FILED
June 28, 2023
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No. 12

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Quality of Service; Reliability
Witness: Robert Baker
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Grain Belt Express LLC
File No.: EA-2023-0017
Date Testimony Prepared: May 15, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO.

EA-2023-0017

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROBERT BAKER

 \mathbf{ON}

BEHALF OF

GRAIN BELT EXPRESS LLC

MAY 15, 2023

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	3
II.	Response to Staff Witness Claire Eubanks	5
	Response to Staff Witness Shawn Lange	
	Conclusion	

I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 O. Please state your name, present position and business address.
- A. My name is Robert Baker. I am a Director in the Energy, Sustainability &
- 4 Infrastructure Practice at Guidehouse, Inc. ("Guidehouse"). My business address is 100 King St
- 5 W, Suite 4950, Toronto, ON M5X 1B1.
- 6 Q. What are your duties and responsibilities in your present position?
- A. In my role as Director at Guidehouse, I am responsible for developing work streams
- 8 and managing teams in areas of sustainable power system strategy and communicating this strategy
- 9 within the industry. I provide strategic direction, encourage the exploration of system efficiency
- and innovation, direct research, and support the development of a reliable and resilient power
- 11 systems.

- 12 Q. Please describe your education and relevant professional background.
- 13 A. I graduated from the University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan with a
- 14 Bachelor of Electrical Engineering in Power System Studies. I hold professional engineering
- licenses in two provinces, and I am a member of the IEEE.
- In my role as Director at Guidehouse, I have provided consulting services to numerous key
- energy files which can be represented under four main headings: Transmission Planning and
- 18 Energy Transition; Policy Development and FERC Order Reviews; Renewable Development and
- 19 Offshore Wind Development; and Interconnection Queue Management and Resource
- 20 Connections. Even though these four distinct areas address major industry initiatives, as can be
- 21 expected, each of these areas can overlap with other areas. For example, I led a team in developing
- an approach for a developer who was seeking guidance on how to connect offshore wind farms to
- onshore power system facilities. The connection process included evaluating FERC 1000 and 2003
- 24 (both under NOPR) as well as transmission planning, and resource interconnections. Other projects

1 included renewable connections, subscriber line analysis for competitive transmission projects,

and full system net zero analysis and long-term costing of infrastructure to achieve a low carbon

outcome. Prior to working at Guidehouse, I have served within the power industry in three different

4 capacities: the utility sector, within an ISO, and as a consultant.

For the past 14 years, I have held senior leadership roles in consulting companies. In these roles I have led teams of professionals in areas of transmission planning, energy transition, system reliability and asset management, and specialty studies such as geomagnetic disturbance investigations and power system loss evaluations.

Prior to consulting, I assisted in the development of the Transmission Administrator (now AESO) in Alberta. Following the implementation of FERC 888 and 889, and the introduction of competitive wholesale markets, I developed novel policy and procedural approaches for planning, operations, forecasting, project management, technical analysis, and ancillary services. I worked in these areas during my time with the company. The approaches employed in these areas included congestion management principles, the integration of new generation, competitive transmission models, and regulatory applications.

Finally, my utility experience included the planning and execution of transmission infrastructure such as lines, real time operational support, and commissioning of new operations systems.

Q. Please describe your background in performing reliability and resiliency studies.

I have performed numerous reliability studies within the utility and ISO contexts. Many of the transmission studies I have performed or led have resulted in projects being developed and constructed. Further, following the implementation of FERC 888 and 889, I assisted in developing the first Alberta reliability management programs under NERC within the Western System

- 1 Coordinating Council (WSCC, now WECC). The scope of the reliability assessments included 2 outage rates on lines to system wide investigations. 3 Q. Have you previously submitted testimony in this proceeding? 4 No, I have not. 5 What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony? Q. 6 A. I am adopting the Direct Testimony of Anthony Petti and I am responding to 7 sections of the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Claire Eubanks, PE and Shawn Lange, PE 8 relating to the Guidehouse Report. 9 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review Mr. Petti's Direct Testimony? 10 A. Yes, I have. 11 Q. And if you were asked the same questions posed in Mr. Petti's Direct Testimony, 12 would your answers be the same as those provide by Mr. Petti? Yes, they would be. 13 A. 14 Q. Are you sponsoring any schedules or exhibits as part of your surrebuttal testimony? 15 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits/schedules: 16 Schedule RB-01 – Response to Staff DR No. 43 II. RESPONSE TO STAFF WITNESS CLAIRE EUBANKS 17 18 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the sections of the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff 19 Witness Claire Eubanks, PE relating to the Guidehouse Report? Yes, I have. 20 A. 21 Can you summarize her remarks? 0.
- A. Ms. Eubanks questions several assumptions included in the Guidehouse Report
 (Schedule AP-2 to my Direct Testimony). Notably, Ms. Eubanks questions whether it is fair for

