

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
JEFFERSON CITY**

**June 1, 2001**

**CASE NO: ES-2001-359**

**Office of the Public Counsel**  
P.O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**James J. Cook**  
Ameren Services Company  
1901 Chouteau Avenue  
PO Box 66149 (MC 1310)  
St. Louis, MO 63166-6149

**General Counsel**  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**Enclosed find certified copy of ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).**

**Sincerely,**



**Dale Hardy Roberts**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, )  
Regarding an Incident at the Venice Power Plant in ) **Case No. ES-2001-359**  
Venice, Illinois, on August 10, 2000 )

**ORDER DIRECTING FILINGS**  
**AND GRANTING PROTECTIVE ORDER**

The Commission established this case for the purpose of receiving an incident report pertaining to fire and electrical damage at Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE's Venice Power Plant in Venice, Illinois. The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) filed the incident report on April 25, 2001.

In its April 25, 2001 pleading, Staff also requested that the Commission issue a protective order to protect information that Staff, based on past experiences, "anticipates" AmerenUE will consider confidential or proprietary. Staff's motion requesting protection for AmerenUE's interests is not based on any specific facts relevant to this case. Staff's motion also does not comply with the Commission's rule 4 CSR 240-2.085 because it does not "state with particularity . . . what harm may occur if the information is made public."

On May 7, 2001, AmerenUE filed an initial reply. AmerenUE stated that it would file a formal reply within 30 days. AmerenUE stated in its initial reply that it was examining the incident report to determine if it would request confidential treatment of the report. On May 15, 2001, AmerenUE filed a Notice for Request for Protective Order. AmerenUE's notice was not in compliance with the Commission's rule 4 CSR 240-2.085. However, the

Commission concludes, from these statements, that AmerenUE has relied on Staff's representations that certain information disclosed during the course of this investigation would remain confidential. Therefore, the Commission finds that good cause exists to issue a protective order relating only to the portions of the incident report that Staff has marked as "Highly Confidential" or "HC." The Commission will issue the attached protective order and will direct AmerenUE to supplement its notice by filing a motion for protective order that complies with the Commission's rule and states the reasons why the Commission should not consider rescinding the protective order. In addition, the Commission will direct AmerenUE to file its response to Staff's incident report.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, shall file its response to the incident report no later than June 11, 2001.
2. That the motions for protective order filed by the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE are granted and the protective order attached to this order (Attachment A) is adopted.
3. That Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, shall have until June 11, 2001, to supplement its request protective order so that it complies with the Commission's rule 4 CSR 240-2.085.
4. That responses to Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE's supplemental motion for protective order, if any, shall be filed no later than June 15, 2001.

5. That this order shall become effective on June 11, 2001.

**BY THE COMMISSION**



**Dale Hardy Roberts  
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

( S E A L )

Nancy Dippell, Senior Regulatory Law  
Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant  
to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,  
on this 1st day of June, 2001.

## **PROTECTIVE ORDER**

- A. The following definitions shall apply to information which a party claims should not be made public.

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**: Information concerning (1) material or documents that contain information relating directly to specific customers; (2) employee-sensitive information; (3) marketing analyses or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others; (4) reports, work papers or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors or consultants; (5) strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration in contract negotiations.

**PROPRIETARY**: Information concerning trade secrets, as well as confidential or private technical, financial and business information.

- B. During the course of discovery a party may designate information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY (hereinafter, "designated information") and shall make such designated information available to the party seeking discovery, if such information is not objectionable on any other ground, under the restrictions set out in paragraphs C and D. The party designating the information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall provide to counsel for the requesting party, at the time the designation is made, the ground or grounds for the designation. The requesting party may then file a motion challenging the designation. The party designating the information confidential shall have five days after the filing of the challenge to file a response. No other filings are authorized.

