

Public Version

Exhibit No.:  
Issue: Technical Due Diligence and Environmental Site  
Assessment  
Witness: Christopher J. Klausner, PE  
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony  
Sponsoring Party: Evergy Missouri West  
Case No.: EA-2023-0291  
Date Testimony Prepared: November 8, 2023

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**CASE NO. EA-2023-0291**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**CHRISTOPHER J. KLAUSNER, PE**

**ON BEHALF OF**

**EVERGY MISSOURI WEST**

**November 2023**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY**  
**OF**  
**CHRISTOPHER J. KLAUSNER, PE**  
**CASE NO. EA-2023-0291**

1 **Q: Please state your name and business address.**

2 A: My name is Christopher J. Klausner and my business address is 11401 Lamar  
3 Avenue, Overland Park, KS 66211.

4 **Q: By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

5 A: I am employed by Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC and serve as  
6 Associate Vice President and Senior Managing Director. I lead the Global  
7 Advisory Transactions Practice.

8 **Q: Who are you testifying for?**

9 A: I am testifying on behalf of Evergy Missouri West (“EMW” or “Company”).

10 **Q: Please describe your educational background and employment history.**

11 A: I graduated from the University of Kansas with a Bachelor of Science degree in  
12 Mechanical Engineering in 1991. Following my graduation, I began employment  
13 with Bibb & Associates, a local Kansas City-based engineering and consulting firm.  
14 I later joined Black & Veatch in March 1993 initially working on power plant  
15 system engineering assignments for various thermal power plants including  
16 combined cycle power plants, and transitioning to consulting projects in 1995. In  
17 2001, I earned my MBA from the University of Kansas with a concentration in  
18 finance. I have been a registered professional engineer in the State of Kansas since  
19 1995.

1 **Q: Please describe Black & Veatch.**

2 A: Black & Veatch Holding Company including its subsidiaries (hereafter “Black &  
3 Veatch”) is a leading management consulting, engineering, procurement, and  
4 construction company that specializes in infrastructure development in the fields of  
5 power, oil & gas, water, and telecommunications. Since its founding in 1915, Black  
6 & Veatch has expanded to include over 10,000 professionals working out of more  
7 than 120 offices worldwide. Black & Veatch includes various wholly owned  
8 subsidiaries, including Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, which  
9 brings together more than 170 professionals that include experienced industry  
10 executives, engineers, consultants, senior analysts, and technology experts.

11 **Q: What are your responsibilities at Black & Veatch?**

12 A: At Black & Veatch I lead the Global Advisory Transactions (“Transactions”)  
13 practice which is a service offering within Black & Veatch Management  
14 Consulting, LLC. I am responsible for providing technical advisory services and  
15 direction for clients in the areas of technology, environment, overall plant design  
16 and performance, project contracts, financial pro forma modeling, construction  
17 methods and schedules, and project capital costs. I also manage engineering studies  
18 such as need for power applications, integrated resource plans, power supply  
19 studies, and power plant valuations. Our Transactions team has completed  
20 hundreds of technical assessments in the last several years including thousands of  
21 MWs of combined cycle and combustion turbine-based power plants and projects.



1 **Q: Describe your experience in providing similar technical assessments on behalf**  
2 **of investors for similar facilities.**

3 A: As stated above, I lead the Global Advisory Transactions Practice for Black &  
4 Veatch. Each year, the group of professionals under my supervision typically  
5 completes more than two hundred technical reviews of infrastructure related assets  
6 and projects similar to those performed at Dogwood, of which many are combustion  
7 turbine-based. The Transactions team has experience with all three major original  
8 equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”) including General Electric, Mitsubishi Heavy  
9 Industry, and Siemens. The Transactions team has prior experience with the  
10 Siemens SGT6-5000FD2 combustion turbines utilized at Dogwood, and prior  
11 experience providing technical due diligence of Dogwood in 2011.

12 **I. Dogwood Technical Due Diligence Report**

13 **Q: Please provide an overview of Black & Veatch’s scope of work for the**  
14 **Dogwood Technical Due Diligence report.**

15 A: Black & Veatch was retained by Evergy to provide an independent technical  
16 assessment in view of the potential purchase of an interest in Dogwood. The  
17 Technical Due Diligence Report included the following areas of review:

- 18 ▪ Project Design: A summary review of the general facility design  
19 including identification of the manufacturers and key features for  
20 major equipment at the plant including any retrofits or changes since  
21 commercial operation began.



1 industry. Dogwood was found to have been maintained and operated in a manner  
2 consistent with Black & Veatch’s experience at similar combined cycle power  
3 plants. Further details on the review of the Project Design at Dogwood can be  
4 found in Section 2.0 of Confidential Schedule CK-1.

