

Public Version

Exhibit No.:  
Issue: Rate design studies and rate case  
commitments, Rate Modernization  
Witness: Julie Dragoo  
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony  
Sponsoring Party: Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy  
Missouri West  
Case No.: EO-2024-0002  
Date Testimony Prepared: November 1, 2023

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**CASE NO.: EO-2024-0002**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**JULIE DRAGOO**

**ON BEHALF OF**

**EVERGY MISSOURI METRO AND EVERGY MISSOURI WEST**

**Kansas City, Missouri  
November 2023**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. SYSTEMS OVERVIEW ..... 3

II. DATA AVAILABILITY & RETENTION SUMMARY ..... 7

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**Julie Drago**

**Case No. EO-2024-0002**

1 **Q: Please state your name and business address.**

2 A: My name is Julie Drago. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri  
3 64105.

4 **Q: By whom and in what capacity are you employed?**

5 A: I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. I serve as Senior Director, Customer Strategy &  
6 Support for Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a as Evergy Missouri Metro (“Evergy Missouri  
7 Metro”), Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West (“Evergy Missouri  
8 West”), Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Kansas Metro (“Evergy Kansas Metro”), and  
9 Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. and Evergy South, Inc., collectively d/b/a as Evergy Kansas  
10 Central (“Evergy Kansas Central”) the operating utilities of Evergy, Inc.

11 **Q: On whose behalf are you testifying?**

12 A: I am testifying on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West  
13 (collectively, the “Company” or “Evergy”).

14 **Q: What are your responsibilities?**

15 A: My current responsibilities include leading the system support teams for our customer  
16 information systems as well as other customer facing interfaces. I lead the project delivery  
17 team for customer focused technology projects as well as the customer data analytics team.  
18 My organization leads the prioritization of technology projects for the customer division  
19 and drives those projects to execution.

1 **Q: Please describe your education, experience and employment history.**

2 A: I hold an Executive Masters of Business Administration from University of Missouri –  
3 Kansas City and a Bachelor of Science in Business degree in Finance from Emporia State  
4 University. I have been with Evergy and predecessor companies since October 2000 and  
5 have served in many capacities in the customer service organization since 2003. I’ve led  
6 large customer projects, managed Contact Center Operations, as well as Metering and Field  
7 Service and Revenue Management teams. I established the current system support team  
8 for customer systems as well as the project delivery team for this organization leading to  
9 my current role as Senior Director – Customer Strategy & Support.

10 **Q: Have you previously testified in a proceeding before the Missouri Public Service**  
11 **Commission (“Commission” or “MPSC”)?**

12 A: Yes.

13 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?**

14 A: I will address the following topics in my testimony:

15 I. Systems Overview – I will explain the Company systems and the technical  
16 environment in which these systems reside within the Company.

17 II. Data Availability & Retention Summary Support – I will provide support  
18 for the technical details and cost estimates provided in the testimony of  
19 Company witness Bradley Lutz.

1 **I. SYSTEMS OVERVIEW**

2 **Q: Please describe your understanding of the Staff’s request and the systems impacted**  
3 **by the data requested by Staff.**

4 A: Staff has requested a series of data from the Company to support changes to class cost of  
5 service study methodologies and rate design changes. Staff is asking for a variety of data  
6 that is housed across multiple independent systems.

7 On its face, the requested data might seem straight-forward, however, the data  
8 relationships, time periods, or data intervals combined with the complexity of our systems,  
9 business processes, procedures, and accounting regulations makes much of this a  
10 challenging request to meet. If the Commission supports the requests from Staff, providing  
11 the data will require significant project-level work to enhance many of the core/enterprise  
12 systems that run the daily business. These systems include, but are not limited to, Customer  
13 Care & Billing (“CCB”), Meter Data Management (“MDM”), Asset and Work  
14 Management Systems (Maximo), Accounting and Asset accounting systems (Peoplesoft,  
15 Powerplan), and other support systems including the data warehouse that is used for  
16 analysis on data from these systems. It is important the Commission understand these  
17 systems to better appreciate the complexities and costs related to the Staff data request.