- C. Materials or information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL may, at the option of the furnishing party, be made available only on the furnishing party's premises and may be reviewed only by attorneys or outside experts who have been retained for the purpose of this case, unless good cause can be shown for disclosure of the information off-premises and the designated information is delivered to the custody of the requesting party's attorney. Outside expert witnesses shall not be employees, officers or directors of any of the parties in this proceeding. No copies of such material or information shall be made and only limited notes may be taken, and such notes shall be treated as the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information from which notes were taken.
- D. Disclosure of PROPRIETARY information shall be made only to attorneys, and to such employees who are working as consultants to such attorney or intend to file testimony in these proceedings, or to persons designated by a party as outside experts. Employees to whom such disclosure is to be made must be identified to the other party by name, title and job classification prior to disclosure. Information designated as PROPRIETARY shall be served on the attorney(s) for the requesting party. On-premises inspection shall not be required for PROPRIETARY information, except in the case of voluminous documents (see paragraph K). Any employees of the party who wish to review such PROPRIETARY materials shall first read this order and certify in writing that (s)he has reviewed same and consented to its terms. The acknowledgment so executed shall contain the signatory's full name, permanent address, title or position, date signed, and an affirmation that the signer is acting on

behalf of his/her employer. Such acknowledgment shall be delivered to counsel for the party furnishing the information or documents before disclosure is made.

- E. Attorneys, in-house experts or outside experts who have been provided access to material or information designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall be subject to the nondisclosure requirements set forth in paragraphs C or D, whichever is applicable, and S.
- F. If material or information to be disclosed in response to a data request contains material or information concerning another party which the other party has indicated is confidential, the furnishing party shall notify the other party of the intent to disclose the information. The other party may then choose to designate the material or information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY under the provisions of this Protective Order.
- G. Any party may use material or information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY in prefiled or oral testimony at hearing provided that the same level of confidentiality assigned by the furnishing party is maintained, unless otherwise classified by the Commission. In filing testimony all parties shall designate as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY only those portions of their testimony which contain information so designated by the furnishing party. If any party plans to use information and testimony which has been obtained outside this proceeding, it must ascertain from the furnishing party if any of such information is claimed to be HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY prior to filing.
- H. A party may designate prefiled or live testimony, or portions thereof, submitted in this case as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY (hereinafter, "designated

testimony"). Prefiled testimony designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY shall be filed under seal and served upon all attorneys of record. Only those portions of the prefiled testimony designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY should be filed under seal, and should be marked in a manner which clearly indicates which materials are considered HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL and which are considered PROPRIETARY.

- I. Within five days of the filing of designated testimony, the party asserting the claim shall file with the Commission the specific ground or grounds for each claim. Such filing shall show the nature of the information sought to be protected and specifically state the alleged harm of disclosure. Such filing shall be filed under seal only if it contains either PROPRIETARY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information and shall be served upon all attorneys of record.
- J. Attorneys upon whom prefiled testimony designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL or PROPRIETARY has been served shall make such testimony available only to those persons authorized to review such testimony under the restrictions in Paragraphs C or D, whichever is applicable.
- K. If a response to a discovery request requires the duplication of voluminous material or material not easily copied because of its binding or size, the furnishing party may require the voluminous material be reviewed on its own premises. Voluminous material shall mean a single document, book or paper which consists of more than 150 pages.
- L. Attorneys of record in this case shall require that the in-house or outside expert read this Protective Order and certify in a written nondisclosure agreement that the person



has reviewed the Protective Order and consented to be bound by its terms. The nondisclosure agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, permanent address, employer and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be filed with the Commission. Attached hereto as Appendix "A" and incorporated by reference herein is a form for use in complying with the terms of this paragraph.

- M. In the event a witness discloses the contents of designated prefiled testimony in his or her own prefiled testimony, such testimony shall also be designated in the same manner as the designated prefiled testimony and handled in accordance with this order.
- N. Unless good cause is shown, challenges to the confidential nature of prefiled designated testimony shall be filed with the Commission no later than ten days after the grounds supporting the designations are filed or at the hearing, whichever occurs first. The party making the designation shall have five days to respond to the challenge or may respond at the hearing, whichever occurs first.
- O. The Commission or Regulatory Law Judge may rule on the challenge to the designations prior to the hearing, or at the hearings.
- P. In the event no party challenges prefiled designated testimony, or in the event the Commission or its Regulatory Law Judge rules that testimony was properly designated, then such testimony shall be received into evidence, subject to any other objections being made and ruled upon, and kept under seal.
- Q. In addition, all live testimony, including cross-examination and oral argument which reveals the content of prefiled designated testimony or which is otherwise held to be

confidential, including any argument as to whether certain testimony is properly designated, shall be made only after the hearing room is cleared of all persons besides the Commission, its Regulatory Law Judges, court reporters, attorneys of record and witnesses to whom the designated information is available pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order. The transcript of such live testimony or oral argument shall be kept under seal and copies shall only be provided to the Commission, its Regulatory Law Judges, and attorneys of record. Such attorneys shall not disclose the contents of such transcripts to anyone other than those who may have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order. Persons who have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order shall treat the contents of such transcript as any other designated information under the terms of this Protective Order.