5 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s findings regarding Dogwood’s historical**  
6 **performance.**

7 A: Black & Veatch’s review of the historical performance at Dogwood included an  
8 analysis of its annual net generation, equivalent availability factor (“EAF”),  
9 equivalent forced outage rate (“EFOR”), equivalent forced outage rate on demand  
10 (“EFORd”), net capacity factor (“NCF”), average heat rate, and starting reliability.  
11 As applicable, these Dogwood specific values were compared against industry  
12 benchmark median values from a selection of combined cycle power plants of a  
13 similar size and vintage as Dogwood. Black & Veatch found that the EFORd at  
14 Dogwood was somewhat higher than the industry average, but plant staff indicated  
15 that emergent work during planned outages had historically been classified as  
16 forced outage time and that may have affected the calculation of EFORd at  
17 Dogwood. The five-year average EAF at Dogwood was found to be somewhat  
18 lower than the comparable industry average. However, Black & Veatch notes that  
19 in 2020 and 2021 each combustion turbine underwent a major inspection, rotor  
20 replacement and upgrade that resulted in performance improvements and life  
21 extension. Black & Veatch found that overall, Dogwood appears to be managing  
22 planned and forced outage events in an appropriate manner. Additional information

1 on the historical performance of Dogwood can be found in Section 3.0 of  
2 Confidential Schedule CK-1.

3 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s findings regarding the key commercial**  
4 **agreements at Dogwood.**

5 A: Black & Veatch concluded that the key commercial agreements between Dogwood  
6 and other relevant parties contain the services required to meet the operational  
7 requirements of the facility. Additionally, those key commercial agreements were  
8 found to be consistent with good industry practices and are comparable to  
9 agreements for similar power plants. Details on each of the agreements reviewed  
10 by Black & Veatch can be found in Sections 4.0 and 8.0 of Confidential Schedule  
11 CK-1.

12 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s findings regarding the operations and**  
13 **maintenance (“O&M”) structure and activities at Dogwood.**

14 A: North American Energy Services Corporation (“NAES”) has provided O&M  
15 services for Dogwood since 2007. O&M work is carried out by NAES personnel  
16 and is supplemented by contractor support for major maintenance. NAES is well  
17 qualified and experienced to serve as the asset management and O&M manager for  
18 Dogwood given their experience with similar power plants. Black & Veatch found  
19 the Dogwood staff to be knowledgeable and experienced and had effectively and  
20 consistently performed day-to-day O&M activities in line with good industry  
21 practice. More information about Black & Veatch’s review of O&M structure and  
22 activities for Dogwood is found in Section 5.0 of Confidential Schedule CK-1.



1 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s findings regarding environmental permitting**  
2 **at Dogwood.**

3 A: Black & Veatch performed a review of environmental compliance and  
4 environmental programs in place at Dogwood. That review found that Dogwood  
5 has maintained all appropriate environmental permits and appears to be up to date  
6 with required compliance reporting. Based on the information it reviewed, Black  
7 & Veatch did not identify any major environmental compliance issues that would  
8 threaten the continued regulatory compliance and operation at Dogwood. Details  
9 on the specific programs reviewed are included in Section 6.0 of Confidential  
10 Schedule CK-1.

11 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s findings regarding the financial assumptions**  
12 **at Dogwood.**

13 A: Black & Veatch reviewed the technical, non-fuel O&M, fixed O&M, and  
14 maintenance capital cost assumptions used in Evergy’s financial model for  
15 Dogwood. The input assumptions used by Evergy appear to be reasonable  
16 following the recommended adjustments noted by Black & Veatch. A summary of  
17 the inputs reviewed and the adjustments recommended can be found in Section 7.0  
18 of Confidential Schedule CK-1.

19 **Q: Please discuss Black & Veatch’s estimate of the remaining useful life at**  
20 **Dogwood.**

21 A: Many factors influence the overall useful life of a power plant. Industry experience  
22 has shown that, if properly operated and maintained, combined cycle power plants  
23 can be expected to have total useful lives of 45 years or more. This holds true for

1 Dogwood and Black & Veatch estimates that it has a remaining useful life of 24 or  
2 more years. This estimate is based on the assumption that Dogwood continues to  
3 be operated and maintained in accordance with good industry practices, that  
4 required renewals and replacements will be made in a timely manner, required  
5 major maintenance will be completed as forecasted, and the plant's equipment will  
6 not be operated in a manner to cause it to exceed the equipment manufacturer's  
7 recommendations. More information about the Black & Veatch estimate for the  
8 remaining useful life at Dogwood is found in Section 7.5 of Confidential Schedule  
9 CK-1.

10 **Q: Have any recent upgrades to Dogwood changed its operational**  
11 **characteristics?**

12 A: Yes. The combustion turbines at Dogwood were upgraded in 2020 and 2021  
13 resulting in increased generating capacity. The generator step-up transformers and  
14 switchyard equipment were found to be appropriately sized to accommodate full  
15 power output from the generators and a Capacity Review performed by NAES  
16 concluded that the upgraded Dogwood combustion turbines would not be  
17 significantly limited by the generators or associated equipment. To better utilize  
18 the increased capacity following the combustion turbine upgrades, an application  
19 to update the Generator Interconnection Agreement to increase the maximum  
20 interconnection limit was submitted to the Southwest Power Pool ("SPP") in July  
21 2020. The application for this increase was still in progress at the time of our report;  
22 however, the existing interconnection agreement allows generation up to 643 MW  
23 in summer and 675 MW in winter.

