# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Conference
November 2, 2016

Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 1

In The Matter Of The
Application of Union Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
For Approval Of A Tariff
Setting A Rate For Electric
Vehicle Charging Stations
)

The Matter Of The
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
Discrete Station Size of Setting A Rate For Electric Size of Setti

MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

REPORTED BY: Ms. Pamela S. Gentry, CCR No. 426 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES:					
2	FOR AMEREN MISSOURI:					
3	MR. L. RUSSELL MITTENS					
4	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.					
5	312 East Capitol Avenue					
6	P. 0. Box 456					
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456					
8	573. 635. 7166					
9	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:					
10	MR. NATHAN WILLIAMS					
11	MS. NICOLE MERS					
12	MR. KEVIN POST					
13	MS. WHITNEY PAYNE					
14	Missouri Public Service Commission					
15	200 Madison Street					
16	P. 0. Box 360					
17	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101					
18	573. 526. 7779					
19	FOR THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:					
20	MS. LERA SHEMWELL					
21	Missouri Public Service Commission					
22	200 Madison Street					
23	P. 0. Box 2230					
24	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102					
25	573. 751. 5324					

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)
2	FOR MISSOURI DIVISION OF ENERGY:
3	MR. ALEXANDER ANTAL
4	MISSOURI DIVISION OF ENERGY
5	Harry S. Truman Building, Room 680
6	310 West High Street
7	P. O. Box 1157
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
9	573. 751. 3443
10	FOR SIERRA CLUB AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL:
11	MR. HENRY B. ROBERTSON (via telephone)
12	Great Rivers Environmental Law Center
13	319 North Fourth Street, Suite 800
14	St. Louis, Missouri 63102
15	314. 231. 4181
16	FOR CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF MISSOURI:
17	No Appearances
18	FOR BRI GHTERGY, LLC:
19	No Appearances
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)						
2	FOR CHARGEPOINT, INC.:						
3	MR. MARK W. COMLEY						
4	Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.						
5	601 Monroe Street, Suite 301						
6	P. O. Box 537						
7	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102						
8	573. 634. 2266						
9	FOR MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS:						
10	No Appearances						
11	FOR MIDWEST ENERGY CONSUMERS:						
12	No Appearances						
13	FOR KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY:						
14	MR. JAMES M. FISCHER						
15							
16	Fischer & Dority, P.C.						
17	101 Madi son, Sui te 400						
18	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101						
19	573. 636. 6758						
20	ALSO PRESENT:						
21	Ms. Paul a Johnson, Ameren Missouri						
22	Mr. Joe Halso, Sierra Club (via telephone)						
23							
24							
25							
20							

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Let's go on the
3	record.
4	Today is November 2nd, 2016. It's eight
5	minutes after two in the afternoon. Commission has set
6	this time for a Procedural Conference in the case
7	captioned as In The Matter Of The Application Of Union
8	Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri For Approval Of
9	A Tariff Setting A Rate For Electric Vehicle Charging
10	Stations, File No. ET-0 2016-0246.
11	My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the
12	Regulatory Law Judge who will be presiding over this
13	matter. Let's begin by attorneys making their entries
14	of appearance.
15	For Ameren Missouri?
16	MR. JOHNSON: Appearing for Ameren
17	Missouri are Paula Johnson, Senior Corporate Counsel,
18	Ameren Missouri, and Russ Mitten, Bryden, Swearengen &
19	England in Jefferson City, Missouri.
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.
21	Commission Staff.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge.
23	For the Staff, Kevin Thompson, Nathan
24	Williams, Nicole Mers, and Whitney Payne, P.O Box 360,
25	Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.							
2	Office of Public Counsel.							
3	MS. SHEMWELL: Thank you, Judge.							
4	Lera Shemwell representing the Office of							
5	the Public Counsel the Public Counsel and the							
6	Public. I'll get my information to the Court Reporter.							
7	Thank you.							
8	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.							
9	Missouri Division of Energy.							
10	MR. ANTAL: Thank you, Judge.							
11	Alex Antal with the Missouri Division of							
12	Energy, P.O. Box 1157, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.							
13	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.							
14	Si erra Club?							
15	MR. ROBERTSON: We're here also for the							
16	Natural Resources Defense Counsel. Henry Robertson,							
17	Great Rivers Environmental Law Center, 319 North Fourth							
18	Street, Suite 800, St. Louis, 63102.							
19	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.							
20	Consumers Council of Missouri.							
21	(No response.)							
22	JUDGE BUSHMANN: No appearance.							
23	Brightergy, LLC?							
24	(No response.)							
25	JUDGE BUSHMANN: No appearance.							