18 **Q: Please describe the primary systems used at Evergy and the purpose of each of these**  
19 **systems.**

20 A: **CCB/MDM** - These combined systems handle every aspect of the utility customers’  
21 information and contain the objects that form the core of the systems: Person, Account,  
22 Premise, Service Agreement and Service Point. These objects hold information about our  
23 customers including dates of service connections, meter reads, rates, billing, and more

1 while also managing functions such as payment processing, collections, field service and  
2 meter/device management. These systems collectively provide functionality for handling  
3 large volumes of meter data to enable accuracy of customer billing. The key users of these  
4 systems include Contact Center, Billing and Revenue Management, Metering and Field  
5 Service, Distribution Management, Accounting, Tax and IT/System Support teams.

6 The MDM system collects and stores metering and device information such as  
7 interval reads, where the meter is installed, start and end dates of service for customers,  
8 etc. The CCB system is the customer account and billing system and holds a wide variety  
9 of detail for customers including personal account details, customer program participation  
10 information, rate details and billing and account history information.  
11 While these are two separate systems, they are integrated and work together to create the  
12 customer bill. From an industry perspective, while meters may track usage, that usage is  
13 not tied to a particular rate until a person/customer is associated to that premise/meter and  
14 assigned a rate code for that particular service location. Associating data in this way is not  
15 unique, but is the approach and function of the software used by the Company.

#### 16 **Work and Asset Management Systems**

17 Evergy currently uses a variety of work and asset management systems across its  
18 transmission and distribution business units. This includes the following systems:  
19 Cascade, STORMS, Maximo 7.6, and Maximo Anywhere. Evergy is currently engaged in  
20 a multi-year effort to upgrade and combine these multiple systems into a single work and  
21 asset management system, Maximo 8. Maximo 8 will be used in the Substation,  
22 Transmission and Distribution areas of the business.

1 The main functions of Maximo 8 are to allow creation and tracking of work orders through  
2 the work order life cycle and track/maintain a historical record of the work done on key  
3 system assets throughout the asset's lifecycle. Users of these systems include engineers,  
4 designers, asset and data analysts, construction and scheduling team members from our  
5 Distribution, Substation, Transmission, and Planning Departments.

6 Our Work and Asset Management Systems interface with our Geographic  
7 Information System ("GIS"), material inventory system, design softwares, CCB,  
8 PowerPlan, and scheduling softwares. While many systems interface with our work and  
9 asset management systems, there is currently no direct integration between work orders or  
10 work done on assets and our customer accounts. This is due to the fact that many assets  
11 are part of the infrastructure serving a wide variety of customers across many rates and  
12 even voltage classes. For example, a single pole asset could support a transmission circuit,  
13 a distribution circuit, and secondary conductor, feeding multiple residential, commercial,  
14 and industrial customers.

15 The work management system creates work orders that map to Evergy's financial  
16 accounting systems and track costs (both capital investments and O&M expenses) based  
17 on the hierarchy established within those accounting systems, however, our work and asset  
18 management systems are not the system of record for system configuration, asset attributes,  
19 or cost and time reporting.

### 20 **PeopleSoft/General Ledger Accounting System**

21 The PeopleSoft general ledger ("GL") is the system used for keeping a record of the  
22 Company's financial transactions. The GL uses a set of numbered accounts to record asset,  
23 liability, equity, revenue or expense transactions. The information in the GL is used to

1 create financial statements (i.e., balance sheet, income statement, statement of cash flows  
2 and statement of equity).

3 The GL is a summary of financial transactions that come from multiple sources.  
4 Those multiple sources include manual journal entries directly entered into the GL or  
5 journal entries from other systems that store more detailed transaction information.  
6 Transactions in the GL are not recorded by customer nor rate schedule.

