

EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

While I appreciate that I will be able to ask Ameren Missouri surrounding questions around their technicians etc, I did not compel the DR's 2 days prior to the evidentiary hearing. I asked for them during the last hearing. Well over two weeks ago.

I also shouldn't have to get the AG's Office involved either and ask them to investigate the Commission. I also shouldn't have to attach everyone's email involved to the AG's office to ask for them to investigate this matter. However, to say the process has been fair for me would be ridiculously absurd.

I'm attaching Missouri State laws in which Ameren has to follow on accessing, unless there is an emergency and also the definition of watermark and alteration that is in the dictionary.

Here are the name of some companies that sell watermarked paper.

The Paper Mill Company
Puli Paper
Neenah Paper
Southworth.

FYI, most printers on the market today give you the option to add watermarking to a document, such as HP Printers.

I also I failed to mention that you can also encrypt a document too, but Ameren knows about that since they are in the telecommunications industry and data industry.

If Ameren thoroughly "examined" the document there's an encryption key in the document. Hopefully they can find which corner it is on in each document. They should bring it to senior software engineer to find it.

Hopefull, services get restored Friday. However, I believe I'm going to be led down the continuation road of excuse lane.