1	ChargePoint, Incorporated?
2	MR. COMLEY: Judge Bushmann, let the
3	record reflect the entrance of appearance of Mark W.
4	Comley with the Comley Group, 601 Monroe street, Suite
5	301, in Jefferson City, Missouri, on behalf of
6	ChargePoint, Inc.
7	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you.
8	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers?
9	(No response.)
10	JUDGE BUSHMANN: No appearance.
11	Midwest Energy Consumers Group.
12	(No response.)
13	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Also no appearance.
14	Is there anybody on the line from Kansas
15	City Power & Light Company or GMO?
16	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'm here on behalf
17	of Kansas City Power & Light and KCP&L Greater Missouri
18	Operations Company. We have a pending application to
19	intervene in the matter.
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you, Mr. Fischer.
21	Did I miss anybody? Anybody on the phone
22	who is counsel that I did not a party I didn't call?
23	MR. ROBERTSON: Judge, this is Henry
24	Robertson, I believe for Sierra Club we also have Joe
25	Halso on the line.

1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.						
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	MR. HALSO: That's correct, your Honor.						
3	Thank you, Henry.						
4	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And how do you spell						
5	your last name?						
6	MR. HALSO: Last name is spelled						
7	H-a-I-s-o.						
8	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Thank you. All right						
9	MS. SHEMWELL: I know that John Coffman's						
10	intending to attend.						
11	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.						
12	MS. SHEMWELL: I heard from him this						
13	morning, so he may be running late.						
14	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Running Late. Okay.						
15	First of all, there was a motion filed by						
16	Kansas City Power & Light and GMO about request for						
17	leave to intervene out of time.						
18	Do any of the parties have any objection						
19	to that motion?						
20	MS. SHEMWELL: We will be filing an						
21	objection. The Office of the Public Counsel will be						
22	filing an objection.						
23	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.						
24	I know this is going to be moving along						
25	fairly quickly, at least that's my intention, so I						
20	Tailing quicking, at reast that silly intention, so i						

would like to get this out of the way as soon as possible. So, I'd like you to file your objections in writing no later than this Friday, November the 4th, and Kansas City Power & Light and GMO would have until the following Monday, November 7th, to file a reply, so I can try to get it on the Commissioner's on agenda next week --

MS. SHEMWELL: Okay.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: -- to try to facilitate this. So, I will issue a notice later restating what I just said and file that in efis.

I would like the parties to file a joint proposed procedural schedule no later than a week from today, November the 9th, and that schedule should include all the normal events in the case, but I also want you to include a date for a stipulation of non-disputed material facts. It seems to me there may not be a lot of disputed facts in this case and, if so, I think a good stipulation could save us some time at the evidentiary hearing.

The Commissioners have stated that, while this case is limited to a pilot program, they're concerned that what they decide here may have implications for other proposals in the future that are presented to them. I know some of the issues that

1	they've talked about an agenda involve legal issues
2	which you can cover in your briefs, but to the extent
3	possible and appropriate in your testimony, I wish you
4	would include information about the Commission's
5	jurisdiction, whether or not the Commission should
6	regulate this type of activity, and policy reasons for
7	or against regulation.
8	I'll leave a copy of the adjudication
9	calendar that I printed off that covers the next
10	several months, and, as you can see, the calendar is
11	extremely crowded. There are a lot of maior cases tha

calendar that I printed off that covers the next several months, and, as you can see, the calendar is extremely crowded. There are a lot of major cases that have already been scheduled, so I would suggest that you consider when you're talking the date of January -- Thursday, January 12th, for the evidentiary hearing. That would avoid Ameren Missouri and KCPL's rate cases which would be coming along shortly thereafter and other hearings that are scheduled that month.