### 7 **PowerPlan/Asset Accounting Systems**

8 The PowerPlan system handles the capital and fixed asset related transactions. The  
9 system handles capital through the life cycle of tracking construction work in progress at a  
10 work order level which becomes a fixed asset at the Federal Energy Regulatory  
11 Commission plant account level. The system depreciates and amortizes the fixed assets  
12 based on approved depreciable lives. The Maximo work management system integrates  
13 the work order information to PowerPlan to allow the tracking of that work order into the  
14 financial systems. The system is used by accounting for calculating Allowance for Funds  
15 Used During Construction (“AFUDC”), depreciation, and Asset Retirement Obligations  
16 (“ARO”). It is used by various project managers to see the accumulation of charges by  
17 work order as well as regulatory analysts who use the system as a reporting tool for assets  
18 and accumulated depreciation.

19 **Q: Are these systems unique to Evergy or are these systems used by other utilities to**  
20 **manage data and work?**

21 A: These systems are used widely across the industry. Evergy is not unique in the way we use  
22 and integrate these types of systems. In fact, Evergy does industry benchmarking and



1 discusses lessons learned with other utilities when embarking on large technology projects  
2 with these systems. Industry witness Sean Riley will support this view in his testimony.

3 **Q: Please describe how these systems are interconnected.**

4 A: The level of interconnection and the data retained in each application is specific and unique  
5 to the business processes and procedures managed by that system. While some may  
6 interface and share a few pieces of the same data (billing summary data shared with  
7 Peoplesoft Financials, CCB work requests sent to Maximo, etc.), there is not a common  
8 data “key” that allows us to link all of the systems together. As described above, from an  
9 industry best practices perspective, asset management systems do not contain  
10 comprehensive cost or customer information; asset accounting systems do not tie to meters  
11 or rate codes; and customer billing has no connection to poles and wires. These  
12 connections are not necessary for the purposes of billing and servicing our customers,  
13 delivering safe and reliable power, or properly accounting for our assets and revenue.

## 14 **II. DATA AVAILABILITY & RETENTION SUMMARY**

15 **Q: Are the systems described above inherently designed to support data analysis? If not,  
16 what steps are needed to extract data and create data sets for analysis?**

17 A: No, the core applications are meant to serve the purpose as described for each unique  
18 system. To analyze the data of these disparate systems, Evergy has created multiple data  
19 warehouses to store, aggregate or summarize data for further analysis. As described in  
20 Bradley Lutz’s testimony in the summary table, the data requested by Staff that can be  
21 produced by Evergy will generally be pulled from these warehouses, collectively referred  
22 to as the Data Hub.

1           The amount of data flowing through the business systems and Data Hub is  
2 enormous. It takes different teams to ensure the maintenance of the systems and data  
3 warehouse. However, when it comes to using the data for decision making and running  
4 the business, each business area has a team of people who take ownership of the unique  
5 data sets. These teams maintain the quality of the data, create data definitions, and maintain  
6 understanding of how the data can be used for each business function. Generally, when  
7 data is to be extracted from the Data Hub, detailed requirements and specifications are used  
8 to identify data sources within the repository and determine if there are appropriate links  
9 within the data to allow combination. If the data and the relationships exist, requirements  
10 are confirmed and design occurs. Queries are then developed, tested and executed, thereby,  
11 producing the requested output.

12 **Q: Why is it important to take these additional steps beyond the plain export of the data?**

13 A: Many of these systems do not provide delivered reports and analytics as part of the base  
14 system, or at least not to the level needed by Evergy. Therefore, much of the work to build  
15 such views requires the use of the Data Hub and our IT partners. In most cases, the business  
16 teams require summarized views of data for decision making or analysis, so it is critical  
17 that processes are followed to ensure the data is accurate. This involves creating mini-  
18 projects to create the data points using defined business logic and rules. These projects  
19 include all the phases of requirements confirmation, design, development and testing to  
20 ensure data quality. In addition, Evergy must consider how business teams' access and use  
21 this data. Data security is key in every aspect, but especially when working with customer  
22 information. The more the Data Hub can aggregate data and anonymize the customer data  
23 the better. Further, the Company expects that Staff would plan to use this data to support

