# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Amend
Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-20.065

) File No. EX-2009-0267

#### STAFF FISCAL NOTE REVIEW MEMORANDUM

Comes now the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and states:

- 1. In the Memorandum attached as Appendix A, the Staff advises the Commission that the Staff conducted a review of the fiscal estimate due to the rule amended in this rulemaking case, and has determined that no fiscal estimate change need be filed with the Secretary of State.
- 2. The rule amended in this case implements the Net Metering And Easy Connection Act (Section 386.890 RSMo. Cum. Supp. 2010) which establishes standards for interconnection of qualified net metering units with distribution systems of electrical corporations. The Commission's Order of Rulemaking appeared in the September 1, 2009 *Missouri Register*, Volume 34, Number 17, amends rule 4 CSR 240-20.065 effective on October 30, 2009.
- 3. Section 536.200.2 RSMo. 2000 requires agencies to make a filing with the Secretary of State if, after the first full year after implementation, the cost of the rule exceeds the agency estimate. The first full fiscal year after the rule became effective was the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011.

Wherefore, the Staff files the attached Memorandum wherein the Staff states its conclusion that no fiscal estimate change needs to be filed with the Secretary of State for Rule 4 CSR 240-20.065.

1

Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ Steven Dottheim

Steven Dottheim Chief Deputy Staff Counsel Missouri Bar No. 29149

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7489 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
steve.dottheim@psc.mo.gov

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing filing of Staff Fiscal Note Review Memorandum was served via e-mail on this 5th day of July, 2011on counsel for all entities that submitted comments in this docket.

/s/	Steven	Dottheim	

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Case File for Case No. EX-2009-0267

FROM: Lena Mantle, on Behalf of the Commission Staff

SUBJECT: Rule 4 CSR 240-20.065, Accuracy of Cost Estimates for Rule Implementation –

Compliance with the Requirements of GP-1 of the Commission's General Procedures

DATE: July 5, 2011

The Commission Staff has investigated the cost of implementing the rule adopted by the Commission through this case, and reports that it has not discovered any information that would show that the cost estimate published in the *Missouri Register* was inaccurate in connection with the implementation of the changes to the Net Metering Rule 4 CSR 240-20.065, in Case No. EX-2009-0267.

Additionally, the Staff reports that it has not received any information from any party potentially or actually affected by the implementation of the subject rule that would show that the cost estimate published in the *Missouri Register* was inaccurate.

The Commission's General Procedure GP-1 (GP-1) requires, among other things, that within 30 days before the end of the first full fiscal year after the implementation of a rule, amendment or rescission, that the Staff is to investigate whether the cost to all affected entities, including the Commission, has exceeded by ten percent or more the estimated cost in the fiscal note, or, where appropriate, has exceeded five hundred dollars.

GP-1 also requires the Staff to prepare a memorandum showing the results of its investigation within thirty (30) days after the end of the first full fiscal year of the implementation of the subject rule, amendment or rescission. If the Staff investigation shows that the costs have not exceeded ten percent for all entities or, where appropriate, the estimated five hundred dollars, Staff's Memorandum shall be entered into EFIS under the rulemaking's docket number.

The Staff's response regarding the accuracy of the published cost estimates is within the time frame specified by Section 536.200.2, RSMo 2000. This statute requires publication in the *Missouri Register* of a report of any excess cost over estimated cost, or cost over five hundred dollars, where appropriate, within 90 days after the close of the "first full fiscal year" after the implementation of the subject rule, amendment or rescission. The change in the rule that was the subject of this case was effective on October 30, 2009. The first full fiscal year after implementation of the rules thus ended on June 30, 2011. Accordingly, September 28, 2011 would represent the 90-day expiration period for the publication of a report regarding excess cost information in the *Missouri Register*. Since the Staff's investigation indicates that the published cost estimates related to the changes in the rule have not been exceeded, no *Missouri Register* publication is required under Section 536.200.2, RSMo 2000.