The Commissioners do prefer the 12th, but if there is good reasons why that would not be an appropriate date, then also Monday, January 23rd, could be a possible alternative.

Does anybody have any other issues that need to be brought up on the record before we go off the record?

Yes, Mr. Mittens.

 MR. MITTENS: Judge, I think I have a question. Has the Commission indicated to you that it wants evidentiary hearings in this case? Because I was at the agenda meeting when they decided to suspend the tariff. It seemed to me that the issues that were troubling them were jurisdictional issues and legal issues with -- and possibly some public policy issues, and it was Ameren Missouri's hope that those could be resolved through an oral argument where the Com--parties could present their legal admissions and the Commissioners could ask questions, which would also touch on some of the public policy arguments as well.

It -- it seems to me that having testimony that deals with jurisdiction is -- is going to involve a lot of witnesses who may not be competent to address those issues, and they can just as easily, and probably more competently, be addressed by the attorneys in an oral argument.

Having this simply as an oral argument instead of an evidentiary hearing might allow us to dispose of it more quickly, because, if -- if at all possible, I think Ameren Missouri would like to get this finished before December simply because its rate case heats up in December. Kansas City Power & Light's rate case is a little bit of ahead of ours, and I'm

guessing it will be going full throttle in December as well. We've got the holidays, and then things will continue to be a little crazy in both of those cases as you move into January.

agree with you to the extent that what a lot of the Commissioners are concerned with is going to be legal questions that don't necessarily involve facts. But at this point, with the tariff suspended, Commission's going to have to report and order with findings of facts.

It may be that the parties can agree on 99 percent of the facts, but there's still going to be policy issues that need to be fleshed out for the benefit of the Commissioners. So, I think that an oral argument at this point is not quite going to get us there, even though I understand Ameren Missouri wants to move quickly, and I can appreciate that.

So, you -- you may want to discuss it with the other parties, and if the parties want to propose something that involves affidavits or stipulations and a way to move the case along more quickly, then I could take that to the Commissioners.

But I do need to issue findings of facts. So there needs to be an -- an evidentiary record of

1 some sort. 2 MS. SHEMWELL: We'll be saying that --3 that Public Counsel completely realizes that the 4 Commission has ruled on the issue, but we would be 5 amenable to including into the Ameren's rate case. 6 It's just an issue of just rolling it into the rate 7 case and handle it on that timeline. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: 0kay. 9 Anybody else want to raise any issues or 10 weigh in on those comments that I just received? Judge, I know that 11 MR. COMLEY: 12 ChargePoint is very active in the state already, and it 13 is highly interested in trying to present some factual 14 data to the Commission for purposes of its 15 determination in this case. So, I would be in support 16 of, perhaps, a very aggressive schedule, but it would 17 have to include pretrial testimony for the Commission 18 to consider. 19 And I -- and in respect to the Office of 20 Public Counsel's suggestion, ChargePoint would oppose 21 the idea of having this rolled into the rate case. 22 It's an issue that ChargePoint considers should be 23 separately and distinctively considered by the

Commission because of its degree of importance.

JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.

24

25

Thank you,

1 Mr. Comley. 2 Anybody el se? 3 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I would just say on 4 behalf of Kansas City Power & Light, on behalf of our 5 company, we've been trying to get some issues related 6 to jurisdiction policy for some time, and we see this 7 case as an important case that has statewide 8 implications, and we think it should be moved forward 9 as soon as we can. 10 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. 11 MR. MITTENS: And for the record, Ameren 12 Missouri would be opposed rolling it into the rate 13 case, simply because we would like to get an expedited 14 decision as we've mentioned in several pleading. 15 also, the fact that it's not just an Ameren Missouri 16 Kansas City Power & Light has -- and GMO have i ssue. 17 intervened in this case, and I think it probably would 18 be best to try and resolve all the jurisdictional and 19 public policy issues regarding electric vehicle 20 charging in this separate docket rather than have to 21 resolve them twice in two separate rate cases. 22 MS. SHEMWELL: A very fine point. 23 have asked to intervene late.

24

25

apol ogi ze.