1           ratemaking and other recommendations as part of future rate cases. Since the data will be  
2           used in this way, it is important that the Company be certain the data is retained and there  
3           is some method to reconcile with other data used in that rate case. For example, if Staff  
4           relies on energy consumption data extracted from Data Hub, both Staff and the Company  
5           would want to ensure the data could be tied back to the consumption supporting the rate  
6           case. This would require the Company to maintain large repositories solely to retain data  
7           provided to Staff. In addition, the more data we store and keep separate, the more day-to-  
8           day work we put on Evergy and eventually more cost to our customers.

9   **Q: Are you familiar with the Data Request Summary referenced in the testimony of**  
10 **Bradley Lutz?**

11 A: Yes.

12 **Q: Did the Company examine the Data Hub for the data requested by Staff?**

13 A: Yes. The Company's assumptions and results were included in the summary table  
14 provided as Confidential Exhibit BDL-1 to the testimony of Bradley Lutz. In summary,  
15 much of the data requested exists, however there are concerns around how to produce the  
16 data, defining how to store and share the data, and establishing business processes to ensure  
17 the data remains consistent and useful to Staff. These efforts can be considerable and were  
18 incorporated in the cost estimates provided.

19 **Q: In your opinion, are the details and costs offered in that Summary accurate to the**  
20 **best of your knowledge?**

21 A: Yes. Where estimates were provided the team thought through the resources necessary to  
22 define and confirm the requirements, design and develop the reports, validate with internal  
23 business owners and the actual processing time to pull data and prepare for delivery. As

1 noted in the summary, some requests cannot be met without significant changes to  
2 underlying processes and enhancing existing systems, and at this point in time there is no  
3 specific estimate available other than the ranges offered.

4 **Q: The summary identifies the “availability” and the “deliverability” of the data as**  
5 **separate assessments. Why is this needed?**

6 A: In review of the data requested it became clear to the Evergy team that to fully express the  
7 evaluation of the data, two attributes were needed. “Availability” was used to express the  
8 Company assessment of data being part of our existing repositories. “Deliverability” was  
9 used to express the Company’s ability to extract, format, and provide the data as requested.  
10 The combination of these attributes identified the collective work expected.

11 **Q: Can you offer any additional context to the reason ranges were used to express the**  
12 **expected cost to produce the requested data?**

13 A: Yes. From an industry perspective, estimating large technology efforts is a challenge even  
14 with known scope. Trying to estimate efforts to complete reporting asks, or potentially  
15 large overhauls of our enterprise systems is even more difficult when scope is vague or  
16 worse, undefined. Any technology project requires a review of effort to understand what  
17 resources internally and externally and/or software and hardware will be necessary to  
18 complete the job.

19 Without fully detailed scope, technology efforts are “shirt sized” and given a range  
20 of S – XXL. The requests from Staff range from items we can build with data that exists,  
21 to trying to report on data that does not exist, the range was very wide for the estimate.  
22 Evergy recognizes some of the requests will require the use of outside resources including  
23 our technology partners and system integrators, to assess gaps and define processes to

1 create the data required to support these requests. These resources range in cost from  
2 \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*. From recent experience, Evergy knows the costs associated with  
3 just the design phase of these large technology projects (the effort to align on scope and  
4 confirm the solution) can be anywhere from \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*. In addition, data request 1  
5 with its request of data not available, the effort to create the data in question would require  
6 extensive work on the described systems and business processes. Based on past projects  
7 to inform estimates for those system upgrades, the cost to achieve could be well over  
8 \*\* [REDACTED] \*\*.

