 CSR 4240-13.020(2)(C)(1), which requires that a utility that has an estimating procedure 182 in its filed and commission-approved tariffs shall follow that estimating procedure, so the 183 Company proposed such a procedure in its filing. 184

#### 185 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 30.

The Company proposed several changes in this tariff to Rule 30. Spire witness Shaylyn 186 A. Dean provided explanation of this in his direct testimony, pages 3-6. Based on discussions 187 188 with Staff during the discovery process, the Company is providing additional information in support of these modifications. The Company has proposed changes to the Conservation 189 and Energy Efficiency Programs, Sheet No. R-30.7 and Sheet No. R-30.12. The changes 190 to Sheet No. 30.7 are designed primarily to help our C&I customers move forward with 191 both custom and audit projects. After a discussion with our 3<sup>rd</sup> party implementer Applied 192 Energy Group (AEG) we decided to reduce the payback requirement and project buydown 193 from two years to one year to help our C&I customers that are considering energy 194 efficiency projects. Reducing the payback period aligns with the Ameren Missouri C&I 195 196 custom program which also calls for a simple payback to be greater than a 12-month period. The Company is proposing the energy audit changes to make it easier for Non-197 Profit and all other C&I customers to pursue professional energy audits of their buildings 198 199 by increasing the audit incentive and then providing a bonus once customers take action 200 and complete a rebate qualifying energy efficiency recommendation from the audit. In R-30.12, the Company is proposing "any unspent or carryover energy efficiency program 201 202 portfolio funds will be made available for current and/or new programs in the following 203 years."

204

Currently, the Company can only roll over unspent funds from the Multi-Family Low Income Program sub-budget in the following year. Expanding the use of unspent funds to the entire suite of energy efficiency programs provides the Company with an opportunity to develop and fund new programs for the following year, which should provide more

flexibility and benefit to the consumer. The energy efficiency budget is calculated by looking at the rolling average of the Company's gross operating revenues for the previous three years, such that, in some years, the budget is reduced. Any new programs would still need regulatory approval for implementation. Successful programs currently in operation would have access to additional funds and be protected from the same potential budget constraints.

215

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 32.

The Company has proposed updates to the Economic Development Rider, including 216 A. changing rate discount percentages. The Company has proposed the following in regard 217 to Rate Discount: "With respect to the qualified volumes, the commodity or volumetric 218 margin of the sales or transportation rate will be discounted by an average annual amount 219 220 of 40% (currently 20%), provided that such discount shall not exceed 50% (currently 30%) during any contract year." These incentives were designed to encourage program 221 participation. These modifications also bring the program threshold requirements in line 222 223 with Spire Missouri's peer electric utilities. Please see Union Electric Company tariff sheet 224 86.2 The Company currently has very limited participation in the program and believes adjusting these requirements will make the program more viable and more attractive to its 225 226 customers. This rider still has the same revenue limitations or "caps" for this rider as stated 227 in proposed Sheet 32.1 section D, part 3: "The total dollar amount of the incentives 228 provided under this rider EDR shall not exceed one percent (1%) of the Company's 229 jurisdictional gross revenues during each calendar year; provided, however, the Company shall have the right at any time and for good cause shown to seek a modification of this 230 limitation upon application to the Commission." 231

233

## II. Rule Modifications to Maintain Compliance with Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-13

234

#### 235 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 6?

A. Consistent with the rationale for the deletion of the term "due date" in other Rules, as 236 discussed herein, throughout Sheet No. R-6, "Rendering and Payment of Bills," the 237 Company deleted the term "due date", since the Company plans to use "delinquent date" 238 on its bills. Under Section A (2)(c) the Company deleted "under no circumstances shall" 239 and added "shall not" so that this language is consistent with Commission Rule 20 CSR 240 4242-13.020(c)(3). The Company also proposed adding "except by agreement with the 241 customer" to create an exception that allows the Company to better meet the needs and 242 desires of its customers on an individual basis. This proposed rule change therefore 243 provides more flexibility for the consumer and permits the Company to maintain 244 compliance with the Commission's rules. 245

246

On Sheet No. R-6.3, the Company proposed to add language to the type of taxes included and to allow flexibility for billing. The Company also removed the phrase "requires supervisory approval" for modification of questionable meter readings. Similarly, on Sheet No. R-6.4, the Company removed the requirement of supervisory approval to promote efficiency and flexibility in serving the customers. The Company further proposed clean up language regarding notice on the bill. Finally, the Company removed a paragraph that discussed a third modification, which stated that such modification was prohibited.