MR. MITTENS: Stand corrected.

They

1	MS. SHEMWELL: Like a fine wine.
2	MS. PAYNE: I'll also note that there was
3	a motion to consolidate this with the rate case that
4	was already refused by denied by the Commission, so
5	
6	MS. SHEMWELL: I noted that.
7	MS. PAYNE: I'm sorry. I missed that,
8	but I just wanted to state that.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, if I could?
10	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Yes, Mr. Williams.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, this proceedings
12	started by the filing of the tariff sheets. If it's
13	turning into some other
14	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Can you can you speak
15	up a little bit? The Court Reporter can't hear you.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: If it's turning into some
17	other type of proceeding that goes beyond that, it
18	might be appropriate to do some kind of notice to other
19	interested parties. Parties that weren't interested
20	when it was just the tariff sheet might have become
21	interested for other reasons, and I think Kansas City
22	Power & Light Company and KCP&L KCP&L Greater
23	Missouri Operations Company kind of exhibits that.
24	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, I think we're
25	still talking about a tariff. It's just that the

1	Commissioners want to make sure, when they decide on
2	the tariff, that they're making the decision on grounds
3	they understand the implications of the ramifications
4	of that decision.
5	So, at this point, I think we've got a
6	lot of parties in the case. I think we're okay on
7	those, but I appreciate your comments.
8	Anybody else want to raise anything?
9	(No response.)
10	JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right.
11	Hearing none, we're off the record.
12	(Off the record.)
13	* * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### CERTIFICATE

I, Pamela S. Gentry, Certified Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court Reporting, LLC, do hereby certify that I was personally present at the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time and place.

			 	l
A	application 1:12	13:8,25 14:10	16:7	5:17 6:2,5,5,16
above-entitled	5:7 7:18	15:10,14,24	Commission 1:2	7:22 8:21 13:3
17:6	appreciate	16:10	2:9,14,21 5:5	Counsel's 13:20
active 13:12	12:18 16:7	<u> </u>	5:21 10:5 11:2	<b>Court</b> 1:25 6:6
activity 10:6	appropriate		13:4,14,17,24	15:15 17:4
address 11:16	10:3,20 15:18	C 5:1 17:1,1	15:4	cover 10:2