9 **Q: In its normal course of business, does the Company produce requirements and**  
10 **specifications to produce cost estimates for work?**

11 A: Absolutely. Project requests typically start with shirt sizing, then prioritization, and then  
12 detailed requirement definition. The shirt-sizing method described above plays into the  
13 sizing and estimates are refined once requirements are confirmed and Evergy understands  
14 what third party resources may be required for execution. Evergy has experience defining  
15 specific business and system requirements as well as securing the necessary internal and  
16 external resources for many enterprise projects. We often work with our business partners  
17 and system integrators to ensure a more comprehensive view of the project requirements.  
18 In fact, the practice of creating detailed business and system requirements allows for more  
19 accurate estimates, and may even reduce project costs overall when scope is well-defined  
20 and the complexity of the solution is known and accounted for up front. Rarely do cost  
21 estimates go down as business requirements and solution design begin to take shape.  
22 While estimating effort for projects is a normal practice at Evergy, the difficulty in defining  
23 estimates around the requests from Staff is not only the unprecedented nature of some of

1 the requests, but the realization that even if the data could be created with change to  
2 processes, procedures and systems; it would not meet the historical data requirements noted  
3 in the request. Changes made now will collect data going forward.

4 **Q: Are there other cost considerations that the Company experiences when working**  
5 **within these computer systems?**

6 A: Of course. Costs such as project management, quality assurance, testing, deployments to  
7 production, additional software and hardware equipment needed to support growing data  
8 sets, and change management, including training for employees, are all costs incurred with  
9 implementing new processes and or systems. In addition, there are costs associated with  
10 the ongoing operations and maintenance of the systems plus the staffing of support teams  
11 and operational teams to manage day-to-day processes and ongoing reporting requests. In  
12 many cases, technology implementations seek to enhance customer experience or improve  
13 productivity to drive cost out of the business. On the surface, the requests examined in this  
14 docket appear to create more cost to the Company and in turn to the customer. To  
15 completely re-engineer accounting practices, business practices, and systems for Missouri  
16 in what would be considered enterprise systems will be significantly complex costing  
17 millions of dollars, taking multiple years, and negatively impacting future projects.

18 **Q: Looking to the specific data requests (see Bradley Lutz direct testimony, pp. 3-5) are**  
19 **there any of concern you would like to address?**

20 A: Yes, in my opinion data requests 1, 5 and 8 are particularly difficult for the Company to  
21 address. Specifically for data request 1, as noted in Bradley Lutz's direct testimony, data  
22 does not exist in these combinations within Evergy systems to support the data request. I'd  
23 like to reiterate that while cost information exists, it is not tied to customer accounts or

1 rates. The process of installing distribution infrastructure is distinct from the process of  
2 receiving energy and often involves different individuals. It is common that construction  
3 activity, resulting in new infrastructure, is performed by builders, developer, engineering  
4 companies and others and then turned over to customers who then receive the energy  
5 service. During the construction process, service may be provided to the premise on a  
6 different rate schedule than used by the customer occupying the premise. In addition, the  
7 Company follows accounting rules that direct the way costs are recorded. Plant in-service  
8 costs are not recorded by customer nor rate schedules and components of the distribution  
9 system are recorded as mass property. For example, the group of poles or wire going into  
10 service in a particular month are summed together to record a total quantity and total  
11 amount and will represent many customers at different rate schedules.

12 As an additional point to data request 1, the amount of business process changes  
13 and change to how employees will manage their day-to-day work is really difficult to  
14 describe in my testimony. It is not an easy task to change processes, but it is even harder  
15 to ensure they are well controlled. I mentioned previously that teams will have to process  
16 details at an entirely new level, thus creating more work, adding more staff and potentially  
17 additional human error to solve for a request for which the Company cannot grasp the  
18 customer benefit. The additional cost to change processes and systems, integrate data and  
19 develop data views, hire new teams of people to manage and somehow have the right  
20 support system in place to administer is not just a one-time cost, but an ongoing cost that  
21 cannot be well defined at this time.

