

**STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its Office in Jefferson City, Missouri on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.

In the Matter of a Determination of Special Contemporary Resource Planning Issues to be Addressed by Evergy Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro in its Next Triennial Compliance Filing or Next Annual Update Report )  
)  
) **File No. EO-2024-0043**  
)  
)

In the Matter of a Determination of Special Contemporary Resource Planning Issues to be Addressed by Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West in its Next Triennial Compliance Filing or Next Annual Update Report )  
)  
) **File No. EO-2024-0044**  
)  
)

**ORDER ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CONTEMPORARY RESOURCE  
PLANNING ISSUES**

Issue Date: October 25, 2023

Effective Date: November 4, 2023

A provision in the Commission’s electric utility resource planning rule, 20 CSR 4240-22.080(4), requires Missouri’s electric utilities to consider and analyze special contemporary issues in their integrated resource plan (IRP) triennial compliance filings or in their annual IRP update reports. The regulation provides that by September 15 of each year, the Commission’s Staff (Staff), the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC), and other interested stakeholders may file suggested issues for consideration. The regulation allows the utilities and other stakeholders until October 1 to file comments regarding the suggested issues. The Commission is then to issue an order by November 1 of each year specifying the list of special contemporary issues that each electric utility must address.

OPC, Sierra Club, Council for the New Energy Economics', and the City of Kansas City, Missouri filed suggested special contemporary issues for Evergy Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West (collectively, Evergy) to analyze and respond to in its 2024 triennial IRP Filing. Evergy filed responses to those suggestions. The Commission must now determine what special contemporary issues Evergy should address.

This is not a contested case. The Commission does not need to hear evidence before reaching a decision and does not need to make findings of fact and conclusions of law in announcing that decision.<sup>1</sup> The Commission's rule gives the Commission broad discretion in determining what issues a utility should be required to address, indicating:

[t]he purpose of the contemporary issues lists is to ensure that evolving regulatory, economic, financial, environmental, energy, technical, or customer issues are adequately addressed by each utility in its electric resource planning. Each special contemporary issues list will identify new and evolving issues but may also include other issues such as unresolved deficiencies or concerns from the preceding triennial compliance filing.<sup>2</sup>

After considering these factors, the Commission will adopt the list of special contemporary issues set forth in this order. The Commission has chosen these issues because they are of particular interest and importance and should be addressed in Evergy's IRP filing. Evergy may already plan to address these issues in its triennial IRP filing apart from their designation as special contemporary issues, or it may believe it has already adequately addressed some of these issues in a previous IRP filing, or some other filing. If that is so, it does not need to undertake any additional analysis because of this designation and may simply explain in its upcoming IRP filing exactly where the

---

<sup>1</sup> *State ex rel. Public Counsel v. Public Service Com'n*, 259 S.W.3d 23, 29 (Mo. App. W.D. 2008).

<sup>2</sup> 20 CSR 4240-22.080(4).

Commission can find that other analysis. The Commission does not intend that a utility spend an unreasonable amount to address any special contemporary issue. If Evergy finds that the cost to address a special contemporary issue is excessive, it may explain its concerns in its next IRP filing, while addressing the issue to the extent reasonably possible.

To give Evergy as much time as possible to examine these issues before its next IRP filing, the Commission will make this order effective in ten days.

**THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:**

1. Evergy shall analyze and document the following special contemporary issues in its 2024 triennial IRP filing:

A. Model and explicitly present future resource adequacy scenarios based on the following assumptions:

1. With demand-side rates and traditional demand-side management investments (e.g. MEEIA);
2. Only demand-side rates without MEEIA investment;
3. Neither demand-side rates nor MEEIA (but maintain naturally occurring energy efficiency adoption); and
4. Indicate whether or not naturally occurring savings and/or federally-sponsored DSM savings are included in the modeling. If yes, these savings should be identified and separated as well.
5. Include an explicit section within the demand-side management volume and the executive summary where low, medium, and high

TOU differentials are modeled and presented with expected demand savings articulated separate and aside from other demand side management practices.

B. Account for rooftop solar adoption in the load forecast, and track its solar subscription program.

C. Provide detailed assumptions surrounding battery cycle life on any planned future investment in storage. This should include, at a minimum, expected frequency and duration of operational usage of the battery resource. In short, the analysis should be able to reasonably demonstrate that the utility-scale storage investment will be operational for X period based on articulated assumed usage pattern.

