

EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber

VS

Ameren Missouri

Everything I plan on using as exhibits to enter in the evidentiary hearing for Friday is already uploaded or given to Respondent already. I will exclude the damage to the property, as I took that out of the matter, minus the technicians vehicles personal and business and the technicians pictures as those will be used as exhibits to show the one technician didn't display or have identifying display of a badge of who he worked for or was a subcontractor for.

I don't believe that Judge Clark gave authorization to use the disconnect letter, but all copies of the payment agreements submitted, plus the email directly to Mr. Engelbrecht and Ms. Grubbs for the medical hardship are already uploaded through EFIS.

Plus there are a couple pages of the staff report in which I believe I already named the pages in memo's submitted I will utilize as exhibits towards the evidentiary hearing. Plus the definition of watermark and alteration which I uploaded yesterday.

I was going to submit a video showing the program I utilize for watermarking documents, but the video would take up to much time and wouldn'tthe size format would probably be out of the scope of being able to download because of the size of the video. Watermarking comes standard on the HP Printer I utilize for majority of my contracts and it is specifically in the settings prior to printing or saving as a JPG or PDF.

I would assume the exhibits or opinions that Respondents counsel gave to me last month is what I have to work with to use against Ameren, as they haven't supplied any document or exhibit that that contradicts my document.

I remain skeptical or sceptical for tomorrows hearing and I believe that Ameren is going to try to use "trial ambush" against me and they are going to try to submit items in which they haven't shared with me or I have asked for. In the event they try to produce any documents of any payment agreements , etc in which they stated they failed to have or discarded , they should be immediately quashed from use, as they failed to give me time to inspect or ask any questions, just as they have been allowed to ask me questions , etc

It would only be fair.

Brett Felber