- R. References to designated testimony, whether prefiled or live and transcribed, in any pleadings before the Commission, shall be by citation only and not by quotation. Subject to the jurisdiction of any reviewing court, references to designated testimony in pleadings or oral arguments made to such reviewing court shall also be by citation only.
- S. All persons who are afforded access to information under the terms of this Protective Order shall neither use nor disclose such information for purposes of business or competition or any other purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of this proceeding and then solely as contemplated herein, and shall keep the information secure and in accordance with the purposes and intent of this order.

- T. Subject to the jurisdiction of any reviewing court, designated testimony constituting part of the record before the Commission shall be delivered to any reviewing court under seal upon service of the appropriate writ of review.
- U. The Commission may modify this order on motion of a party or on its own motion upon reasonable notice to the parties and opportunity for hearing.
- V. Within 90 days after the completion of this proceeding, including judicial review thereof, all designated information, testimony, exhibits, transcripts or briefs in the possession of any party other than Staff or the Public Counsel shall be returned to the party claiming a confidential interest in such information and any notes pertaining to such information shall be destroyed.
- W. The provisions of paragraph C, D, J and L of this Protective Order do not apply to Staff or Public Counsel. Staff and Public Counsel are subject to the nondisclosure provisions of Section 386.480, RSMo 2000. Staff and Public Counsel shall provide a list of the names of their employees who will have access to the designated information.
- X. Outside experts of Staff or Public Counsel who have been contracted to be witnesses in this proceeding shall have access to designated information and testimony on the same basis as Staff and Public Counsel except the outside expert shall read this order and sign the nondisclosure agreement attached as Appendix "A" hereto.
- Y. Outside experts of Staff and Public Counsel who have not been contracted to be witnesses in this proceeding are subject to the provisions of this Protective Order.
- Z. Prefiled testimony and exhibits, whether filed or offered at the hearing, shall be prepared in the manner described in Appendix "B".

**APPENDIX "A"**

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, have  
been presented a copy of this Protective Order issued in Case No. \_\_\_\_\_ on the  
\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2\_\_\_\_\_.

I have requested review of the confidential information produced in Case  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_.

I hereby certify that I have read the above-mentioned Protective Order and agree  
to abide by its terms and conditions.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature and Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Employer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Party

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone

1. If prefiled testimony contains parts which are classified as Proprietary or Highly Confidential, it shall be filed with the Commission's Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge's Office as follows:
  - A. One public version of prefiled testimony with the Proprietary or Highly Confidential portions obliterated or removed shall be filed. The Proprietary pages shall be stamped "P" and the Proprietary information indicated by two asterisks before and after the information, **\*\*[Proprietary information removed]\*\***. The Highly Confidential pages shall be stamped "HC" with the Highly Confidential information indicated by two asterisks and underlining before and after the Highly Confidential information, **\*\*Highly Confidential information removed\*\***. The designated information shall be removed with blank spaces remaining so that the lineation and pagination of the public version remains the same as the Highly Confidential and Proprietary versions.
  - B. Eight copies of the complete prefiled testimony shall be filed under seal. The Proprietary pages shall be stamped "P" and the Proprietary information indicated by two asterisks before and after the information, **\*\*Proprietary\*\***. The Highly Confidential pages shall be stamped "HC" with the Highly Confidential information indicated by two asterisks and underlining before and after the Highly Confidential information, **\*\*Highly Confidential\*\***.

Any deviations from this format must be approved by the Regulatory Law Judge.

2. Three copies of exhibits, whether testimony or other, shall be filed at the hearing with the information separated as described in 1.A and 1.B above with each copy of the

Proprietary and Highly Confidential portions placed into separate envelopes to be marked as Exhibit \_\_, Exhibit \_\_P and Exhibit \_\_HC.

**STATE OF MISSOURI**

**OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and  
I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,  
Missouri, this 1<sup>st</sup> day of June 2001.

*Dale Hardy Roberts*

**Dale Hardy Roberts**  
**Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