addressed 11:17	Approval 1:13	<b>calendar</b> 10:9,10	Commission's	covers 10:9
adjudication	5:8	call 7:22	10:4 12:9	<b>crazy</b> 12:3
10:8	argument 11:9	Capitol 2:5	Commissioner's	crowded 10:11
admissions	11:18,19 12:16	caption 17:7	9:6	<b>CSR</b> 17:15
11:10	arguments	captioned 5:7	Commissioners	
affidavits 12:21	11:12	case 5:6 9:15,18	9:21 10:18	<u>D</u>
afternoon 5:5	<b>asked</b> 14:23	9:22 11:3,24	11:11 12:7,15	<b>D</b> 5:1
agenda 9:6 10:1	attend 8:10	11:25 12:22	12:23 16:1	<b>d/b/a</b> 1:13 5:8
11:4	attorneys 5:13	13:5,7,15,21	company 1:13	data 13:14
aggressive 13:16	11:18	14:7,7,13,17	4:13,13 5:8	date 9:16 10:13
agree 12:6,12	Avenue 2:5	15:3 16:6	7:15,18 14:5	10:20
ahead 11:25	<b>avoid</b> 10:15	cases 10:11,15	15:22,23	deals 11:14
<b>Alex</b> 6:11		12:3 14:21	competent 11:15	December 11:23
ALEXANDER	B	cause 17:6	competently	11:24 12:1
3:3	<b>B</b> 3:11	<b>CCR</b> 1:24 17:15	11:17	<b>decide</b> 9:23 16:1
allow 11:20	<b>behalf</b> 7:5,16	<b>Center</b> 3:12 6:17	completely 13:3	decided 11:4
alternative	14:4,4	Certified 17:3	concerned 9:23	decision 14:14
10:21	believe 7:24	certify 17:5	12:7	16:2,4
amenable 13:5	benefit 12:15	ChargePoint 4:2	Conference 1:6	<b>Defense</b> 3:10
Ameren 1:13 2:2	<b>best</b> 14:18	7:1,6 13:12,20	5:6	6:16
4:20 5:8,15,16	beyond 15:17	13:22	consider 10:13	degree 13:24
5:18 10:15	<b>bit</b> 11:25 15:15	charging 1:14	13:18	denied 15:4
11:8,22 12:17	<b>Box</b> 2:6,16,23	5:9 14:20	considered	determination
14:11,15	3:7 4:6 5:24	City 1:8 2:7,17	13:23	13:15
Ameren's 13:5	6:12	2:24 3:8 4:7,13	considers 13:22	discuss 12:19
Antal 3:3 6:10	briefs 10:2	4:17 5:19,25	consolidate 15:3	dispose 11:21
6:11	<b>Brightergy</b> 3:18	6:12 7:5,15,17	Consumers 3:16	disputed 9:18
anybody 7:14,21	6:23	8:16 9:4 11:24	4:9,11 6:20 7:8	distinctively
7:21 10:22	brought 10:23	14:4,16 15:21	7:11	13:23
13:9 14:2 16:8	Bryden 5:18	<b>Club</b> 3:10 4:21	Cont'd 3:1 4:1	<b>Division</b> 3:2,4
apologize 14:25	Brydon 2:4	6:14 7:24	continue 12:3	6:9,11
appearance 5:14	<b>Building</b> 3:5	Coffman's 8:9	copy 10:8	docket 14:20
6:22,25 7:3,10	<b>Bushmann</b> 1:17	<b>Com</b> 11:9	Corporate 5:17	Dority 4:15
7:13	5:2,11,20 6:1,8	<b>coming</b> 10:16	correct 8:2 17:9	
	6:13,19,22,25	<b>Comley</b> 4:3,4	corrected 14:24	E
<b>Appearances</b> 2:1 3:1,17,19	7:2,7,10,13,20	7:2,4,4 13:11	Council 3:10,16	<b>E</b> 5:1,1 17:1,1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8:1,4,8,11,14	14:1	6:20	easily 11:16
4:1,10,12	8:23 9:9 12:5	comments 13:10	counsel 2:19	East 2:5
Appearing 5:16			2022202	
		•		·