1 **Q: What did Black & Veatch conclude concerning Dogwood’s functional status?**

2 A: The Black & Veatch’s review of the recent performance history, selected monthly  
3 operating reports, test data, and other information at Dogwood has shown that the  
4 facility should be considered fully functional. Since Dogwood came online and  
5 entered commercial operation in 2002, it has successfully completed many startups  
6 and shutdowns while logging thousands of megawatt-hours of net generation as  
7 required by market conditions over its 20 plus years of operation. Dogwood  
8 conducted an SPP capability test in June 2021 demonstrating the facility’s net  
9 summer generation capacity. These test results are periodically updated as required  
10 by SPP. The facility is capable of operating in 1x1, 2x1, and part load conditions  
11 up to full load as long as permit conditions are maintained (generally above 40%  
12 gas turbine load). All major plant components including the combustion turbines,  
13 heat recovery steam generators, steam turbine, and generators were found to be  
14 functional and operated and maintained in accordance with good industry practices.

15 **II. Dogwood Phase I Environmental Site Assessment**

16 **Q: What was the scope of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (“ESA”)**  
17 **performed by Black & Veatch?**

18 A: Black & Veatch was retained by Evergy to provide an independent environmental  
19 assessment of Dogwood. The Phase I ESA included a review of previous ESAs, a  
20 records review, interviews with site personnel, site reconnaissance, the evaluation  
21 of the information collected, and the preparation of a formal report. A copy of the  
22 Dogwood Phase I ESA is provided as a part of this testimony as Confidential

1 Schedule CK-2. As is typical for a Phase I ESA, no sampling or testing of air, soil,  
2 groundwater, surface water, or building materials was performed.

3 **Q: Are there standards for performing the Phase I ESA?**

4 A: Yes. Black & Veatch performed the Phase I ESA for Dogwood in accordance with  
5 the requirements of ASTM E1527-21, “Standard Practice for Environmental Site  
6 Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process.”

7 **Q: What is the purpose of a Phase I ESA?**

8 A: A Phase I ESA is intended to identify, to the extent feasible, the presence of  
9 Recognized Environmental Conditions (“RECs”) with respect to the range of  
10 contaminants within the scope of the Comprehensive Environmental Response,  
11 Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”) and petroleum products. A REC is  
12 defined by ASTM as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances  
13 or petroleum products in, on, or at a property due to a release to the environment;  
14 under conditions indicative of a release to the environment; or conditions that pose  
15 a material threat of a future release to the environment. De minimis conditions are  
16 not RECs. This Phase I ESA is intended to permit Evergy to satisfy one of the  
17 requirements to qualify for the innocent landowner limitations on CERCLA  
18 liability: that is, the practices that constitute “all appropriate inquiry into the  
19 previous ownership and uses of the property consistent with good commercial or  
20 customary practice” as defined in 42 USC 9601(35)(B).

1 **Q: What were the findings for the Phase I ESA?**

2 A: Black & Veatch found no data gaps, no evidence of RECs, controlled RECs, or  
3 historical RECs in connection with Dogwood. The complete results of the Phase I  
4 ESA are included in this testimony as Confidential Schedule CK-2.

5 **Q: Please summarize your testimony.**

6 A: Black & Veatch's independent technical and environmental reviews of Dogwood  
7 did not identify any significant issues. Dogwood is a combined cycle power plant  
8 of a typical and proven design and has been operated and maintained in a manner  
9 consistent with good industry practices. The staff at Dogwood appeared to be  
10 knowledgeable and experienced. In addition, no evidence of recognized  
11 environmental conditions were found during the Phase I Environmental Site  
12 Assessment.

13 **Q: Does that conclude your testimony?**

14 A: Yes, it does.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of )  
Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy )  
Missouri West for Permission and Approval of ) Case No. EA-2023-0291  
a Certificate of Public Convenience )

**AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTOPHER J. KLAUSNER**


**STATE OF KANSAS** )  
 ) ss  
**COUNTY OF JOHNSON** )

Christopher J. Klausner, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Christopher J. Klausner. I work in Overland Park, Kansas, and I am employed by Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC as Associate Vice President and Senior Managing Director for Global Advisory Transactions Practice.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri West consisting of twelve ( 12 ) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.

3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher J. Klausner

Subscribed and sworn before me this 6<sup>th</sup> day of November 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My commission expires: 2-16-2024



**SCHEDULES CK-1 & CK-2  
ARE CONFIDENTIAL IN THEIR  
ENTIRETY**

**THEY CONTAIN INFORMATION  
NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.**

**ORIGINALS FILED UNDER SEAL**