22 Data request 5 is an issue, not because the source systems do not have the updated  
23 and billed data information, but because the Data Hub was not built to be a replica of

1 Evergy's business source systems. As noted previously, Data Hub is the source for the  
2 majority of the aggregation requests. To try and recreate data in multiple sources and  
3 ensure they stay in sync would require extensive modifications to the Data Hub integrations  
4 and processes, new configurations in our metering and billing systems and increased  
5 storage capacity and processing power.

6 As for the requests in data request 8, the Company's concerns vary depending on  
7 the specific request. As it relates to "retaining" data in 8(a) and (b), I believe Evergy has  
8 shown the data is retained in the appropriate source system. It is the Staff's suggestion that  
9 the data may need to be provided vs. retained that causes Evergy concern. As pointed out  
10 in the table, if hourly data is acceptable for data request 8(b), the data could be made  
11 available through the evaluation of data request 4.

12 For data request 8.c.1. please see the details above on why data request 1 is not  
13 possible for Evergy. As for data request 8.c.2., Evergy can give a 12-month view for data  
14 requests 2 through 4. The Company does not believe data request 5 to be a request for data,  
15 but rather a process for the Company to follow and those concerns are addressed above.  
16 The sampling requests in data request 8.c.3 and 8.c.4 can be accommodated in small sample  
17 sizes and with the timelines provide in the table in Mr. Lutz's testimony. None of these  
18 data requests can be processed immediately or come without cost to the Company.

19 As for data request 8.d., Evergy cannot commit to having 36-months of details  
20 retroactively for all the data requests. For clarity, where data does not exist today, Evergy  
21 cannot be expected to produce a historical view of such data. Where data is available and  
22 deliverable, Evergy will do it's best to comply with reasonable historical requests.



1 **Q: If the Commission orders the Company to execute on these data requests, how will**  
2 **the work described be accomplished?**

3 A: As mentioned above in my testimony, the estimates given for the work, particularly for  
4 data request 1 are at a “shirt-size” level. To begin, the project(s) will need to be evaluated  
5 across the current enterprise technology roadmap for impact to other efforts and resources.  
6 The conflict created with work in progress on these systems will need evaluated across  
7 workgroups and should include a conversation with Staff and Commission as to the ranking  
8 of this effort amongst existing work, other regulatory and data asks, and customer  
9 benefitting technology enhancement work. If it is determined the data request work is  
10 appropriate, one of the first steps for Evergy would be to work with Staff and our third-  
11 party integrators to fully define requirements. Teams of product experts would conduct a  
12 review of current data and define the gaps, including defining new business processes and  
13 procedures that allow for the collection of the data. Recognizing this impacts our core  
14 enterprise systems, work would likely need to be done in phases and as described above  
15 will probably take place over the course of multiple years. In addition, knowing the data  
16 requests will impact the Company’s accounting practices, a legal and compliance analysis  
17 would also be needed. Even the exercise of evaluating the effort will be a burden on Evergy  
18 teams and will most necessarily require contractors and consultants. While the comments  
19 above focus on changes and integrations of systems, I would be remiss if I did not reiterate  
20 another previous point; the amount of change management, training and people readiness  
21 involved in providing the data requested by Staff is an effort of this magnitude will be  
22 monumental. This would be a transformational project and contemplating this undertaking,

1 with an already full slate of projects over the next several years, and would increase the  
2 implementation risk profile exponentially.

3 **Q: What is your recommendation concerning the Staff data requests?**

4 A: I recommend the Commission reject as unreasonable data requests 1, 5 and 6 and to assess  
5 the data request 8 as separate requests and to reject as unreasonable the subparts to data  
6 request 8 that are impacted by data request 1 and 5. For the other data requests, I believe  
7 it is important the Commission understand Evergy's position with the data requests (as  
8 defined in assumptions and effort in the data table) and acknowledge the level of cost  
9 associated with providing new and different views of data. The Company is willing to work  
10 with Staff to further develop requirements that would refine the cost estimates and timing  
11 for the other data requests. Part of those conversations would be to align expectations on  
12 the format and frequency of sharing the data.

13 **Q: Does that conclude your testimony?**

14 A: Yes, it does.