			I	
<b>efis</b> 9:11	<b>Fischer</b> 4:14,15	11:3	13:9 14:5,19	L
eight 5:4	7:16,20 14:3	heats 11:24		L 2:3
electric 1:12,14	fleshed 12:14	<b>Henry</b> 3:11 6:16	<u>J</u>	late 8:13,14
5:8,9 14:19	following 9:5	7:23 8:3	<b>JAMES</b> 4:14	14:23
<b>Energy</b> 3:2,4 4:9	foregoing 17:9	<b>High</b> 3:6	January 10:13	Law 1:17 3:12
4:11 6:9,12 7:8	<b>forth</b> 17:7	<b>highly</b> 13:13	10:14,20 12:4	5:12 6:17
7:11	forward 14:8	holidays 12:2	<b>Jefferson</b> 1:8 2:7	leave 8:17 10:8
England 2:4	Fourth 3:13	Honor 8:2	2:17,24 3:8 4:7	legal 10:1 11:6
5:19	6:17	hope 11:8	4:17 5:19,25	11:10 12:7
entrance 7:3	Friday 9:3		6:12 7:5	Lera 2:20 6:4
entries 5:13	<b>full</b> 12:1 17:9	I	<b>Joe</b> 4:21 7:24	Let's 5:2,13
Environmental	future 9:24	<b>idea</b> 13:21	<b>John</b> 8:9	<b>Light</b> 4:13 7:15
3:12 6:17		implications	Johnson 4:20	7:17 8:16 9:4
<b>ET-0</b> 5:10	G	9:24 14:8 16:3	5:16,17	14:4,16 15:22
ET-2016-0246	<b>G</b> 5:1	importance	<b>joint</b> 9:12	Light's 11:24
1:13	Gentry 1:24	13:24	<b>Judge</b> 1:17 5:2	limited 9:22
events 9:15	17:3,15	important 14:7	5:12,20,22 6:1	line 7:14,25
evidentiary 9:20	<b>GMO</b> 7:15 8:16	<b>include</b> 9:15,16	6:3,8,10,13,19	little 11:25 12:3
10:14 11:3,20	9:4 14:16	10:4 13:17	6:22,25 7:2,7	15:15
12:25	<b>go</b> 5:2 10:23	including 13:5	7:10,13,16,20	LLC 1:25 3:18
exhibits 15:23	goes 15:17	Incorporated	7:23 8:1,4,8,11	6:23 17:4
expedited 14:13	<b>going</b> 8:24 11:14	7:1	8:14,23 9:9	lot 9:18 10:11
<b>extent</b> 10:2 12:6	12:1,7,10,13	indicated 11:2	11:1 12:5 13:8	11:15 12:6
extremely 10:11	12:16	Industrial 4:9	13:11,25 14:3	16:6
	<b>good</b> 9:19 10:19	7:8	14:10 15:9,10	Louis 3:14 6:18
<b>F</b>	<b>Great</b> 3:12 6:17	<b>information</b> 6:6	15:11,14,24	
<b>F</b> 17:1	Greater 4:13	10:4	16:10	M
facilitate 9:9	7:17 15:22	intending 8:10	jurisdiction 10:5	<b>M</b> 4:14
<b>fact</b> 14:15	grounds 16:2	intention 8:25	11:14 14:6	Madison 2:15
facts 9:17,18	<b>Group</b> 7:4,11	interested 13:13	jurisdictional	2:22 4:16
12:8,11,13,24	guessing 12:1	15:19,19,21	11:6 14:18	<b>major</b> 10:11
factual 13:13		intervene 7:19		making 5:13
fairly 8:25	H O 7	8:17 14:23	K A 12	16:2
<b>file</b> 1:13 5:10 9:2	H-a-l-s-o 8:7	intervened	Kansas 4:13	<b>Mark</b> 4:3 7:3
9:5,11,12	Halso 4:21 7:25	14:17	7:14,17 8:16	material 9:17
<b>filed</b> 8:15	8:2,6	involve 10:1	9:4 11:24 14:4	matter 1:12 5:7
<b>filing</b> 8:20,22	handle 13:7	11:15 12:8	14:16 15:21	5:13 7:19
15:12	Harry 3:5	involves 12:21	KCP&L 4:13	meeting 11:4
findings 12:10	hear 15:15	issue 9:10 12:24	7:17 15:22,22	mentioned
12:24	heard 8:12	13:4,6,22	KCPL's 10:15	14:14
fine 14:22 15:1	hearing 9:20	14:16	Kevin 2:12 5:23	Mers 2:11 5:24
finished 11:23	10:14 11:20	issues 9:25 10:1	kind 15:18,23	Michael 1:17
<b>firm</b> 17:4	16:11	10:22 11:5,6,7	know 8:9,24	5:11
<b>First</b> 8:15	hearings 10:17	11:7,16 12:14	9:25 13:11	Midwest 4:11