254

The Company proposed these modifications because it wanted to eliminate a manual review process. Previously, the representative would review an account, enter an office estimate (modification), and then the account/office estimate would then need to be reviewed by a supervisor prior to transitioning back to the representative to bill the customer. The process proposed by the Company is far more efficient. The deleted paragraph is not required by Chapter 13, and since third modifications are not allowed by this paragraph the Company believed it was better to remove it from the Rule altogether.

#### 262 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 18.

A. The Company changed "will" to "may" in paragraph 23, Sheet No. R-18, Collection Trip Charge. This change is consistent with 20 CSR 4240-13.050(12) which provides that a utility may charge the customer a reasonable fee for restoration of service if permitted in the utility's tariff. This language provides flexibility to both the Company and to the customers.

#### 268 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 22.

A. The Company made changes to Rule 22 "Cold Weather Maintenance" in order to be
consistent with Chapter 13 and within the Company's Rule. These changes included
adding "registered elderly or disabled" to Sheet No. 22 and changing Missouri Family
Support Division to Division of Family Services on Sheet No. 22.1, to better match 20 CSR
4240-13.055 (3)(E).

#### 274 III. Proposed Modifications to Continue the Transition to One Spire Missouri

275

#### 276 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 7.

A. As discussed in depth in the Company's application in this proceeding, in Spire's last rate
case for legacy Laclede and MGE (GR-2017-2015) Spire began the transition to one Spire
Missouri Inc. As such, there was a consolidation of rules and regulations for both service
territories. The Company proposed changing the name of Sheet No. R-7 from "Adjustment"

for Heat Content (formerly applicable to Spire East Only)" to "Automated Meter Reading 281 Opt-Out Charge." This change further brings the Rules and Regulations under one Spire 282 Missouri Inc. Further, this Rule allows residential customers the option to refuse the 283 installation of remotely-read metering, or to request the removal of previously installed 284 remotely-read metering. In these circumstances, standard metering equipment will be 285 286 installed that requires a manual meter read. The proposed modifications to Rule 7 provide a mechanism for the Company to bill customers who desire a manual meter read. The 287 Company also proposed a modification to this Rule to no longer adjust BTUs. The 288 Company is proposing to use CCF as the unit of measure. This proposal is similar to opt-289 out provisions currently approved by the Missouri Public Service Commission for electric 290 utilities. 291

292

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 13.

On Sheet No R-13, the Company proposes to delete paragraph 16 A: "When gas is being 293 A. supplied to any customer, and Company receives notice that such customer intends to 294 295 vacate the premises occupied, the Company shall promptly, but in no event later than four 296 days (excluding Sundays and holidays) following such vacation, or if said notice of vacation is received by Company after date of vacation, Company shall promptly, but in 297 298 no event later than four days (excluding Sundays and holidays) following date of said 299 notice, shut off the gas supply to the premises; provided, however, that Company may 300 continue gas supply to the premises if requested by the succeeding customer. The owner 301 or other person in charge of such premises shall make access to the premises available to Company at all hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. or at any time in case of emergency, 302 303 so that such cut off may be made. Also, in the former paragraph 16 B, the Company proposes to delete, "at all hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 pm." 304

Legacy MGE did not have the current Sheet No. R-13, 16 A provisions included under 305 "Company Inspections", which further supports the Company's proposed modification. 306 Another reason the Company removed 16A is because it is not applicable to the Company's 307 inspections. The Rule was therefore not appropriate for this section of the Rules and 308 Regulations. The Company deleted the specific hours to match the changes in R-3 309 definitions of "work day" and "business day" and this deletion allow more flexibility to 310 serve the customers. The Company has found through experience that the legacy MGE 311 rule results in fewer unnecessary shut-offs. This provides significant additional 312 convenience for customers, and reduced cost to the Company 313

