

Commissioners

KARL ZOBRIST Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

SHEILA LUMPE

CONNIE MURRAY

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number)

573-526-5695 (TT) http://www.ccodev.state.mo.us/psc/

June 16, 1997

CECIL I. WRIGHT Executive Secretary SAM GOLDAMMER Director, Utility Operations GORDON L. PERSINGER

Director, Policy & Planning
VACANT
Director, Utility Services
DONNA M. KOLILIS
Director, Administration
DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Chief Administrative Law Judge

JUN 1 6 1997

SELVICE COMMISSIONER

Mr. Cecil I. Wright
Executive Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

Case No. EO-97-493

Union Electric and Consolidated Electric Cooperative

Dear Mr. Wright:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are the original and fourteen (14) conformed copies of REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF B. J. WASHBURN.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

ECEIVE

JUN 16 1997

Records Dic Service Commission

DW/sm Enclosures

cc: Counsel of Record

Sincerely_yours,

David Woodsmall

Senior Counsel

Missouri Bar No. 40747

573-751-8700

573-751-9285 (Fax)

Exhibit No.:

ssue: Territorial Agreement

Witness: B.J. Washburn

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Case No.: EO-97-493

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION POLICY AND PLANNING DIVISION

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
AND
CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE

CASE NO. EO-97-493

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

B. J. WASHBURN

Jefferson City, Missouri June, 1997

Tate 718197 Case No.ED-97-493

1	11				
1 2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
4	OF				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	B. J. WASHBURN				
9	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY AND CONSOLIDATED ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.				
10 11 12	CASE NO. EO-97-493				
13	Q. Please	e state your name and business address.			
14	A. B.J. V	Vashburn, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Mo. 65102.			
15	Q. By w	nom are you employed and in what capacity?			
16	A. I am e	employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission as Manager,			
17	Policy and Federal Affairs Department.				
18	Q. Please	e describe your educational and professional background.			
19	A. I rece	ived a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering			
20	from the University of Missouri-Columbia in 1963. I was employed by Missouri Public Service				
21	Company from 1963 until 1970. Since 1970, I have been employed by the Public Service				
22	Commission. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Missouri. My				
23	registration number is E-13060.				
24	Q. Have	you previously testified before this Commission?			
25	A. Yes, 1	have.			
26	Q. Are ye	ou familiar with Case No. EO-97-493?			
27	A. Yes, I	am.			
28	Q. Wnat	is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?			
29	A. I will	discuss the proposed territorial agreement which designates			
İ					

the boundaries between Union Electric Company (UE) and Consolidated Electric Cooperative (Consolidated or Cooperative) (collectively referred to as Applicants).

- Q. Does the application meet the Commission's requirements as set out in 4 CSR 240-2.060 (10)?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Please describe this application.
- A. The application is for approval of a Territorial Agreement

 (Agreement) which will specifically designate the boundaries of the exclusive service territory between UE and Consolidated for service of new structures in Audrain, Monroe, and Randolph Counties in Missouri which are more particularly described in the Agreement.
 - Q. Are there exceptions to the service territory?
- A. Yes. Specific areas were retained in the proposed service area of the current supplier because of the investment in resources. The Cooperative has kept large industrial loads, 5 subdivisions and two trailer parks. Likewise, UE will continue to serve three subdivisions. Each exception is listed in the Agreement.
- Q. Did the Applicants file a metes and bounds description in the Application?
- A. Yes, a metes and bounds description of the proposed service area and maps were filed in the Application.
- Q. In your opinion, do the maps and the metes and bounds descriptions specifically designate the boundary between UE and Consolidated?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Will any customers or facilities be exchanged between the Applicants as a part of this agreement?

A. No.

Q. Do any of these territories overlap areas specified as exclusive areas in other territorial agreements?

A. Yes. In discussions with both UE and Consolidated personnel, the Consolidated / UE Agreement and the Macon Electric Cooperative/UE Agreement (MoPSC Case No. EO-97-6) were being negotiated during the same time frame and boundary lines were being adjusted in each case which resulted in overlaps of area.

Q. Does this create a problem?

A. No, not as far as Applicants are concerned. Both applicants have stated in their testimony that they understand this agreement will have no effect on the service rights of other providers in the area covered by this agreement. Section 394.312 (5) RSMo 1995 states: "Commission approval of any territorial agreement entered into under the provisions of this section shall in no way affect or diminish the rights and duties of any supplier not a party to the agreement or of any electrical corporation authorized by law to provide service within the boundaries designated in such territorial agreement." In the future UE will attempt to coordinate the boundaries if they have multiple agreements underway at the same time. Staff realizes that territorial agreements are fragile, in that any electrical supplier is reluctant to give up territory and once boundary lines are agreed to, any additional change may put the agreement at risk.

Q. Do you want to highlight any of these conflicts?

I	ı
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	l
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

A. Yes. In the Macon/UE Agreement nearly all of the northern 1/3 of Randolph County is designated as being Macon Electric's exclusive territory and in this application, all of Randolph County is designated as UE's exlusive area. In Monroe County, the area generally agrees, but there are some differences in the boundary line. UE will have two separate service areas which are slightly different as result of the two Agreements.

- Q. Are there any intervenors in this case?
- A. No.
- Q. Have the Applicants provided a case-by-case addendum procedure in the agreement to handle exceptions?
 - A. Yes. A case-by-case provision is included in the Agreement.
- Q. Are there any other electrical corporations in addition to UE authorized to provide service within the area covered by the Agreement?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What is your recommendation in this case?
- A. I recommend this application be approved. Staff believes this

 Agreement is not detrimental to the public interest. Defining the boundary line between
 these two suppliers will reduce future duplication of facilities and allow both suppliers
 to plan their distribution systems in a rational manner, knowing they will be serving all
 customers within their designated service areas.
 - Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

21

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application Electric Company and Conso Cooperative for Approval of Agreement Designating the Electric Service Supplier with Monroe and Randolph Count	lidated Electric a Written Territorial Boundaries of Each ain Portions of Audrain,))))	Case No. EO-97-493			
AFFIDAVIT OF B. J. WASHBURN						
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss.					
B. J. Washburn, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony, in question and answer form, consisting of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.						
	-	60	Mastillere B. J. Washburn			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of June, 1997. Severly S. Schnen Notary Public						
My Commission Expires:	BEVERLYS NOTARY PUBLIC ST. CALLAWAY MY COMMISSION FOR	LEHMEN ATE OF MISCOUI COUNTY	1			