EXHIBIT NO.
ISSUE: PUBLIC INTEREST
WITNESS: BYRON L. JAHN
TYPE OF EXHIBIT:
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
SPONSOR: CONSOLIDATED
ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE
CASE NO. EO-97-493

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. E0-97-493

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BYRON L. JAHN

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

Date 1115 90 Case No. Eb. 97-493 Recorter DJO

- Q. What is your name?
- A. Byron L. Jahn.
- Q. By whom are you employed?
 - A. Consolidated Electric Cooperative.
 - Q. In what capacity are you employed?
 - A. I am the General Manager.
 - Q. Are you the same Byron L. Jahn that filed direct testimony in this matter on behalf of Consolidated Electric Cooperative.
 - A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Have you reviewed the rebuttal testimony of Staff Witness B. J. Washburn?
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Are there any items of Mr. Washburn's testimony with which you would like to comment?
- A. Yes. Mr. Washburn at pages 3-4 of his rebuttal testimony states that portions of the territories in this agreement overlap areas specified as exclusive areas in other territorial agreements. Mr. Washburn points out that the Macon/Union Electric Territorial Agreement designates nearly all of the northern one third of Randolph County as being the service area which Union Electric will not serve in, but Macon will. In this Agreement, Consolidated as agreed not to serve in any portion of Randolph County.

In Monroe County, nearly one third or the northwest corner is the area which Union Electric will not service,

but Macon will, with the rest of the County being served by Union Electric. In this Agreement, there is a common boundary line along the southern Macon line and the northern Union Electric line with some deviation.

R

While it appears that those territory boundaries overlap, because territorial agreements have no effect on anyone not a party to them, the practical effect is that the combination of the Macon Electric/Union Electric Territorial Agreement and the Consolidated/Union Electric Territorial Agreement results in Union Electric being restricted to serving in less territory than is designated in either one of the agreements.

- Q. Could you provide an example of what you mean when the practical effect of the agreements is to limit UE's actual territory versus the designated boundary lines?
- A. Yes. In Randolph County, the Macon/UE Territorial Agreement provides that UE will not serve in nearly one-third of the northern part of the County. It provides that Macon will not serve in the southern two-thirds of the County. The Consolidated/UE agreement provides that Consolidated will not to serve in any area of Randolph County. The combination of the agreements lets UE serve the southern two-thirds of Randolph County without competition from either Consolidated or Macon. Macon can serve the northern one-third of Randolph County without competition from UE or Consolidated. The Cooperatives agreements with UE are merely promises by Cooperatives

not to serve in certain areas. UE, by two agreements, has received the promise of two cooperatives not to serve the same territory. Consolidated, by this agreement, does not promise UE exclusive territory in Randolph County, Consolidated only promises that Consolidated will not go there. Macon has made no such promise. This Agreement between UE and Consolidated actually benefits Macon by Consolidated agreeing not to go into an area that is being served by Macon.

Macon is not deprived of anything. UE and Consolidated are getting what they bargained for.

This is similar in Monroe County. In Monroe County there is an area designated to be the Macon territory in which UE will not serve and the remainder of the County is the area that Macon would not serve in. In the Consolidated/UE agreement, UE will be serving a small swath within the Central West portion of the County with the remaining portion of the County to be served by Consolidated. The combination of the two agreements interacting between one another is that Macon will not serve below its line and UE will not serve outside the area designated in this agreement. Consolidated can then serve the entire county.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony.
- A. Yes, it does.