314

## IV. Proposed Modifications to More Accurately Reflect Current Economics or Revisions to Rate Classes

315 316

#### 317 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 15.

In Sheet No. R-15.1, Section 19 C, the Company proposed to delete, "Overhead A. 318 construction costs include administrative and general salaries and expenses, charges for 319 injuries and damages, pensions, and other fringe benefits. Overheads transferred to 320 construction are determined based on the percentage that construction payroll bears to total 321 322 payroll and are distributed to construction work orders on a percentage allocation basis." The Company proposed to add, "Variable indirect costs include vehicle and equipment 323 charges, materials handling charges and other costs that increase due to increased 324 construction activity." The Company, in Section 19 D, changed "175 feet" to "250 feet" 325 and changed "\$1,000 per customer" to \$2,000 per customer." 326

327

The change to Section 19 C was made to clarify the section. The proposed language is meant to update the tariff to reflect the actual costs associated with adding a new customers

and to align the tariff with the parameters included in the Company's economic evaluation 330 model. The prior language included costs that will be incurred regardless of adding a new 331 customer. The changes to Section 19 D were made to increase the footage allowance up 332 to Spire's internal 9.01% hurdle rate for 2" plastic. This analysis accounts for the increase 333 in cost per foot since the rule was first enacted. The move to \$2,000 is the investment level 334 335 that remains economic for a low use customer, and still meets the Company's required hurdle rate. This analysis has not been updated in many years. 336

#### PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO RULE 17. 337 0.

The Company proposed changes to Sheet No. R-17 and its Curtailment Steps. 338 A. The Company deleted "interruptible and basic transportation customers" in Step 1. The 339 Company proposed to delete Step 2, "All sales service to both firm sales customers and 340 341 firm transportation customers with alternate fuel capabilities to be curtailed to the extent of such alternate fuels. In the former Step 5, now Step 4, the Company added transportation 342 customers. This language reflects the Company's proposed changes to rate classes in this 343 344 rate case.

#### 345

#### PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 24. 0.

In Rule 24, Budget Billing Plan, the Company deleted "commercial and industrial" and 346 A. "General Services, Seasonal Services or General L.P. Services rate schedules" and added 347 "general service and general L.P" to R-24 because the large commercial and industrial 348 customer classes have been removed. Historically, those customers have had limited 349 participation in the program. 350

#### **Q**. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULE 33. 351

The Company proposed changes to Rule 33, Negotiated Gas Service Rider, which have A. 352 potential benefits to multiple entities. The Company proposed adding the following 353

sentence to Paragraph A, "Third, the tariff can also be used for grid resiliency, distributed 354 generation, and emergency back-up systems." I discussed these themes in my direct 355 testimony, at pages 25-27. The Company believes promoting grid resiliency, distributed 356 generation, or emergency back-up systems is beneficial to the customer, Company, and the 357 State of Missouri because it contributes to the reduction in emissions and improving energy 358 359 resiliency. This additional tariff provision would allow for two scenarios. First, it would assist in developing rates to support grid resiliency, distributed generation, and emergency 360 back-up systems. The second scenario would be the flip side to that and would offer 361 negotiated rates if customers were going to leave Spire as a customer or substantially 362 reduce their load with on site energy. The second scenario would fufill the purpose of the 363 NGSR by allowing the Company to meet specific competitive threats, which if not 364 responded to would result in lost margin to the Company and its customers. These benefits 365 and costs need to be analyzed to see if a negotiated rate is justified. 366

# 367 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING A CARBON NEUTRAL INITIATIVE 368 SPECIMEN TARIFF?

A. Yes, originally in my direct testimony Spire proposed a carbon neutral initiative program.
After discussion with Staff, the Company and Staff concluded that a carbon neutral tariff
would be helpful in visualizing and analyzing the proposed program. Please see schedule
SAW-2 for the carbon neutral specimen tariff that is near identical to the carbon neutral
program proposed in my direct testimony.

# 374 Q. DID THE COMPANY PROPOSE A COMBINED HEAT AND POWER PROGRAM 375 AS PART OF ITS INITIAL FILING?

A. Yes. In my direct testimony, Spire proposed a combined heat and power program ("CHP").
This program was included in the initial filing because the Missouri Department of Energy
proposed such a program late in the process of the Company's last rate case and the
Commission demonstrated an interest in the parties continuing discussions on such a
program.