- 1 the report to assume that the transmission line will be operated bi-directionally. Ms. Eubanks also
- 2 questions whether the Guidehouse Report properly accounts for Long-Range Transmission
- 3 Planning (LRTP) projects in its calculations of benefits to MISO load resource zones. Ms. Eubanks
- 4 also addresses questions regarding the economic retirement of coal-plants in Missouri, the ability
- 5 of the Grain Belt Express Project to influence MISO auction prices, and the potential of VSC type
- 6 HVDC assets to provide system restoration or black start services.

- Q. Do you agree with Ms. Eubank's statement regarding overstating the value of the project with respect to bi-directional capability?
 - A. No, it is not reasonable to discount or deny future potential benefits on the grounds that energy contracts do not now exist as it is difficult to secure commercial contracts to deliver or transport energy without entitlements including the approval of this Commission. The Guidehouse report was developed to estimate potential future benefits of the Project with two key assumptions:

 (1) the necessary interconnection agreements and other contracts are in place to enable the Project's stated injection capacities at planned points of interconnection; and (2) incremental capital investments are made to enable future bi-directional HVDC operations. Allowances related to incremental capital additions to enable future flexibility related to system costs and reliability is a reasonable approach. For more information on Grain Belt Express' plans for bidirectional flow, see the Section III of the Rebuttal Testimony of Aaron White and Section V of the Rebuttal Testimony of Carlos Rodriguez. The Guidehouse Report is written to estimate the future value of the Project to Missouri, and other states, assuming the full scope of the Project is approved as proposed. With these assumptions in place, the Guidehouse estimated benefits are reasonable and not overstated.

Q. Ms. Eubank's states project does not necessarily hedge against future capacity needs for Missouri because there is no requirement that utilities in Missouri procure future capacity from projects. Does this impact the value of the Project?

- A. Yes, conceivably Grain Belt Express is affected and could be more valuable in the future. Even though there is no requirement for utilities to procure future capacity from any particular project, once projects like Grain Belt Express have been constructed, utilities and ISO's have always sought to extract maximum value from these, and in fact, all facilities to increase reliability and reduce costs.
- In a similar way, the Rush Island coal plant referenced by Ms. Eubanks creates a stronger case for building the project as proposed. In October of 2022 FERC accepted the filing of the System Support Resource (SSR) agreement between MISO and Ameren Missouri. In the filing MISO indicated that the ". . . Rush Island SSR Agreement is a just and reasonable agreement to ensure transmission system reliability in the MISO region by maintaining the continued availability of Rush Island Unit Nos. 1 and 2. . ." FERC's acceptance of this filing supports the argument that planned and future retirement of legacy coal fired power stations put system reliability at risk and resources such as the Project provide needed import capacity to ensure reliability of the bulk electric system.
- Q. Ms. Eubanks questions the ability of the Project to lower MISO capacity auction prices due to the types of resources proposed to utilize the Grain Belt Express transmission path. How do you respond?

¹ Order Accepting Filings re: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc, Docket No. ER22-2691, *available at* https://elibrary.ferc.gov/eLibrary/filelist?accession_number=20221024-3065&optimized=false

A. The wind and solar resources in southern Kansas, based on annual observations,
are robust. The contribution of these renewable resources from Kansas to the MISO capacity
market, are expected to provide additional benefits which are difficult to access or reproduce
within MISO. Regarding the question related to lowering auction prices, Ms. Eubanks incorrectly
assumes resources from Kansas will be attributed at the MISO fleet wide average and not to their
contribution during peak times. As such, the injection of these higher quality resources is expected
to provide downward pressure on costs. Further, enhancements within Kansas such as energy
storage can be enacted to increase the availability of these resources. Finally, the provision of
power during the morning or afternoon ramp within MISO, to take advantage of the time zone
differences, provides a level of coverage which addresses daily or seasonal peaks and will also
have a beneficial effect on prices.