	l	i	l	1
7:11	non-disputed	<b>Paula</b> 4:20 5:17	<b>public</b> 1:2 2:9,14	15:15 17:4
minutes 5:5	9:17	<b>Payne</b> 2:13 5:24	2:19,21 6:2,5,5	Reporting 1:25
missed 15:7	normal 9:15	15:2,7	6:6 8:21 11:7	17:4
Missouri 1:1,8	<b>North</b> 3:13 6:17	pending 7:18	11:12 13:3,20	representing 6:4
1:13 2:2,7,9,14	note 15:2	percent 12:13	14:19	request 8:16
2:17,21,24 3:2	noted 15:6	personally 17:5	purposes 13:14	resolve 14:18,21
3:4,8,14,16 4:7	<b>notes</b> 17:10	<b>phone</b> 7:21		resolved 11:9
4:9,13,17,20	notice 9:10	<b>pilot</b> 9:22	Q	Resources 3:10
5:8,15,17,18	15:18	<b>place</b> 17:7,11	question 11:2	6:16
5:19,25 6:9,11	November 1:7	pleading 14:14	questions 11:11	respect 13:19
6:12,20 7:5,8	5:4 9:3,5,14	<b>point</b> 12:9,16	12:8	response 6:21,24
7:17 10:15		14:22 16:5	quickly 8:25	7:9,12 16:9
11:22 12:17	0	<b>policy</b> 10:6 11:7	11:21 12:18,23	restating 9:10
14:12,15 15:23	O 5:1	11:12 12:14	<b>quite</b> 12:16	right 8:8 12:5
Missouri's 11:8	objection 8:18	14:6,19		16:10
Mitten 5:18	8:21,22	<b>possible</b> 9:2 10:3	R	<b>Rivers</b> 3:12 6:17
Mittens 2:3	objections 9:2	10:21 11:22	<b>R</b> 5:1 17:1	Robertson 3:11
10:25 11:1	<b>Office</b> 2:19 6:2,4	possibly 11:7	raise 13:9 16:8	6:15,16 7:23
14:11,24	8:21 13:19	<b>POST</b> 2:12	ramifications	7:24
Monday 9:5	okay 5:2 8:1,11	<b>Power</b> 4:13 7:15	16:3	<b>rolled</b> 13:21
10:20	8:14,23 9:8	7:17 8:16 9:4	rate 1:14 5:9	rolling 13:6
<b>Monroe</b> 4:5 7:4	13:8,25 14:10	11:24 14:4,16	10:15 11:23,25	14:12
month 10:17	16:6	15:22	13:5,6,21	<b>Room</b> 3:5
months 10:10	Operations 4:13	<b>prefer</b> 10:18	14:12,21 15:3	ruled 13:4
morning 8:13	7:18 15:23	present 4:19	realizes 13:3	running 8:13,14
<b>motion</b> 8:15,19	<b>oppose</b> 13:20	11:10 13:13	reasons 10:6,19	Russ 5:18
15:3	opposed 14:12	17:5	15:21	RUSSELL 2:3
move 12:4,18,22	<b>oral</b> 11:9,18,19	presented 9:25	received 13:10	<b>Ruth</b> 4:4
moved 14:8	12:15	presiding 1:17	record 5:3 7:3	
moving 8:24	order 12:10	5:12	10:23,24 12:25	S
		pretrial 13:17	14:11 16:11,12	<b>S</b> 1:24 3:5 5:1
N	P	printed 10:9	reflect 7:3	17:3,15
<b>N</b> 5:1	<b>P</b> 5:1	probably 11:17	refused 15:4	<b>save</b> 9:19
name 5:11 8:5,6	<b>P.C</b> 2:4 4:4,15	14:17	regarding 14:19	saying 13:2
Nathan 2:10	<b>P.O</b> 2:6,16,23	procedural 1:6	regulate 10:6	<b>schedule</b> 9:13,14
5:23	3:7 4:6 5:24	5:6 9:13	regulation 10:7	13:16
Natural 3:10	6:12	proceeding	Regulatory 1:17	scheduled 10:12
6:16	Pamela 1:24	15:17	5:12	10:17
necessarily 12:8	17:3,15	proceedings 1:4	related 14:5	see 10:10 14:6
need 10:23	parties 8:18 9:12	15:11 17:6,8	reply 9:5	<b>Senior</b> 1:17 5:17
12:14,24	11:10 12:12,20	program 9:22	<b>report</b> 12:10	separate 14:20
needs 12:25	12:20 15:19,19	proposals 9:24	REPORTED	14:21
Newman 4:4	16:6	propose 12:21	1:24	separately 13:23
<b>Nicole</b> 2:11 5:24	party 7:22	proposed 9:13	Reporter 6:6	<b>Service</b> 1:2 2:9
		F-SF SSC 2.15		
	•	•	•	•