#### 381 Q. IS THE COMPANY FILING A TARIFF FOR ITS PROPOSED CHP PROGRAM?

A. No. After reviewing the list of Intervenors in this case and after discussions with Staff about the proposed CHP program, the Company has discussed and analyzed its proposal further. Ultimately, the Company believes this topic is more appropriate for a working docket where all industry participants are able to actively participate and discuss whether such a program can be successfully done in the state of Missouri.

# 387 Q. IS THERE ANY FINANCIAL IMPACT AS A RESULT OF THE COMPANY 388 WITHDRAWING ITS PROPOSED CHP PROGRAM?

389 A. No.

#### **390 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

391 A. Yes, it does.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s W Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service Provided in the Company's Missouri Service Areas.	Verified ) ) ) ) ) )	Case No. GR-2021-0108
	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>	
STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.

CITY OF ST. LOUIS

Scott A. Weitzel, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. My name is Scott A. Weitzel. I am the Managing Director, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs for Spire Missouri Inc. My business address is 700 Market St., St Louis, Missouri, 63101.

)

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Supplemental Direct Testimony on behalf of Spire Missouri Inc. for the above referenced case.

3. Under penalty of perjury, I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Scott A. Weitzel

Scott A. Weitzel

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021.

P.S.C. MO. No.

Specimen Tariff

SHEET No.

Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a/ Spire

For: Spire Missouri

#### VOLUNTARY CARBON NEUTRAL INITIATIVE OFFERING (CNI)

#### A. Purpose:

This Carbon Neutral Initiative (CNI) is a voluntary carbon offset program established to assist Spire's customers' efforts to participate in environmental sustainability and to meet the customers' desire to protect the environment. Because our customers have told us that the environment is important to them, Spire has decided to offer a voluntary carbon offset program for natural gas usage.

#### B. Description:

Primary Program:

Spire will partner with Forest ReLeaf of Missouri (ReLeaf), a non-profit organization in St. Louis, Missouri, to initiate this program because ReLeaf is a catalyst for restoring and sustaining our urban forests. ReLeaf operates the only nonprofit community-assisted tree nursery in the St. Louis region. The customer charges collected under this program will allow ReLeaf to plant trees in Missouri to offset a customer's approximate annual natural gas carbon footprint over the 30-year life of the trees. The proposed tree-based carbon sequestration will be verified by i-Tree, a state-of-the-art, peer-reviewed software suite from the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service that provides urban and rural forestry analysis and benefits assessment tools. The i-Tree tools can help strengthen forest management and advocacy efforts by quantifying forest structure and the environmental benefits that trees provide.

#### Supplemental Programs:

The Company will only be allowed to charge customers a monthly rate identified in customer eligibility and charges identified below. If other carbon offset or technologies become economically viable or have the potential to help environmental efforts in Missouri, then the Company can use program funds to offset customers carbon footprint by investing in:

-Financial carbon offsets

-Investing in mechanical, filtration, chemical, facility bolt on, storage, or other technologies used to sequester or eliminate carbon output

-Direct air capture

-Land restoration

-Algae farms or microalgae

-Small scale renewable natural gas

-Other technologies not yet identified

#### C. Customer Eligibility and Charges:

This program is available to residential, commercial and industrial customers.

Residential customers:

Can elect to participate in CNI by checking a box on their bill, or by signing-up on the Spire MyAccount portal. The monthly customer charges for customers who elect to participate will be \$4 per month starting on the effective date of this program. The monthly charge includes the price of the trees, planting, and 20% in administration and marketing costs. Customers will be locked into program prices that follow the Company's fiscal year.

Customer charges could increase to \$6 per month in 2023, and \$10 per month in 2024 or until the Company's next general rate case due to material, inflation, or other cost increases. Any program increases will require customers to voluntary re-enroll in the program.

#### Commercial and Industrial Customers:

Customized programs can be offered for commercial and industrial customers. Costs and program details will be filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") and the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") 30 days prior to customer participation.

#### D. Reporting:

By December 31 of each year, the Company proposes to submit to Staff and OPC an annual report that includes: (i) the level of customer participation; (ii) the level of funds generated by the voluntary participants; (iii) type and amount of offset the investments made; and (iv) the amount of carbon offset resulting from program participation

#### DATE OF ISSUE:

DATE EFFECTIVE:

ISSUED BY: Scott Weitzel, Managing Director, Regulatory & Legislative Affairs Spire Missouri Inc., St. Louis, MO. 63101