D. Analyze and report on the ability of the planned resource additions in Evergy's current preferred plans to continue to meet energy needs in all hours of each year.

E. Model for a low, medium, and high participation scenario of commercial and industrial customers electing to participate in demand response activities based on the introduction of a third-party(s) ARC within its footprint and provide an analysis of what the impact said ARC would have on Evergy's IRP.

F. Evaluate the cost impact of the EPA's proposed rules for Greenhouse Gas Standards and Guidelines for Fossil Fuel-Fired Power Plants.

G. Describe the inclusion of Virtual Power Plants (VPP) within the Company's IRP update or triennial analysis. In doing so, identify which distributed energy resources (DER) or compliment of DERs were included in the analysis, consider both the retail VPP and market-participant VPP perspectives, and explain

the benefits and challenges related to scalability attributed of VPPs. Address VPP contributions to the utility's resource adequacy requirements, grid stability, resiliency, transmission and distribution capacity deferrals, load management strategies, and system optimization. Discuss limitations, if any, to incorporating VPPs in the Company's distribution or resource planning analysis due to challenges of aggregating and dispatching retail and market-participants' DERs.

H. In light of the emerging developments around Distributed Energy Resources (DER) and VPPs, address what efforts the Company made in its IRP modeling to address distribution planning opportunities and challenges.

I. Consider discussing storage deployment strategies, including the repurposing of retired automotive batteries, exemplified by the Tesla Pilot program in Australia. Additionally, explore investments in energy storage pilot projects with the specific objective of enhancing the reliability and capacity accreditation of renewable energy resources.

2. This order shall become effective on November 4, 2023.



**BY THE COMMISSION**

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Nancy Dippell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Nancy Dippell  
Secretary

Rupp, Chm., Coleman, Holsman, Kolkmeier  
and Hahn CC., concur.


Pridgin, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

**STATE OF MISSOURI**

**OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.**

**WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.**



*Nancy Dippell*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Nancy Dippell**  
**Secretary**

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**October 25, 2023**

**File/Case No. EO-2024-0043 and EO-2024-0044**

MO PSC Staff  
Staff Counsel Department  
200 Madison Street, Suite 800  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
staffcounsel@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel  
(OPC)  
Marc Poston  
200 Madison Street, Suite 650  
P.O. Box 2230  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
opc@psc.mo.gov

AARP  
Legal Department  
601 E Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20049

Consumers Council of Missouri  
Legal Department  
3407 S. Jefferson  
St. Louis, MO 63118

Council for the New Energy  
Economics  
Andrew Schulte  
900 W. 48th Place, Suite 900  
Kansas City, MO 64112-6411  
aschulte@polsinelli.com

Dogwood Energy, LLC  
Legal Department  
P.O. Box 110  
25111 E 175th Street  
Pleasant Hill, MO 64080

Evergy Missouri Metro  
Roger Steiner  
1200 Main Street, 16th Floor  
P.O. Box 418679  
Kansas City, MO 64105-9679  
roger.steiner@evergy.com

Evergy Missouri West  
Roger Steiner  
1200 Main Street, 16th Floor  
P.O. Box 418679  
Kansas City, MO 64105-9679  
roger.steiner@evergy.com

IBEW Local 304  
Legal Department  
3906 NW 16th Street  
Topeka, KS 66615  
nolandbrian@gmail.com

Midwest Energy Consumers  
Group  
Legal Department  
308 E. High Street, Suite B101  
Jefferson City, MO 65101  
tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com

Missouri Division of Energy  
Legal Department  
1101 Riverside Drive, 2nd Floor  
P.O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility  
Commission  
Legal Department  
C/O Healy Law Office  
306 Monroe Street  
Jefferson City, MO 65101  
terry@healylawoffices.com

MO PSC Staff  
Carolyn Kerr  
200 Madison Street  
Jefferson City, MO 65101  
carolyn.kerr@psc.mo.gov

Natural Resources Defense  
Council  
Legal Department  
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite  
1600  
Chicago, IL 60606

Renew Missouri  
Legal Department  
915 East Ash  
Columbia, MO 65201

Sierra Club  
Ethan Thompson  
319 N. Fourth St. Suite 800  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
ethompson@greatriverslaw.org

**Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).**

**Sincerely,**

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Nancy Dippell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

**Nancy Dippell  
Secretary**

---

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.