2:14,21	<b>support</b> 13:15	<b>true</b> 17:9	<b>wish</b> 10:3	573.634.2266
set 5:5 17:7	<b>sure</b> 16:1	Truman 3:5	witnesses 11:15	4:8
<b>Setting</b> 1:14 5:9	suspend 11:4	<b>try</b> 9:6,9 14:18	writing 9:3	573.635.7166
<b>sheet</b> 15:20 17:7	suspended 12:9	<b>trying</b> 13:13		2:8
sheets 15:12	Swearengen 2:4	14:5	X	573.636.6758
Shemwell 2:20	5:18	turning 15:13	<b>T</b> 7	4:18
6:3,4 8:9,12,20		15:16	Y	573.751.3443
9:8 13:2 14:22	T	twice 14:21	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	3:9
15:1,6	<b>T</b> 17:1,1	two 5:5 14:21		573.751.5324
Shorthand 17:3	take 12:23	<b>type</b> 10:6 15:17	0	2:25
shortly 10:16	talked 10:1			
<b>Sierra</b> 3:10 4:21	talking 10:13	U	1	6
6:14 7:24	15:25	understand	<b>1</b> 1:9	<b>601</b> 4:5 7:4
<b>simply</b> 11:19,23	<b>tariff</b> 1:13 5:9	12:17 16:3	<b>101</b> 4:16	<b>63102</b> 3:14 6:18
14:13	11:5 12:9	<b>Union</b> 1:12 5:7	<b>1157</b> 3:7 6:12	<b>65101</b> 2:17 4:17
<b>soon</b> 9:1 14:9	15:12,20,25	₹7	<b>12th</b> 10:14,18	<b>65102</b> 2:24 3:8
<b>sorry</b> 15:7	16:2	<u>V</u>		4:7 5:25 6:12
<b>sort</b> 13:1	telephone 3:11	<b>vehicle</b> 1:14 5:9	2	<b>65102-0456</b> 2:7
speak 15:14	4:21	14:19	<b>2</b> 1:7	<b>680</b> 3:5
spell 8:4	testimony 10:3	Volume 1:9	<b>200</b> 2:15,22	
spelled 8:6	11:14 13:17	$\mathbf{W}$	<b>2016</b> 1:7 5:4	7
<b>St</b> 3:14 6:18	<b>Thank</b> 5:20,22	$\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W}}$ 4:3 7:3	<b>2016-0246</b> 5:10	<b>7th</b> 9:5
<b>Staff</b> 2:9 5:21,23	6:1,3,7,8,10,13		<b>2230</b> 2:23	8
<b>Stand</b> 14:24	6:19 7:7,20 8:3	want 9:16 12:19	<b>23rd</b> 10:20	<b>800</b> 3:13 6:18
started 15:12	8:8 13:25	12:20 13:9	<b>2nd</b> 5:4	800 3:13 0:18
state 1:1 13:12	thereof 17:7	16:1,8		9
15:8	things 12:2	wanted 15:8	3	<b>99</b> 12:13
stated 9:21	<b>think</b> 9:19 11:1	wants 11:3	<b>301</b> 4:5 7:5	9th 9:14
statewide 14:7	11:22 12:15	12:17	<b>310</b> 3:6	) tii ).14
Stations 1:14	14:8,17 15:21	way 9:1 12:22	<b>312</b> 2:5	
5:10	15:24 16:5,6	We'll 13:2	314.231.4181	
Stenotype 17:8	Thompson 5:23	we're 6:15 15:24	3:15	
17:10	throttle 12:1	16:6,11	<b>319</b> 3:13 6:17	
stipulation 9:16	Thursday 10:14	we've 12:2 14:5	<b>360</b> 2:16 5:24	
9:19	<b>Tiger</b> 1:25 17:4	14:14 16:5	4	
stipulations	time 5:6 8:17	week 9:7,13		
12:22	9:19 14:6 17:6	weigh 13:10	<b>400</b> 4:16	
street 2:15,22	17:10	weren't 15:19	<b>426</b> 1:24	
3:6,13 4:5 6:18	timeline 13:7	West 3:6	<b>456</b> 2:6	
7:4	today 5:4 9:14	<b>Whitney</b> 2:13	<b>4th</b> 9:3	
suggest 10:12	touch 11:12	5:24	5	
suggestion 13:20	transcript 1:4	Williams 2:10	<del>537</del> 4:6	
Suite 3:13 4:5	17:10	5:22,24 15:9	573.526.7779	
4:16 6:18 7:4	troubling 11:6	15:10,11,16	2:18	
		wine 15:1	2.10	
	•	•	•	•