- Q. Do you agree with Ms. Eubank's statement that additional studies will be required for the VSCs to be designated as a black-start resource?
 - A. Yes. Please refer to Guidehouse's response to Staff Data Request No. 0043, attached hereto as Schedule RB-1. In the response to this Data Request, we describe the processes and agreements necessary for the Project to provide the potential system restoration capabilities described in the Guidehouse Report.

18 III. RESPONSE TO STAFF WITNESS SHAWN LANGE

- 19 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the sections of the Rebuttal Testimony of
 20 Staff Witness Shawn Lange, PE relating to the Guidehouse Report?
- A. Yes, I have.

22 Q. Can you summarize his remarks?

A. Mr. Lange discusses the MISO LRTP process and a recent approval of the Tranche 1 portfolio of projects which are intended to address economic and reliability needs for the MISO system. He provides analysis and commentary regarding the Tranche 1 portfolio and potentially overlapping benefits between the Project. Mr. Lange also discusses economic analysis performed by other Invenergy consultants and outstanding requests for FERC technical conferences to discuss overlapping benefits of regional and interregional transmission planning processes.

Q. How do you respond?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. Mr. Lange states that the assumptions made by Guidehouse overstate known impacts on capacity auction prices because current contracts do not interconnect into MISO. I would like to reiterate that the Guidehouse report utilizes several future state assumptions including the presumption that relevant commercial agreements are executed. Given the testimony of Mr. Sane in this docket, which indicates the existence of several Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) related to interest in securing transmission capacity from the project, this is a reasonable assumption to include in the Guidehouse report. Assuming the necessary contracts and interconnection agreements are executed, the PRA benefits described in the Guidehouse report are durable regardless of the LRTP Tranche 1 portfolio. As stated by Mr. Lange, when sufficient capacity is not offered at auction the clearing price will be equal to the Cost of New Entry (CONE); this is consistent with assumptions made in the Guidehouse report and occurred during the 2022-2023 MISO PRA. Figure 21 in Mr. Lange's testimony illustrates that 2022-2023 PRA prices were \$233.78 higher in MISO Zones in the North, (Zones 1-7) and then they were in the South (Zones 8-10) indicating more available capacity in the South and a shortage in the north. It is worth noting that none of the Tranche 1 projects in the figure provided in Mr. Lange's testimony illustrate a new

- path to connect low-cost resources in Zones 8-10 to higher cost Zones in MISO's Northern region.
- 2 Tranche 1 LRTP projects will strengthen connections between high priced Zones 1-7.

3 Q. If the MISO LTRP Tranche 1 Portfolio is put into service as proposed, will the PRA

4 savings estimated by Guidehouse be reduced or eliminated?

- A. Because the Tranche 1 Portfolio does not a provide a new transmission path between low-cost resources in MISO south (Zones 8-10) and high-cost northern zones (1-7) it is reasonable to project that PRA savings generated by the Project will be sustained regardless of the Tranche 1 Portfolio. While this cannot be confirmed definitively without performing detailed power flow and production cost analyses in which both the Grain Belt Express and Tranche 1 projects are included, some of these studies have been performed by Invenergy Transmission and its consultants (ICF) and are discussed further in the Sane and Lange testimonies of this docket. The ICF studies indicate that modeling the Grain Belt Express project with the Tranche 1 Portfolio increases overall benefits to MISO customers. The Grain Belt Express project is providing an injection of incremental low-cost capacity that can participate in MISO capacity auctions which may be delivered to load serving entities through a transmission network enhanced by the Tranche 1 Portfolio. Consistent with the ICF study results, the net impact to customers estimated by Guidehouse may be even greater with the Tranche 1 Portfolio in service because transmission congestion between MISO Zones 1-7 could be reduced, but this must be confirmed through further technical study.
- 20 IV. CONCLUSION
- 21 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt)	
Express LLC for an Amendment to its Certificate)	
of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to)	
Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and		File No. EA-2023-0017
Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current		
Transmission Line and Associated Converter)	
Station)	

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT BAKER

- 1. My name is Robert Baker, and I am a Director at Guidehouse, Inc. My business address is 100 King St W Suite 4950, Toronto, ON M5X 1B1, Canada.
- 2. I have read the above and foregoing Rebuttal Testimony and the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.
- 3. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Robert Baker

Director

Guidehouse, Inc.

Date: May 12, 2023

Robert Baker